```
8967
  1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
  2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
  3 SANTA MARIA BRANCH; COOK STREET DIVISION
  4 DEPARTMENT SM-2 HON. RODNEY S. MELVILLE, JUDGE
  5
 7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
 8 CALIFORNIA, )
  9 Plaintiff, )
  10 -vs- ) No. 1133603
1 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
  12 Defendant.)
 17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
  18
  19 THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2005
  20
  21 8:30 A.M.
  22
  23 (PAGES 8967 THROUGH 9011)
  24
  25
```

26

```
27 REPORTED MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
  28 BY: Official Court Reporter
  1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
  3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.,
  4 District Attorney -and-
  5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
  6 -and- GORDON AUCHINCLOSS,
Sr. Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101
  11 For Defendant: COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU BY: THOMAS A.
 MESEREAU, JR., ESQ.
  12 -and- SUSAN C. YU, ESQ.
  13 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90067
  14 -and-
  15 SANGER & SWYSEN
  16 BY: ROBERT M. SANGER, ESQ. 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C
  17 Santa Barbara, California 93101
  18
  19
  20
  21
  22
  23
```

```
24
                    25
                    26
                    27
                    28
                    1 E X H I B I T S
## 418 Copy of check for $1,000,000, page 3 & 5 418-A Remainder of pages from 6 Exhibit 418 8975 8975

7 419 Copy of check for $500,000, page 3 8975

8 419-A Remainder of pages from 9 Exhibit 419 8975 8975

10

11
                  4 418 Copy of check for $1,000,000, page 3 8975
                    12
                    13
                    14
                    15
                    16
                    17
                    18
                    19
```

```
21
             22
             23
             24
             25
             26
Santa Maria, California
Thursday, May 5, 2005

8:30 a.m.

(The following proceedings were held in 6 open court outside the presence and here)

7 jury:)

8

9 THE COT
             27
            6 open court outside the presence and hearing of the
             10 COUNSEL AT COUNSEL TABLE: (In unison)
             11 Good morning, Your Honor.
             12 THE COURT: I want to talk about the 400
             13 series exhibits before we start argument on the
             14 dismissal. I was trying to review some testimony
             15 yesterday.
             16 Is someone from the District Attorney's
             17 Office prepared to address that?
             18 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Good morning, Your Honor.
```

- 19 THE COURT: Did you work on this at all last
- 20 night, or --
- 21 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, I'm prepared to
- 22 address the Court's concerns. But I'm not certain
- 23 exactly what areas you would like me to address.
- 24 THE COURT: What I was looking for is
- 25 independent authentication of some of the documents.
- 26 The documents were marked primarily by an officer
- 27 just reporting what he'd seized in a search, and the
- 26 fact they were seized in a search is not sufficient
- 1 authentication to get the documents in. So I was
- 2 trying to keep notes of the various independent
- 3 authentication as you went through them. And I was
- 4 looking at the list that I had and this morning I
- 5 was trying to go through some testimony from
- 6 yesterday and the day before, and I found that you
- 7 had authenticated Exhibit 400, which I didn't admit
- 8 yesterday. And I suspect you authenticated some
- 9 others that I missed, so --
- 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, in terms of the
- 11 foundation for the admissibility of all the
- 12 documents, as we pointed out in our brief, Your
- 13 Honor, authentication --
- 14 THE COURT: I'm beyond your brief.
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay.
- 16 THE COURT: Let me tell you, like on Exhibit

- 17 400, we have -- maybe it would be best if I address
- 18 particular problems with you than --
- 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay.
- 20 THE COURT: I think Exhibit 400 was properly
- 21 authenticated by Mr. Provencio.
- 22 Mr. Sanger, rather than have him speak to
- 23 that, and that's my -- my feeling at this point. Do
- 24 you have anything you want to address on that
- 25 document?
- 26 MR. SANGER: I will only because I think
- 27 that this may -- this may have a carry-over to some
 - 28 of the others. So let me just say this in general.
- 1 At the time we filed our brief, of course,
- 12 Mr. Provencio had not testified. His testimony, I
- 3 think, is not sufficient for authentication in
- 4 general. His testimony, besides being highly
- 5 suspect, was to the effect that he went through a
- 6 file cabinet that wasn't really necessarily his to
- 7 go through, and that's where he saw certain
- 8 documents. He doesn't -- he hasn't laid the
- 9 foundation for what the document is.
- 10 If we look at 400 in particular, it appears
- 11 to be -- let me move it up here so I can stand at
- 12 the microphone. It appears to be one page of
- 13 something that has some purported initials at the
- 14 bottom. And besides authenticating the document in

```
15 the sense that, "I saw it in this house" that was
```

- 16 used by a number of people, including Marc Schaffel,
- 17 there has to be some authentication as to whether or
- 18 not this document was actually a document that was
- 19 effective. In other words, is this something -- is
- 20 this a draft? Is this a page of a draft? Is this
- 21 something that the rest of which was discarded? Or,
- 22 there was a new first page put on and that's why you
- 23 have this page? I mean, none of that has been
- Ind having a like something I saw where I say, "Yeah, that's a document I say, "Yeah, that's a doc
 - 25 witness say, "That looks like something I saw when I

 - 4 one page of a document. So, you know, we don't have
 - 5 authentication as to signatures. We don't have
 - 6 authentication as to the nature and extent of the
 - 7 document and what it means.
 - 8 THE COURT: Okay.
 - 9 MR. SANGER: Yes.
 - 10 THE COURT: That's sort of -- Mr. Sanger was
 - 11 able to sort of pinpoint the problem I'm having with
 - 12 these documents.

- 13 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, our introduction of
- 14 these documents, the foundation for them, as we
- 15 pointed out with our citing of the Olguin case, is
- 16 authentication may be established by circumstantial
- 17 evidence. We don't need a direct witness to come up
- 18 and say, "I saw Marc Schaffel sign that document,"
- 19 or, "I know Marc Schaffel's signature and that's his
- 20 signature on that document."
- 21 You look at the totality of all the evidence
- 22 before the Court, and see if you can draw a
- 723 reasonable inference as to whether or not this
- 24 document is what it purports to be.
- 25 Now, we have an abundance of testimony that
- 26 Marc Schaffel and Michael Jackson were involved in a
 - 27 business enterprise known as Neverland Valley
 - 28 Entertainment, and we found --
 - 1 THE COURT: I'm not willing to go there with
 - 2 you.
 - 3 The problem is that in your points and
 - 4 authorities, I think you represented that Mr.
 - 5 Provencio would testify that he was familiar with
 - 6 Michael Jackson's signature and initials, and that
 - 7 he would identify them on Exhibit 400, but in trying
 - 8 to review the testimony, I didn't recall that.
 - 9 But --
 - 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I don't think that was

- 11 produced.
- 12 THE COURT: But going back there, I don't see
- 13 that in the transcript. So....
- 14 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: If that is a predicate to
- 15 the admissibility of this document, then that would
- 16 be one of those foundational minor points that we
- 17 would re-call Mr. Provencio to lay. If that's the
- 18 place where the Court has an issue. And that's why
- 19 we requested yesterday that we be able to address
- 20 concerns of the Court regarding the admissibility of
- 21 these documents as we normally would be during
- 22 trial. We're in an unusual circumstance here,
- 23 because these documents are being discussed at the
- 1 24 very end of our case.
 - 25 THE COURT: Was there any document that I
 - 26 did not admit out of that series that you think you
 - 27 did authenticate that I just missed the
 - 28 authentication?
 - 1 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, in terms of direct
 - 2 testimony, we did provide evidence from the Bank
 - 3 of -- from the U.S. Bank.
 - 4 THE COURT: Give me an exhibit number when
 - 5 you're talking so I know what you're talking about.
 - 6 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yes. That would be
 - 7 exhibit number -- let me find it. 418, page three,
 - 8 the million-dollar check. We did ask the U.S. Bank

- 9 representative about that exhibit, as well as --
- 10 THE COURT: 418 and 419 I think was
- 11 authenticated by the U.S. Bank person who testified.
- 12 MR. SANGER: Only as to the pages that are
- 13 checks. Not as to the other --
- 14 THE COURT: Documents.
- 15 MR. SANGER: -- documents.
- 16 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: That's correct. That's
- 17 correct. 418-3 and 419-3, page three.
- 18 THE COURT: So I would be willing to admit
- 13 those pages that she authenticated as those
- 20 documents, 418 and 419.
- 20 do 21 MR. 22 Honor. 23 THE C' 24 I' 25 21 MR. SANGER: And I would submit that, Your

 - 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'll admit the checks, and
 - 24 I'll have the clerk separate the other pages and
 - 25 call -- redesignate the other pages as 418-A and
 - 26 419-A. The actual 418 and 419 will be the checks
 - 27 themselves.
 - 28 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: And that would be the only
 - 1 other documents that we provided direct testimony
 - 2 on.
 - 3 THE COURT: Well, one of the things,
 - 4 Exhibit 403.
 - 5 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Certainly.
 - 6 THE COURT: I do not think that you have had

- 7 Mr. Provencio identify that document and those
- 8 e-mails from between Rudy -- Rudy Provencio and
- 9 Kathryn Milofsky. Although on cross, I remember Mr.
- 10 Mesereau raising some questions about those e-mails.
- 11 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: There certainly is
- 12 testimony that Kathryn Milofsky was providing
- 13 substantial information by way of e-mails to Marc
- 14 Schaffel.
- 15 THE COURT: But I don't think that's
- I'm asking you -- I'm

 argument.

 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Certainly, yes, as I

 20 stated before, you can't deny the circumstantial

 21 evidence in this case that -- we look at thee

 22 documents, Your Honor, somewhat

 23 at some numbers as To sufficient to just dump, then, a bunch of exhibits

 - 22 documents, Your Honor, somewhat like you might look
 - 23 at some numbers scribbled on a piece of paper found

 - 25 pay/owe sheets. Now, there's no authentication.
 - 26 Nobody comes in and says, that's -- you know, that's
 - 27 his handwriting. You don't need that. You don't
 - 28 need to have someone come in and say these are
 - 1 pay/owe sheets for a drug transaction. You might
 - 2 have some expert testimony to that effect.
 - 3 THE COURT: I was going to say, I can't
 - 4 imagine letting that kind of thing in without some

- 5 authentication, but --
- 6 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I mean, in terms of the
- 7 admissibility of it, it's not as if there's any --
- 8 THE COURT: I think we're going down a side
- 9 street here.
- 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Our position is that these
- 11 documents speak for themselves. They are words that
- 12 are relevant to the conspiracy, and a conspiracy is
- 13 a crime of words, in addition to some overt acts.
- either knowledge abo

 relevant to this conspiracy, motive

 17 reasons why these co-conspirators as well as

 18 Jackson would engage in this conspiracy, the

 19 relationship between the parties. For inc.

 20 phone sheets with every sinc.

 21 single co-conspirators. 14 And as we pointed out in our brief, each one of
 - 15 these documents impute either knowledge about facts
 - 17 reasons why these co-conspirators as well as Mr.

 - 19 relationship between the parties. For instance,
 - 20 phone sheets with every single -- virtually every
 - 21 single co-conspirator's name on them. I mean, those
 - 22 are coming in because Mr. Provencio testified to it.
 - 23 THE COURT: I would really try to direct
 - 24 your attention to one exhibit at a time. It's not
 - 25 helpful to me to be doing that. I lose track of
 - 26 what you're saying.
 - 27 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I understand, Your Honor,
 - 28 there's a number of exhibits.
 - 1 THE COURT: I think we're talking about
 - 2 Exhibit No. 403. That's what I want to talk about.

- 3 So I'm saying what is the foundation that you've
- 4 laid for 403 to come in. That's what I'm asking
- 5 you.
- 6 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. If I could
- 7 just have a moment, here.
- 8 THE COURT: You may.
- 9 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 403. The "Fires Brewing"
- 10 file. The Court has previously admitted evidence of
- 11 the panic that was going on among the
- 12 co-conspirators during this time. There's been
- i3 extensive testimony by Ann Gabriel that -- of a
- 14 laundry list of problems that confronted Mr.
- 15 Jackson, public relations problems. The press was
- 16 going crazy. Not only about the rebuttal -- or
 - 17 about the Martin Bashir film, but a number of other
 - 18 issues that came up, including Mr. Schaffel's prior
 - 19 history, the Jordie Chandler release of that -- of
 - 20 the Complaint over the Internet, issues regarding
 - 21 the other problems that Martin Bashir pointed out,
 - 22 such as child abuse or possible child neglect
 - 23 issues. You name it.
 - 24 This is being offered as corroboration of
 - 25 Miss Gabriel's testimony, and as evidence of the
 - 26 issue of the panic, the reasons for that panic that
 - 27 were generated and known by the co-conspirators.
 - 28 Now, it's offered as circumstantial

- 1 evidence, because these words, these documents, were
- 2 found in Martin -- in Mr. Schaffel's home, in his
- 3 files, in his locked closet, and they clearly show a
- 4 group of files under an unambiguous heading, "Fires
- 5 Brewing," that Mr. Jackson had a number of problems
- 6 on his hands. And the problems were to be addressed
- 7 by the co-conspirators. That was the purpose of
- 8 this --
- 9 THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Did Mr.
- To Provencio testify about those documents yesterday or
- 11 the day before?
 - 12 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: He testified that the
- 12 MR.
 13 phone
 14 was -15 THE C'
 16 Br
 17 13 phones were ringing off the hooks. That there

 - 15 THE COURT: Did he testify about the "Fires
 - 16 Brewing" documents?
 - 17 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: No, he did not.
 - 18 THE COURT: I think I remember him
 - 19 identifying who Kathryn Milofsky is.
 - 20 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: He did identify Kathryn
 - 21 Milofsky as being a conduit through which much of
 - 22 this information came, including, of course, the
 - 23 original script for the Martin Bashir film way
 - 24 before it was introduced -- played.
 - 25 THE COURT: Okay. On 404, it seems there was
 - 26 the phone log and then there was a list of contacts;
 - 27 is that right?

```
28 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: That's correct. This came
```

- 1 out of the Stuart Backerman file in Mr. Schaffel's
- 2 filing cabinet. As Mr. Provencio testified, the
- 3 media was keeping them very busy. This is a list of
- 4 messages showing contacts from the media, from Larry
- 5 King, to Good Morning America, Barbara Walters. And
- 6 this is on February 6th. Again, introduced to show
- 7 the level of panic that was being inspired by this
- 8 public relations disaster. Everybody was calling.
- . Every

 chat Mr. Jackson

 Le's corroborative of Mr. Pr

 11 and it shows -
 12 THE COURT: Was there any specific

 13 authentication on that exhibit

 14 simple seizure of the

 15 MR. AUCHING*

 16 M "Who's that boy that Mr. Jackson is sleeping with?"
 - 10 And it's corroborative of Mr. Provencio's testimony,

 - 13 authentication on that exhibit than -- besides the

 - 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: In Mr. Schaffel's home?
 - 16 No. Other than, as I mentioned, the reasonable
 - 17 inferences that you can draw from finding it there,
 - 18 in light of all the testimony. And that's what
 - 19 we're asking for, is that the Court draw a
 - 20 reasonable inference as to what this document is,
 - 21 what it means.
 - 22 THE COURT: Okay.
 - 23 On 406 --
 - 24 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Would you like to address
 - 25 the working group list that follows that, or --

- 26 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
- 27 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: There are a couple of
- 28 phone sheets in here. Again, this, as the
- 1 authorities noted, provide that evidence of
- 2 relationship between the parties is admissible on
- 3 the issue of whether or not a conspiracy occurred.
- 4 We have a production working group list that shows
- 5 Ronald Konitzer, Dieter Weizner, Mr. Backerman, Mr.
- group list

 conaffel. Now, Mr.

 munot contact co-conspirators unless he

 shas contact information. Showing that he has their

 10 e-mail addresses, their phone numbers, their mobile

 11 phone numbers, their addresses is evidence that

 12 Schaffel is working with these in

 13 evidence of their

 14 THF 6 LeGrand, Marc Geragos on the same working group list

 - 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. Moving on to 405?
 - 16 THE COURT: Yes.
 - 17 MR. SANGER: Or 406, I think the Court said.
 - 18 THE COURT: 405 was admitted. 406.
 - 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 406 is the contract for
 - 20 the FOX rebuttal. It's a \$3 million contract.
 - 21 There's been quite a bit of testimony about the
 - 22 amount of money that was going to be made in this
 - 23 particular endeavor. This is corroborative of that

- 24 testimony. It's -- appears to be on a Hale Lane fax
- 25 transmittal sheet. We had testimony from Mr. Hamid
- 26 Moslehi about receiving faxes from Hale Lane. This
- 27 is identical to all of the other fax transmittal
- 28 sheets that we seized during this warrant. There is
- 1 no evidence or, I believe, reasonable inference you
- 2 can draw that this document is anything but what it
- 3 appears to be, a revised agreement of the FOX
- 4 Broadcasting Company and Fire Mountain Services.
- That it's offered to show that this was a
- s offe

 ...oney-making e

 7 to personally p

 8 co-conspirators.

 9 THE COURT: You'

 10 MR. AUCH

 11 THP 6 money-making enterprise, that Mr. Jackson was going
 - $^{\prime}$ to personally profit from it, as well as the

 - 9 THE COURT: You're talking about the --
 - 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Pages 1 through 4.
 - 11 THE COURT: 1 through 4.
 - 12 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yes.
 - 13 Now, we have introduced testimony that the
 - 14 Arvizo family was to be included in this FOX
 - 15 rebuttal and the reasons why they were never seen in
 - 16 that rebuttal film.
 - 17 THE COURT: On that document, that's pages
 - 18 five through nine, right?
 - 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yes.
 - 20 THE COURT: And that's --
 - 21 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Five through nine are --

- 22 THE COURT: How do we know that Mr. Jackson
- 23 had anything to do with that document?
- 24 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, Mr. Jackson does not
- 25 have to be linked to every aspect of the co -- of
- 26 the conspiracy.
- 27 THE COURT: But you want to admit it to show
- 28 his involvement in the rebuttal video, right?
- 1 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: We want to admit this
- 2 document to show that the special was a money-making
- enterprise, and that -- I mean, there has been quite
 - 4 a bit of testimony, or there was quite a bit of
 - 5 testimony with Ann Gabriel dealing with the issue of
 - 6 the problems with that, how that would be perceived
- 7 by the media as negative. She felt it should be
- 8 done without a profit motive, and how her advice was
- 9 ignored by the team.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 407?
- 11 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Just -- if I may just
- 12 remark about the remaining exhibits on 406, Your
- 13 Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Yes.
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Because there were some
- 16 additional pages there.
- 17 THE COURT: Page ten.
- 18 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: And one of the pages is a
- 19 fax to Michael and Al from Marc, appears to be, with

- 20 a personal message from Marc Schaffel. It talks
- 21 about the specifics of the clips, the editing,
- 22 everything looks good, it's all very positive, shows
- 23 Mr. Jackson's direct involvement in the second FOX
- 24 rebuttal -- or FOX film, I should say, as well as,
- 25 following that, there is the agreement in which the
- 26 second FOX film was to produce \$4 million in income.
- 27 And we finally have a note from Mr. Jackson
- 28 signed by Mr. Jackson, care of Al Malnik, that he
- i authorizes giving the keys to Dieter Weizner at the
 - 2 warehouse for Optima Productions. Shows again Mr.
 - 3 Jackson's direct involvement in these films, as well
 - 4 as his relationship to the parties, particularly
- 5 Dieter Weizner.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 407 is offered as a
- 8 statement -- as statements in furtherance of the
- 9 conspiracy.
- 10 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry, did you want
- 11 to -- that's all right. Okay. 407. Go ahead.
- 12 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: These are e-mails that
- 13 were found, I believe -- let me just double-check my
- 14 notes here -- in Marc Schaffel's "Ronald" file. And
- 15 that's how we -- just so you know, you can look at
- 16 the index and see that we've divided these documents
- 17 up into the various -- we've kept them in the

```
18 various files that they were found in, so that's the
```

- 19 reason why some of the documents do not always have
- 20 a subject matter relationship, but they do have a
- 21 relationship of the location in where we found them.
- 22 This is the "Ronald" file. There are a
- 23 couple of e-mails in here from Ronald Weizner -- or
- 24 Ronald Konitzer, excuse me, talking about -- talking
- 25 to Marc and Stuart. The first one is really just
- 26 to -- for purposes of showing the relationship of
- 2) the parties, and their involvement back on
- 28 February 6 of '03.
 - The second one entitled "Money," February
- 2 11th, '03, is highly relevant. It talks about the
- 3 money split. It talks about how much money they're
- 4 going to get for the FOX rebuttal. It talks about
- 5 how they're going to split it up, about how some of
- 6 it is needed just to pay for that rebuttal, the
- 7 Jackson -- this goes to Mr. Jackson's financial
- 8 crisis. He doesn't have money to pay for this
- 9 rebuttal, so he has to generate money just to pay
- 10 for the expenses. Some of it goes to -- is going to
- 11 Hamid. The final page is really where you see the
- 12 breakout.
- 13 THE COURT: Do you think the e-mail addresses
- 14 need to be authenticated in this?
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, the e-mail addresses

- 16 for Mr. Konitzer are mentioned in the other address
- 17 documents. I mean, assuming that the address
- 18 documents come in, there's circumstantial evidence
- 19 that they are accurate, correct e-mails.
- 20 THE COURT: That being the list --
- 21 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: The previous list that
- 22 we've talked about. These are identical e-mails
- 23 that we have throughout and including the e-mails
- 24 that are identified in the telephone and contact
- 25 sheets.
- 26 There is -- and the last page, as I said, is
- 27 really particularly revealing. It talks about
- 28 \$3,200,000 to be produced from the first rebuttal
- 1 film. 200 goes to Hamid. A million-five to David's
- 2 trust account to pay bills due now, and that's
- 3 because of the vendors that are screaming for their
- 4 money. \$600,000 for Marc --
- 5 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, by the way, I'm
- 6 going to -- I'm going to object to just gratuitous
- 7 remarks for the press. There isn't evidence of
- 8 vendors screaming for money in this case. Counsel
- 9 should restrict his remarks to the Court's inquiry,
- 10 which is what is the authentication, and not
- 11 continue to make gratuitous remarks.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I'm making the --

- 14 sustained?
- 15 THE COURT: Yeah. We're really looking at a
- 16 narrow issue here.
- 17 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, I think, you know --
- 18 I'll draw your attention to the main thing, is that
- 19 the -- the \$600,000 for Marc, including all
- 20 production and, quote, "vacation," end quote for
- 21 Gavin.
- 22 THE COURT: What about the e-mail address of
- 23 Milofsky? How do we know that's her? Do we have
- 24 someone testifying to her e-mail address?
- 25 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, what reasonable
- 26 inference do you draw from the fact that Rudy
- 1 27 Provencio testified that they received an abundance
 - 28 of e-mails from this woman?
 - 1 THE COURT: I thought you just told me that
 - 2 you didn't have that testimony about e-mails. You
 - 3 had the testimony about the phone calls.
 - 4 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: No, he didn't identify the
 - 5 individual -- the individual e-mail, but he did say
 - 6 he received e-mails from Kathryn Milofsky, and that
 - 7 Mr. Schaffel and him both received e-mails from her.
 - 8 So I guess, I mean, I'm just drawing a reasonable
 - 9 inference that he says they received e-mails. We
 - 10 look in his file drawer and we find e-mails.
 - 11 There's -- it's not conclusive, but it's pretty

- 12 close that these would be the e-mails that he
- 13 received from Kathryn Milofsky.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. 408?
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 408 is a printout from a
- 16 disk. It just is offered to show the financial gain
- 17 that Mr. Schaffel was obtaining through the
- 18 marketing of the rebuttal film. Rudy Provencio did
- 19 talk about foreign rights, so this would be
- 20 something akin to the previous e-mail, which
- 21 indicates that Mr. Jackson himself was going to
- 22 benefit from this -- financially from this
 - 23 enterprise, and that there was -- there were
- 24 additional profits out there. Offered on the issue
- 25 of motive, to show the perception of the parties
 - 26 that this was a valuable enterprise that they could
 - 27 make money on.
 - 28 THE COURT: How about 412?
 - 1 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Again -- I'm sorry, we're
 - 2 jumping ahead to --
 - 3 THE COURT: Well, 409 has been admitted. 410
 - 4 has been admitted. 411 --
 - 5 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Is of no great moment.
 - 6 THE COURT: Right.
 - 7 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: That's not important.
 - 8 THE COURT: So 412 was the one I was
 - 9 concerned about.

