| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mescreau, Jr., State Bar Number 0 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA DEC 17 2004 GARY M. BLAIR. Executive Officer EY CANLL & WAGNEY CARRIEL WAGNER. DADUTY CIEFR TO COLLULO 5 COULT |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 9 10 11 | 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON | FILED UNDER SEAL |
| 12 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 13 | FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) CALIFORNIA,) | Case No. 1133603 |
| 16 | Plaintiffs,) | SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF |
| 17 18 | vs. | MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER |
| 19 |) MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,) | Honorable Rodney Melville |
| 20 | Defendant. | Date: December 20, 2004 Time: 8:30 am. |
| 21 | | Dept; SM 8 |
| 22 | } | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | /// | |
| 26 | ; | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | | |
| ľ | CIMPLE AT AT A PRO | EE DI SIMBORT OF MOTION TO CONTRAIN TO |

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert M. Sanger, declare as follows:

- 1. The District Attorney did not disclose the specific Section 1108 allegations until December 10, 2004, when Mr. Jackson was served with the prosecution's 1108 motion. The prosecution's defective witness list, served on defense counsel on December 6, 2004, included witnesses who were part of the 1993-1994 investigation, however defense counsel had not been provided with reports for all of those witnesses as of the day the witness list was served.
- 2. The prosecution maintained the position that they had not determined whether or not they intended to introduce any evidence from the 1993-1994 investigation of Mr. Jackson until October of 2004. In October, Mr. Jackson was provided with raw files from the 1993-1994 investigation, without indicating what evidence, if any, the prosecution intended to introduce at trial. The materials provided amounted to at least 9,000 pages.
- During a telephonic conversation with Tom Sneddon yesterday, he stated that many of the 1993-1994 "reports" are actually drafts of reports.
- 4. Defense counsel has an obligation to defend against each allegation as if it was a separate case. Mr. Jackson is entitled to put on a defense case for each allegation. Given the late production of the 1993-1994 materials, the fact that many of the reports from that time period are in draft form, the late identification of Section 1108 witnesses, and the fact that Section 1108 witness reports have been provided as recently as December 13, 2004, a 3 month continuance is necessary so that Mr. Jackson can defend against the alleged evidence.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct this 17th day of December, 2004, at Santa Barbara, California.

Robert M. Sanger

_ _

INTRODUCTION

After Mr. Jackson requested that trial be continued for 6 weeks and after the discovery deadline passed, the District Attorney served and filed its 63 page Evidence Code Section 1108 Motion. In light of the substantial Section 1108 evidence that the District Attorney intends to introduce at trial and significant problems with the prosecution's discovery, it has become apparent that a continuance in the area of 3 months is necessary to allow defense counsel to prepare to defend against the alleged prior offenses listed in the prosecution's motion. The grounds for such a continuance are that the failure to continue the trial would deprive Mr. Jackson of his right to a fair trial, due process of law, equal protection, privileges and immunities and effective assistance of counsel within the meaning of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY RECENTLY INDICATED FOR THE FIRST TIME THAT HE INTENDED TO PUT ON SUBSTANTIAL SECTION 1108 EVIDENCE

The District Attorney did not disclose the specific Section 1108 allegations until December 10, 2004, when Mr. Jackson was served with the prosecution's 1108 motion. The prosecution's defective witness list, served on defense counsel on December 6, 2004, included witnesses who were part of the 1993-1994 investigation, however defense counsel had not been provided with reports for all of those witnesses as of the day the witness list was served. (Declaration of Robert M. Sanger.)

The prosecution maintained the position that they had not determined whether or not they intended to introduce any evidence from the 1993-1994 investigation of Mr. Jackson until October of 2004. In October, Mr. Jackson was provided with raw files from the 1993-1994 investigation, without indicating what evidence the prosecution intended to introduce at trial. The materials provided amounted to at least 9,000 pages. Many of the those materials consist of rough notes and many of the "reports" are actually drafts of reports. (Declaration of Robert M.

Π.

A CONTINUANCE OF 3 MONTHS IS NECESSARY SO THAT DEFENSE COUNSEL CAN PREPARE TO DEFEND AGAINST HE SUPPOSED SECTION 1108 EVIDENCE

Defense counsel has an obligation to defend against each allegation as if it was a separate case. Mr. Jackson is entitled to put on a defense case for each allegation. (People v. Callahan (1999) Cal.App. 4th 356.) Given the late production of the 1993-1994 materials, the fact that many of the reports from that time period are in draft form, the late identification of Section 1108 witnesses, and the fact that Section 1108 witness reports have been provided as recently as December 13, 2004, a 3 month continuance is necessary so that Mr. Jackson can defend against the alleged evidence. (Declaration of Robert M. Sanger.)

ПI.

THE LATE AND INCOMPLETE PRODUCTION OF SECTION 1108 MATERIALS MUST BE TAKEN IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ISSUES RAISED IN THE MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

The Court should consider the belated Section 1108 disclosures in light of: (1) the tremendous amount of discovery that the prosecution has provided to defense counsel in the last two months; (2) the fact that much of that discovery could have provided months, if not years earlier; (3) the prosecution's newly announced battered women's syndrome defense of Jane Doe; (4) the District Attorney's refusal to heed the Court's warnings and stop requesting new search warrants; (5) the fact that defense counsel must not go through more than 25 boxes of materials related to the Abdool v. Jackson civil case; and (6) the fact that there is significant prosecution discovery still outstanding, and in particular, materials related to the Section 1108 evidence and the prosecution's expert witnesses.

IV.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, respectfully submits that the trial should be continued for 3 months.

Dated: December 17, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mescreau, Jr. Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger

OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman

By:

Robert M. Sanger

Attorneys for Defendant //
MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On December 17, 2004, I served the foregoing documents on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows: SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT PF MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

Tom Sneddon
Gerald Franklin
Ron Zonen
Gordon Auchincloss
District Attorney
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
805-568-2398

- BY U.S. MAIL I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.
- X BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties
- X STATE I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed December 17, 2004, at Santa Barbara

Bobette J. Tryon