THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY 1 County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) 2 APR - 8 2005 Senior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer 3 Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) carried wagner CARRIE L. WAGNER, Debuty Clerk 4 Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street 5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 6 FAX: (805) 568-2398 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 9 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 No. 1133603 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 12 Plaintiff. PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM 13 RE: THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CERTAIN EVIDENCE TO 14 v. IMPEACH THE TESTIMONY OF PHILLIP LEMARQUE 15 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, 16 Defendant DATE: TBA 17 TIME: TBA. DEPT: SM-2 (Melville) 18 19 20 A. Introduction: 21 One of plaintiff's witnesses is Phillip Lemarque, who will testify concerning his 22 observations of defendant with at least one young boy in the early 1990s, when he worked at 23 Neverland Ranch as one of defendant's employees. 24 "The Smoking Gun" ("TSG") is an Internet website that regularly features 25 sensational "background" information on aspects of the Michael Jackson prosecution. On 26 April 4th, TSG disclosed that in 1997, several years after his employment at Neverland Ranch, 27 Mr. Lemarque "launched Virtual Sin, a website reportedly devoted to sexually explicit 28 photographs. According to TSG, that website went off-line early last year.

mjracts.co

mjfacts.com

It is reasonable to assume that defense counsel is not unacquainted with TSG and with its up-dates on titillating background information concerning anticipated witnesses in this matter. It is not unreasonable to assume that defense counsel might attempt to cross-examine Mr. Lemarque concerning the particulars of his post-employment Internet website offerings.

Plaintiff respectfully submits that an attempt to impeach Mr. Lemarque's testimony

Plaintiff respectfully submits that an attempt to impeach Mr. Lemarque's testimony by reference to the focus of his later business enterprise would be improper. Whatever else might be said about it, Mr. Lemarque's post-employment Internet venture was not unlawful. Neither does it illuminate his character for honesty or veracity.

Evidence Code section 786 admonishes: "Evidence of traits of his character other than honesty or veracity, or their opposites, is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness." And section 787 provides: "Subject to Section 788 [prior felony conviction], evidence of specific instances of his conduct relevant only as tending to prove a trait of his character is inadmissible to attack or support the credibility of a witness."

Defense counsel has demonstrated a facility for loading a leading question on cross-examination with a good many supposed "facts," followed by "Correct?" The interposition of an objection to such a question, even if successful, is almost always too late; the question itself has been launched over the jury rail and its prejudicial effect will not be remedied by an admonition to the jury to "disregard the question; it is not evidence."

For that reason, plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court caution defense counsel not to attempt questions on cross-examination that reveal or seek information concerning Mr. Lemarque's post-employment Internet venture.

DATED: April 8, 2005

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

25 facts.com

Gerali McC. Franklin Senior Deputy District Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office: Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On April 8, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM RE: THE ADMISSIBILITY OF CERTAIN TESTIMONY TO IMPEACH THE TESTIMONY OF PHILLIP REMARQUE on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ROBERT SANGER and BRIAN OXMAN, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to defense counsel in open court. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Maria, California on this 8th day of April, 2005.

mifacts.com

mjfacts.com

acts com

mifacts.com