- 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 412. Ann Gabriel, I
- 11 believe, did lay foundation on 412. She testified
- 12 that David LeGrand sent her this virtually identical
- 13 release that was ultimately placed before the
- 14 Arvizos. He asked her to put "Gabriel Media, Inc.,"
- 15 on it and then send it back. It finds its way into
- 16 Marc Schaffel's file in various permutations. One
- 17 with "Gabriel Media," the second without, and then
- 18 there is a third with "MJJ Productions."
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. What about 413?
- 20 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: There has been some
- 21 testimony on 413 that.
- 22 THE CLERK: It was received yesterday.
- 23 MR. SANGER: You received it, Your Honor.
 - 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 - 25 415.
 - 26 THE CLERK: 414.
 - 27 THE COURT: Withdrawn. 414 was withdrawn.
 - 28 415?
 - 1 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: These are records that are
 - 2 found regarding the expenses for the rebuttal film.
 - 3 Circumstantial evidence that Mr. Schaffel was in
 - 4 charge of that film. And these records, notably on
 - 5 page four, have references to the Arvizos. And I
 - 6 draw that conclusion from the cash withdrawals that
 - 7 say, "JGSD Trip." Janet -- I interpret that to mean

- 8 Janet, Gavin, Star and Davellin trip.
- 9 On 225 and 226, there are petty cash
- 10 withdrawals corroborative of what Mr. Provencio
- 11 testified to, regarding cash paid out to Vinnie.
- 12 There are cash disbursements on page six that
- 13 include \$5,000 to Frank Cascio.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. 417?
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: And I would just say that
- 16 on page 17, there is a receipt which --
- THE COURT: You know what I'm asking you,
- ang you,

 Leation. Your telling me what's then

 20 doesn't answer that question, so -
 21 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Well, I'm just telling you

 22 about areas of testimony that are relevant that Rudy Provencio did test

 24 that was signed

 25 fill 13 though, not what's there, I'm asking you what's the
 - 19 authentication. Your telling me what's there

 - 22 about areas of testimony that are relevant to --
 - 23 that Rudy Provencio did testify about a cash receipt
 - 24 that was signed by Vinnie Amen and that is in that

 - 26 THE COURT: But he didn't identify that.
 - 27 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: He didn't identify it, no.
 - 28 THE COURT: You're saying that's the one that
 - 1 he must have been talking about, right?
 - 2 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yeah. I'm drawing a
 - 3 reasonable inference from the location of that
 - 4 document in the files.
 - 5 THE COURT: Okay.

```
6 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: This is -- 417 is the --
```

- 7 are the documents -- the first document entitled
- 8 "Janet Strategies" located in Mr. Schaffel's files
- 9 clearly provides some circumstantial evidence of an
- 10 intent to come up with various strategies to deal
- 11 with Janet Arvizo and her family. You can read the
- 12 document. The same thing with the, quote, "David
- 13 case." The strategies are not always consistent,
- 14 and they're admitted for purposes to show
- Jonspiracy.

 JORT: To show whose consciousne

 18 guilt?

 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: The co-conspirators.

 20 THE COURT: Okay. 418?

 21 THE CLERK: You admitted

 22 THE COURT: The county of the To consciousness of guilt and knowledge of the criminal

 - 17 THE COURT: To show whose consciousness of

 - 21 THE CLERK: You admitted that this morning.

 - 24 420?
 - 25 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 418, we've already --
 - 26 THE COURT: No, we did 418 and 419, I'm
 - 27 sorry. 420 is where we are.
 - 28 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: 420 are the e-mails
 - 1 amongst the co-conspirators, including Mr. Schaffel.
 - 2 Some of these specifically reference the Arvizo
 - 3 family. They certainly reference the panic that

- 4 existed at the time.
- 5 THE COURT: Were the e-mail addresses
- 6 authenticated, identified, and how do we know who
- 7 they came from?
- 8 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: The e-mail addresses
- 9 are -- well, I would submit that if we have an
- 10 e-mail that is from Melanie at bellyard.com, and we
- 11 have testimony that Bell Yard is the crisis
- 12 management team in London that was dealing with Mr.
- 13 Schaffel at this time and he's one of the parties on
- 14 the team, that that is circumstantially sufficient
- 15 foundation that this e-mail is what it purports to
- 16 be. There's no evidence that these are all
- 17 fabricated. To the contrary, that this was -- these
 - 18 are all seized in a search warrant.
 - 19 So this is -- these came out of files
 - 20 that -- the personal files of Mr. Schaffel. So I
 - 21 guess, you know, I'm -- I keep drawing the same
 - 22 reasonable -- I mean, these are my inferences. They
 - 23 may not be yours, Your Honor, but that's where we're
 - 24 going with these.
 - 25 THE COURT: On 421, that's a contract after
 - 26 the alleged events. Is that --
 - 27 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: It is.
 - 28 THE COURT: What would the relevance of that

1 be?

- 2 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: The defense has
- 3 announced -- and maybe -- well, I shouldn't say that
- 4 they've just announced it. They have attempted,
- 5 through the introduction of evidence through
- 6 cross-examination, to show that Mr. Jackson was an
- 7 unknowing party to this conspiracy. They, in some
- 8 respects, seem to concede there was something
- 9 nefarious going on, but not involving Mr. Jackson.
- 10 If that is the case, then the continued
- (1) association with the co-conspirators beyond the
- 12 conspiracy, even after all of the fallout, would
- 13 tend to rebut that claim. And we have -- this is
- 14 just one link in the many links we have that Mr.
- 15 Jackson maintained his affiliation with Mr.
 - 16 Schaffel, Mr. Weizner, Mr. Konitzer, for months and
 - 17 months and months past the conspiracy. So this was
 - 18 not a situation where some bad things happened and
 - 19 Mr. Jackson then fired everybody.
 - 20 It also shows the financial motive of the
 - 21 various co-conspirators to continue in their
 - 22 relationship with Mr. Jackson. This is all about
 - 23 money. We don't dispute that among the
 - 24 co-conspirators. They're interested in a financial
 - 25 gain. But the financial gain they are seeking is
 - 26 from the success of Mr. Jackson, not from his fall.
 - 27 THE COURT: Okay. 422.
 - 28 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: There was testimony about

- 1 these documents. Mr. Provencio did look at them.
- 2 He did identify them as the operative documents that
- 3 established the loan with Royalty Advance Funding
- 4 that bankrolled Neverland Valley Entertainment.
- 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 6 Mr. Sanger, do you wish to address any of
- 7 these?
- 8 MR. SANGER: Yes. Thank you.
- as quickly as I can a quickly as I can I addressed 400.

 12 THE COURT: You did.

 13 MR. SANGER: Okay. That takes us to -
 14 THE COURT: 403.

 15 MR. SANGER: -- 403.

 16 One quick com

 17 hor 9 I know we have other things to do, so let me
 - 10 try to go through as quickly as I can.

 - - 16 One quick correction, and I think it really
 - 17 helps to illustrate how little foundation there is
 - 18 for this. Your Honor had indicated that you
 - 19 believed that Mr. Mesereau had referred to perhaps
 - 20 something in that exhibit or related to that
 - 21 exhibit.
 - 22 If I'm not mistaken, Mr. Mesereau is
 - 23 referring to something totally different. He was
 - 24 referring to an instant message communication,
 - 25 which, although there's advertisements for instant
 - 26 messages at the bottom of some of those purported

- 28 message is a realtime exchange where one person

27 e-mails, they are not instant messages. The instant

- 1 types something and the next person responds, and
- 2 they go on in, more or less, realtime. And it was
- 3 not, as far as I know -- and I've looked through it
- 4 again quickly, it was not one of the documents in
- 5 the 400 series that had been marked by the
- 6 prosecution. So there's been no authentication, and
- ... All right.

 10 MR. SANGER: No authentication. We don't

 11 know if -- who sent the e-mails, if they were

 12 received by somebody in particular, if the

 13 reviewed by somebody in particular, if the

 14 possessed, if and

 15 Most / this will apply to all these other e-mails, so I

 - 12 received by somebody in particular, if they were
 - 13 reviewed by somebody in particular, if they were

 - 16 Mr. Jackson's knowledge of anything. There's no
 - 17 indication from Mr. Provencio's testimony or anybody
 - 18 else that Mr. Jackson had knowledge of any of this
 - 19 stuff that's in here. And I'll say that generically
 - 20 so I don't have to repeat it.
 - 21 There's a way to lay a foundation in a court
 - 22 of law, and it just wasn't done. I don't know if it
 - 23 could be done. I take it, because they didn't do
 - 24 it, they didn't have a witness who could do it. But

- 25 whether they could or they couldn't, it was not
- 26 done, and therefore none of these things are
- 27 admissible.
- 28 I'll go to 404. Again, no specific
- 1 authentication. The indication is 404 had something
- 2 to do with Stuart Backerman. And the question is
- 3 what did it have to do with Stuart Backerman, just
- 4 like all these other documents. Quite frankly, I've
- 5 forgotten exactly if it was in a Stuart Backerman
- file or whatever it was. We now have testimony that
 - 7 there were several people who were in that house,
 - 8 using that house as an office, several people
- 9 maintaining desks and having phones, having files.
- 10 How do we know who had what?
- 11 The People cannot -- the prosecution can't
- 12 get up here and say to the Court, everything is some
- 13 kind of statement that is in furtherance of the
- 14 conspiracy or it shows the state of mind of a
- 15 co-conspirator, or some other thing like that, and
- 16 not identify which, if any, co-conspirator it
- 17 relates to. They haven't alleged that Stuart
- 18 Backerman was a co-conspirator. They haven't
- 19 alleged that Bell Yard was a co -- Bell Yard is a
- 20 reputable public relations firm in England. And the
- 21 testimony was is that they were a public relations
- 22 firm. I mean, he can't say everybody that helps a

Macto into

- 23 celebrity with regard to productions of -- or people
- 24 associated with a celebrity, with a production of an
- 25 event or a video or a television show, is involved
- 26 in some kind of a conspiracy, and therefore, even
- 27 though there's been no proof as to who possessed it
- 28 or what it is, it must belong to some nebulous
- 1 co-conspirator in some giant conspiracy. And that,
- 2 again, is an underlying problem with some of the
- ${\mathfrak I}$ subsequent ones, so ${\mathfrak I}'{\mathfrak l}{\mathfrak l}$ spend just a moment on that
- at this point.
 - 5 In other words, the proper way to prove
 - 6 something is to prove that it -- it was in the
 - 7 possession of and belonged to a particular person;
 - 8 that it was sent by somebody in particular; that it
 - 9 had some significance. It isn't just a piece of
 - 10 paper there. You have to authenticate it. Just the
 - 11 fact there's a piece of paper there doesn't cut it.
 - 12 The analogy for a pay/owe sheet I think was
 - 13 actually telling. Because if you have somebody who
 - 14 is caught, you know, with the dope in their room and
 - 15 under their bed, and under the bed with the dope is
 - 16 a sheet that says so-and-so, somebody something, you
 - 17 still have to authenticate it. Now, it may not be
 - 18 an issue as to wrote it. If it's an issue as to who
 - 19 wrote it, and whether or not the person who's
 - 20 charged had knowledge and possession of that pay/owe

- 21 sheet, then it would be a big issue. That's the
- 22 issue that we're dealing with here. It's an issue
- 23 as to what Mr. Jackson knew, if anything, about
- 24 this.
- 25 This is a lot of junk that was taken out of
- 26 somebody's house and thrown in with rhetoric that
- 27 it's highly relevant. Highly relevant is what the
- 28 District Attorney wants to make out of it. But that
- Disn't the authentication. And that's where they're
- z stuck and they haven't laid the foundation.
 - 3 406, the first four pages are not
 - 4 authenticated as to signatures or significance or
- 5 who it came from or what happened. It's just a
- 6 document that's there. The next pages, five to
- 7 nine, are pages that, once again, we don't know what
- 8 it is. It's not signed. There's some notes by
- 9 somebody, the handwriting hasn't been authenticated.
- 10 Who possessed it, who had knowledge of it hasn't
- 11 been authenticated. It, again, doesn't link Michael
- 12 Jackson to anything, because there's absolutely no
- 13 evidence Michael Jackson had anything to do with it.
- 14 Now, I'd remind the Court respectfully that
- 15 the witness that did testify, the police officer
- 16 that testified to the seizures I believe is
- 17 Detective Bonner, said that he wasn't sure which
- 18 pages were attached to which pages. He didn't know

- 19 who wrote the numbers at the top until he took a
- 20 break and was informed they were D.A. numbers. And
- 21 in fact, he said that, at least as to a couple of
- 22 the exhibits, it was questionable as to whether the
- 23 items that were stapled together actually went
- 24 together.
- 25 So we have a problem that the jury would be
- 26 given a bunch of documents that are stapled together
- 27 or put in a binder by the District Attorney that are
- 28 given significance the District Attorney wants to
- 1 give them, but there's no authentication that they
- 2 belong together or have anything to do with each
- 3 other or were actually known of or possessed by
- 4 anybody.
- 5 Page ten of that exhibit, the words of Mr.
- 6 Auchincloss were, "It appears to be a fax." That is
- 7 exactly the problem, is that it appears to be a fax.
- 8 We don't know what it is.
- 9 And then page 11 I don't want to get ahead
- 10 of myself here is another document that -- if I'm
- 11 in the right place, is another document that's
- 12 unsigned. And the very last page was offered as
- 13 a -- as a letter purportedly signed by Michael
- 14 Jackson. And a lot of discussion was had by the
- 15 District Attorney about how significant this is,
- 16 that this shows Michael Jackson had a connection

- 17 with Dieter Weizner. It's offered for the truth of
- 18 the matter. You can't offer a document for the
- 19 truth of the matter. And you can't say this is
- 20 signed by Michael Jackson just because it's found in
- 21 somebody else's house or office. You have to lay a
- 22 foundation. You have to establish authentication.
- 23 And that's all -- it wasn't done. That's all there
- 24 is to it.
- 25 Go to 407, again, those documents are
- were accurate and cor

 . Well, that would be nice if there

 1 evidence that they were accurate and correct
 2 e-mails. The fact that he says accurate
 3 doesn't make them accurate
 4 make them e-mail
 5 No f 26 subject to all the same criticisms. I heard Mr.
 - 27 Auchincloss say they were accurate and correct
 - 28 e-mails. Well, that would be nice if there was
 - 2 e-mails. The fact that he says accurate and correct
 - 3 doesn't make them accurate and correct. It doesn't
 - 4 make them e-mails, it doesn't make them anything.

 - 6 Go to 409.
 - 7 THE COURT: No, that was admitted. 410 was
 - 8 admitted.
 - 9 MR. SANGER: Let me --
 - 10 THE COURT: You need to go to 412.
 - 11 MR. SANGER: Did I talk about 408?
 - 12 I think 408 was -- I wrote 409. I meant
 - 13 408. I'm sorry.
 - 14 408, was that withdrawn or --

- 15 THE COURT: 408 would be your next --
- 16 MR. SANGER: All right. I misspoke when I
- 17 said 409.
- 18 408, this was a document that we were told
- 19 came off of a disk, and then as I recall, the
- 20 officer said that he printed out or somebody printed
- 21 out what was on the disk.
- 22 We have no idea who inputted this
- 23 information into the disk, who had knowledge of
- doesn't mean anything

 Lecution wants to use it to imply that

 27 somehow Michael Jackson had some interest in

 28 data on this disk. And there's absolutely no

 1 connection whatsoever with ro

 2 If we go to 412

 3 Gah-(24) this. There were quite a number of people at that
 - 25 house. The fact is it doesn't mean anything. And
 - 26 the prosecution wants to use it to imply that
 - 27 somehow Michael Jackson had some interest in the

 - 3 Gabriel. Her name is actually Ann Kite. And once
 - 4 again, these are documents that are found, they're
 - 5 subject to all the same objections that I've already
 - 6 made. Same with 413. In fact, if you put 412 and
 - 7 413 together, they're for the most part blank
 - 8 documents that are not signed. There's some other
 - 9 things in there, some handwriting. We don't know
 - 10 whose handwriting it is. This is not the way you
 - 11 present documents to the Court.
 - 12 THE COURT: I did admit 413 already.

- 13 MR. SANGER: I'm sorry. Okay. Well -- and
- 14 evidently, I'm sorry, this a good example of how you
- 15 present documents to the Court.
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 MR. SANGER: Okay.
- 18 THE COURT: 415.
- 19 MR. SANGER: Go to 415. Interesting. 415,
- 20 this is a collection of documents that I suppose
- 21 there could have been some foundation laid for it.
- 22 All we have is that they were seized. The
- 23 prosecution is adamant about wanting to introduce
- 24 415, and they do not want to introduce 416, which is
- 25 a summary of petty cash expenditures for Janet and
- 1 26 the children, and is exactly the same except
 - 27 evidently they feel that it doesn't make their
 - 28 point.
 - 1 We have the same objections to
 - 2 authentication on 415 that we have on the other
 - 3 matters. But if the People were going to
 - 4 successfully offer 415, then upon that, the Court's
 - 5 ruling in that regard, we would then offer 416. If
 - 6 that's an adequate foundation, I think the same
 - 7 foundation was laid for both, whatever it is.
 - 8 And I did specifically ask the officer
 - 9 about -- some questions about 417. So whatever
 - 10 authentication he was able to give, he gave at least

- 11 as much as was given by the People for the other
- 12 document.
- 13 420? Actually, the correct discussion I
- 14 think would be with regard to 420-A, if I'm not
- 15 mistaken. 420 were the documents that were
- 16 originally presented in the notebook, and then I
- 17 believe the prosecution gave us a new set of
- 18 documents which were marked 420-A.
- 19 Is that consistent with the clerk or the
- 20 Court's recollection?
- 21 THE COURT: I'll look at my --
- 22 MR. SANGER: While the Court's looking, I
- 23 think that the -- I think that the idea was that
- 24 Detective Bonner, I believe, testified that 420-A
 25 was a better set.
 26 THE COURT: You're correct.
 27 MR. SANGER: So I assume that actually 420
 28 was being withdrawn by the prosecution, and I would

 - 28 was being withdrawn by the prosecution, and I would
 - 1 assume that their remarks pertain to 420-A, not 420.
 - 2 May I assume that?
 - 3 THE COURT: I think so.
 - 4 MR. SANGER: Okay. Thank you. So I'll
 - 5 respond to 420-A. The offer was these are e-mails
 - 6 amongst co-conspirators. Once again, that's
 - 7 relevance, but it doesn't establish the
 - 8 authentication as to the e-mail addresses, where

- 9 they came from, how they got in the file, who saw
- 10 them, and so on.
- 11 421, I believe this is the -- this is the
- 12 particular document, Exhibit 421, that Detective
- 13 Bonner said that he couldn't be sure that the first
- 14 page went with the other pages. In fact, as he
- 15 looked at it, it probably didn't.
- 16 And I think if you look at the content, Your
- 17 Honor will see that the cover page doesn't seem to
- ax header. So it looks

 _ stapled a different fax sheet to two

 21 documents, and that just plain highlights the

 22 problem that we have with these documents. And

 23 that's what happens when you don't have

 24 authentication and the Court'

 25 that if it's the

 26 One To match the dates of the subsequent document, nor does
 - 19 the cover page have a fax header. So it looks like
 - 20 somebody stapled a different fax sheet to two other

 - 24 authentication and the Court's asked to just assume

 - 27 Michael Jackson at the end of that, the very last
 - 28 page of that document. There are no other
 - 1 signatures. We don't know if this was a draft or a
 - 2 proposal. We don't have any authentication of his
 - 3 signature, that that is his signature. And I think,
 - 4 as we pointed out in our moving papers, if you look
 - 5 at the various signatures that the prosecution is
 - 6 offering as Mr. Jackson's signatures in this series

```
7 from 400 to 423, Your Honor will see that there are
```

- 8 remarkable differences between some of them. Some
- 9 of them are similar. But there are others that look
- 10 totally different. And not that we're asking the
- 11 Court to do a handwriting analysis, but that's just
- 12 an example of why authentication is necessary. You
- 13 can't just assume, based on the fact it's found in
- 14 somebody's house, that Michael Jackson signed it.
- 15 Particularly if it's found in the house of Marc
- To Schaffel, of whom the prosecution witnesses have
- 7 said some pretty harsh things about his integrity.
- 18 So we have documents that are going to be held
- 19 against my client in a criminal case that are in the
- 1 20 possession of somebody who's been accused of being a
 - 21 liar and not a reliable person. And the signatures
 - 22 don't look the same, and yet the jury is going to be
 - 23 asked to assume that Mr. Jackson signed them all.
 - 24 That's exactly why you need authentication. And we
 - 25 don't have it here.
 - 26 And that takes us to 422; am I right?
 - 27 THE COURT: Yeah.
 - 28 MR. SANGER: 422. And 422 is exactly --
 - 1 suffers from the same problem. The only difference
 - 2 is that Rudy Provencio actually did look at these
 - 3 documents when he was on the stand, and said that he
 - 4 believed those were the documents pertaining to this

- 5 transaction. How did he know that? He was
- 6 apparently snooping in the file cabinet. He had no
- 7 direct involvement in preparing these, dealing with
- 8 these or anything else. And as the Court I think
- 9 gathered and more about that in a minute -
- 10 Mr. Provencio was trying to be a very helpful
- 11 witness to the prosecution and lied about things
- 12 that we can conclusively show he lied about. So his
- 13 testimony should be disregarded.
- 14 But if it's not disregarded entirely, at
- nere's so

 affer from all o

 17 the other exhibits

 18 And I'll submit it.

 19 THE COURT: All ri

 20 Who's going

 21 MR. 15 least there's something there. However, it does
 - 16 suffer from all of the other -- the other problems
 - 17 the other exhibits have.

