

1 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
County of Santa Barbara  
2 By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
3 J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
4 GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
5 1105 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
6 Telephone: (805) 568-2300  
FAX: (805) 568-2398

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SEP 16 2004  
CLERK OF COURT  
COURT HOUSE  
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA  
10 SANTA MARIA DIVISION

12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
13 Plaintiff,  
14 v.  
15 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,  
16 Defendant.

No. 1133603  
NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION FOR ORDER  
DIRECTING THAT SEARCH  
WARRANT NO. SW 5127,  
THE DECLARATION IN  
SUPPORT THEREOF AND THE  
RETURN MADE TO IT BE  
CONDITIONALLY SEALED  
AND REMAIN UNDER SEAL  
UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF  
COURT; DECLARATION OF  
GERALD McC. FRANKLIN IN  
SUPPORT THEREOF;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS  
AND AUTHORITIES

DATE: Sept. 16, 2004  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.  
DEPT: SM 2 (Melville)

24 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, STEVE  
25 COCHRAN, and ROBERT SANGER, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO  
26 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:

27 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 16, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon

1 thereafter as the matter may be heard. in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does,  
2 move for an order directing that the following records be maintained under conditional seal  
3 until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq:

4 Warrant No. **SW 5127** for the search of the records of **VERIZON**  
5 **NEW JERSEY, INC.**


6 which warrant was issued on August 20, 2004, together with its supporting affidavit and the  
7 return, if any. on said warrant.

8 The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the  
9 accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify sealing the  
10 specified records pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

11 The motion will be based on this notice of motion. on the declaration of Gerald  
12 McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the  
13 records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the  
14 motion.

15 DATED: August 27, 2004

16 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.  
17 District Attorney


18 By:   
19 Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff



1 6. I believe an order maintaining those records under seal in the interim would avert  
2 the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to those  
3 records could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

4 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is  
5 true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such  
6 matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on August  
7 27, 2004.

8   
9 Gerald McC. Franklin



MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Penal Code section 1534, subdivision (a) provides:

(a) A search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days after date of issuance. A warrant executed within the 10-day period shall be deemed to have been timely executed and no further showing of timeliness need be made. After the expiration of 10 days, the warrant, unless executed, is void. The documents and records of the court relating to the warrant need not be open to the public until the execution and return of the warrant or the expiration of the 10-day period after issuance. Thereafter, if the warrant has been executed, the documents and records shall be open to the public as a judicial record.

In *PSC Geothermal Services Co. v. Superior Court* (1994) 25 Cal.4th 1697, our

Supreme Court noted:

“Section 1534 provides that the documents associated with the warrant are public documents 10 days after its execution. Typically after the search, arrests are made. There is no exception in the statute for instances, such as that here, where the search is used to further an ongoing investigation. Such information, however, may be privileged as official information under Evidence Code sections 1040, subdivision (a) and 1042, subdivision (b).” (*Id.* at p. 1714.)

Evidence Code section 1040, subdivision (a) provides: “As used in this section, ‘official information’ means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made.”

Evidence Code section 1042, subdivision (b) provides: “Notwithstanding subdivision (a) [requiring a court to make adverse findings adverse to the public entity upon any issue in a court proceeding to which privileged information is material], where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant *official information* or the identity of an informer in

1 order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a  
2 result of it.” (Emphasis added.)

3 The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.  
4 applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Search warrants, their  
5 supporting affidavits and the returns thereto are open to the public within 10 days of issuance  
6 or until the warrant is executed and returned, whichever is earlier. (Pen. Code, § 1534, subd.  
7 (a).)

8 Rule 243.1(d) provides that

9 The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it  
10 expressly finds facts that establish:

- 11 (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of  
12 public access to the record;
- 13 (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 14 (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will  
15 be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 16 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 17 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

18 Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

- 19 (1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the  
20 facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of  
21 only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable,  
22 portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that  
23 needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents  
24 or page must be included in the public file.

25 Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that “Pending the determination of the  
26 motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under  
27 seal.”

28 ////

////

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: August 27, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
County of Santa Barbara

By: Gerald McC. Franklin  
Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff





1  
2  
3 **SERVICE LIST**  
4

5 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP  
6 Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., Esq.  
7 William E. Thomson, Esq.  
8 Julian Poon, Esq.  
9 333 S. Grand Avenue  
10 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
11 Attorneys for (collectively) "Media"

12 THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.  
13 Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP  
14 1875 Century Park East, No. 700  
15 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
16 FAX: [CONFIDENTIAL]  
17 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson

18 STEVE COCHRAN, ESQ.  
19 Katten, Muchin, Zavis & Rosenman, Lawyers  
20 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600  
21 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012  
22 FAX: (310) 712-8455  
23 Co-counsel for Defendant

24 ROBERT SANGER, ESQ.  
25 Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers  
26 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C  
27 Santa Barbara, CA 93001  
28 FAX: (805) 963-7311  
Co-counsel for Defendant