

1 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
County of Santa Barbara  
2 By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
3 J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
4 GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)  
Senior Deputy District Attorney  
5 1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
6 Telephone: (805) 568-2300  
FAX: (805) 568-2398  
7

FILED  
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

FEB - 9 2009

GARY M. ELSON, Executive Officer

By: *[Signature]*  
CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA  
10 SANTA MARIA DIVISION

11  
12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

13 Plaintiff,

14  
15 v.

16  
17 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

18 Defendant.

No. 1133603

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF  
MOTION FOR ORDER THAT  
PLAINTIFF'S IN LIMINE MOTION  
TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN  
EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED  
CONDUCT BE MAINTAINED  
UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL;  
DECLARATION OF GERALD  
McC. FRANKLIN IN SUPPORT  
THEREOF; MEMORANDUM  
OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

DATE: TBA  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.  
DEPT: TBA (Melville)

22 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAL, JR.,  
23 ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD. AND TO  
24 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:

25 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the date next fixed for the hearing of motions, at  
26 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned,  
27 Plaintiff will, and hereby does, move for an order directing that Plaintiff's In Limine Motion to  
28 Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct, filed contemporaneously with this Request for

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING IN LIMINE MOTION RE EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN EVIDENCE  
LOCATION: 805 560 1078 RX TIME C2/09 '05 14:40

1 Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct, filed contemporaneously with this Request for  
2 Conditional Sealing, be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant  
3 to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

4 The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the  
5 accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify sealing the In  
6 Limine Motion re Certain Conduct pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

7 The motion to conditionally seal will be based on this notice of motion, on the  
8 declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and  
9 filed hcrewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at  
10 the hearing of the motion.

11 DATED: February 9, 2005

12  
13 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.  
District Attorney

14 By:   
15 Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN

I. Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.

2. Plaintiff's In Limine Motion to Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct filed contemporaneously with this Motion to Conditionally Seal, is made on the ground that Plaintiff's In Limine Motion to Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct makes reference to evidentiary facts not yet made public, and to the names of potential witnesses.

3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that Plaintiff's In Limine Motion to Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct should remain under conditional seal until the appropriateness of sealing the document and the release of a redacted version of the In Limine Motion Re Certain Conduct is determined by the Court.

4. I believe an order maintaining Plaintiff's In Limine Motion to Exclude Certain Evidence Of Alleged Conduct under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that pleading could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on February 9, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq, applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

(1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;

(2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;

(3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

(4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

(5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

////

////

////

////

////



1 DATED: February 9, 2005

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
4 County of Santa Barbara

5 By: Gerald McC. Franklin  
6 Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }  
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA } SS

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On February 9, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF'S IN LIMINE MOTION TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN EVIDENCE OF ALLEGED CONDUCT BE MAINTAINED UNDER SEAL on Media's counsel and on Defendant by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ROBERT SANGER and BRIAN OXMAN, by transmitting a true copy thereof on defendant's counsel by fax at the confidential fax number in Santa Maria and to Media's counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address for counsel on the attached Service List.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 9th day of February, 2005.

*Gerald McC. Franklin*  
Gerald McC. Franklin

SERVICE LIST

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP

Theodore J. Boutros, Jr., Esq.

William E. Thomson, Esq.

Julian Poon, Esq.

333 S. Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

FAX: (213) 229-6758

Attorneys for (collectively) "Media"

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.

Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP

1875 Century Park East, No. 700

Los Angeles, CA 90067

FAX: [SANTA MARIA - CONFIDENTIAL]

Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson

ROBERT SANGER, ESQ.

Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers

233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C

Santa Barbara, CA 93001

FAX: (805) 963-7311

Co-counsel for Defendant

BRIAN OXMAN, ESQ.

Oxman & Jaroscak, Lawyers

14126 E. Rosecrans Blvd.

Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Co-counsel for Defendant