 - 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 - 20 Who's going to argue the motion to dismiss?
 - 21 MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Sanger is, Your Honor.
 - 22 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: May I offer one more
 - 23 thought on that, that last one?
 - 24 THE COURT: Yes.
 - 25 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I mean, one thing we could
 - 26 do is to offer defendant's 977 waiver as evidence of
 - 27 his handwriting, ask the Court to take judicial
 - 28 notice of that.
 - 1 THE COURT: Okay.
 - 2 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right.

- 3 THE COURT: What I'm going to do -- I'm
- 4 going to listen to the motion to dismiss. I have a
- 5 pretty good idea now, having heard your arguments on
- 6 the other exhibits, what -- I've made notes to
- 7 myself as to their admissibility or
- 8 nonadmissibility. I'm not going to announce those
- 9 now because we need to move along.
- 10 MR. SANGER: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: But I wanted to take care of that
- ...otion

 ...my own mind wha

 ...dering, if any were alluc

 15 MR. SANGER: Very well.

 16 THE COURT: So you may go ahead.

 17 Mr. Sanger?

 18 MR. SANGER: All ric'

 19 other -- +'

 20 --12 before you argued the motion to dismiss so that I
 - 13 would know in my own mind what documents I would be
 - 14 considering, if any were alluded to in the motion.

 - 18 MR. SANGER: All right. We did have that
 - 19 other -- that Doyle motion, which is just --

 - 21 THE COURT: The Court will deny the motion
 - 22 for a mistrial and deny the request for specific
 - 23 instructions. Basically I think that Mr. Mesereau,
 - 24 on his cross-examination, adequately covered the
 - 25 area. And I'm going to leave it with that.
 - 26 If you want to submit, as in other cases, a
 - 27 special instruction in the final jury instructions,
 - 28 I would consider it at that time.

- $1\ \mbox{MR. SANGER:}$ Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 All right. With regard to the motion for
- 3 judgment of acquittal based on insufficient
- 4 evidence, excuse me, that was coupled with a motion
- 5 to strike statements allegedly made by the
- 6 co-conspirators. Let me argue the main motion
- 7 first, because I think that -- that would be a
- 8 consequence of the Court's ruling, although the
- 9 Court could independently rule to strike the
- It co-conspirators' statements or it could do it first
- or second, I suppose is what I'm saying.
- 12 So let me address the motion for judgment of
- 13 acquittal.
- 14 There are four areas of the prosecution's
 - 15 case that I want to address, and I want to address
 - 16 them in the context of the law that we have already
 - 17 briefed under 1118.1 of the Penal Code, and briefly
 - 18 indicate that that law includes the Court's
 - 19 determination that the evidence is reasonable,
 - 20 credible, and of solid value from which a reasonable
 - 21 trier of fact could find the defendant guilty beyond
 - 22 a reasonable doubt.
 - 23 THE COURT: Let me ask you -- I read your
 - 24 motion, which you really seemed to be asking me to
 - 25 make some credibility calls on the witnesses, and
 - 26 isn't it true that that's not my job? I mean, that
 - 27 is exactly what I shouldn't be doing, is be the

28 person who makes credibility calls on the witnesses.

- 1 MR. SANGER: No, I think that is
- 2 part -- that's why we cited that.
- 3 THE COURT: You cited a general jury
- 4 instruction, so --
- 5 MR. SANGER: We cited the case specifically
- 6 of People vs. Allen, 2001 case, which cited --
- 7 that's a Court of Appeal case, which cited
- 8 People vs. Stanley, 1995 California Supreme Court
- 🕝 case. It all goes back to Jackson vs. Virginia,
- 10 which has nothing to do with Mr. Jackson, my client.
- 11 Jackson versus Virginia was the United States
- 12 Supreme Court decision in which the U.S. Supreme
 - 13 Court talked about the standard of review and
 - 14 whether or not a rational trier of fact could find a
 - 15 defendant quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - 16 That Jackson versus Virginia instruction has
 - 17 been used for the purpose of sufficiency of
 - 18 evidentiary view by appellate courts and it's been
 - 19 incorporated into the California standard for the
 - 20 review of an 1118.1 motion for the review of the
 - 21 evidence under that code section.
 - 22 And as these cases say, the California
 - 23 Supreme Court has said that the Court has to look to
 - 24 see that the evidence is reasonable, credible and of
 - 25 solid value. In other words, the mere fact that

- 26 somebody threw something up on the wall and it
- 27 wasn't ruled inadmissible does not mean that the
- 28 Court has to defer to it. So it is -- this is, in
- 1 fact, different than the kinds of rulings the Court
- 2 was being asked to make, for instance, on 352
- 3 evidence, and some other places where the Court was
- 4 saying, "Well, I'm not supposed to weigh the
- 5 credibility, or 1108 I'm not supposed to weigh the
- anyway, but -- the Court

 9 However, with regard to 1118.1 mc

 10 Court does consider credibility.

 11 Now, it doesn't mean that Your

 12 there and says, "I a"

 13 and I'm god

 14 " 6 credibility." We've had those discussions.
 - The Court, there, we argue -- well, you
 - 8 should anyway, but -- the Court took that position.
 - 9 However, with regard to 1118.1 motions, the

 - 11 Now, it doesn't mean that Your Honor sits
 - 12 there and says, "I am going to pretend I'm a juror
 - 13 and I'm going to decide this case based on how I
 - 14 would vote if I would a juror." But it does mean
 - 15 that this is now the one place where the Court can
 - 16 say the prosecution has put on all their evidence,
 - 17 they've rested, they took their best shot, this is
 - 18 it, and a rational trier of fact could not find the
 - 19 defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - 20 The emphasis on "rational" in the case law,
 - 21 all the way back to the U.S. Supreme Court decision
 - 22 in Jackson vs. Virginia, is extremely important.
 - 23 It's not just words. It's based on the idea that

- 24 the Court, as a jurist, a lawyer who's become a
- 25 judge and has sat and heard cases in this Court's
- 26 case for a long time a jurist --
- 27 THE COURT: I resent that.
- 28 (Laughter.) 9008
- 1 MR. SANGER: Or you started early in life or
- 2 something.
- 3 The point of the story is that a jurist, of
- 4 course, is supposed to be able to look at things
- dispassionately, and we are supposed to be able to
 - 6 avoid submitting matters to the jury, where a jury,
 - 7 a rational trier of fact, cannot find the defendant
 - 8 guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. So it is a much
- 9 higher standard. This is not the 995 standard
- 10 either, where the Court just determines whether or
- 11 not there's probable cause or a strong suspicion
- 12 that a crime was committed, that being the standard
- 13 for 995. This, under 1118.1, is rational trier of
- 14 fact could not find the defendant guilty beyond a
- 15 reasonable doubt.
- 16 So the Court does have a considerable burden
- 17 to look at the evidence and determine whether or not
- 18 a rational trier of fact could look at what just
- 19 happened here over the last ten weeks, or whatever
- 20 it's been, and find a defendant guilty beyond a
- 21 reasonable doubt.

```
22 So there are four areas I'd like to talk
```

- 23 about as far as the evidence is concerned. And I'll
- 24 try to go through as quickly as I can, but this is
- 25 important, so I do want to cover it.
- 26 THE COURT: I don't want you to feel
- 27 compelled, because of a deadline, to hurry. Because
- 28 I needed that extra time on those 400 exhibits. 9009
- 1 So --
- 2 MR. SANGER: Sure.
- THE COURT: We're going to take our regular
- 4 b.
 5 goin.
 6 this.
 7 I d
 8 f 4 break and then I'm going to tell the jury that it's
 - 5 going to be a while, and give you time to argue

 - 7 I didn't mean to reduce either side's time
 - 8 for this important motion.
 - 9 MR. SANGER: Very well. Thank you.
 - 10 (Recess taken.)
 - 11 --000--
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19

```
20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
         28 9010
        reporter's certificate
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )

OF CALIFORNIA, )

Plaintiff, )

Very No. 1133603

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )

Defendant. )
          11
          12 I, MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR,
          13 CSR #3304, Official Court Reporter, do hereby
          14 certify:
          15 That the foregoing pages 8970 through 9010
          16 contain a true and correct transcript of the
          17 proceedings had in the within and above-entitled
```

```
18 matter as by me taken down in shorthand writing at
          19 said proceedings on May 5, 2005, and thereafter
          20 reduced to typewriting by computer-aided
          21 transcription under my direction.
          22 DATED: Santa Maria, California,
          23 May 5, 2005.
          24
          25
          26
Superior court of the state of Califor
In and for the county of Santa Barbara
Santa Maria Branch; cook street divta
Department SM-2 Hon. Rodnt

5
6
         2) MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
      S1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
         3 SANTA MARIA BRANCH; COOK STREET DIVISION
          4 DEPARTMENT SM-2 HON. RODNEY S. MELVILLE, JUDGE
          7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
          8 CALIFORNIA, )
          9 Plaintiff, )
          10 -vs- ) No. 1133603
          11 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
          12 Defendant. )
          13
          14
          15
```

```
16
        17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
        18
        19 THURSDAY, MAY 5, 2005
        2.0
        21 8:30 A.M.
        22
        23 (PAGES 9012 THROUGH 9206)
        24
2
3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS
4 District Attorney -and
5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr.
        27 REPORTED MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
        28 BY: Official Court Reporter 9012
        3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.,
        5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
        6 -and- GORDON AUCHINCLOSS,
        7 Sr. Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street
        8 Santa Barbara, California 93101
        9
        10
        11 For Defendant: COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU BY: THOMAS A.
        MESEREAU, JR., ESQ.
        12 -and- SUSAN C. YU, ESQ.
        13 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90067
```

```
15 SANGER & SWYSEN
16 BY: ROBERT M. SANGER, ESQ. 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C
17 Santa Barbara, California 93101
18
19
20
21
28 9013
1 I N D E X
3 Note: Mr. Sneddon is listed as "SN" on index.
4 Mr. Zonen is listed as "Z" on index. Mr. Auchincloss is listed as "A"
on index.
5 Mr. Nicola is listed as "N" on index. Mr. Mesereau is listed as "M" on
index.
6 Ms. Yu is listed as "Y" on index. Mr. Sanger is listed as "SA" on
index.
```

14 -and-

9 DEFENDANT'S

```
10 WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
11 ROBSON, Wade J. 9091-M 9104-M 9132-M 9144-Z
12 9146-M 9146-Z (Further) (Further)
13 9150-M 9153-Z
14 (Further) (Further)
15 9163-M 9167-Z (Further) (Further)
16 9169-M
17 (Further)
18 BARNES, Brett
Christopher 9170-M 9176-Z
28 9014
1 E X H I B I T S
2 FOR IN
PLAINTIFF'S NO. DESCRIPTION I.D. EVID.
3
4 889 Photos of Brett Barnes 9191
5 890 Photos of Brett Barnes and Michael Jackson 9190
6 891 Photos of Michael Jackson 9189
```

```
7 892 Photos of Brett Barnes and
8 Michael Jackson 9189
9 893 Photos of Brett Barnes and Michael Jackson 9188
10 894 Photos of Michael Jackson 9194
11 896 Photos of Michael Jackson
12 and buildings 9195
13
14
15
25
26
27
28 9015
1 THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.
2 MR. SANGER: All right. May I proceed, Your
3 Honor?
4 THE COURT: Yes.
```

- 5 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
- 6 Just before the break, I indicated that
- 7 there were four areas of evidence that I wanted to
- 8 talk about in the context of the law, and I think I
- 9 was just about to conclude my recitation or
- 10 reference to the law which we have briefed. And we
- 11 tried to keep our briefs short, so I'll, with the
- 12 Court's permission, just make a quick reference to a
- 13 couple of important legal points.
- 14 The four areas of evidence, or the four
- aspects of this case

 calk about are, number one, the fa.

 17 the People's evidence in certain regards.

 18 Number two, the fact that what resulted was

 19 inherently improbable and not credible

 20 Number three, the effect of

 21 evidence, not or

 22 the 75 areas of this case or aspects of this case that I
 - 16 want to talk about are, number one, the failure of

 - 21 evidence, not only its nature, but the effect and
 - 22 the potential for causing a jury not to determine
 - 23 the case in a rational fashion.
 - 24 And number four, willfully false statements
 - 25 and the effect that that should have on the Court's
 - 26 analysis of the prosecution's key witnesses.
 - 27 And I do this in the context of all of the
 - 28 counts. But in particular, to start with, the 9016
 - 1 conspiracy count requires that there be proof of two
 - 2 different elements that require specific intent.

- 3 First, that there is a specific intent to agree or
- 4 conspire. And second, that the intent to commit
- 5 the -- there has to be specific intent to commit the
- 6 offense. So there's actually specific intent
- 7 required to prove both of those elements. Those are
- 8 the intent elements. Obviously there are other
- 9 elements. And that specific intent has to be the
- 10 specific intent of the defendant, Mr. Michael
- 11 Jackson in this case, not a nebulous intent that
- 12 maybe one or more of the alleged co-conspirators
- 13 might have had at one time or another in doing
- 14 whatever they were doing.
- 15 I also will refer to the "witness willfully
- 16 false" instruction, which is a CALJIC instruction,
 - 17 of course. But it is reflective of the law, and
 - 18 it's something that the -- a rational trier of fact
 - 19 would have to take into account, and that is, when a
 - 20 witness is willfully false in one part of his or her
 - 21 testimony, it is to be distrusted in others. And I
 - 22 won't read the whole thing. The Court knows the
 - 23 rest of it. I think that has a very significant
 - 24 impact on the evidence in this case.
 - 25 So if I may refer now to those four areas of
 - 26 the People's case, and I'm going to do so -- even
 - 27 though the Court's given us additional time, I'm
 - 28 going to try to do so in horseback fashion, because 9017

- 1 Your Honor has sat here for the same ten weeks we
- 2 did. There's no point in going over every single
- 3 thing. But there's some, just, points that I want
- 4 to cover that hopefully will make the ultimate point
- 5 here, that I believe a judgment of acquittal is not
- 6 only appropriate, but is really required in this
- 7 case at this time as to all of the counts.
- 8 First of all, the prosecution indicated in
- 9 its opening statement it was going to show certain
- ame in had a tendency t

 Luct. And I don't mean to be -- to be

 13 gratuitous in a negative remark, but I think it go

 14 without saying, or it can't be escaped when I say

 15 that some of the witnesses got on the stand and

 16 testified either on direct or can

 17 ways that were about

 18 proc To things. The evidence that was actually put on, the

 - 13 gratuitous in a negative remark, but I think it goes

 - 16 testified either on direct or cross-examination in
 - 17 ways that were absolutely antithetical to what the

 - 19 We had Cindy Bell, who is the flight
 - 20 attendant on the flight, who was on her feet the
 - 21 entire time on that flight, saw no molest, saw no
 - 22 children drinking alcohol.
 - 23 We had Jesus Salas, who said he delivered
 - 24 wine and four glasses, but on cross-examination
 - 25 said, yeah, he delivered -- he delivered sodas as
 - 26 well.
 - 27 So the "smoking gun" evidence that these

28 witnesses were supposed to present just didn't come 9018

- 1 through. And these are -- again, are examples.
- 2 There was much made out of the scripting of
- 3 the Janet Arvizo testimony. And earlier versions of
- 4 stories indicated that witnesses were going to say
- 5 that the Janet Arvizo and Star, Davellin and Gavin
- 6 Arvizo interview that was filmed by Hamid Moslehi
- 7 was scripted, and you could see the script and it
- 8 sounded terrible the way it was said. And then
- there was going to be evidence that, well, not only
- and then

 this is part of the modus operandi c

 this is part of the modus operandi c

 11 group of alleged conspirators. They script

 12 everybody and make them say things, "And we'll

 13 prove," they said, "that by bringing in Del"

 14 Rowe."

 15 And of course it

 16 fer 10 that, but this is part of the modus operandi of this

 - 16 far from the evidence, and where we are at this
 - 17 point, there was no script for the answers of Debbie
 - 18 Rowe. She got on the stand and said there was no
 - 19 script. The prosecution tried to impeach her as to
 - 20 whether or not she thought Mr. Jackson was a good
 - 21 father based on some remark she made a year ago when
 - 22 she admitted she was irritated with Mr. Jackson and
 - 23 contemplating a family law matter.
 - 24 But they didn't impeach her on what they put
 - 25 her up there for. They put her up there, they made

- 26 the offer that she was going to testify and show
- 27 that this was the modus operandi, to script out the
- 28 answers to questions, not just the questions, and 9019
- 1 that evidence did not exist. It didn't happen.
- 2 Similarly with the Arvizo situation. These
- 3 are professional interviews that were intended to be
- 4 used on national, international television. In
- 5 fact, Maury Povich did a show that was
- 6 professionally produced. There was reference to
- the jury has not seen

 court has not seen the show in the

 9 context of the evidence at this point where the

 10 prosecution rests, but you've heard testimony that

 11 that happened.

 12 It would be idiotic for an inter
 13 into an interview

 14 out

 - 15 this turned out to be a big nothing.
 - 16 Mr. Moslehi confirmed that there was no
 - 17 script. In fact, he felt that Janet Arvizo was
 - 18 sincere in her answers, and even gave her money at
 - 19 the end of it because he was so moved by her tale of
 - 20 woe and the world that she portrayed.
 - 21 And they had other witnesses. They put
 - 22 Brian Barron up, who turned out to be a police
 - 23 officer, who was a sworn peace officer the entire

- 24 time he was working at the ranch, or became a sworn
- 25 peace officer shortly after he started, was there
- 26 during all the relevant times. Never saw anything
- 27 improper.
- 28 And those sorts of witnesses that were put 9020
- 1 on by the prosecution to show that terrible acts
- 2 occurred or something was going wrong, it turns out,
- 3 just didn't do that, and couldn't do that. And when
- 4 they got up to tell the truth under oath, they told
- the truth. Many of them had told the prosecution
 - 6 exactly that before they were called. There were
 - 7 several other witnesses, but I don't want to take
- 8 the time to go over all of the witnesses. I use
- 9 those as examples of the kind of failure of proof
- 10 that has occurred in this case.
- 11 The second area is that if you look at the
- 12 entire People's case to this point, it is inherently
- 13 improbable and not credible, and -- excuse me.
- 14 Sorry.
- 15 When you look at the -- at the law that we
- 16 cited, the Allen case, citing the California Supreme
- 17 Court case of People vs. Stanley, credibility is
- 18 part of the call the Court has to make at this time.
- 19 It isn't -- as I said, it's not a matter of sitting
- 20 as a juror and usurping their function and saying,
- 21 "This is the way I would call it." But it is a

- 22 matter of determining whether or not a rational
- 23 trier of fact could believe these stories.
- 24 In that regard, under the category of
- 25 inherently improbable and not credible evidence to
- 26 this point, we'd ask the Court to look at the
- 27 timeline. The timeline is inherently preposterous.
- 28 And this goes to the -- to the other counts besides 9021
- 1 the conspiracy count as well. And in particular it
- 2 goes to that.
- Cook at the allegations that everybody -- if
 - 4 you listen to Mr. Auchincloss's summary even trying
 - 5 to introduce exhibits, everybody, in his words, was
- 6 in a panic. I don't think it shows a panic. It
- 7 shows people were excited about getting some kind of
- 8 video out because they thought it would help Mr.
- 9 Jackson, they thought it would help their own
- 10 business interests. Sure, that's not contested in
- 11 this case.
- 12 And what's not contested is that at that
- 13 time, at least during the course of February, it
- 14 became obvious that Janet Arvizo was not a very
- 15 stable person. No matter how you look at this
- 16 evidence, it became obvious that she was showing
- 17 signs of being somewhat unstable in one way or
- 18 another. And we can talk about that in more detail,
- 19 but let's just take the big picture.

- 20 It was not clear what her agenda was. And
- 21 it was known that her boyfriend, Major Jackson, was
- 22 calling and asking for money, more money than a
- 23 college education and a house. It was clear that
- 24 other things were going on.
- 25 It is just inherently improbable that
- 26 anybody anybody and I'm not suggesting for one
- 27 second that Mr. Jackson would do such a thing, but
- 28 it's inherently improbable that anybody would choose 9022
- The moment somewhere late in that scenario in March
 - 2 to say, "Well, why don't I molest this child." I
 - 3 mean, it just makes no sense. It makes no sense.
 - 4 It's inherently improbable.
- 5 Secondly, what's inherently improbable about
- 6 the timeline is that Janet Arvizo and Major Jackson,
- 7 on at least one occasion, went to visit Attorney
- 8 Dickerman, maybe two occasions. Janet Arvizo
- 9 visited him several times. She visited with Jamie
- 10 Masada. The children visited with Attorney
- 11 Dickerman. This occurred in April, I think, for
- 12 Dickerman. April, May, on into June. They
- 13 eventually go to Attorney Feldman sometime in April
- 14 and into May. On all of these meetings with all of
- 15 these people, there's never an allegation of molest.
- 16 The molest allegations come up only after
- 17 they're sent to Dr. Katz and only after they see

- 18 Dr. Katz, after Janet Arvizo talks to him a couple
- 19 times, and then he sees the children late May and
- 20 early June, and then the lawyers, Dickerman,
- 21 Feldman, and Katz meet and then they decide to go to
- 22 Child Services at that time.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 So the -- it's inherently improbable that
- 25 this -- that this supports a molest charge or a
- 26 conspiracy charge.
- arready come in about Ja

 1 Arvizo's modus operandi and the modus operandi o

 2 this family. On at least two other occasions she

 3 followed the exactly the same scenario, and +'

 4 evidence has already come in. The

 5 full force yet, but

 6 conc' the context of what's already come in about Janet 9023
 - Arvizo's modus operandi and the modus operandi of

 - 4 evidence has already come in. It hasn't come in in
 - 5 full force yet, but it's come in sufficiently in the
 - 6 conclusion of the People's case that the Court can
 - 7 consider it.
 - 8 The J.C. Penney's case. It came in through
 - 9 her testimony that she first made claims, not right
 - 10 away, but months later, that she was assaulted and
 - 11 falsely imprisoned, and then was -- from the
 - 12 testimony we had, toward the end of the statute of
 - 13 limitations, she comes in and makes allegations of
 - 14 sexual assault, which ultimately there was some
 - 15 testimony about that in the deposition.

