

1 **COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU**  
Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182  
2 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640  
1875 Century Park East, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
3 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133

4 **SANGER & SWYSEN**  
5 Attorneys at Law  
Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214  
6 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
7 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311

8 **OXMAN & JAROSCAK**  
9 Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172  
14126 East Rosecrans  
10 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670  
Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
12 **MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON**

13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
14 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION**

16 **THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF**  
17 **CALIFORNIA,**  
18 Plaintiffs,  
19 vs.  
20 **MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,**  
21 Defendant.

) Case No. 1133603  
)  
) **EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN**  
) **ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO**  
) **DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO**  
) **EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE**  
) **COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR**  
) **FAILURE TO USE) [REDACTED]**  
) **[REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL**  
)  
) Honorable Rodney S. Melville  
)  
) Date: ~~February 10, 2005~~  
) Time: ~~9:30 a.m.~~  
) Dept: SM 8

25 **TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:**  
26 Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled

27  
28 **EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO**  
**EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE)**  
**[REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL**

**FILED**  
SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA

FEB - 4 2005

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer  
BY *Carrie L Wagner*  
CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

1 OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY  
2 DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE) [REDACTED]  
3 [REDACTED] and accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further  
4 relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of  
5 Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth  
6 Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California  
7 Constitution.

8 Dated: February 4, 2005


9 Respectfully submitted,

10 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU  
11 Thomas A. Mescreau, Jr.  
Susan C. Yu

12 SANGER & SWYSEN  
13 Robert M. Sanger

14 OXMAN & JAROSCAK  
15 Brian Oxman

16 By:

17   
18 Robert M. Sanger  
19 Attorneys for Defendant  
20 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE)  
[REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL

1 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2 I.

3 **THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT**  
4 **A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL**

5 Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a  
6 record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 7 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the  
8 record;
- 9 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 10 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the  
11 record is not sealed;
- 12 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 13 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

14 (California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

15 II.

16 **OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR**  
17 **SEALING A RECORD**

18 It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on  
19 the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth,  
20 Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7,  
21 and 15 of the California Constitution.

22 An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or  
23 potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be  
24 determincd.

25 The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be  
26 compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to

27

---

28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE)  
[REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL

1 due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United  
2 States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material  
3 contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public,  
4 would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In  
5 order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal.

6 CONCLUSION

7 For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that  
8 OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY  
9 DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE) [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED] and accompanying documents be filed under seal.


11 Dated: February 4, 2005

12 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU  
13 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.  
Susan C. Yu

14 SANGER & SWYSEN  
15 Robert M. Sanger

16 OXMAN & JAROSCAK  
17 Brian Oxman

18 By:

19   
20 Robert M. Sanger  
21 Attorneys for  
22 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON


23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO  
EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE)  
[REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (OR FAILURE TO USE) [REDACTED] and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 4<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.



Robert M. Sanger

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On February 4, 2005, I served the foregoing documents on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows: EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO JANE DOE'S USE OF (PR FAILURE TO USE) [REDACTED] BE FILED UNDER SEAL on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Tom Sneddon  
Gerald Franklin  
Ron Zonen  
Gordon Auchincloss  
District Attorney  
1112 Santa Barbara Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
805-568-2398  
(BY FAX ONLY)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.  
William E. Thomson  
Michael H. Dore  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 91171  
Fax - 213-229-7520  
(BY FAX ONLY)


       BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.

  X   BY FACSIMILE - I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties

       BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.

  X   STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed February 4, 2005, at Santa Barbara, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Bobette Thon