

1 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of Santa Barbara
2 By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
3 GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
4 GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
5 1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
6 Telephone: (805) 568-2300
7 FAX: (805) 568-2398

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA
COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA

JAN 26 2005

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY Carrie L. Wagner
CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
10 SANTA MARIA DIVISION

11 ~~PROPOSED~~ REDACTED VERSION

12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
13 Plaintiff,)

14 vs.)

15)
16 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,)
17 Defendant.)

No. 1133603

18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)
PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO
DEFENDANT'S
OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
ADMIT EXPERT
TESTIMONY ON
DEFENDANT'S FINANCES

DATE: ~~January 28, 2004~~

TIME: ~~8:30 AM~~

DEPT.: SM2 (Melville)

22 A. Introduction

23 Defendant evokes the well established rule that a defendant's poverty generally may
24 not be admitted to prove a motive to commit a crime involving the acquisition of money or
25 other thing of value (citing *People v. Koontz* (2002) 27 Cal.4th 1041, 1076 and *People v.*
26 *Carrillo* (2004) 119 Cal.App.4th 94). He argues that, therefore, his own wealth "may not be
27 used to establish a motive because it utilizes a suspect criteria in an unfair discrimination that
28 violates Mr. Jackson's rights to equal protection and to a fair trial to prove a motive where the

1 same thing can be said whether the defendant is rich or poor.” (Motion 3:4-7.)

2 The attempted parallel is unpersuasive.

3 *Carrillo, supra*, 119 Cal.App.4th 94, succinctly articulated the rationale of the rule
4 that poverty is, in most cases, not a basis for inferring a motive to steal:

5 While “lack of money is logically connected with a crime involving
6 financial gain . . . [t]he trouble is that it would prove too much against
7 too many.” (*State v. Mathis* (1966) 47 N.J. 455 [221 A.2d 529, 538]
8 [reversing murder conviction because, inter alia, the prosecutor
9 introduced evidence that “projected before the jury the forbidden theme
10 that defendant had no apparent means of income and hence was likely to
11 commit a crime for dollar gain”].) As the court explained in *United*
12 *States v. Mitchell* (9th Cir. 1999) 172 F.3d 1104, “Lack of money gives
13 a person an interest in having more. But so does the desire for money,
14 without poverty. A rich man’s greed is as much a motive to steal as a
15 poor man’s poverty. Proof of either, without more, is likely to amount
16 to a great deal of unfair prejudice with little probative value.” [*Id.* at pp.
17 1108-1110 [reversing robbery conviction because the prosecutor
18 introduced evidence of defendant’s ‘impecunious financial
19 circumstances’].)

20 At bottom, the issue is one of fairness: “It is fundamental to our
21 conception of a fair trial that equality of treatment must be afforded to
22 all without regard to differences in social status or economic condition.
23 In a society which cherishes the ideal of equal justice for all and seeks to
24 accord the equal protection of the laws to all those who are accused of
25 crime, it would be difficult to accept any other view.” (*United States ex*
26 *rel. Mertz v. State of New Jersey* (3d Cir. 1970) 423 F.2d 537, 541; see
27 also 2 Wigmore, Evidence, § 392, p. 431 [Chadbourn rev. ed. 1979]
28 [practical result of poverty evidence “would be to put a poor person
under so much unfair suspicion and at such a relative disadvantage that
for reasons of fairness [such evidence] has seldom been
countenanced”].)

(*People v. Carrillo, supra*, 119 Cal.App.4th 94, at p. 102.)

Carrillo’s citation to *United States v. Mitchell* (9th Cir. 1999) 172 F.3d 1104 is
instructive. That court noted, “There is a distinction between an interest, in the sense that it is

1 in anyone's interest to be richer rather than poorer, and an inclination. A mere interest,
2 unconnected with inclination, desperation, or other evidence that the person was likely to
3 commit the crime does not add much, in most cases, to the probability that the defendant
4 committed a crime." (*Id.*, at p. 1109.)


5 If poverty – often an intractable condition imposed by circumstances of birth,
6 education or mental condition beyond the ability of an individual to overcome – ought not to
7 be assigned as a motivating factor for a defendant's theft-related crime on policy grounds, it
8 does not follow that evidence of a motive to preserve one's wealth is subject to the same policy
9 rule of exclusion.

10 Suppose a supremely well-paid business executive who exercised poor judgment in
11 his investment of the shareholders' money took unlawful actions to avoid being found out and
12 fired for his bad judgment – say, by creating false invoices and cooking the company's books.
13 Evidence of his motive to avoid detection, and the loss of income that would follow detection,
14 surely would be admissible in a criminal prosecution for those cover-up attempts.¹

15 Michael Jackson definitely was not poverty-stricken when he committed the
16 charged offenses, and the People have no intention of attempting to prove that he was. To the
17 contrary. He described himself to Martin Bashir as a "billionaire." With due allowance for
18 hubris, Michael Jackson certainly was a multi-millionaire, albeit with a billionaire's spending
19 habits. His motive in this case was to preserve both his fortune and his reputation, the integrity
20 of which was central to his ability to continue to earn a significant income. [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]
26 [REDACTED]
27 ¹ Martha Stewart's famous downfall was not for arguably bad judgment or even a violation
28 of the law when she dumped her ImClone stock, but for lying about it to investigators to avoid
being labeled an "insider trader" and the consequential harm to her public image.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



CONCLUSION

The People have argued elsewhere that the jury cannot accurately judge the enormity of the impact the broadcast of "Living with Michael Jackson" must have had on Michael Jackson himself unless they see the entire documentary, just as it was aired in the United States in February, 2003. For the same reason, the jury cannot accurately judge defendant's assessment of the impact of that documentary on his financial well-being without evidence of his financial circumstances, both immediately before news of the documentary's content became public and thereafter.

The testimony of a financial expert is required, and is both relevant and admissible.

////
////
////
////

DATED: January 26, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: LS/Gordon Auchincloss by Gerald MFC Frankler
GORDON AUCHINCLOSS
Senior Deputy District Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3 **PROOF OF SERVICE**


4 STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5 COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA } SS

6 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over
7 the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business
8 address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara,
9 California 93101.

10 On January 26, 2005, I served the within REDACTED VERSION OF
11 **PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO EVIDENCE OF**
12 **DEFENDANT'S FINANCES** on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ROBERT
13 SANGER, and BRIAN OXMAN by personally delivering a true copy thereof to Mr. Sanger's
14 office in Santa Barbara, by transmitting a facsimile copy thereof to Attorney Mesereau at his
15 confidential Santa Maria fax number, and by causing a true copy thereof to be mailed to Mr.
16 Mesereau, first class postage prepaid, at the addresses shown on the attached Service List.

17 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

18 Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 26th day of January, 2005.

19
20 
21 Gerald McC. Franklin

SERVICE LIST

1
2
3 THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ESQ.
4 Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP
5 1875 Century Park East, No. 700
6 Los Angeles, CA 90067
7 FAX: [Confidential]
8 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson
9

10 ROBERT SANGER, ESQ.
11 Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers
12 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C
13 Santa Barbara, CA 93001
14 FAX: (805) 963-7311
15 Co-counsel for Defendant
16

17 BRIAN OXMAN, ESQ.
18 Oxman & Jaroscak, Lawyers
19 14126 E. Rosecrans Blvd.,
20 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000