- 16 She eventually settles that case.
- 17 The same progression occurs over time with
- 18 her ex-husband. Right -- the evidence is there.
- 19 And from the evidence you have, you can put two and
- 20 two together from the dates that were there. Right
- 21 after she settles the case at a mediation with J.C.
- 22 Penney's, but before she and her husband have
- 23 decided who's going to get the money, she accuses
- 24 him of assault and imprisoning her and her family.
- 25 Later, and the evidence is there, just before the
- 26 money is finally going to be divided, while they're
 - 27 still fighting about it, she accuses him of sexual
- 28 molest. 9024
- 1 The same pattern that occurred here. As
- 2 things progressed, you go from assault, to
- 3 imprisonment, to sexual molest.
- 4 This -- that evidence makes it highly
- 5 improbable in and of itself that just somehow, out
- 6 of all of the people of the world, these people are
- 7 going to be victims of Michael Jackson.
- 8 The next point in this category of the
- 9 highly improbable case is that obviously, as the
- 10 Court's heard, this is a family who is taking
- 11 advantage of a lot of benefits of being associated
- 12 with Mr. Jackson from February through March of
- 13 2003, and those benefits include the shopping

- 14 sprees, the body waxes, the nails, all the other
- 15 things particularly that Miss Arvizo had admitted
- 16 to. And they're staying in hotels, they're doing
- 17 all sorts of things that are just inconsistent with
- 18 being imprisoned.
- 19 It's highly improbable because they claim
- 20 they escaped three or more times, depending on how
- 21 you count it, from Neverland, whereas they came back
- 22 each time. They were sent in a Rolls Royce the
- 23 first time that was checked out, and everybody
- 24 checked out at the gate. There was nothing secret
- 25 about it.
- 26 Sure, that may have made people nervous that
- 1 27 were trying to put together a rebuttal video because
 - 28 they're hoping that this family is going to 9025
 - 1 cooperate and they're hoping they don't go to the
 - 2 tabloids and sell a salacious story. They're hoping
 - 3 they don't turn on them one way or another. And it
 - 4 turns out their hopes and their fears in that regard
 - 5 were well-founded, because that is ultimately what
 - 6 happened.
 - 7 But the escapes and the return and the
 - 8 escapes and the return are just improbable, highly
 - 9 improbable, and not credible.
 - 10 All of the Arvizo family members, although
 - 11 it seems it was a long time ago now, but when they

- 12 were on the stand, I think the Court recalls that
- 13 they had incredibly selective memories. They were
- 14 very able to recite some rote facts that were the
- 15 core of their claims against Mr. Jackson, but they
- 16 couldn't remember much of anything else, including
- 17 who they met with recently and so on.
- 18 So, on the sexual offense charges, it's
- 19 inherently improbable and there is not credible
- 20 evidence of those charges.
- end, I'm going to (

 on that, but for now, I think

 24 say the Court heard all that evidence

 25 credible, it's inherently improbable.

 26 On the conspiracy charges, there'

 27 total failure of proof

 28 inherently improbable. 21 When I get to "witness willfully false"
 - 22 testimony at the end, I'm going to cover some more
 - 23 points on that, but for now, I think it's safe to
 - 24 say the Court heard all that evidence, it's not

 - 26 On the conspiracy charges, there's just a
 - 27 total failure of proof, so I'd say it's more than
 - 28 inherently improbable and not credible. There's 9026
 - 1 just a failure of proof in tying Mr. Jackson into
 - 2 any kind of a conspiracy. There is nothing to show
 - 3 his specific intent to agree to -- there's no
 - 4 agreement and no specific intent to agree to commit
 - 5 a crime, and there's no specific intent that that
 - 6 crime actually occurred. You just don't have him in
 - 7 this.
 - 8 You've got witnesses called by the
 - 9 prosecution who basically -- even Rudy Provencio,

- 10 who was their blockbuster witness, who basically
- 11 says Mr. Jackson was not involved in things. You
- 12 had Debbie Rowe, who said people would take
- 13 advantage of him. You've got witness after witness
- 14 who's testified that Mr. Jackson is a creative
- 15 genius. He is -- he does a lot of things very well.
- 16 You have from time to time people say he's a very
- 17 good businessman, but -- and I don't mean to say
- 18 that he's not, but that doesn't mean that he has
- 19 hands-on control of what people are doing. And in
- case, there's no evic

 ...us-on control of anything with reg

 22 alleged conspiracy.

 23 I don't think a conspiracy has been shown

 24 even among the other people, but this

 25 against Mr. Jackson. And +1

 26 that's credib1
 27 a -20 particular in this case, there's no evidence that he
 - 21 had hands-on control of anything with regard to this

 - 24 even among the other people, but this is a case
 - 25 against Mr. Jackson. And there's been nothing shown
 - 26 that's credible to establish that he is a member of
 - 27 a conspiracy. He was a person who had all sorts of
 - 28 other things going on in his life. Certainly he 9027
 - 1 would have been aware of this Bashir tape. He would
 - 2 have been aware of some things, but -- in general
 - 3 one might assume that, but beyond that assumption,
 - 4 there's no indication that he was running anything,
 - 5 directing anything, or doing anything.
 - 6 Now, the third area of evidence I'm going to
 - 7 talk about is the 1108 evidence. And I want to talk

- 8 about that in two different regards.
- 9 First of all, the 1108 evidence was highly
- 10 improbable. But secondly, it has the danger -- even
- 11 if it was credible evidence, it would have the great
- 12 danger of causing a rational trier of fact to not
- 13 hold the prosecution to the standard of proof
- 14 required in this case, proof beyond a reasonable
- 15 doubt of the current offense. And that is the
- 16 danger of 1108 and that's -- that's something that

- regard to the 1108 evidence either st

 On regard to the 1108 evidence either st

 20 Mr. Jackson for money, sometimes -- never

 21 successfully, but sometimes settling, other times

 22 being blown out of the water rather dramatical

 23 they sold their stories to the +

 24 tabloid television

 25 or -19 called with regard to the 1108 evidence either sued

 - 22 being blown out of the water rather dramatically, or

 - 24 tabloid television shows, or they had a book deal,

 - 26 Abdool, they had all three. They did a book deal
 - 27 with Mr. Gutierrez. They did tabloid -- selling of
 - 28 their stories through Splash, to Star Magazine, and 9028
 - 1 elsewhere. And they had one of their members appear
 - 2 on Inside Edition, all for money.
 - 3 The other ones, as the Court has heard -- I
 - 4 won't go through every witness, but as the Court has
 - 5 heard, everybody has been involved in that. Out of

- 6 the literally thousands of people whose lives
- 7 intersect Mr. Jackson's during that period of
- 8 time -- and we've heard about that. He has -- you
- 9 know, he had 100 employees or more, maybe not all at
- 10 one time at the ranch, but over that period of time
- 11 certainly he had at least that many. He's had
- 12 countless friends and relatives and other people who
- 13 have visited the ranch, guests of all sorts.
- 14 Obviously he's had all sorts of connections with
- 16 there's been some testimony about other celebrities
- on. And

 interpretation of the content of the conte 17 with whom he's friends. And yet who comes forward
 - 18 in this case under 1108 but the Abdools, McManuses

 - 22 and admitted that he was told, "Well, if you can do
 - 23 better about the story it would be worth more," his
 - 24 answer is, "Well, I was just playing along," when he
 - 25 was asking for \$500,000.
 - 26 So you look at that evidence and it's very,
 - 27 very weak and very suspect evidence. I realize that
 - 28 it met the burden, that the Court determined it met 9029
 - 1 the burden. I can't concede anything, as you know,
 - 2 Your Honor. But the Court concluded it met the
 - 3 burden for 1108 and made some cuts and calls as to

- 4 what could come in. But that doesn't mean that it
- 5 makes the cut when it comes to being determinative
- 6 so a rational trier of fact can find a defendant
- 7 guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 8 More importantly, as I indicated at the
- 9 beginning of this segment of my argument, this
- 10 creates a high risk that a false conviction could
- 11 occur. Because the 1108 evidence isn't really
- 12 probative on anything except propensity, and that's
- really 1108 evidence

 16 told, "You can conclude that this defend

 17 propensity to do these sorts of things."

 18 If there's a total failure of proof.

 19 there's a lack of credib

 20 could persuad

 21 ro 13 what it's brought in for. There's minimal 1101
 - 14 evidence. But it's really 1108 evidence. It's only
 - 15 on propensity. And that means that the jury then is
 - 16 told, "You can conclude that this defendant has a

 - 19 there's a lack of credible, reliable evidence that
 - 20 could persuade a rational trier of fact beyond a
 - 21 reasonable doubt on the charges that he's sitting
 - 22 here facing, there's a lack of that kind of
 - 23 evidence, there is a high risk that that kind of
 - 24 1108 evidence can cause an emotional reaction rather
 - 25 than a rational reaction. So you risk that the jury
 - 26 could -- an emotional jury could find the defendant
 - 27 guilty as opposed to a rational jury or a rational
 - 28 trier of fact. 9030
 - 1 And I don't mean to insult the jurors, but

- 2 that's precisely why the Court is asked to entertain
- 3 an 1118.1 motion. It's because the Court can sit
- 4 here more dispassionately and look at the evidence
- 5 and make the kinds of distinctions that we talk
- 6 about when we talk about the law in this area.
- 7 Whereas the jury, as much as they're going
- 8 to be instructed and as much argument as there's
- 9 going to be, this is their one case and they're
- 10 going to sit here and it's going to be very
- In difficult for them to make that cut. So it's all
- 12 the more important in a case where 1108 evidence has
 - 13 come in to -- for the Court to make a careful
- 14 determination on 1118.1 as to whether or not this
- \bigcap 15 case should make the cut and go to the jury.
 - 16 And I realize -- and I've got to
 - 17 parenthetically insert this somewhere, so I'll do it
 - 18 here. There's no question this is a hard call. And
 - 19 the Court has made hard calls throughout this whole
 - 20 case, and it's a hard call.
 - 21 But I think we have to look at it and -- as
 - 22 I'm sure the Court is doing, and I don't mean to be,
 - 23 you know, insulting in any way to bring it up, but
 - 24 we all have to remind ourselves, it doesn't matter
 - 25 if this is the Michael Jackson case or any other
 - 26 case, any other person sitting in that chair,
 - 27 whether there are cameras out there or there are not
 - 28 cameras out there, whether there's a lot of press 9031

- 1 going on about this or not. The fact of the matter
- 2 is, as a friend of mine used to say, it's balls and
- 3 strikes, and the Court, of course, has to call it.
- 4 And when you look at this, one has to
- 5 wonder -- after looking at those first three
- 6 categories of the case that I just talked about, one
- 7 has to wonder would this case have ever gone
- 8 anywhere like this were it not for the fact that
- 9 Michael Jackson was the defendant.
- 10 How many -- and I don't want to refer to
 - 11 other cases, but I think it's reasonable to raise
 - 12 the question as to how many cases, murder cases,
- 13 death penalty cases, do you have nine fingerprint
 - 14 technicians and experts working on fingerprints?
 - 15 How many cases do you spend the kind of resources
 - 16 and the time, and bring people in, try to find
 - 17 anybody who will come in and say anything to try to
 - 18 put together a prejudicial case, if not an actual
 - 19 legal case of the elements against a defendant?
 - 20 So having said that, Mr. Jackson, as I said
 - 21 before, is not only -- you know, he's entitled to no
 - 22 more, but no less respect than anybody else in this
 - 23 regard. And the Court also has to look at it and
 - 24 say, "Well, wait a second. All of these resources
 - 25 have been spent and where are we?" We're nowhere in
 - 26 this case.

```
27 Now, the last category that I want to talk
```

- 28 about I think makes the Court's decision a little 9032
- 1 easier. And I hesitate to use that word, because I
- 2 suspect there's nothing easy about the Court's
- 3 determination. But if there is -- if there's any
- 4 way to make it less hard, I think this does, because
- 5 as we have briefed and as I have alluded to at the
- 6 beginning, if a witness is willfully false, the
- // Court can disregard or the trier of fact can
- disregard the entire testimony unless the overall
- testimony unless th

 persuades the Court of the truth

 10 testimony.

 11 And we have witnesses who have committed

 12 perjury on the stand, who were put un

 13 and who got up there and '

 14 arguing this '

 15 with 9 evidence persuades the Court of the truth of their

 - 12 perjury on the stand, who were put up on that stand,
 - 13 and who got up there and lied. And I'm not just
 - 14 arguing this. I'm going to point out, through a few
 - 15 witnesses, where I believe the evidence conclusively
 - 16 shows that there are lies. And that anybody who
 - 17 looked at this case from any aspect, whether it be
 - 18 the jury box or the bench or the counsel table,
 - 19 would have to say these people have been willfully
 - 20 false. And if the key witnesses have been willfully
 - 21 false, and the other witnesses that were put on have
 - 22 pretty much self-destructed to a large extent, what
 - 23 is this case all about?
 - 24 I think the Court has to look at it and say

- 25 there is not credible evidence at the end of the day
- 26 supporting the prosecution's theory of this case,
- 27 and therefore an 1118.1 motion should be granted as
- 28 to everything. 9033
- 1 Let me refer to some of the "witness
- 2 willfully false" statements. And I chose statements
- 3 that I think will be noncontroversial. I say that,
- 4 and we'll find out if there's a controversy, but I
- 5 tried to pick some of the statements that are just
- so clearly false that there really isn't an argument
 - 7 about it, or there shouldn't be an argument about
- 8 it.
- 9 Let's take Gavin Arvizo to start with.
- 10 One of the statements that was so clearly
- 11 false, not a close call at all, is he got on this
- 12 stand in this court and alleged that Michael Jackson
- 13 told him that he had to masturbate because if boys
- 14 don't masturbate, they get to a certain level and
- 15 they may rape women.
- 16 Now, we know that's false, because I believe
- 17 it was Sergeant Robel -- and I was going to go
- 18 check, but I believe it was Sergeant Robel who was
- 19 on the stand, and I asked him, "Did you ever hear
- 20 that statement before in this case?" And he said,
- 21 "No." And then I asked him if he had interviewed
- 22 Gavin Arvizo previously, and he said, "Yes." And

- 23 who did he attribute that statement to? And it was
- 24 his grandmother. And I believe we brought out that
- 25 it was -- that's what he also said at the grand
- 26 jury.
- 27 Now, this isn't something that innocent
- 28 misrecollection may cause a witness to just, you 9034
- 1 know, make a little mistake on the stand. This is
- 2 something that somebody says when they are lying.
- 3 And that's why we have the "witness willfully false"
- instruction and that's why, when you look at these
 - 5 willfully false statements, at the end of the day,
 - 6 the only rational thing that anybody can conclude is
 - 7 the People have failed to prove their case beyond a
- 8 reasonable doubt.
- 9 Look at the changed dates of the alleged
- 10 incidents. Gavin Arvizo at first said it was from
- 11 February 7th on. And what happened was, as the case
- 12 progressed, rather than memories fading, memories
- 13 got much more specific in all of these prosecution
- 14 witnesses, these key prosecution witnesses. And
- 15 they got more specific in a way that helped the
- 16 prosecution get over hurdles.
- 17 What happened, as we know, is that nobody
- 18 had the Bashir tape. Nobody had the Brad Miller
- 19 tape-recording. And the Arvizos free-wheeled and
- 20 said, "Hey, this is the way everything was," and

- 21 made their statement.
- 22 As this came out, all of a sudden the
- 23 prosecution did what they should have done, and I
- 24 agree they should have done: They took the tape and
- 25 they showed it to these people, and said, "What do
- 26 you have to say about that?" Okay.
- 27 Well, for one thing, what they did is, they
- 28 decided to move the dates. So they moved the dates 9035
- I to the point where it happened at the end of the
- stay. And now, from the evidence that the Court has
 - 3 before it, and including travel records and logs
 - 4 that were just admitted by the prosecution, it's
- 5 clear that Mr. Jackson was there only a very brief
- 6 period of time during those days in March when the
- 7 Arvizo family was there. But they moved the dates.
- 8 It's willfully false.
- 9 As I alluded to the change in testimony with
- 10 regard to the tapes, remember that, until the
- 11 prosecution had these tapes, they had no idea that
- 12 these people had gotten up there and said, you know,
- 13 "Michael Jackson is a wonderful guy," and
- 14 "Everything was fine and nothing happened," and
- 15 "We're happy," and, you know, "We wish him the best
- 16 and we love him and he's like a daddy," and
- 17 everything else.
- 18 They show them the tapes and what happened?

- 19 This came out in cross-examination. Each one of
- 20 those Arvizo children said that what they said on
- 21 the tape was false and they said Dieter made them
- 22 say it. And Janet embellished on that, which I'll
- 23 get to in a moment, but they said Dieter made them
- 24 say it. Gavin himself said, two places, 99.9
- 25 percent of that tape was false and Dieter made him
- 26 say it.
- 27 That's a witness willfully false. It's a
- 28 witness willfully false. And we know that, why? 9036
- 1 Not only because it's all inherently improbable when
- 2 you watch the tape and they're goofing off and
- 3 they're laughing and they're saying whatever they're
- 4 saying, but we uncovered the cards that were sent by
- 5 the Arvizos.
- 6 And I'm sorry, I don't have the exhibit
- 7 number right here, but Your Honor remembers the
- 8 cards that were sent.
- 9 Why were the cards sent? They were sent in
- 10 2001 because Gavin had recovered, and Michael
- 11 Jackson was no longer at that point inviting them to
- 12 the ranch. Mr. Jackson had other things to do, I'm
- 13 sure. But he wasn't inviting him to the ranch.
- 14 They claim they had his phone number or a phone
- 15 number. They had to call Evvy Tavasci, which
- 16 everybody else has to call, as we found out, who

- 17 everybody has to call. They didn't like that. They
- 18 didn't have communications, so they started sending
- 19 these over-the-top cards. And these over-the-top
- 20 cards had exactly the same language that they used
- 21 in that video that they -- that Gavin has now
- 22 claimed is 99 percent false because Dieter told him
- 23 to say it.
- 24 So it's a witness willfully false. And I'm
- 25 sorry, I mean, we can feel sorry for this young man
- 26 because apparently he had these serious health
- 27 problems, and everybody's glad to see when a child
- 28 recovers and so on. But the problem is he's making 9037
- 1 serious felony accusations against my client and
- 12 he's lying. And he's lying. That's all there is to
- 3 it.
- 4 We know that the cards, by the way, were
- 5 sent for what? They were sent for gain. They
- 6 wanted to get back into Michael's world. That's
- 7 when they weren't able to do it. We know that cards
- 8 were sent to Louise Palanker that were just the same
- 9 kind of cards, that called her "Mommy," "Wheezy,"
- 10 and made the same kind of things. What happened?
- 11 Those were sent after she said, "You can't have any
- 12 more money." It's the same pattern.
- 13 So they got on this tape and they said
- 14 over-the-top things, not because Dieter told them to

- 15 say them or anybody else. It's because that's what
- 16 they do when they want to get into somebody's world
- 17 and they want to gain something from them, whether
- 18 it's money or just being a part of the celebrity or
- 19 whatever.
- 20 But what we do know from that is that when
- 21 he got up there, he made that statement, that it was
- 22 99 percent false, on the stand. He said, "Well, I
- 23 didn't really mean that." "Well, maybe I meant it."
- 24 That's willfully false. It's willfully false.
- 25 Dieter didn't tell him to do that. We know that.
- 1 Gavin
 2 W 26 We can't look the other way and say it's close
 - 27 enough for government work. These things are too

 - 1 Gavin lied about what happened on the plane.
 - 2 We had Cindy Bell, who came to testify. She was a
 - 3 People's witness. She was clear. She was on her
 - 4 feet the whole time. It was a small plane. She
 - 5 said it would be like the front part of the jury box
 - 6 to where she was there. She walked back and forth.
 - 7 She could see everybody. She was constantly worried
 - 8 about their well-being. She stayed on her feet the
 - 9 entire trip. Nobody ever said she sat down. The
 - 10 mother was there, Dr. Farshshian was there. We had
 - 11 the testimony that the security guard was there.
 - 12 Other people are there.

- 13 It's inherently improbable, but Cindy Bell
- 14 makes it very clear that the testimony in particular
- 15 was false. Gavin wasn't drinking alcohol. Gavin
- 16 was obnoxious when he got on the plane to start
- 17 with, and remained obnoxious throughout the flight.
- 18 He threw food at the sleeping doctor, which was kind
- 19 of a humorous picture, but it shows that the
- 20 testimony of these people in this case, Gavin in
- 21 particular, is willfully false. Can't get around

- 25 that he had routinely said in the past was what his 26 grandmother told him, just taking that one statement 27 alone, I don't see how any rational trier of fact 28 could say, "Well, let's regard the

 - 2 Going on to Star, claiming there was wine in
 - 3 the Coke can because he saw red around the rim. The
 - 4 only problem was these kids had some core facts they
 - 5 got right or they got consistent with each other,
 - 6 but they didn't have some of the other facts. All
 - 7 the other testimony was that Michael Jackson had
 - 8 white wine in his Coke can.
 - 9 Star Arvizo denied that he ever pulled a
 - 10 knife on Kiki Fournier. Your Honor heard Kiki

- 11 Fournier. She was called by the prosecution. She
- 12 said the kid pulled a knife on her. I mean, it's --
- 13 that's not something that is just you don't
- 14 remember.
- 15 And then he lied about what happened on the
- 16 plane as well. He lied about where he was sitting
- 17 and he lied about all sorts of things. But
- 18 certainly the Cindy Bell testimony makes it clear
- 19 that he was lying.
- had some other issues.

 23 And again, I want to emphasize, there are
 24 lots of things that I disagree with in the
 25 testimony, I think maybe the way the +26 indicates that these peopl27 regards and it
 28 get 20 So we have witness willfully false, Gavin

 - 25 testimony, I think maybe the way the testimony
 - 26 indicates that these people are lying in other
 - 27 regards and it just didn't happen. I mean, don't
 - 28 get me wrong, but I'm just picking out these 9040 $\,$
 - 1 specific willfully false episodes.
 - 2 Look at Davellin. She lied. She said she
 - 3 stayed in the guest cottage with her mother, and her
 - 4 mother didn't want to leave, so they cowered there
 - 5 and they stayed there. I forgot her exact words.
 - 6 But she was imprisoned with her mother there in the
 - 7 guest cottage, in the Unit 4.
 - 8 And what happened? It turns out they

- 9 brought the police officer on, sworn police officer,
- 10 Officer Barron, who moonlighted there. He said not
- 11 only did the gate logs reflect that she was out at
- 12 the theater staying with Marie Nicole, but I asked
- 13 him, "Do you remember that?" He says, "Oh, yeah, I
- 14 remember she was out there. She was hanging out
- 15 with Marie Nicole. She was out there at the
- 16 theater. That's where she stayed." That's not
- 17 cowering in her room with her mother. That is

- ...ct Michael Jackson

 _ mave the assistance of the prosecution

 21 doing things like bringing in this 1108 to try to

 22 make their otherwise absolutely fantastic stories

 23 seem more saleable to a jury.

 24 Davellin also said -- and this '

 25 willfully false. "'

 26 also

 - 27 never had problems until after they left the ranch
 - 28 at the end, in March of 2003. 9041
 - 1 There was clear evidence from people called
 - 2 by the prosecution, from a teacher and an
 - 3 administrator, who went through the records and
 - 4 summarized everything else that was in his record,
 - 5 that he was completely out of control. He was
 - 6 profane. He was obnoxious. He would disrupt class.

- 7 He was actually kicked out of class repeatedly prior 8 to 2003.
- 9 So this idea that she was going to get up
- 10 and sell the product here to this jury that her
- 11 brother was a sweet, nice boy and Michael Jackson
- 12 caused all this, and therefore he should be
- 13 convicted of child molest, is a witness willfully
- 14 false on a material issue. Forgetting, again, about
- 15 all the other details of her testimony that don't
- Jearly refuted.

