

1 **COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU**
2 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182
3 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640
4 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor
5 Los Angeles, CA 90067
6 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA
COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA

JAN 26 2005

7 **SANGER & SWYSEN**
8 Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214
9 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C
10 Santa Barbara, CA 93101
11 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY Carrie L. Wagner
CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

12 **OXMAN & JAROSCAK**
13 Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172
14 14126 East Rosecrans
15 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
16 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298

** Unsealed pursuant
to 6/16/05 Court
Order*

17 Attorneys for Defendant
18 **MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON**

19
20 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
21 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION

22 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
23 CALIFORNIA,

24 Plaintiffs,

25 vs.

26 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,

27 Defendant.

) Case No. 1133603

) MR. JACKSON'S OPPOSITION TO THE
) MEDIA'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT
) CLARIFY THAT MARTIN BASHIR IS
) NOT SUBJECT TO THE GAG ORDER

) ~~UNDER SEAL~~

) Honorable Rodney S. Melville

) Date: January 28, 2005

) Time: 9:30 am

) Dept: SM 8

SANTA BARBARA
05 JAN 26 PM 2:44

28 MR. JACKSON'S OPPOSITION TO THE MEDIA'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT CLARIFY THAT
MARTIN BASHIR IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE GAG ORDER

1 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2 I.

3 THE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER IS: 1) UNTIMELY; AND 2) FILED IN
4 VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S PROVISIONAL SEALING ORDERS

5 First, the witness, Martin Bashir, filed his Motion for Protective Order and served it on
6 counsel by Fed-Ex so that it was received on Monday, January 24, 2005. That is not timely and
7 makes it difficult to file an adequate Opposition sufficiently in advance so that the Court can
8 properly consider it. The Court requires ten days notice for any motion.

9 Second, the Court specifically ordered the parties to file everything under conditional seal
10 and to allow the Court to make the determination as to what should be sealed and/or redacted.
11 This procedure was put in place for the benefit of Mr. Boutrous' other clients. Here, Mr.
12 Boutrous simply determined unilaterally that his pleading was not subject to a sealing order on
13 behalf of this client -- presumably because he represents other clients. However, neither Mr.
14 Bashir nor Mr. Boutrous are entitled to special status and they, like all other witnesses
15 approaching the court for relief, are required to follow the same procedures.

16 Mr. Boutrous should know better. He has been present at many hearings and has been
17 granted status as a litigant in this case. He is copied on all pleadings and has a seat in front of the
18 bar. Therefore, he has no excuse for not knowing the rules or for believing that he and Mr.
19 Bashir are somehow not bound by them.

20 We are responding, as set forth below, the best we can on short notice. However, we
21 would seek leave of the Court to continue the hearing or obtain other relief in light of the
22 untimely and improper filing by movant.

23 II.

24 MR. BASHIR IS A WITNESS SUBPOENAED BY THE PROSECUTION BUT HE HAS
25 ALSO BEEN PLACED ON THE DEFENSE WITNESS LIST

26 The fight over the scope of examination on direct examination during the people's case in
27

28

MR. JACKSON'S OPPOSITION TO THE MEDIA'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT CLARIFY THAT
MARTIN BASHIR IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE GAG ORDER

1 chief is that of the prosecution. In light of the untimely notice and since the Motion is directed to
2 the prosecution's subpoena, we would respectfully maintain that the issues raised are to be
3 litigated between the witness and the prosecution.

4 However, upon proper notice and an opportunity to be heard, if the witness seeks a
5 protective order as to our cross-examination or our direct (in the event he is called by the
6 defense), we would oppose such a motion. We cannot adequately respond on such short notice
7 to the merits of his arguments, even, if by extension, they are intended to apply to the defense.

8 In this regard, the Court is aware that the defendant in a criminal case is entitled to
9 confront and cross-examine witnesses called against him and to the compulsory attendance of
10 witnesses on his own behalf under the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
11 Constitution and Article 1, Section 15 of the California Constitution. To deny such access to a
12 witness such as Mr. Bashir would also deprive Mr. Jackson of his right to a fair trial, due process
13 of law and effective assistance of counsel under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to
14 the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 7 and 15 of the California Constitution.

15 Mr. Bashir may also overstate his credentials as a journalist. He is an entrepreneur. He
16 produced a tabloid television program for money and sold it to the highest bidder. It was
17 sensationalist entertainment not journalism.¹

18 III.

19 **HOWEVER, MR. BASHIR IS SUBJECT TO THE "GAG" ORDER JUST LIKE ANY** 20 **OTHER WITNESS**

21 Mr. Bashir does not now make his living reporting on the Michael Jackson case. He did a
22 one-time salacious television program which he sold to the highest bidder in England, Granada
23 Television. He then opened bidding in America for the distribution rights in this country. ABC
24

25 ¹ Were the Court to determine how far from journalism the "Living with Michael
26 Jackson" television program was, we respectfully request that the Court consider the videotapes
27 lodged concurrently herewith, which represent the outtakes of Mr. Bashir's program and a Maury
28 Povich program, respectively.

1 outbid NBC for the television show. He reportedly made over 5 million dollars on these
2 transactions. Coincidentally (or not) he has obtained a job with ABC to be a television
3 personality on 20/20 and has reportedly been paid over 2 million dollars for that. He presents
4 pieces on air regarding other stories about other people on that television show.

5 While none of these facts makes him a journalist, neither do any of them suggest that he
6 is actively reporting on the Michael Jackson case. Other than trading on his celebrity for having
7 set up Mr. Jackson, his scripts have been on other subjects. Therefore, there is nothing of the
8 urgency espoused by Mr. Boutrous in allowing real reporters to investigate and publish articles or
9 television pieces on the real news.

10 However, all of that misses the point regarding the "gag" order. He is a witness. His
11 television show, salacious and inaccurate as it may have been, is presented by the prosecution as
12 key to their case. Both the prosecution and the defense indicate that they intend to call him as a
13 witness. Therefore, Mr. Bashir, like any witness, is subject to the protective order. Celebrities
14 are not exempt. He should be subject to the same rule which, in fact, Mr. Jackson is required to
15 follow. The Court has made it clear that Mr. Jackson as an entertainer can comment and perform
16 in the media regarding anything other than this case. So too Mr. Bashir, a celebrity of his own
17 sort and making, can perform in public regarding anything else other than this case.

18 ///

20 ///

22 ///

24 ///

26 ///

28 MR. JACKSON'S OPPOSITION TO THE MEDIA'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT CLARIFY THAT
MARTIN BASHIR IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE GAG ORDER

1 IV.

2 CONCLUSION

3 Therefore, based on the reasons set forth above, Mr. Jackson respectfully requests that the
4 Court clarify that Martin Bashir is subject to the Court's protective order.


5 Dated: January 26, 2005

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU
Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.
Susan C. Yu

7 SANGER & SWYSEN
Robert M. Sanger

8 OXMAN & JAROSCAK
9 Brian Oxman

10
11 By:



12 Robert M. Sanger
13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On January 26, 2005, I served the foregoing document entitled: **OPPOSITION TO THE MEDIAS REQUEST THAT THE COURT CLARIFY THAT MARTIN BASHIR IS NOT SUBJECT TO GAG ORDER BE FILED UNDER SEAL** On the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows

Tom Sneddon
Gerald Franklin
Ron Zonen
Gordon Auchincloss
District Attorney
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
805-568-2398

 BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.

 X **BY FACSIMILE** - I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties

 BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.

 X **STATE** - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed January 26, 2005, Santa Barbara, California.


Bobette J. Tryon