

<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA</b> STREET ADDRESS: 312-C East Cook Street MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 5369 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Maria, CA 93456-5369 BRANCH NAME: Cook Division	FOR COURT USE ONLY <b>FILED</b> SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA DEC 22 2004 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer <i>Carrie L. Wagner</i> CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk
People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson	
<b>FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR EXPERT'S EXAMINATION OF ENCASE COMPUTER HARD DRIVES</b>	CASE NUMBER: 1133603

On November 29, 2004, the DA filed its Proposed Protocol for Expert's Examination of EnCase Computer Hard Drives under conditional seal. A redacted version was released on November 30, 2004. On December 20, 2004, the court granted the motion to seal the exhibits.

The Court makes the following findings:

The Protocol is designed to guide the expert in searching the computer hard drives that were seized from Bradley Miller's office and from the office of Mr. Jackson's assistant for relevant material. It includes a list of names that are pertinent to the investigation as well as words that describe items of potential evidence.

In this case, protection of the Defendant's right to a fair trial and protection of the identity of the minor complaining witness and his family overcomes the right of public access to the record. A substantial probability exists that those interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested. The intensity of the media coverage in this case is unprecedented. Each court hearing is thoroughly reported and exhaustively analyzed by the media. It is substantially probable that if the evidence expected to be given at trial were to be released pretrial, it would be similarly reported and analyzed. The sealing order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the available jury pool by limiting its exposure to the expected evidence and testimony pretrial and to prevent exposure to inadmissible items of evidence. The Court has consistently held that because of the pervasive media coverage in this case, the Defendant's right to a fair trial outweighs public access. Those findings are relevant here and incorporated by reference. [See Findings and Order re: Motion for Protective Order, filed January 23, 2004 and Findings and Order re: Sealing of Search Warrant Materials, filed January 23, 2004]

There are no less restrictive means to protect those interests. The extraordinary circumstances present in this case overcome the presumption that cautionary admonitions and instructions to the jury pool would have a curative effect. It is far more desirable to avoid the prejudice in the first instance than to hope to identify unaffected jurors later.

The Court acknowledges that its order must be narrowly tailored to accommodate the maximum public disclosure. A redacted version of this document has been released as noted above.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

DEC 22 2004

*Rodney S. Melville*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Judge of the Superior Court  
 RODNEY S. MELVILLE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On DECEMBER 22, 20 04, I served a copy of the attached FINDINGS & ORDER RE SEALING PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR EXPERT'S EXAMINATION OF ENCASE COMPUTER HARD DRIVES addressed as follows:

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.  
COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP  
1875 CENTURY PARK EAST, 7<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
1112 SANTA BARBARA STREET  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

FAX

By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: (310) 861-1007 (Thomas Mesereau, Jr.); (805) 568-2398 (Thomas Sneddon). Said transmission was reported complete and without error. Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.

MAIL

By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

PERSONAL SERVICE

By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with the person having charge thereof or by hand delivery to the above mentioned parties.

EXPRESS MAIL

By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, sub-post office, substation, mail chute, or other like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail, in a sealed envelope, with express mail postage paid.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22<sup>ND</sup> day of DECEMBER, 2004, at Santa Maria, California.

  
CARRIE L. WAGNER