 Jo to Janet Arvizo. And we can

 19 spend forever on Janet Arvizo, but I won't. I'll

 20 just hit some of the highlights of things that are

 21 clearly a witness willfully false.

 22 I mean, anybody who saw her affect

 23 courtroom would her

 24 affect

 - 25 testify and the way she tried to add things whenever
 - 26 it suited her purpose, and so on, was the testimony
 - 27 of somebody who wasn't telling the truth. But look
 - 28 at some of the particulars. 9042
 - 1 She claimed the entire Bashir tape was
 - 2 scripted. Every single word. She said she made
 - 3 three mistakes. She said something about God -- I
 - 4 forgot what the three were. But there were three

- 5 little mistakes. Otherwise, it was absolutely
- 6 scripted.
- 7 We got to get real. I don't care whose case
- 8 this is. That witness was bizarre. And she says it
- 9 was scripted. She said the outtakes were scripted.
- 10 The hand-holding, the laughing, the gang signs,
- 11 everything was scripted, she claimed, when it's
- 12 clear -- I mean, you'd have to be an idiot to
- 13 believe that. Excuse me for putting it that way.
- the tape to the tape the times. When you look at the tape the 17 first time, one of the things that strikes you righ 18 off the bat is she doesn't know the camera's on and 19 she's laughing and fooling around and giving 20 suggestions how to make this look 21 the camera people ...

 22 now 14 But I mean, it's clear when you look at the tape the

 - 17 first time, one of the things that strikes you right

 - 20 suggestions how to make this look better and telling

 - 23 told she had to say all those words and she
 - 24 memorized it.
 - 25 Now, the memorization part of it. I'm just
 - 26 taking the real big whoppers that you can really pin
 - 27 down so we don't have to talk about everything else.
 - 28 The memorization part of it is so clearly false. 9043
 - 1 She said the Brad Miller interview was all from the
 - 2 heart and what she said to Brad Miller was all true.

- 3 The Brad Miller interview included a lot of
- 4 the exact same kind of language and it was -- they
- 5 weren't the exact same words. Some of the same
- 6 words were used, but it's the same phraseology, it's
- 7 the same thoughts, it's the same this and that, that
- 8 she had in the -- conveyed in the rebuttal film with
- 9 Mr. Moslehi.
- 10 The Brad Miller interview was on February
- 11 the 16th. The rebuttal video was February the 19th
- the 20th

 Larted rolling right ar

 or so, okay?

 15 She said, when she was asked, how could you

 16 have memorized however many hours that was of

 17 conversation, including outtakes and giagat

 18 doing that -- I mean, that +-*

 19 How did you do +*

 20 ter 12 at night, going into the morning of the 20th.
 - 13 Probably the camera started rolling right around

 - 17 conversation, including outtakes and giggling, and
 - 18 doing that -- I mean, that takes a lot of rehearsal.
 - 19 How did you do that? She said she met with Dieter
 - 20 ten times a day, who coached her and had the script,
 - 21 and she memorized every word, except for the three
 - 22 words that she got wrong.
 - 23 Okay? Inherently improbable to start with.
 - 24 But it's also just absolutely bizarre. I'm sorry to
 - 25 laugh, but it's just so bizarre, because from the
 - 26 16th to the 19th, she's staying at Jay Jackson's
 - 27 house. She didn't see Dieter between the 16th and
 - 28 the 19th. The only time that she would have seen 9044

- 1 Dieter was from February 6th, when she said she
- 2 briefly talked to him in Miami, and then from
- 3 February 8th, after everybody returned on the 7th
- 4 from Miami to the ranch, the 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th,
- 5 when she went out and got her body wax and then had
- 6 Jesus Salas drive her home in the middle of the
- 7 night in the Rolls Royce.
- 8 So is she claiming that we should believe or
- 9 any rational trier of fact should believe that she
- ., 9th and 10

 Lor a body wax, and then

 all. When she talked to Brad Miller, it

 13 was from the heart, and then came back on the 19th?

 14 Or are we to believe that this happened between the

 15 16th and the 19th when she -- when this was scri

 16 and she was given every word? It'

 17 preposterous.

 18 So et To was coached ten times a day on the 8th, 9th and 10th

 - 15 16th and the 19th when she -- when this was scripted

 - 19 on these issues, and I don't mean to, by taking
 - 20 those examples, to ask the Court not to think about
 - 21 the big picture. This is one of the most clearly
 - 22 deceptive witnesses that has ever appeared in any
 - 23 court.
 - 24 Look at the testimony about the -- what she
 - 25 called the leg wax. We'll give her the benefit of
 - 26 the doubt for the purpose of the end of the People's
 - 27 case here. She gets a leg wax on 2-11. She knows

28 that's coming up. And a number of the prosecution 9045

- 1 witnesses did this. They knew something was coming
- 2 up, and so they tried to head it off at the pass.
- 3 And she knew this was coming up and she raised her
- 4 hand, as I recall. I was sitting there, and I
- 5 thought, "What's happening?"
- 6 But she raises her hand and said something.
- 7 "Oh, I paid for this. I paid for this." And she

- that was tied onto her luggage handle

 11 had gotten lost on the Xtra Jet flight, and

 12 therefore somebody owed her money for her shoes that

 13 were in there. And so she considered that Michael

 14 Jackson paying for her leg wax war

 15 paying for the leg

 16 bag

 - 17 Besides that just being improbable, the way
 - 18 she did it, it was clearly lying. Clearly lying.
 - 19 It had no relation to reality, no relation to the
 - 20 other evidence in the case. No relation to her own
 - 21 internal testimony. It was just an effort to lie to
 - 22 try to persuade this jury that Mr. Jackson should be
 - 23 convicted.
 - 24 She admitted that she lied under oath in the
 - 25 J.C. Penney case. She lied about had what happened

- 26 on the plane. It's clear from Cindy Bell's
- 27 testimony and everybody else's testimony, it just
 - 28 doesn't match up. 9046
 - 1 As we were precluded from going into -- and
 - 2 I understand the Court can't consider it. I suppose
 - 3 the Court can't consider it. I won't concede that,
 - 4 but for the sake of this argument I will concede it,
 - 5 without conceding the case, whether the trier of
- auversely to

 do that, and we didn't

 urrectly into the welfare fraud

 allegations through her testimony. Her husband, Jay

 Jackson, took the stand and, said, "Yeah, I had" -
 "I "I had base pay." And we all know, base pay is
 the start for the military. After

 allowances, housing

 - 15 checks into his account. He said that. Clearly
 - 16 this is a person who's not telling the truth.
 - 17 Now, as I say, the rest of her story is
 - 18 inherently preposterous. But one of the things
 - 19 that's not just preposterous but it's obviously a
 - 20 witness willfully false that recurs throughout her
 - 21 testimony, and so I select it just to use as a
 - 22 further example, is that she claimed that she was
 - 23 imprisoned and couldn't call 9-1-1. And yet, once

- 24 all the telephone records come in, and they're in,
- 25 for instance, from the Calabasas Inn, she said -- in
- 26 her direct, I believe, she admitted she called her
- 27 mother. She called Jay Jackson routinely from
- 28 Calabasas. She called Aja Pryor from other 9047
- 1 locations, including the ranch. She called Jay
- 2 Jackson. She called other people. She talked to
- 3 Aja Pryor numerous times. She was able to meet with
- 4 her lawyer, Mr. Dickerman. Was able to meet with
- Jamie Masada. She was able to go to all sorts of
 - f restaurants, do all sorts of other things, go in and
 - 7 get documents from the federal building where there
 - 8 are all sorts of federal officers. She had her
- 9 lawyer send her her divorce papers. I believe she
- 10 indicated that's where she got them from, so she
- 11 could get her passports. She met with her lawyer in
- 12 court.
- 13 She had every opportunity to talk with
- 14 somebody or to make that 9-1-1 call. Louise
- 15 Palanker said that she called and said something to
- 16 the effect that -- Miss Palanker said she called and
- 17 said, "Well, can I come over?" And Miss Palanker
- 18 didn't want her to come over for -- using some good
- 19 judgment. And at that point she says, "Well, maybe
- 20 I could meet you tomorrow at the mall." And any
- 21 rational person will say, "Well, wait a second.

- 22 Meet at the mall; what's the story."
- 23 And Miss Palanker said -- I asked her, "What
- 24 do you mean?"
- 25 "She said, 'Well, they let me go shopping.'"
- 26 I mean, that's not a person who's falsely
- 27 imprisoned. That's a lie. These are just plain
- 28 lies. 9048
- 1 Now, the Court can speculate as to why she
- 2 was lying. I mean, there is certainly some
- cross-examination about the fact that she didn't
 - 4 want to be separated from Michael Jackson. And
 - 5 there's a lot of other things going on in her life
 - 6 that you could put together to see how inherently
- 7 improbable her testimony is; for instance, why she
- 8 would have this wax, and then Jay Jackson calls, and
- 9 then she calls him back, and then all of a sudden
- 10 she decides after that, when he wasn't able to get
- 11 ahold of her while she's having her body wax and
- 12 whatever else she's doing there, that she tells him,
- 13 "No, everything's okay," and she's got to leave
- 14 right away. That's not escaping from the ranch.
- 15 That's escaping to go back to Jay Jackson and patch
- 16 things up before they fall apart.
- 17 We could talk about the different theories.
- 18 But forgetting about the theories for the moment,
- 19 you got a witness willfully false. She couldn't

```
20 call 9-1-1? She could make outgoing calls from the
```

- 21 ranch to Jay Jackson. She could make outgoing calls
- 22 from the ranch to other people. She can call Aja
- 23 Pryor. She made all these -- completed all these
- 24 calls and talked for -- if you look at the records
- 25 of the Calabasas Inn, she talked for, you know, 30
- 26 minutes, 45 minutes. I mean, she's yakking on the
- 27 phone. Her testimony is, "Well, I was leaving
- 28 little hints." That is just absolutely a witness 9049

i willfully false.

- 2 And then that leaves us with the
- 3 prosecution's last blockbuster witness, who they, I
- 4 think, represented but clearly intended that he'd
- 5 tie things up for the People and somehow make their
- 6 case after everything has fallen apart. And what
- 7 happened but his testimony fell apart. And that was
- 8 Rudy Provencio.
- 9 He got up, his affect was very strange for a
- 10 witness in a serious case who really had something
- 11 to say, and then we find out why. It turns out that
- 12 when he was first interviewed at length on January
- 13 31st, he had never said anything about killers. He
- 14 never said anything about escape. He said Debbie
- 15 Rowe was sincere. He never said anything about
- 16 questions being -- I'm sorry, answers being
- 17 scripted. He only said there were questions.

- 18 That's what he told the police officers.
- 19 Then he decided to go to work for the police
- 20 and start tape-recording stuff. None of which came
- 21 into evidence, because it wasn't significant. It
- 22 didn't come into evidence or it would have been
- 23 here, I'm sure. He tape-recorded stuff during 2004
- 24 trying to get people to say things. And he was
- 25 trying to be helpful to the prosecution, all right?
- 26 What does he do? He comes in with these
- The comes with the receipt of the form the -- from the storage place to show that he weeks ago.

 3 He's a witness willfully false. He was lying through his teeth.

 5 And we know that. **

 6 was ** what does he do? He even comes with the receipt 9050

 - 7 were these other witnesses -- and I don't say that
 - 8 lightly and I don't say it rhetorically. I'm saying
 - 9 it specifically, clinically, for a reason. That's
 - 10 what happened. We know he manufactured evidence.
 - 11 And how do we know that? We know that from the
 - 12 evidence in this case. It is just absolutely clear.
 - 13 And the reason we know that is he claimed
 - 14 that he wrote these notes contemporaneously. And
 - 15 the new notes that he just turned over that he never

- 16 told anybody about, he never told the police about
- 17 escape, killers, or anything else until two weeks
- 18 ago, when at the same time he's finding these --
- 19 miraculously finding these notes that he
- 20 manufactured in violation of Penal Code Section 134,
- 21 a felony. He should be prosecuted.
- 22 He said these notes were written on February
- 23 1, 2003. When he was confronted with the absolute
- 24 impossibility that those notes couldn't have been
- 25 written at that time, then he said, "Well, look down
- 26 here. Paragraph two is a different handwriting, so
- 27 I must have done it on a different date, even though
- 28 February 2 comes after February 1." And, "Look, I 9051
- 1 had February 17 earlier, so, see? It could all be a
- 2 big mistake."
- 3 Okay? But what he said, and he stuck by it
- 4 through the very end through Mr. Mesereau's
- 5 questioning, was the part about "The mom is flipping
- 6 out" was definitely part of his notes. That was
- 7 right under the January -- I mean, the February 1,
- 8 2003, notation. And he said and he claimed and
- 9 swore under oath that that was February 1.
- 10 And he offered the explanation on January
- 11 24th, we've got a transcript from Kathryn Milofsky,
- 12 a CBS correspondent who was at one time in England
- 13 and apparently is here now, whose name pops up every

- 14 once in a while on various things. She seems to be
- 15 corresponding with a lot of people. Of course, I
- 16 suppose you haven't ruled on the 400 series yet, so
- 17 I won't talk about the content of that. But that
- 18 was part of the offer there, part of the offer by
- 19 Mr. Auchincloss.
- 20 It turns out that that story, that -- he
- 21 thought he saved the day, so he could be the
- 22 blockbuster witness. I don't know what his
- 23 motivation is. He wants to be the big star witness.
- 24 He's a wanna-be cop, he's a wanna-be this. I don't
- 25 know. But he was a liar on the stand. And I don't
- 26 say that lightly, and I don't say that just to
- 27 insult him.
 - 28 We know that because the weight of all the 9052
 - 1 other evidence in this case indicates that Janet
 - 2 Arvizo didn't have any idea what Bashir was about
 - 3 when the press started calling her mother's house on
 - 4 February 4 and February 5. She doesn't have any
 - 5 idea. She had no idea. There is no contest on
 - 6 that. Jay Jackson, Major Jay Jackson confirms that.
 - 7 That's when the press comes around, on February 5,
 - 8 and he tries to get them to pay him money, and they
 - 9 eventually offer \$15,000, and it's not enough.
 - 10 That's February 5. Nothing is happening on
 - 11 February 1. Nothing is happening on February 2.

- 12 Nothing is happening on February 3. He created
- 13 these notes to make himself a key witness in this
- 14 case. And that's why, when I say Mr. Jackson is
- 15 entitled to no more, but no less fairness than
- 16 anybody else, and I don't care if I'm standing here
- 17 representing another client who has absolutely no
- 18 status as a celebrity or status in any other regard,
- 19 I would make the same argument. He is entitled to
- 20 have this Court determine if there are witnesses
- 24 grant the 1118.1.

 25 Now, to make matters worse, he tried to lie
 26 about that further by saying, well, it ...

 27 of the transcript. And you ...

 28 transcript or 21 lying up there, and they're willfully false, that
 - 22 their testimony should be disregarded, and when you
 - 23 look at the whole body of evidence, reject it and

 - 26 about that further by saying, well, it was because

 - 28 transcript or the report it was unclear whether 9053
 - 1 it was a transcript or a report that he went
 - 2 through Mr. Mesereau asked him, "Well, you went
 - 3 through two weeks ago and you started adding
 - 4 'Michael Jackson' to everything."
 - 5 "Well, I made corrections as to spelling."
 - 6 "Well, you added 'Michael Jackson.' You
 - 7 added some other things."
 - 8 "Well, I did add that."
 - 9 He manufactured all this, and he

- 10 manufactured this story that was the script. The
- 11 problem is that we all know from this evidence -- if
- 12 we don't know anything else, we know from this
- 13 evidence that Janet Arvizo had absolutely no
- 14 knowledge of a script from the Bashir film
- 15 whatsoever. And she didn't even know about the
- 16 Bashir film airing in England and she didn't even
- 17 see the Bashir film when it came out on February 6th
- 18 in the United States. And so it is much more
- the only

 ... with the facts that you

 ... multiple witnesses is that after the (

 22 and it was shown, the Bashir tape was shown in t.

 23 United States, did Mr. Schaffel get himself back

 24 into the group.

 25 And remember, he was sent a letter

 26 this Witness Prove

 27 law.
 - 20 thing that's consistent with the facts that you've
 - 21 seen from multiple witnesses is that after the 6th
 - 22 and it was shown, the Bashir tape was shown in the

 - 28 Schaffel was sent a letter saying, "Stay away from 9054
 - 1 Michael Jackson," and his -- he was trying to get
 - 2 back into Michael Jackson's world, like everybody
 - 3 does, to try to be a part of this celebrity world
 - 4 and get the benefits and make money behind Mr.
 - 5 Jackson's back or with his -- with his approval in
 - 6 some regard, make money, make yourself a big wheel.
 - 7 That's what he was trying to do. We had that

- 8 testimony.
- 9 And in his original statement to the police,
- 10 he was clear it was after the Bashir tape aired in
- 11 the United States on February the 6th, and that's
- 12 the only thing that would be consistent. Not that
- 13 Marc Schaffel or anybody else were making
- 14 conspiratorial statements, let alone the other
- 15 things he attributed to them in the February 1st and
- 16 the February 2nd calls. That's absolutely false.
- a lie by somebody who cam

 manufactured notes to give to the

 20 prosecution, who gave them to the defense, and we

 21 all sat and looked at his notes, and that was false

 22 evidence, a felony violation of Penal Code Section

 23 134. The man should be prosecuted

 24 we've seen people of

 25 So wh

 - 26 witness that they've been using to try to somehow
 - 27 tie Michael Jackson into some kind of a conspiracy
 - 28 by saying, "Well, he was on the phone. Well, I 9055
 - 1 listened to all the phone calls."
 - 2 "Oh, you did?"
 - 3 "Well, because he had them on speaker."
 - 4 And "Marc Schaffel was a terrible person.
 - 5 He was very happy to put down anybody he wanted to."

- 6 And then he went into his own office, and he picked
- 7 up the phone and he listened in secretly to calls.
- 8 That testimony can't be believed. That man
- 9 never said Michael Jackson had anything to do with
- 10 anything. And in fact, he had told others that
- 11 Michael Jackson didn't know what was going on.
- 12 He comes into court to be a hero for the
- 13 prosecution. And they put him up, and I choose to
- 14 think they put him up unwittingly. I don't suggest
- point they had to know

 18 And I'll tell you what. There was something
 19 that was very telling. Mr. Mesereau asked Mr.
 20 Provencio, after this incredible testimor
 21 lawyer, like Mr. Zonen, who
 22 case, would have
 23 it' 13 they put him up deliberately saying, "Get up there
 - 16 and lie." But at some point they had to know he was

 - 20 Provencio, after this incredible testimony that any
 - 21 lawyer, like Mr. Zonen, who knows the facts of this
 - 22 case, would have to see is not only improbable, but
 - 23 it's a lie. And the one question was, "What did Mr.
 - 24 Zonen ask you at the break?"
 - 25 "He asked me if I was telling the truth."
 - 26 Don't you think that any lawyer in his right
 - 27 mind would have asked that witness, "Are you telling
 - 28 the truth?" And might have asked him a few more 9056
 - 1 questions like, "How in the world could you possibly
 - 2 do that?"
 - 3 I'm disappointed that they tried to

- 4 rehabilitate him after that, and they didn't
- 5 succeed. I'm disappointed in that. I would expect
- 6 them to say, "This man is lying, and we want to
- 7 withdraw his testimony."
- 8 Even defense lawyers, because defense
- 9 lawyers are always picked on, and prosecutors have a
- 10 higher standard when it comes to some things, but
- 11 lawyers, as lawyers, have certain high standards we
- 12 have to abide by. The Court's well aware that even
- Jover the components of the co 13 when a defendant takes the stand, over the objection
 - 14 of counsel, and wants to say something untrue, a
 - 15 defense lawyer has an obligation not to -- not to
 - 16 aid and abet in that, even though it's an exercise

 - 18 lawyer, prosecution or defense, has an obligation to
 - 19 not try to rehabilitate somebody who's gotten up
 - 20 there and lied through his teeth so clearly and

 - 22 Now, having said all of those things, when
 - 23 you put all of that in context, that's why it
 - 24 says -- that's why I say it makes the Court's job
 - 25 easier or less hard, because I know it's a hard task
 - 26 to perform.
 - 27 If this wasn't the Michael Jackson case, I
 - 28 don't think the prosecution would have tried 9057
 - 1 anything, and the prosecution would have said,

- 2 "You're lying. We want to stop. Let's disclose
- 3 this to the Court and let's have a hearing. Let's
- 4 do something. Let's dismiss the case." But they
- 5 went ahead and they're going ahead, and they're
- 6 going to get up after I sit down and they're going
- 7 to argue these people weren't lying, I suppose.
- 8 It's up to the Court to look at this and
- 9 say, "We cannot permit this case to go any further."
- 10 Why? The jury may well see it the same way, and I
- In think they probably do, to a certain extent.
- 12 They're not lawyers. They don't know all the rules.
- 13 They don't know exactly what they're supposed to do
- 14 yet.
- 15 At the end of the case, they may well do the 16 right thing and acquit Mr. Jackson, but they 17 not, because they might be moved by the innue 18 They might be moved by the 1108 evidence in 19 particular. That is extremely dangerous. It's
 - 16 right thing and acquit Mr. Jackson, but they might
 - 17 not, because they might be moved by the innuendo.

 - 19 particular. That is extremely dangerous. It's
 - 20 extremely dangerous in this case where it is
 - 21 propping up people who are witnesses willfully
 - 22 false.
 - 23 So I'd ask the Court to grant the 1118.1
 - 24 motion as to the conspiracy count and as to all the
 - 25 other counts.
 - 26 Thank you.
 - 27 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sanger.
 - 28 On behalf of the People? 9058

- $1\ \mathrm{MR}.$ SNEDDON: Be right with you, Your Honor.
- 2 First of all, Your Honor, let me address the
- 3 question that we began discussing with Mr. Sanger at
- 4 the beginning of his remarks.
- 5 I believe Mr. Sanger is wrong in the law.
- 6 I believe his interpretation of the law with regard
- 7 to what the Court's responsibility is with regard to
- 8 this motion is incorrect. And while I believe
- 9 that -- that that was a nice final argument that Mr.
- 10 Sanger made, unfortunately, the point that we are at
 - 11 with regard to an 1118 motion is after a defendant
- 12 has been convicted. And at that point is there
- 13 substantial evidence to support the conviction,
 - 14 drawing all favorable inferences in favor of the
 - 15 jury's verdict. That's the standard.
 - 16 And I cite to the Court two cases that
 - 17 clearly set forth that standard. The first case is
 - 18 People vs. Cuevas, C-u-e-v-a-s. It's a 1995 case at
 - 19 12 Cal.4 252. And then there's a rather recent
 - 20 case -- and that's at page 260, if I didn't indicate
 - 21 that, Your Honor.
 - 22 And then there's a more recent case which is
 - 23 called People vs. Coffman, with a C, o-f-f-m-a-n, and
 - 24 Marlo, M-a-r-l-o, which is found at 34 Cal.1 at page
 - 25 90.
 - 26 Both of those cases make it very clear that

- 27 the Court is not in a position on an 1118 to make
- 28 credibility issues and decisions, but only to decide 9059
- 1 whether or not there is substantial evidence that
- 2 the jury believe the evidence was credible. And so
- 3 that's a considerably different matter than what
- 4 Mr. Sanger was urging upon the Court.
- 5 Having that in mind, what we have to do is
- 6 assume, it's a starting point, that every argument

- Jury didn't believe it or

 It persuasive, and convicted the client

 in any case, and are there reasonable inferences in

 li what remains in the testimony and the evidence that

 supports the reasons that the jury found against

 severything Mr. Sanger just stood;

 Havin

 - 16 little bit of analysis, first of all. And I won't
 - 17 spend much time on it, but I think it's important to
 - 18 put things in perspective in terms of the law of
 - 19 conspiracy in light of some of the things that Mr.
 - 20 Sanger said.
 - 21 There are three charges here. One charge of
 - 22 conspiracy, with an allegation of three particular
 - 23 crimes that were involved: Extortion, false
 - 24 imprisonment and child abduction.

