SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

STREET ADDRESS:

312-C East Cook Street

MAILING ADDRESS:

P.O. Box 5369

CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:

Santa Maria, CA 93456-5369

Cook Division

People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson

FCREOURTUSE (MLY)
SUPERICE COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAFTA BARBARA

AUS 0 6 2001

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
By CRANCE & Waspill
CARRIEL W. GNER, Deputy Clark

FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING MOTION FOR ORDER COMPELLING PRIOR COUNSEL TO DELIVER FILES AND OXMAN DECLARATION IN SUPPORT

CASE NUMBER: 1133603

On June 15, 2004, the Defendant filed a Motion for Order Compelling Prior Counsel to Deliver Files under conditional seal. On June 18, 2004, the Defendant filed a Supplemental Memorandum with respect to their Motion under conditional seal. On June 25, 2004, the Defendant filed the Declaration of Brian Oxman in support of their motion under conditional seal. On June 25, 2004, the court granted the Defendant's motion to seal those documents.

The Court makes the following findings:

These document in their unredacted form identify potential witnesses and specify items of evidence the admissibility of which has yet to be determined by the Court and provides the identity of the minor complaining witness or his family.

In this case, protection of the Defendant's right to a fair trial and protection of the identity of the minor complaining witness and his family overcomes the right of public access to the record. A substantial probability exists that those interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested. The intensity of the media coverage in this case is unprecedented. Each court hearing is thoroughly reported and exhaustively analyzed by the media. It is substantially probable that if the evidence expected to be given at trial were to be released pretrial, it would be similarly reported and analyzed. The sealing order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the available jury pool by limiting its exposure to the expected evidence and testimony pretrial and to prevent exposure to inadmissible items of evidence. The Court has consistently held that because of the pervasive media coverage in this case, the Defendant's right to a fair trial outweighs public access. Those findings are relevant here and incorporated by reference. [See Findings and Order re: Motion for Protective Order, filed January 23, 2004 and Findings and Order re: Sealing of Search Warrant Materials, filed January 23, 2004]

There are no less restrictive means to protect those interests. The extraordinary circumstances present in this case overcome the presumption that cautionary admonitions and instructions to the jury pool would have a curative effect. It is far more desirable to avoid the prejudice in the first instance than to hope to identify unaffected jurors later.

The Court acknowledges that its order must be narrowly tailored to accommodate the maximum public disclosure. However, the court finds that these documents cannot be meaningfully redacted.

Dated:

AUG 0 8 2004

Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On <u>AUGUST 6, 20 04, I served a copy of the attached <u>FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING MOTION</u>
FOR ORDER COMPELLING PRIOR COUNSEL TO DELIVER FILES AND OXMAN DECLARATION IN <u>SUPPORT</u>
addressed as follows:</u>

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.
COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP
1875 CENTURY PARK EAST. 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

_X FAX
By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: 805-568-2398 (DISTRICT ATTORNEY) 310-861-1007 (THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR). Said transmission was reported complete and without error Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.
MAIL
By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regula communication by mall between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.
PERSONAL SERVICE
By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with their clerk therein or the person having charge thereof.
EXPRESS MAIL
By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, subpost office, substation, mail chute, or othe like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail, in a sealed envelope, with express mail postage paid.
I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6^{TH} day o AUGUST , 20 04 , at Santa Maria, California.

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com