- I		
1	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number	091182
3	Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067	s.com mjfacts.com
4	Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 SANGER & SWYSEN Pobert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214 Superior County of Santa Barbara County of Santa Barbara	
5		
6	233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101	FEB - 4 2000
7	Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	BY CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk
8	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 14126 East Rosecrans	CARRIE L. WAGNEN, Dapon
9	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	* Unsealed pursuant
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	to be hold court orde
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANT.	A BARBARA, COOK DIVISION
15		
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF (CALIFORNIA, (CALIFORNIA)	Case No. 1133603
17	Plaintiffs,)	OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE
18	vs. mjfacts.com	ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ANY ALLEGED EXTRA-
19) MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,)	MARITAL SEXUAL CONDUCT BY JANE DOE
20	Defendant.	UNDARSEAM
21)	Honorable Rodney S. Melville Date: February 10, 2005
22		Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 8
23		ng Ch
24	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES MISSES COM	
25	5 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
26	The prosecution asks this Court to issue an order "forbidding counsel to inquire about or	
27		
28	OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ANY ALLEGED EXTRA-MARITAL SEXUAL CONDUCT BY JANE DOE	

. 1

1.5

comment on Jane Doe's alleged 'extra-marital' sexual conduct during her prior marriage."

(Motion, page 1.) Defense counsel will follow the Evidence Code. Defense counsel will limit its inquiries regarding Jane Doe's sexual relationships to areas that are relevant to this case and will not question her regarding sexual relationships that are not relevant. An order forbidding defense counsel from any inquiry into Ms. Doe's extra-marital relationships with a number of men, regardless of whether they were or were not sexual, would be improper.

The exclusion of such relevant evidence threatens to deprive Mr. Jackson of his federal and state constitutional rights to a fair trial, due process of law, and equal protection pursuant to the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article 1, Sections 7, 15 and 24 of the California Constitution.

ARGUMENT

I.

JANE DOE'S ROMANTIC AFFAIRS ARE RELEVANT TO HER FRAUDULENT <u>ACTIVITIES</u>

During the exact time period in which she now claims to have been held hostage, Jane Doe was engaged in a romantic relationship with Jay Jackson. Despite her claims to the contrary in the videotape recorded by Hamid Moslehi, and under penalty of perjury in an application for welfare, Jay Jackson supported Ms. Doe and her family financially. During the relevant time period, Ms. Doe did not want to jeopardize this financial arrangement, but, at the same time, wanted to set up a similar, but more lucrative, arrangement with Michael Jackson or one of the men around him. While attempting to secure such an arrangement, Ms. Doe had a telephone conversation with Jay Jackson that caused him to become concerned. While talking to Jay Jackson, Ms. Doe said, "[s]omebody is coming," and hung up the phone. (RT 857:11-15.) This caused Jay Jackson to call the police department. While the District Attorney will almost certainly claim that this event demonstrates that Ms. Doe was under duress while at Neverland, there is a more likely scenario. Ms. Doe did not want certain people at Neverland to believe that

she was in a committed relationship with Jay Jackson, because she wanted to be seen as available so that she could pursue a similarly profitable romantic relationship.

In fact, during the very evening that Jay Jackson felt she would not talk to him she was in town, unattended by anyone, seeking beauty treatments.

This information is relevant because it explains Jane Doe's behavior during the relevant time period. The District Attorney plans to argue that her conduct is consistent with being held captive. The evidence supports a very different interpretation of her behavior and defense counsel may properly argue such an interpretation.

There is also evidence that Janet was having a relationship with an LAPD officer before, during and after the time periods she now claims she was detained by Mr. Jackson's employees.

Furthermore, a police report dated February 23, 2004, contains a statement that Jane Doe "made passes" at Vincent Amen. If, in fact, Ms. Doe was actively seeking a sexual relationship with Mr. Amen, during the relevant time period in which she claims she was being imprisoned, such information would be probative. In addition, there are witnesses who state that she flirted hourly with two of the men at the Ranch during February of 2003. According to Ms. Doe, Mr. Amen was one of the people who was closely watching and preventing her from reporting the allegation that she was being held against her will. Testimony that she was flirting with him and enjoying her time in his company would tend to demonstrate that she was not being held hostage.

Finally, she is on tape telling Frank Cascio that she loves him. Her voice and conversation indicate a close relationship.

//acts.com

mjfacts.com

//

//

II.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, the Court should deny the District Attorney's motion.

Dated: February 4, 2005

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU

Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.

Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger

mjfacts.com

OXMAN & JAROSCAK

Brian Oxman

By:

Robert M. Sanger

Attorneys for Defendant

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

jracts.com mjract

OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLU<mark>DE ANY</mark> REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ANY ALLEGED EXTRA-MARITAL SEXUAL CONDUCT BY JANE DOE

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On February 4, 2005, I served the foregoing document: OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ANY ALLEGED EXTRAMARITAL SEXUAL CONDUCT BY JANE DOE on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Tom Sneddon Gerald Franklin Ron Zonen Gordon Auchincloss District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 805-568-2398

- BY U.S. MAIL I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.
- X BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties at
- BY HAND I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.
- **STATE** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed February 4, 2005 at Santa Barbara, California.

Boberte Tryon

mifacts.con