- 25 Now, I share, in one respect, Mr. Sanger's
- 26 notion that there is a double agreement and a double
- 27 intent that's required to commit this crime. And I
- 28 do not take exception with that. However, I do take 9060
- 1 exception with this little bit of selective memory
- 2 in terms of the rest of the law on conspiracy.
- 3 For Mr. Jackson to be guilty of the
- 4 conspiracy does not require him to be the puppet at
- 5 the top of this, moving all the strings to the
- fittle puppets below. It requires that in whatever
 - 7 role Mr. Jackson plays in the conspiracy, that he
 - 8 does so with the intent to commit the crimes that
 - 9 are alleged and he does so with the intent that
- 10 there be a conspiracy to commit those crimes. All
- 11 he needs to do is share in the overall goal of the
- 12 conspiracy; that is, that there was an attempt to
- 13 conceal or an agreement. Not an attempt, but it was
- 14 an agreement to conceal or to falsely imprison, to
- 15 abduct and to extort.
- 16 The crime of conspiracy is unique, because
- 17 it does not require that the crime actually be
- 18 committed or accomplished. And that's why we have a
- 19 whole series of overt acts. And that's why the law
- 20 of conspiracy is set forth in the instructions to
- 21 the jury as they need not find that these crimes
- 22 were actually committed, only that there was an

- 23 agreement and an intent and a conspiracy to commit
- 24 these crimes, and there was at least one -- one
- 25 overt act done towards the commission of the goals
- 26 of that crime.
- 27 Now, that's the law of conspiracy. That's
- 28 the proper perspective for which this case and this 9061
- 1 1118 motion needs to be heard.
- 2 And it's a fundamental principle of the law
- 3 of conspiracy that when you have many people
- involved in a conspiracy, that many people have
 - 5 different roles. And I don't think we have to
 - 6 stretch very far if you just take the common analogy
- 7 sometimes that I'm sure this Court is familiar with,
- 8 and I don't mean this in any disrespect to Mr.
- 9 Jackson, but I'm only using it as an analogy. The
- 10 one that comes before us more often than not, if you
- 11 look at those cases that this Court has seen and if
- 12 you look at the cases on the laws of narcotics and
- 13 drug cases, and when you try to get to the top of
- 14 the cartel, you try to move to the top of the big
- 15 sellers, oftentimes what you're talking about is
- 16 moving through other people through that syndicate
- 17 that's reflective of what the agreement is at the
- 18 top. It doesn't mean that the person at the top
- 19 doesn't know or share in the agreement of what the
- 20 people are doing down below.

- 21 So I think that the attempt by the defense
- 22 to portray Mr. Jackson as somehow being the
- 23 mastermind behind the conspiracy is a false attempt.
- 24 It is not something that we've alleged during the
- 25 entire time that we've tried this case to the jury
- 26 and the time we put this case on to the grand jury,
- 27 or the time that we talked with you at the 995.
- 28 The fact of the matter is, Mr. Jackson had a 9062
- I role. The fact of the matter is, I'm going to point
- to you evidence that shows that he was in agreement.
 - 3 The fact of the matter is that he was the
- 3 '1 4 bene 5 case. 6 And 7 5 4 beneficiary of everything that happened in this

 - 6 And it's not necessary that the -- that they
 - 7 succeed in their attempts to falsely imprison, or to
 - 8 abduct the children, or to extort something out of
 - 9 someone, although I do believe that there is strong
 - 10 evidence that they did succeed in certain extents in
 - 11 all of those goals, as I will point out.
 - 12 Now, I'd like to start, first of all, and I
 - 13 want to say that there's another thing that I think
 - 14 needs to be said to the Court. There's another
 - 15 fundamental principle of the cases that interpret
 - 16 the sufficiency of the evidence to sustain a
 - 17 conviction on appeal that deals with how you prove a
 - 18 conspiracy.

- 19 Lord knows nobody is dumb enough to get
- 20 together and have five or six or seven or two or
- 21 three people sign a contract and say, "We're going
- 22 to go out and commit these crimes, and I agree to do
- 23 this, and you agree to do this, and he agrees to do
- 24 this." Nobody does that. I dare say that all the
- 25 time you've been on the bench, you've never heard of
- 26 a conspiracy where they had a contract and they
- 27 walked in here and showed you everybody agreed by
- 28 way of contract to do this. 9063
- 1 And that's why the case law in California is
- 2 replete with cases that demonstrate over and over
- 3 and over again that the thing that you look for on
- 4 the substantial evidence review is, is there
- 5 association? Is there a way to link these people
- 6 together in some common purpose? Is there a motive
- 7 among the people? Are the goals and the acts of the
- 8 people reasonably calculated to accomplish what is
- 9 alleged to be the goals of the conspiracy?
- 10 And that's where I think our starting point
- 11 has to be. What's the motive in this particular
- 12 case?
- 13 The motive of Mr. Jackson is clear. The
- 14 Bashir tape, and even Mr. Sanger, to an extent,
- 15 admitted it in his argument to you, the evidence of
- 16 one thing has been proven in this case so far. The

- 17 evidence is overwhelming that this was a death
- 18 threat to his career. He was hemorrhaging
- 19 financially and had been for several years. He had
- 20 a cash flow problem. And for some reason -- as Mr.
- 21 Duross indicated, for some reason he couldn't get
- 22 out and market himself, and didn't, or chose not to,
- 23 to try to do something about this debt.
- 24 But the Bashir filming was the thing that
- 25 was just the last stroke that was going to end his
- 26 career if something wasn't done immediately to turn 27 that around.
- 28 Now, sure, some people are going to make 9064
- 1 money off that. There's no reason that some of
- 12 these people involved in trying to resurrect his
- 3 career couldn't make money in a venture trying to
- 4 resurrect it. There's no reason why these people
- 5 involved in this couldn't benefit from being
- 6 associated with whatever was left of Mr. Jackson's
- 7 career, and to the extent that they were able to
- 8 resurrect his career, to benefit by being on the
- 9 inner circle.
- 10 But the motive was to resurrect his career.
- 11 And that's what was clear, that the only person who
- 12 really benefited first, foremost, was the defendant
- 13 in this case, Michael Joe Jackson.
- 14 It's totally unrealistic to believe that at

- 15 this particular point in time, when his entire
- 16 career, his entire financial situation, his entire
- 17 entertainment, his entire record career is on the
- 18 line, that Mr. Jackson is going to sit on the
- 19 sidelines, allow people to come in from the outside,
- 20 run his career, what's left of it, and try to
- 21 salvage it without knowing what's going on.
- 22 And one thing that has also been proven
- 23 here, I believe, that there's substantial evidence
- extent and to be inform

 make the ultimate decisions as to wh

 27 going on. He's been described as the captain

 28 ship. And I think that the evidence that 9065

 1 circumstantially has been show

 2 that just to be +1

 3 to -1 24 that Mr. Jackson is a hands-on person. He likes to
 - 25 get involved to some extent and to be informed, and
 - 26 likes to make the ultimate decisions as to what's
 - 27 going on. He's been described as the captain of the

 - 1 circumstantially has been shown here establishes
 - 2 that just to be the case here, and I want to start
 - 3 to just direct the Court's attention to some of the
 - 4 things that I think are important.
 - 5 First of all, I think we need to comment on
 - 6 the associates themselves. These are people that
 - 7 came in from different places. One's from Canada.
 - 8 One's from Germany. Mr. Schaffel is local. Mr.
 - 9 Schaffel had a history. Mr. Tyson had been a
 - 10 friend, a close family friend, and his family has
 - 11 been a close family friend of the defendant's for
 - 12 years. Absolute years.

- 13 Now, who is it that's involved the most in
- 14 actually executing many of the overt acts in this
- 15 case? Frank Tyson. Frank Tyson, the defendant's
- 16 closest friend, is the person who's out there doing
- 17 many, many, many of these overt acts. Who's at the
- 18 ranch hanging out with the defendant while all this
- 19 conduct is being conducted? Frank Tyson. And his
- 20 friend, Vinnie, that he brought in to help him do
- 21 all of these things.
- this case, and you lo

 the key times when things are going on,

 where

 the Arvizos left on the 12th, and the vide

 the 19th and the 20th, there are very few phone

 calls up there of Marc Schaffel. The majorit

 the the things are going back and for the things are going back and 23 presented to the jury in this case, and you look
 - 24 through the key times when things are going on, like
 - 25 when the Arvizos left on the 12th, and the video on

 - 27 calls up there of Marc Schaffel. The majority of
 - 28 the calls are going back and forth between the 9066
 - 1 defendant's closest friend, Frank Tyson, his cohort
 - 2 Vinnie Amen, Neverland Valley Ranch, and phone calls
 - 3 to Michael Jackson's investigator's cell phone. And
 - 4 we have testimony from at least, I believe, three
 - 5 different witnesses in this case that that's how Mr.
 - 6 Jackson communicated with people.
 - 7 I think it's significant that the Court in
 - 8 this particular case also has to put into context
 - 9 what happened here when this whole thing got
 - 10 started, when the bad news came out, when they

- 11 learned about the transcript on the 24th, when
- 12 Schaffel went to Dieter and Ronald and when they
- 13 went to Miami where the defendant was. Those people
- 14 were called to Miami to be with the defendant to
- 15 consult. "What are we going to do to solve this
- 16 problem?"
- 17 What comes out of the product of that, Your
- 18 Honor? The product of that is the defendant is the
- 19 first one in this case who mentions "killers." It's
- 20 the defendant who is the first one in this case who
- 21 reaches out his hand across the nation through the
 - 22 telephones to contact the Arvizos, who he has not
- 23 had a single solitary contact with for months, and
- 24 that last contact was simply to manipulate Gavin
 25 Arvizo to participate in the Bashir tape. And he
 26 hadn't seen Gavin and the family for months and
 27 months and months before that. It's the defendant
 28 who reaches out first. 9067

 - 27 months and months before that. It's the defendant

 - 1 The Arvizos are minding their own time.
 - 2 They're getting bombarded by the media because of
 - 3 the Bashir tape. But they're not calling Jackson.
 - 4 It's Jackson calling them. It's Michael Jackson who
 - 5 says, "I want you to come to Miami." It's Michael
 - 6 Jackson who said, "I want you to come here for a
 - 7 press conference."
 - 8 Now, I think we have to stop and think about

- 9 something here, something that Rudy Provencio said
- 10 on the witness stand. Mr. Jackson said he didn't
- 11 really like to do press conferences. Well, if he
- 12 wasn't going to do a press conference, why did he
- 13 want those people in Miami? And why was there a
- 14 one-way ticket bought, or going to be bought, before
- 15 they put them on the charter jet with Chris Tucker?
- 16 A one-way ticket? They wanted those people out of
- 17 circulation. They wanted that family out of
- To circulation. And it was the defendant that reached
- Just them to Miami, to hi

 Just the conspiracy in this (

 21 And who was it who speaks first in Miami?

 22 It's the defendant, Michael Jackson. It's not

 23 Dieter. It's not Ronald. It's not Marc Sch

 24 It's not Frank Tyson. And it'

 25 And he sets the '

 26 kill' 19 out to them and brought them to Miami, to him and to
 - 20 the other members of the conspiracy in this case.

 - 23 Dieter. It's not Ronald. It's not Marc Schaffel.
 - 24 It's not Frank Tyson. And it's not Vinnie Amen.

 - 26 killers." "And if you want to appease them, if you
 - 27 want to appease them, the killers, do what these two
 - 28 people who work for me tell you to do." And he set 9068
 - 1 the whole tone for the entire relationship and the
 - 2 situation at that very point in time.
 - 3 And we know for a fact, or there's no
 - 4 evidence to the contrary, that there were no
 - 5 killers. There were no people to appease. It was
 - 6 false. It was made -- it was something that was

- 7 made up to induce a mother, who is fearful for her
- 8 children, who has no father figure in the family, to
- 9 go to somebody they thought was their friend, his
- 10 friend, Michael Jackson.
- 11 And it worked. And it worked.
- 12 And when they get there, what are the first
- 13 two things that Dieter and Ronald do? The people
- 14 that Janet Arvizo is instructed to rely on? The
- 15 people who are going to take her out of this
- ...yching to try to make

 Or Michael Jackson. What they do is, they

 19 have her sign blank pieces of paper which are

 20 eventually used to try to infer that she's part of a

 21 lawsuit with Michael Jackson against Bashir that

 22 says never -- wasn't on the sheet

 23 signed it in blank

 24 What

 - 25 They don't try to do anything to take money from
 - 26 Michael Jackson. They issue a press release, which
 - 27 statement's attributed to the family and to Janet
 - 28 Arvizo and to Gavin Arvizo that they've never made. 9069
 - 1 Who benefits by that? The defendant is the
 - 2 only one that benefits by that.
 - 3 When they decide to go back to California,
 - 4 you can't reasonably assume that Mr. Jackson didn't

```
5 know that the Arvizos were going to come to the
```

- 6 ranch. It's his ranch. It's his charter plane. It
- 7 was his invitation. Why is he doing this? There
- 8 was no press conference. Why not take them home?
- 9 Why not let them go? Why take them to the ranch?
- 10 Again, the reason is clear. They wanted to
- 11 isolate these people. They wanted to keep them away
- 12 from the media. They wanted to convince them to do
- 13 a rebuttal film, all things that Mr. Jackson was
- 14 aware of. He was aware of it, because on the
- 15 conversation that Rudy Provencio tells us about, he
 - 16 not only talks about Debbie Rowe doing the rebuttal
- 17 film, but he also talks about the fact that they
- 18 talk about the fact that the Arvizos were to be part
 19 of that film. So he's aware that that's part of the
 20 project. So why do they have to go the ranch? Why
 21 do they have to stay on the ranch if that's part of
 22 the project?

 - 23 And the defendant's the one who's involved
 - 24 in making those kinds of decisions as to who can
 - 25 stay at his ranch and under what circumstances and
 - 26 who gets on his charter jet. That's not a decision
 - 27 made by Dieter Weizner. It's not a decision that's
 - 28 made by Marc Schaffel, who's in California. That's 9070
 - 1 not a decision that's made by Ronald Konitzer. And
 - 2 it's certainly not a decision that would be made by

- 3 somebody like Frank Tyson.
- 4 I think the evidence is fairly clear in
- 5 this, by the way, this "killer" statement, that it
- 6 was false, was not true. We have from several
- 7 different witnesses in this case now that confirms
- 8 that. Not only through the -- through Rudy
- 9 Provencio, but he said he heard the same thing from
- 10 Ian Drew. And so there's several different sources
- 11 of the fact that this kind of language was used to
- 12 scare the Arvizos in this case.
- whether you want to call it an escape

 15 not between lawyers, or whether you want to

 16 categorize it as a situation where somebody was

 17 there against their will, I don't think we had a quibble about it, because the

 19 the defendant in

 20 was 14 12 days, whether you want to call it an escape or

 - 17 there against their will, I don't think we have to
 - 18 quibble about it, because the people associated with
 - 19 the defendant in this case clearly called it what it
 - 20 was. It was Ronald Konitzer on the phone with Ann
 - 21 Gabriel Kite, and it was the other people that
 - 22 talked about the conversation that they escaped that
 - 23 we heard about in this case. They used the word
 - 24 "escape."
 - 25 Now, I don't think any reasonable person
 - 26 could understand the use of the word "escape" unless
 - 27 somebody was being held against their will, unless
 - 28 there had been a lack of an opportunity to 9071

- 1 voluntarily leave or -- why would you use that term?
- 2 And just the circumstances itself that they have to
- 3 go to a person and speak in Spanish and then leave
- 4 in the middle of the night in a hurry and leave and
- 5 go back to Los Angeles bears that out.
- 6 But the thing I think that bears it out more
- 7 than anything else is the eventual telephone
- 8 conversation between Frank Tyson and Janet. And you
- 9 hear that conversation on the phone. And it
- To indicates several different things about the
- continuing nature of that conspiracy.
- 12 It indicates -- number one, it corroborates
- 13 the fact that there had been contact between Dieter
- 14 and Ronald and Janet; that those contacts had been
 - 15 nasty, I guess is the easiest word you could use;
 - 16 that she was upset about that; that she didn't want
 - 17 to be there anymore with those people there.
 - 18 The second thing that it shows is that they
 - 19 still wanted the rebuttal film. They still wanted
 - 20 the Arvizos to participate in what they hoped to be
 - 21 the FOX program at that time, because they committed
 - 22 publicly to FOX to have the Arvizos on that program,
 - 23 because Frank's heard to say on that tape, "I want
 - 24 you to say beautiful things about Michael. Come
 - 25 back. Come back." He says several times on that
 - 26 tape -- if you recall, Your Honor, several times he
 - 27 alludes to the danger that they're in. "Danger,"

- 1 And he then tells her several times,
- 2 speaking on behalf of the co-conspirators, Michael
- 3 Jackson, "Michael misses you, Michael cares for you,
- 4 Michael wants you to come back."
- 5 Now, it's unreasonable to believe -- now, we
- 6 have to understand, they leave on the 12th. This
- 7 conversation take place two, three days later with
- 8 Frank Tyson. It's unreasonable to believe that the
- efendant was not aware of the fact that that family
- 10 had left the ranch. For every day and every night
- 11 since that he arrived at that ranch on the 7th, he
- 12 had been in the presence of those children. At
 - 13 least Gavin and Star. It's unreasonable to believe
 - 14 that he didn't know for several days that they were
 - 15 gone from the ranch, when they'd been sleeping with
 - 16 him and playing with him, and doing whatever they
 - 17 did the rest of the time of the day.
 - 18 It's just as unreasonable to believe that
 - 19 Frank Tyson, his closest friend, would be making
 - 20 representations to Janet that weren't
 - 21 representations made on behalf his closest friend,
 - 22 Frank Tyson. Frank even lies to her to get her to
 - 23 come back to the ranch by telling her that the
 - 24 Germans are gone, that the problem's over with, and,
 - 25 "Come back."

- 26 Janet makes it very clear on her behalf,
- 27 Your Honor, that she's not interested in making any
- 28 money on this case. She makes it very clear in that 9073
- 1 conversation with Frank. She still cares very much
- 2 about Michael Jackson. She's told on the tape, you
- 3 can hear it, "There are letters all over my mother's
- 4 table in El Monte. We're getting calls by the
- 5 press." "If I wanted to make money," she could have
- 6 made money, she said. "I didn't want to make any

 - 12 It's the co-conspirators, Dieter and Ronald, who are

 - 14 children. She says, "You can leave, but the kids
 - 15 are staying here. They're staying here, whether
 - 16 they want to be here or not."
 - 17 The fact of the matter is, they did. She
 - 18 couldn't have taken them with her even if she'd
 - 19 wanted to.
 - 20 Now, the other thing I think we have to take
 - 21 into consideration is that there is evidence that
 - 22 Dieter and Ronald were at the ranch with Michael
 - 23 Jackson during this whole period of time, not the

- 24 entire six weeks, but the entire time from around
- 25 the 8th or 9th through the 12th and then later. And
- 26 that Frank Tyson is there at certain critical points
- 27 and times and then later.
- 28 And to believe that the ranch is being used 9074
- 1 by these people without Michael Jackson knowing a
- 2 thing that's going on I think is an unreasonable
- 3 inference to draw from the conduct of the parties,
- 4 from the fact that they're seen together meeting.
- That I think illustrative of this is the fact that --
 - 6 and this wasn't something that Gavin testified to on
 - 7 direct examination. This was something Mr. Mesereau
 - 8 brought out on cross-examination.
- 9 That at the very time before the video is
- 10 going to be filmed, Brett Ratner and Michael Jackson
- 11 were at the house trying to get Gavin to sign a
- 12 release to participate. It clearly shows that the
- 13 defendant is still actively involved in the project
- 14 to get the Arvizos on that rebuttal film, and knows
- 15 the significance of that in terms of the
- 16 preservation of his own career.
- 17 I don't think there can be much doubt of the
- 18 fact that at that point in time, after the children
- 19 returned, with the case -- and the state of the
- 20 evidence in this case, we have a witness, Barron,
- 21 who says there's a sign that Gavin's not to leave,

- 22 and we have logs that say that Gavin and Star, et
- 23 cetera, are not to leave. We have testimony from
- 24 Mr. Barron he never saw anything like that before or
- 25 after during his time he was employed there. We
- 26 have Hamid saying that Joe Marcus wasn't going to
- 27 let the kids leave the ranch to do the rebuttal film
- 28 until it was cleared. I don't think it can be 9075
- 1 argued that these children were free to go wherever
- 2 they wanted to go, or this family was free to go
- wherever they wanted to go.
 - 4 The trip to Brazil. The trip to Brazil.
- 5 They take them down to a hotel. And have you ever
- 6 heard anything more bizarre than that, than having
- 7 people sit in the hotel two to three doors down with
- 8 the door open so you can't even walk by in the
- 9 hallway? And then a private investigator sitting in
- 10 the lobby? For what purpose, other than to confine,
- 11 and to intimidate, and to control, and to isolate
- 12 those people.
- 13 The trip to Brazil, I think, is also
- 14 illustrative, and then again, this is something Mr.
- 15 Jackson, the state of the evidence is, Mr. Jackson
- 16 knew about. You recall that Gavin testified that he
- 17 was present during a conversation where he and Frank
- 18 Tyson and Mr. Jackson testified that Mr. Jackson
- 19 said that he would join them later. To entice them.

- 20 So you can't say he wasn't aware that they were
- 21 trying to get this family out of the country.
- 22 Why are they trying to get this family out
- 23 of the country? What have they done that deserves
- 24 for them to be taken out of the country? And why
- 25 do, when they go to check him out of school, they
- 26 tell one person they're going to Arizona? And why,
- 27 when they go to get passports, do they tell them
- 28 they're going to Italy and France, and then they 9076
- eventually get visas for Brazil, another country?
 - 2 I think the only logical reason for that is
 - 3 they're trying to hide the trail so nobody can track
- 4 this family, ever. And take them down to Brazil
- 5 where at least two people connected with the
- 6 defendant are familiar with things down there. We
- 7 have testimony that Mr. Schaffel goes down there.
- 8 And Mr. Hugo, who was present at the rebuttal
- 9 filming, was down in Brazil and making phone calls
- 10 back to people during this particular point in time
- 11 that was critical to the trip to Brazil.
- 12 THE COURT: How much -- I think we'll take
- 13 our break. How much time do you need to finish?
- 14 MR. SNEDDON: I don't think I need much
- 15 longer. Maybe 15 minutes. But counsel had an hour,
- 16 so --
- 17 THE COURT: I just was asking.

- 18 MR. SNEDDON: Okay.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our break.
- 20 (Recess taken.)
- 21 MR. SNEDDON: I'll try to wrap this up
- 22 pretty quickly.
- 23 Oops, sorry.
- 24 THE COURT: They're waving at you.
- 25 MR. SNEDDON: I heard. I could feel it back
- 26 there. It was like a breeze, a nice one.
- and so everybody can 90

 1 hear it again, I'll try to wrap this up rather
 2 quickly, I think. The break helped me do that.
 3 I just want to go back and address a couplo
 4 other items on the conspiracy
 5 attention -- and -6 I ho MR. SNEDDON: I said, and so everybody can 9077

 - 4 other items on the conspiracy, and then I'll turn my
 - 5 attention -- and I want to say this at the outset.
 - 6 I believe that we correctly analyzed the state of
 - 7 the law to the Court before we -- when we started
 - 8 this, but I think I'd be remiss if I didn't address
 - 9 at least some of the factual credibility issues that
 - 10 were raised by the defense, but I really don't
 - 11 believe that that's pertinent to this particular
 - 12 proceeding, but there's some things I think need to
 - 13 be clarified about what was said. I'm not going to
 - 14 do it in detail. I'm just going to hit some
 - 15 highlights about things, so....

- 16 First of all, I just want to get back to the
- 17 conspiracy. I think there's another thing that
- 18 needs to be noted about the associates that the
- 19 defendant was involved with in these particular
- 20 adventures that I think that bears some
- 21 noteworthiness or some reasonable inferences in this
- 22 case.
- 23 A lot has been made about the fact that Marc
- 24 Schaffel was a person of low credibility, and
- 25 there's been evidence that he may have taken a
- 26 million dollars that he shouldn't have taken from a
 - 27 lady in Japan, and that Dieter and Ronald may have
- 28 taken money from Mr. Jackson, a lot of conversations 9078
- 1 about that.
- 2 But I got to tell you that the one thing
- 3 that stands out about that in relationship to this
- 4 case is that if you're going to be involved in a
- 5 conspiracy to save your career, you're not going to
- 6 go out and try to hire somebody to help you that's
- 7 honest, if you're going to try to extort something
- 8 out of somebody, if you're going to try to control
- 9 and isolate individuals and falsely imprison them
- 10 and move them around and keep their kids away from
- 11 them.
- 12 You're going to get the kind of people that
- 13 you know are willing to do things that are

- 14 dishonest. These are people that the defendant's
- 15 been associated with for many, many years, and he
- 16 knows their character, and he knows what they're
- 17 capable of. Or you can out and find somebody who is
- 18 blindly loyal to you, who you can trust implicitly,
- 19 because it's somebody who will be at your side and
- 20 has been at your side and will always be at your
- 21 side no matter what you request of them. Somebody
- 22 like Frank Tyson. Those are the kind of people that
- 23 go to making up a conspiracy of this type with the
- 24 stakes that are this large.
- 25 And I think it needs to be clarified that
- 26 when -- in relationship to Mr. Jackson knowing about
- 1 27 what goes on, Mr. Sanger glossed over the testimony
 - 128 in this case where he said, "Even Rudy Provencio 9079
 - 1 said that he doesn't always know what's going on
 - 2 with Ronald and Dieter and Marc Schaffel."
 - 3 Well, that's not exactly what his testimony
 - 4 was.
 - 5 What his testimony was is that in
 - 6 relationship to what was going on from the crisis
 - 7 from the Bashir tape, that Mr. Jackson was in the
 - 8 information loop and in that loop regularly. That
 - 9 the things -- if you check the transcript, the
 - 10 things that he said that Jackson didn't know about
 - 11 were the other ventures that these people had going

- 12 on that did not involve this particular crisis. But
- 13 he was very clear in his testimony that as to this
- 14 particular crisis, Mr. Jackson was in that loop, and
- 15 he was in that loop on a regular basis.
- 16 I think the other thing that needs to be
- 17 borne out about much that Mr. Sanger makes about
- 18 "scripted." I guess the difference is, and I think
- 19 perhaps there's some legitimacy to this, given the
- 20 fact that Mr. Jackson's an entertainer, that there's
- 21 a common-sense meaning for "scripted" and then
- c22 there's an entertainment meaning for "scripted," I
- 23 would think.
- 24 The fact of the matter is, whatever way you
- 25 want to put it, that Debbie Rowe interview was not a 26 legitimate, truthful interview by any stretch of the 27 imagination. And why it should take somebody nine 28 and a half hours to say something nice about the 9080

 - 28 and a half hours to say something nice about the 9080
 - 1 defendant is beyond me. And why they should have to
 - 2 stop the tape and say that, "You didn't cry well
 - 3 enough, so we'll go back and cry again," now if
 - 4 that's not scripted in the common-sense term, I
 - 5 don't know what is.
 - 6 And I think that proves just the point we
 - 7 were trying to make with the Janet Arvizo tape is,
 - 8 whether you talk about it's written, or it's not
 - 9 written, and for Pete's sake, look at the photograph

- 10 that's in evidence. There's papers all over that
- 11 room. You see Marc Schaffel sitting on the ground
- 12 writing. They weren't doing their income tax
- 13 returns. Those were scripts of questions.
- 14 Now, whether you want to technically say
- 15 that they were -- that something was told to be said
- 16 this way and that way, obviously they tried to do
- 17 that. They just weren't successful with her. She
- 18 was going to tell the lie that she and the defendant
- 13 made up years ago. And she was going to stick to
- 20 that, and she was going to tell it her way. It
- 21 wasn't that they didn't want it another way.
- 22 I think another thing that needs to be borne
- 23 out with regard to something I said earlier this
 - 24 morning, Your Honor, and that's with regards to this
 - 25 ranch sign, the one that said Gavin can't leave, the
 - 26 one that's in the logs. I don't think there's a
 - 27 shred of evidence in this case that indicates that
 - 28 Marc Schaffel has the kind of power to tell Joe 9081
 - 1 Marcus what to do at that ranch and to tell the
 - 2 people what to put in those logs.
 - 3 It was Joe Marcus who said the kids can't
 - 4 leave the ranch. And who does Joe Marcus report to?
 - 5 He reports to the defendant in this case, not to
 - 6 Marc Schaffel.
 - 7 I'd like to just finish up by a direct --

- 8 addressing just a few, and I'm not going to go into
- 9 all of that, because I don't think there's any sense
- 10 in doing final arguments on a day like this,
- 11 particularly when I don't believe the credibility
- 12 issues are on point. But I think there's some
- 13 things that need to be clarified, and frankly, this
- 14 is a good opportunity to say this.
- 15 I am -- I am offended and I'm sick and tired
- 16 of the defense standing up in this case, as they've
- and months,

 Lake it lightly when they

 Liepody of perjury. They said this when

 20 they accused me of perjury. They said that when

 21 they accused me of suborning perjury. They accused

 22 Mr. Auchincloss of that. And now they're accused

 23 Mr. Zonen of some impropriety. They accused

 24 it lightly, and the

 25 comm

 - 23 Mr. Zonen of some impropriety. I think they do take
 - 24 it lightly, and the fact of the matter is, there's a

 - 26 way, whether it's Janet Arvizo, Gavin Arvizo, Star
 - 27 Arvizo, Davellin Arvizo, Rudy Provencio or anybody
 - 28 else in this case that says one thing bad about the 9082
 - 1 defendant in this case are perjurers.
 - 2 I don't think the law of coincidence is that
 - 3 high. None of us get anything out of this except a
 - 4 lot of grief. So to say that they don't take it
 - 5 lightly I think is nonsense, and I'd like to show

- 6 you why some of these things are nonsense.
- 7 If Rudy -- Rudy Provencio is a media guy. He
- 8 works in the media. He knows the media. Now, if he
- 9 wanted to go get a notebook and make up a bunch of
- 10 things about Michael Jackson and implicate him in
- 11 this case, all he has to do is go to smokinggun.com,
- 12 download the grand jury transcripts, have an
- 13 accurate depiction of every single event that
- 14 occurred in this case and a date reference, and he
- The the second testify to it.

 The second me, I'm just

 18 going to object to keep it on track. This argument

 19 is limited to the evidence that's before the jury at

 20 the conclusion of the People's case. So I don't

 21 want to object unnecessarily, but

 22 going afield from the second means and the second means are second means. The second means are second means as a second means are second means. The second means are second means are second means as a second means are second means. The second means are second means are second means are second means are second means. The second means are second means are second means are second means are second means. The second means are second means are second means are second means are second means. The second means are second means. The second means are second means. The second means are second means.

 23 about 19 continuous means are second means. The second means are second means.

 24 about 19 continuous means are second means are second means are second means are second means.

 25 about 19 continuous means are second means are second means are second means are second means.

 26 about 19 continuous means are second means.

 26 about 19 continuous means are second means.

 27 about 19 continuous means are second means.

 28 about 19 continuous means are second means are second means are s

 - 24 evidence.
 - 25 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
 - 26 MR. SNEDDON: He could have gone out and
 - 27 constructed a notebook that would have been
 - 28 devastating to the defense in every respect. Every 9083
 - 1 date right, every event, every conversation. He
 - 2 could have manufactured conversations that occurred
 - 3 between he and Schaffel, or he and the defendant, or

- 4 any other parties to this conspiracy that occurred,
- 5 not only just the two he testified to. He could
- 6 have made up other conversations if he wanted to be
- 7 a hero in this case and a perjurer in this case and
- 8 to do the defendant in in this case. That just
- 9 doesn't hold water, like the pay/owe with a big hole
- 10 in it.
- 11 If he wanted to be the hero -- and one
- 12 thing, if you could tell, he's not a dumb person.
- probably

 more likely reasonable

 is he did it that way because that's t

 that and that's the way it happened. And people

 things at certain points in time and they're not

 looking back that there's going to be a trial

 There was no investigation goin

 these notes. There

 there was no investigation goin 13 He didn't need to do it that way. And probably the

 - 15 inference is he did it that way because that's the
 - 16 truth and that's the way it happened. And people do

 - 20 these notes. There was just a crisis going in the

 - 22 This timeline change makes Gavin a liar.
 - 23 Let's put it in perspective, if we can.
 - 24 First and foremost, this whole thing about
 - 25 there's no disclosure until after they go to the
 - 26 lawyers and after they go to Dr. Katz, well,
 - 27 actually there wasn't a disclosure even with Dr.
 - 28 Katz, so there was nothing for the lawyers to muck 9084
 - 1 around with because he doesn't disclose to Dr. Katz.

- 2 As you recall, Dr. Katz' testimony is he got
- 3 very emotional, and he terminated the conversation
- 4 because he felt something had happened, but he
- 5 didn't want to go any further.
- 6 The first disclosure was to law enforcement.
- 7 Now, what's the testimony in that case about
- 8 the disclosure to law enforcement?
- 9 The testimony elicited by the defense, Mr.
- 10 Sanger himself did it, elicited from Sergeant Robel,
- (1) when he was testifying, was they -- "they," Santa
- 12 Barbara Sheriff's Department, after having received
- 13 the information from Mr. Feldman that there had been
- 14 a report made, or that was the report, to be more
- 15 accurate -- tried to get this family to come to
 - 16 Santa Barbara in order to do an interview with them
 - 17 to see whether or not anything had happened. In
 - 18 other words, to follow up on that responsibility
 - 19 because they had received a mandated report.
 - 20 And what did he say? They didn't want to be
 - 21 involved. They didn't want to come. They didn't
 - 22 want to do it.
 - 23 And, now, does that sound like a family that
 - 24 has a lawsuit on their mind? Or does that sound
 - 25 like a family that just wants to be left alone,
 - 26 that's tied up in this thing now there was a
 - 27 mandated reporter involved?
 - 28 It just doesn't hold water. 9085

- 1 And the timeline never changed. The
- 2 timeline was, as the testimony disclosed from Gavin,
- 3 that was consistent with his grand jury testimony,
- 4 which was consistent with his trial testimony, that
- 5 he told Sergeant Robel early on during the
- 6 investigation, that the crimes -- the molestations
- 7 occurred during February and March. Gavin doesn't
- 8 pick the time period. The prosecutors pick the time
- 9 period that's involved.
- 10 Now, before the grand jury, Gavin testified
 - 11 to two incidents involving the defendant. And what
- 12 he told the grand jury and what he told the jury
- 13 here is, "I believe that I was molested on other
 - 14 occasions. I have a feeling that I was," which is
 - 15 what he was talking about to Sergeant Robel in an
 - 16 interview. "But," he said, "I have to testify under
 - 17 oath. Under oath, I only remember two."
 - 18 Now, does that sound like something that a
 - 19 perjurer would do? My Lord, the only two people
 - 20 present when all this happens is the defendant and
 - 21 Gavin. He could have made up 20 allegations if he
 - 22 wanted to. He could have made up more horrendous
 - 23 things if he wanted to. Instead, the kid's honest
 - 24 enough to say, "I think it might have happened on
 - 25 other occasions, but Lord, I'm not going to come in
 - 26 here under oath and say something against Mr.

- 27 Jackson that I don't believe that I can credibly say 28 without doubt." 9086
- 1 And that's what he did. And they want you
- 2 to believe that he's a perjurer because he did that?
- 3 That doesn't make sense, like a lot of the things
- 4 that the defense is urging in this case.
- 5 I just want to clarify two other things and
- 6 I'm about to sit down, Your Honor.
- // With regard to Janet -- and I'm not going to

- Arvizo. I'm also not

 Le here and tell you that I think that

 10 she's a perjurer or that she's willfully false in

 11 her testimony. I will tell you that, as I think has

 12 been clear and from a lot of things that happens

 13 that she is a woman who projects

 14 been involved in a

 15 of at

 - 16 showed here in the courtroom.
 - 17 And I think it's a little unfair for counsel
 - 18 to stand up here and say that Janet created false
 - 19 information about her husband beating her up,
 - 20 created false information about a molestation, just
 - 21 like happened in this case, from what happened
 - 22 before, when there is public records that exist that
 - 23 show that her husband was publicly convicted, beyond
 - 24 a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty, of not

- 25 one, but two offenses of abusing not only her, but
- 26 her daughter. And that a Judge, a neutral
- 27 magistrate, was so offended by the conduct that he
- 28 took away visitation rights for that father for 9087
- 1 three years, along with a lot of other things that
- 2 happened.
- 3 Now, that's a little unfair. That's a tad
- 4 unfair to say somebody's a perjurer and somebody's
- 5 setting up the defendant, Michael Jackson, when
- 6 there's objective proof of the fact that what she
 - 7 said was truthful.
- 8 And this whole thing about the sexual
- 9 molestation, can anybody forget -- can you forget?
- 10 I'm sure you haven't. Can anybody forget, any of
- 11 these people who are sitting here, hearing Davellin
- 12 on the stand talk about that conversation involving
- 13 her mother and herself and her father where her
- 14 father confesses to her that he molested her when
- 15 she was a child and she breaks down and she cries?
- 16 Can anybody forget that? And that makes her a
- 17 perjurer? That makes Janet a perjurer for making up
- 18 something that actually happened?
- 19 I'd just tell the Court, you know, it's
- 20 things like this, all the way through this case,
- 21 that when you look at this thing with the standard,
- 22 not only eventually, but right now, that's required

- 23 of this Court, I could stand up here for another two
- 24 hours and make a final argument to you just like Mr.
- 25 Sanger did, and I could go through point by point by
- 26 point and show you the unreasonableness of their
- 27 position, and I think I've just given you some
- 28 isolated examples of just what I'm talking about. 9088
- 1 And I just submit to the Court that given
- 2 the standard in this case and given the evidence
- Jught to be canity to decide for the canity of these issues -- of these

 6 witnesses, given the totality of the circumsta

 7 and that's the very standard that the Court of

 8 Appeals and the Supreme Court sets out for

 9 motion.

 10 Thank you.

 11 THP 3 before this Court, that this jury ought to be able
 - to be given the opportunity to decide for themselves

 - 6 witnesses, given the totality of the circumstances,
 - 8 Appeals and the Supreme Court sets out for an 1118

 - 12 No, Counsel, you don't need to stand.
 - 13 MR. SANGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 - 14 THE COURT: The Court's going to deny the
 - 15 1118 motion. The request to dismiss the charges is
 - 16 denied.
 - 17 I'm going to bring in the jury. Are you
 - 18 prepared to call your first witness?
 - 19 MR. SANGER: Yes, Your Honor.
 - 20 THE COURT: All right.

```
21 MR. MESEREAU: Excuse me, Your Honor?
               22 There's a motion in limine that the prosecution made
               23 about a couple witnesses we plan to call.
               24 THE COURT: They don't seem to be the first
re not the fir

so I'll put that off.

che jury in. 9089

1 MR. MESEREAU: Okay. Thank you.

2 3 (The following proceedings were held in
4 open court in the presence and hearing of
5 jury:)
6 7 THE COURT: Good af
8 THE JURY: '
9 THF
               25 two.
               26 MR. MESEREAU: They're not the first two.
               4 open court in the presence and hearing of the
               10 THE CLERK: Judge, we need to swear the
               11 witness.
               12 THE COURT: All right. Please raise your
               13 right hand and face the clerk.
               14
               15 WADE J. ROBSON
               16 Having been sworn, testified as follows:
               17
               18 THE WITNESS: I do.
```

- 19 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
- 20 spell your name for the record.
- 21 THE WITNESS: My name is Wade J. Robson,
- 22 spelled W-a-d-e, initial J., R-o-b-s-o-n.
- 23 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 24 MR. MESEREAU: May I proceed, Your Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.
- 26 //

- 20 // 9090

 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

 2 BY MR. MESETT
- EXAMINATION

 2 BY MR. MESEREAU:

 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Robson.

 4 A. How you doing.

 5 Q. How old are you?

 6 A. I am 2?

 7 ^ 7 Q. And would you please give the -- please just
 - 8 summarize your employment history.
 - 9 A. My employment history.
 - 10 I started dancing when I was two,
 - 11 professionally when I was five. In Australia
 - 12 originally. And moved to America when I was eight.
 - 13 Became a professional dancer. Started teaching
 - 14 dance classes when I was 12. I was in a rap duo
 - 15 when I was 11 and 12. Started choreographing for
 - 16 different artists when I was 14, and now I'm

- 17 directing film.
- 18 Q. And where do you live at the moment?
- 19 A. I live in Tarzana, California.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you say you're directing films?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. And can you summarize what you're doing in
- 23 that regard?
- 24 A. The main focus right now, I did a short film
- 25 that I wrote and produced and directed last year,
- 26 and that's doing the whole film festival circuit now. An
 Disney as a fi
 original musical.
 Q. Do you know +
 3 table to r
 4 A. Y
 - 7 right now. And I have a three-picture deal with
 - 28 Disney as a film director, and we're developing an 9091

 - 2 Q. Do you know the fellow seated at counsel
 - 3 table to my right?

 - 5 Q. And who is that?
 - 6 A. That's Michael Jackson.
 - 7 Q. How do you know him?
 - 8 A. I met him first when I was five years old.
 - 9 I think it was '87. And Michael was touring, he was
 - 10 doing the "Bad" tour. And I was imitating him as a
 - 11 dancer at that point. And he was holding these --
 - 12 it was in connection with Target or something like
 - 13 that, holding these dance, like, contests all around
 - 14 wherever he traveled. So I entered one of the dance

- 15 contests and ended up winning it, went on to the
- 16 finals and won that, and then the prize was to meet
- 17 Michael.
- 18 So I met him after one of his concerts in
- 19 Brisbane, Australia. And it was just like in a
- 20 meet-and-greet sort of room. And we met, and I was
- 21 in my whole, you know, "Bad" outfit and everything.
- 22 He was sort of laughing and tripping out on my
- 23 outfit and asked if I danced. I said, "Yeah." And
- it was like the end of the

 27 concert, I pulled up, performed in the show with

 28 him. The next -- the next -- I think within the 9092

 1 next couple of days, my mother and 7

 2 him at his hotel room

 3 hours

 - 4 about what I want to do. And then that was kind of
 - 5 it at first.
 - 6 And then for the next two years, we didn't
 - 7 have any contact at all. And I continued pursuing
 - 8 my dance career in Australia. And then the company
 - 9 that I was with, the dance company, was traveling to
 - 10 America to do a performance at Disneyland.
 - 11 So we all went. Came out, did that
 - 12 performance. As I said, we'd had no contact with

- 13 Michael or anything. Somehow my mother got in
- 14 contact with Michael's secretary at that time, who
- 15 was Norma Stokes.
- 16 MR. ZONEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 17 to the narrative form of the answer.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: After your mother got in
- 20 contact with Norma Stakos, what happened next?
- 21 A. She talked to Michael about -- we wanted to
- Michael. Michael ren

 .. when I met him when I was five ye

 25 wanted to meet me again.

 26 So I was out there with my mother, sister,

 27 my father, and grandparents. We all wer

 28 him at Record One Recording (22) see if we could hook up with him again and meet him
 - 23 again. She talked to Michael. Michael remembered
 - 24 me from when I met him when I was five years old,

 - 27 my father, and grandparents. We all went to meet
 - 28 him at Record One Recording Studios. And this 9093

 - 2 Q. Where is Record One Recording Studios?
 - 3 A. I don't remember exactly. It's somewhere in
 - 4 the valley, yeah. In California. Yeah.
 - 5 Q. And what happened next?
 - 6 A. We met up with him. He was in between, you
 - 7 know, working on music and that sort of thing. He
 - 8 was doing a photo shoot at the time at the studio.
 - 9 We took some photos with him. My family and I all
 - 10 went into his -- sort of like the green room, and

- 11 played him some videotapes of all the dancing stuff
- 12 that I've been doing over the last two years. And,
- 13 you know, he was just really excited, checking out
- 14 everything I had done. And then by the end of the
- 15 time, he invited my family and I up to the ranch
- 16 that weekend.
- 17 Q. And did you begin a friendship with Mr.
- 18 Jackson?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you spend much time at Neverland?
- 21 A. Yeah. Spent a lot of time, yeah.
- 22 Q. When do you think you first went to
- 23 Neverland?
- 24 A. It was right after that visit. I'm pretty
 25 sure it was that night that we went, my whole
 26 went to the ranch. And, you know, we stayed for
 27 don't know, about a week or something like the
 28 Q. And approximately what year do you think you
 - 25 sure it was that night that we went, my whole family
 - 26 went to the ranch. And, you know, we stayed for, I
 - 27 don't know, about a week or something like that.
 - 28 Q. And approximately what year do you think you 9094
 - 1 first went to Neverland, Mr. Robson?
 - 2 A. That was 1989.
 - 3 Q. Okay. And who did you go to Neverland with
 - 4 the first time?
 - 5 A. Went with my mother, my sister, my father,
 - 6 and my grandfather, grandmother.
 - 7 Q. And how long did you stay during that first
 - 8 visit?

- 9 A. I think it was about a week.
- 10 Q. And after you spent a week at Neverland,
- 11 what did you do?
- 12 A. Went back to Australia.
- 13 Q. Okay. Did you see Mr. Jackson again?
- 14 A. Yeah. We would -- I don't remember exact
- 15 dates, but over the next two years, my mother and I
- 16 would come out, I don't know, maybe twice a year,
- 17 something like that, and spend a couple of weeks

- Inight at Neverland?

 It was at Neverland. Sometimes it

 21 would be at the -- he had an apartment in I thin

 22 was Westwood at that point that we would stay at

 23 sometimes, too.

 24 Q. That's your mother and you "

 25 apartment in West"

 26 A. " 21 would be at the -- he had an apartment in I think it

 - 27 times, sometimes I would stay by myself. Always --
 - 28 I think -- sometimes -- most of the time my mother 9095
 - 1 and I went to the ranch together. I think once I
 - 2 was there by myself without my mother. There was
 - 3 other people there.
 - 4 Q. And did you stay in contact Mr. Jackson
 - 5 through those years?
 - 6 A. Yes.

- 7 Q. And how would you communicate with Mr.
- 8 Jackson?
- 9 A. When we weren't there, you know, we'd talk
- 10 on the phone or we'd send faxes back and forth.
- 11 Q. At some point did you move to the United
- 12 States permanently?
- 13 A. Yeah. We moved in September of 1991. My
- 14 mother and sister and I.
- 15 Q. Have you lived here ever since?
- To A. Yes.
- .ave yo

 A. Yes.

 19 Q. Now, your

 20 A. Joy Robson.

 21 Q. And how at

 22 A. Chant

 23 Q. Q. Have you lived in Los Angeles ever since?

 - 19 Q. Now, your mother's name is?

 - 21 Q. And how about your sister?
 - 22 A. Chantel Robson.
 - 23 Q. Okay. How many times do you think you've
 - 24 stayed at Neverland?
 - 25 A. Um, it's got to be somewhere in the twenties
 - 26 or something like that. Mid-twenties.
 - 27 Q. And have you stayed there for varying
 - 28 periods of time? 9096
 - 1 A. Yeah. Most of the time it's usually like a
 - 2 weekend, you know. Friday, Saturday, Sunday.
 - 3 Q. What's the longest amount of time, do you
 - 4 think, you've ever stayed at Neverland?

- 5 A. You know, I would say a week to a week and a
- 6 half.
- 7 Q. Do you consider Michael Jackson your friend?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you consider him a close friend?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You're aware of the allegations in this
- 12 case, are you not?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Journal of the property of the

 - 23 A. Never, no.
 - 24 Q. Mr. Robson, has Mr. Jackson ever
 - 25 inappropriately touched any part of your body at any
 - 26 time?
 - 27 A. No.
 - 28 Q. When you first visited Neverland -- and I 9097
 - 1 think you said it was about a week you and your mom
 - 2 stayed there?

- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Where did you stay?
- 5 A. I stayed in Michael's room.
- 6 Q. And could you please describe the room for
- 7 the jury?
- 8 A. When you walk in, there's -- there's a bed,
- 9 sort of like the main bed, diagonally to your left.
- 10 Wood floors. There's a second floor that you go
- 11 around to the right and up, which also has another
- 12 bed. There's a bathroom to the left. There's
- 13 bathrooms on both sides of the main bed on the first
- 14 flo. 15 Q. An. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. The 18 A. 15 Q. And you stayed in Mr. Jackson's room?

 - 17 Q. The first time you were there?

 - 19 Q. To your knowledge, has your mother ever
 - 20 stayed in Mr. Jackson's room?
 - 21 A. In the room? No.
 - 22 Q. How about your sister?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. And when do you recall your sister staying
 - 25 in the room?
 - 26 A. On that first trip, the first time we went
 - 27 to Neverland.
 - 28 Q. Okay. What do you recall doing at Neverland 9098

- 1 during that first visit when you spent approximately
- 2 a week?
- 3 A. Well, at that point he didn't have many of
- 4 the rides. We would watch movies in the theater.
- 5 You know, we'd play video games. We'd drive around
- 6 on the golf carts, look at the animals. Those sort
- 7 of things.
- 8 Q. Has Mr. Jackson ever helped you with your
- 9 career?

- Inst moved out here, when I was

 13 nine, he put me in a couple of his music videos. I

 14 was in the "Jam" music video, "Black or White" music

 15 video, and "Heal the World." And that sort of

 16 helped me get a dance agent, dance

 17 and, yeah.

 18 And **

 - 19 in a rap duo when I was 11 and 12, that was on
 - 20 Michael Jackson's label under Sony.
 - 21 Q. Do you recall the second time you ever
 - 22 visited Neverland?
 - 23 A. No, I don't.
 - 24 Q. Do you recall staying in Mr. Jackson's room
 - 25 on other occasions?
 - 26 A. Yes.
 - 27 Q. And typically when you'd stay in Mr.

- 28 Jackson's room, what would you do? 9099
- 1 A. What would we do as far as just --
- 2 Q. Sure. Anything.
- 3 A. Yeah. We'd watch -- same thing. We'd watch
- 4 movies, we'd play video games, you know, we'd have a
- 5 pillow fight every now and then. We'd talk. Hang
- 6 out.
- 7 Q. How many times do you think you've stayed in
- 8 Mr. Jackson's room at Neverland?
- A. Same amount of times as I've been there.
 - 10 Well, no, that's not true, I'm sorry. I've been
 - 11 there a bunch of times without Michael, just with
- 12 other friends and family traveling there. But, I
 13 don't know, maybe 15 to 20.
 14 Q. And at no time has any sexual contact ever
 15 occurred between you and Mr. Jackson, right?
 16 A. Never.

 - 17 Q. Have you ever taken a shower with Mr.
 - 18 Jackson?
 - 19 A. No.
 - 20 Q. Have you ever gone swimming with Mr.
 - 21 Jackson?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. And please explain what you mean.
 - 24 A. One time with my sister and I, my sister and
 - 25 I and Michael, we went in the Jacuzzi at Neverland

- 26 Ranch.
- 27 Q. And do you know approximately when that was?
- 28 A. I don't. I can't say for sure. I have a 9100
- 1 feeling that it was within that first trip in '89
- 2 when I went there.
- 3 Q. Do you recall what Mr. Jackson was wearing
- 4 in the Jacuzzi?
- 5 A. From my recollection, he was wearing shorts.
- 221?

 9 A. No.

 10 Q. Has anything inappropriate ever happened in 11 any shower with you and Mr. Jackson?

 12 A. No. Never been in a shower

 13 Q. Did you get to

 14 Neve 6 You know, like swimming shorts. And that was it.

 - 15 A. I wouldn't say "get to know." You know, I
 - 16 knew of them and we'd know each other's names, but
 - 17 it never went beyond that.
 - 18 Q. Do you recall someone named Blanca Francia?
 - 19 A. Yes, I remember her name. And I remember
 - 20 her presence. I can't place her. I can't remember
 - 21 what she looks like or anything like that.
 - 22 Q. Do you know whether or not Blanca Francia
 - 23 ever was in a room when you were with Mr. Jackson?

- 24 A. Not that I can remember.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you ever meet anyone named Ralph
- 26 Chacon?
- 27 A. No.
- 28 Q. How about Kassim Abdool? 9101
- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Do you recall anyone named Adrian McManus?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you have much interaction with the
- 🕝 security people when you were visiting and staying
 - 6 at Neverland?
 - 7 A. No. The only interaction would be is, I
- 8 don't know, if -- if they were trying -- if we were
- 9 out, you know, watching a movie or something like
- 10 that, they'd come tell us that dinner was ready or
- 11 something like that.
- 12 In later years, when I would go there and
- 13 just visit with my family and that sort of thing,
- 14 sometimes we'd have water fights and get the
- 15 security involved. But other than that, no.
- 16 Q. Did you come across anyone named Mrs.
- 17 Chandler when you were at Neverland?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when do you think this was?
- 20 A. I think I was about 13. But I can't
- 21 pinpoint any more -- anything more definitive than

- 22 that. Around that time.
- 23 Q. Do you recall ever seeing someone at
- 24 Neverland talking to Mrs. Chandler?
- 25 A. Sorry? Can you repeat that?
- 26 Q. Did you ever see Mrs. Chandler talking to
- 27 anyone at Neverland?
- 28 A. Yeah, I mean, maybe chefs or -- you know, or 9102
- 1 maids or something like that.
- 2 Q. What do you recall Mrs. Chandler doing at
- A. I think I
 5 eat dinner or
 6 time I saw her.
 7 Q. Did you evr
 8 at Never'
 9 A. " 4 A. I think I mainly saw her if we'd sit down to
 - 5 eat dinner or something like that. That's the only

 - 7 Q. Did you ever see her ordering people around

 - 9 A. Yeah, well --
 - 10 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as leading.
 - 11 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - 12 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: When you saw Mrs. Chandler
 - 13 talking to these people at Neverland, what do you
 - 14 recall her doing?
 - 15 MR. ZONEN: I'll object as irrelevant and
 - 16 vague.
 - 17 MR. MESEREAU: There's been testimony by
 - 18 her, Your Honor, about what she saw.
 - 19 THE COURT: I'll allow the question.

- 20 You may answer. Do you want it read back?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
- 22 (Record read.)
- 23 THE WITNESS: I remember her, you know,
- 24 ordering food, that sort of thing, from maids or
- 25 chefs, or whatever.
- 26 And, you know, the thing I sort of noticed
- 27 was she was always sort of -- you know, she would
- 28 sort of act like the place was hers, you know. Sort 9103
- Joit.

 Joit.

 Joit.

 Joit.

 Joit.

 Join, I guess I noticed it because

 3 my mother, when we went there, she always made it

 4 really clear that this was Michael Jackson's house.

 5 This was somebody's house and -
 6 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object and

 7 nonresponsive to the

 8 THE CO

 - 9 stricken.
 - 10 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Robson, has anyone
 - 11 told you what to say in this courtroom today?
 - 12 A. No.
 - 13 Q. Is everything you've said the complete and
 - 14 honest truth?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. Did Mr. Jackson ever do anything wrong with
 - 17 you?

- 18 A. No.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.
- 20 THE COURT: Cross-examine?

21

- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. ZONEN:
- 24 Q. Mr. Robson, good afternoon.
- 25 A. Good afternoon.
- 26 Q. Have you been living in the United States
- 2) continuously since you were eight years old?
- 23 A. Yes. 9104
 - 1 Q. Was Mr. Jackson instrumental in your being
- 2 able to move to the United States to pursue your 3 career?
 4 A. Yes.
 5 Q. Are you grateful for Mr. Jackson's help and 6 assistance in the development of your career?

 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. Did you go to high school or college at all?
 - 9 A. No.
 - 10 Q. Not either one?
 - 11 A. I didn't go to a public high school. I did
 - 12 home studies.
 - 13 Q. You did home studies all through high
 - 14 school?
 - 15 A. Yeah.

- 16 Q. And no college at all?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So you began your dance career early on and
- 19 continued through to today; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. Now, the first time that you
- 22 slept with Mr. Jackson you were seven years old; is
- 23 that correct?
- 24 A. I slept in the same bed with him. But, yes,
- 25 I was seven.
- 26 Q. Did you understand my question to mean
 - 27 something other than that?
- 28 A. Sounded like it. 9105
- 1 Q. All right. But you slept in the same bed
- 2 with him when you were seven years old; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was anybody else in that bed with you?
- 6 A. My sister, Chantel Robson.
- 7 Q. She was ten years old; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is it true that there was not another adult
- 10 anywhere in that room at the time you crawled into
- 11 bed with Mr. Jackson?
- 12 A. True.
- 13 Q. And in fact, you continued to sleep with Mr.

- 14 Jackson through the balance of that week during your
- 15 seventh year; is that right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Was your sister there the entire time during
- 18 that week as well?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was she in that bed with you as well?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did she continue to share a bed with you and
- 23 Mr. Jackson thereafter, or did you sleep only with
- 24 Mr. Jackson thereafter?
- 25 A. What do you mean by "thereafter"?
- 26 Q. Well, on all the occasions that you returned
- 7 27 to visit Mr. Jackson's ranch, did you stay in his
 - 28 room, by yourself, with him? 9106
 - 1 A. Yes. But my sister wasn't in -- wasn't with
 - 2 us at all in America.
 - 3 Q. All right. So when you moved here -- and
 - 4 incidentally, your father was there during that
 - 5 first week when you were seven years old; is that
 - 6 right?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. But your father did not return to visit
 - 9 thereafter?
 - 10 A. No.
 - 11 Q. All right. So you stayed in the United

- 12 States. Your father stayed in Australia.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did your mother stay in the United States?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. For the balance of the next number of years,
- 17 your father was simply not in the picture while you
- 18 were in the United States; is that right?
- 19 A. He wasn't there with us, no.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Jackson understood that as well, did
- 21 he not, that your father was not in the picture

 - 23 A. Well, he understood that he wasn't there,

 - 1 A. Yeah.
 - 2 Q. Did you visit him?
 - 3 A. I'm sorry, are we talking about once I moved
 - 4 to America?
 - 5 Q. Yes.
 - 6 A. Oh, yes, we would go back at least every two
 - 7 years for Christmas.
 - 8 Q. Did he ever come to the United States to
 - 9 visit you?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did he have any other visits with you at
- 12 Neverland?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did you talk with your mother, prior to that
- 15 first week that you slept with Mr. Jackson with your
- 16 sister, about the sleeping arrangements at all?
- 17 A. Well, yeah, the first day that we got there,
- 18 to Neverland Ranch -- you know, I think we got there
- 19 in about the afternoon. We hung out a bit.

- Jit.

 Jed, I asked Michael

 Zed, I asked Mic 22 Michael and I went to -- mom was staying in a guest

 - 28 What caused you to ask him if you could stay with 9108
 - 1 him in his room?
 - 2 A. Well, it's the same way with any child.
 - 3 When you -- you know, when you have a best friend or
 - 4 a new friend that you found, you always want to stay
 - 5 in the same room with them.
 - 6 Q. He was in his mid 30s; is that right?
 - 7 A. Yes, I guess so.

- 8 Q. Had you ever crawled into bed with a
- 9 30-year-old man prior to that day?
- 10 A. My father.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. But other than that, no.
- 13 Q. Any person who you had just met?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. All right. And in fact, throughout your
- 16 entire adolescent years, you had never slept with
- Jiept in a bed with any other man, no.

 20 Q. Now, you had a conversation with your mother

 21 about where you would sleep that night, that first

 22 time. Again, you're seven years old; is that ri

 23 A. Yeah.

 24 Q. Did your mother

 25 you

 - 22 time. Again, you're seven years old; is that right?

 - 26 A. No.
 - 27 Q. Was she the one who suggested that your
 - 28 sister should go with you and stay in that room with 9109
 - 1 Mr. Jackson?
 - 2 A. I don't remember that. I remember Chantel,
 - 3 my sister, wanted to as well.
 - 4 Q. Had your mother actually seen the room
 - 5 that -- or the rooms that constitute Mr. Jackson's

- 6 bedroom suite?
- 7 A. Yeah. When we first got to the ranch, he
- 8 took us around, a tour around everywhere, in his
- 9 room.
- 10 Q. So she understood at the time that the
- 11 bedroom suite was composed of a number of different
- 12 rooms with actually beds in at least two of them; is
- 13 that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And there were bathrooms on both levels; is
- 16 that right?
 - 17 A. I don't think there's a bathroom on the
- 18 second level. There's two on the first level.
- 19 Q. Was your mother under the impression that
 20 you would be sleeping in a different location
 21 Michael Jackson when you first went to his ro
 22 age seven?
 23 A. Not that I know of.
 - 20 you would be sleeping in a different location from
 - 21 Michael Jackson when you first went to his room at

 - 24 Q. All right. Had you talked with her the next
 - 25 day about where you actually slept that prior night?
 - 26 A. No, not that I remember.
 - 27 Q. At any time during that first week when you
 - 28 were there at age seven, did you ever tell your 9110
 - 1 mother that you actually shared the bed with Michael
 - 2 Jackson?
 - 3 A. I'm sure.

- 4 Q. You think you did?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Do you remember your mother's response to
- 7 hearing that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did your sister, in your presence, tell your
- 10 mother that she was also sleeping in the same bed
- 11 with Michael Jackson at age ten?
- 12 A. I can't say for sure. I don't remember,
- 13 but --
- re there, did you hav

 16 mother wherein your moth
 17 where you were sleeping?

 18 A. No.
 19 Q. Were you sec
 20 A. Yes
 21 14 Q. At any time during that first week that you
 - 15 were there, did you have any conversation with your
 - 16 mother wherein your mother expressed concern about

 - 19 Q. Were you seeing your mother during the day?

 - 21 Q. All right. After that first week, did you
 - 22 go back to Australia?
 - 23 A. Yeah.
 - 24 Q. You were in Australia for what, about a
 - 25 year?
 - 26 A. I think so. I don't remember.
 - 27 Q. And then you returned to the United States
 - 28 for good at that point? 9111
 - 1 A. Well, we had a couple of visits back to

- 2 America before we returned in '91 for good.
- 3 Q. With what rate of frequency did you continue
- 4 to visit with Michael Jackson after returning at age
- 5 eight?
- 6 A. I would say twice a year.
- 7 Q. All right. And during those periods of
- 8 time, you would stay for up to a week at a time, no?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Were there times that you actually stayed at
- 11 Neverland for many weeks at a time?
- 12 A. Not that I can remember. Like I said, a
 - 13 week to a week and a half. Maybe it was two weeks,
- 14 but I don't remember any more than that.
- \bigcap 15 Q. Were there periods of time when you were at
 - 16 Neverland and working with Mr. Jackson on dance
 - 17 routines?
 - 18 A. No. I mean, we would mess around and dance
 - 19 a little bit in the studio every now and then, yes.
 - 20 Q. Was there ever an occasion where you were on
 - 21 the dance floor with Mr. Jackson and he was showing
 - 22 you a routine and he grabbed your crotch in a manner
 - 23 similar to how he would grab his own crotch while
 - 24 doing those performances?
 - 25 A. No, that's not true.
 - 26 Q. You have no recollection of that?
 - 27 A. No.
 - 28 Q. That didn't happen? 9112

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. During the period of time from age eight on,
- 3 did you stay in Mr. Jackson's room virtually the
- 4 entire time?
- 5 A. I'm sorry?
- 6 Q. The times that you would come and visit Mr.
- 7 Jackson from age eight on --
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. -- did you stay in Mr. Jackson's room?
- io A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. All right. By age 11, you were asked to
- 11 Q. .
 12 give .
 13 A. Yes.
 14 Q. And
 15 oat
 16 12 give a deposition, were you not?

 - 14 Q. And you actually did give testimony under
 - 15 oath in the presence of two prosecutors from Los
 - 16 Angeles; is that right?

 - 18 Q. There was also an attorney present who
 - 19 represented you; is that correct?
 - 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 Q. All right. After that deposition, did you
 - 22 continue to sleep in Mr. Jackson's room?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Did you continue to sleep in Mr. Jackson's
 - 25 bed?
 - 26 A. Yes.

- 27 Q. All right. Now, during that period of time
- 28 from age eight until age 11, did you frequently 9113
- 1 visit Mr. Jackson?
- 2 A. From -- I'm sorry, from eight to 11?
- 3 Q. Age eight to age 11, did you frequently
- 4 visit Mr. Jackson?
- 5 A. Yeah. Same amount of time. Maybe twice a
- 6 year, or every couple of months, something like
- Justayed in Mr. Jackson's room?

 10 A. Yes.

 11 Q. All right. Were there ever occasions where
 12 you went to visit Mr. Jackson when your main there?
 13 wasn't there?
 14 A. Yes. I think
 15 and

 - 12 you went to visit Mr. Jackson when your mother

 - 15 apartment in Century City that my mother would drop
 - 16 me off and I'd stay for, you know, a night or so by
 - 17 myself with Michael there.
 - 18 Q. Was that a place called "The Hideout"?
 - 19 A. I remember a place called "The Hideout."
 - 20 I don't remember if it was that place.
 - 21 Q. Were there more places where you visited and
 - 22 stayed overnight in Century City?
 - 23 A. Yeah, there was a hotel that was -- I mean,
 - 24 I'm sorry, an apartment that was in Westwood and

- 25 then one that was in Century City.
- 26 Q. Was there a place where Mr. Jackson was
- 27 living in, either Westwood or Century City, where
- 28 there was a hotel across the street? 9114
- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And would it be the case that periodically
- 3 you would visit him there, your mother would stay in
- 4 the hotel, but you would stay with him in his room?
- 5 A. One time when we came over, we stayed -- I
- think it was the Westwood apartment, his Westwood
 - 7 apartment. There was a Holiday Inn that was across
 - 8 and we stayed there most of the time. And then
- 9 certain nights I would go over to Michael and stay
- 10 with him.
- 11 Q. Mr. Robson, were there ever occasions where
- 12 you stayed with Michael Jackson where you didn't
- 13 sleep with him in his bed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How often did that happen?
- 16 A. I don't know. Maybe three, four times.
- 17 Q. Three or four times over years we're talking
- 18 about; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So, for the most part, the overwhelming
- 21 majority of times you shared his bed with him?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 Q. Now, at any time did you start to develop
- 24 conversations with your mother about the propriety
- 25 of sleeping with this man who's now well into his
- 26 30s?
- 27 A. No.
- 28 Q. Did you consider it unusual at all? 9115
- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Did your mother consider it unusual?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever talk to your father about it?

 - 6 Q. You talked to your father about your

 - 8 A. No, I mean, you know, everybody knew, and
 - 9 nobody ever said that it was -- we never talked
 - 10 about it being unusual or anything like that.
 - 11 Q. Did your mother ever ask you if anything
 - 12 inappropriate happened in bed with him?
 - 13 A. No.
 - 14 Q. Did she simply assume nothing happened?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. You're telling us nothing happened; is that
 - 17 right?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. All right. What you're really telling us is
 - 20 nothing happened while you were awake; isn't that

- 21 true?
- 22 A. I'm telling you that nothing ever happened.
- 23 Q. Mr. Robson, when you were asleep, you
- 24 wouldn't have known what had happened, particularly
- 25 at age seven, would you have?
- 26 A. I would think something like that would wake
- 27 me up.
- 28 Q. On those occasions that you were at 9116
- Neverland, you used to play very actively, did you
- 2 not?
- 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. All 4 Q. All right. There was a lot to do at
- 5 Neverland 6 A. Yes.
 7 Q. And 6 8 out hear 5 Neverland; is that right?

 - 7 Q. And on some days you were actually working
 - 8 out heavily with the defendant, engaged in dance
 - 9 routines, weren't you?
 - 10 A. Yeah.
 - 11 Q. And on other occasions you would be playing
 - 12 very actively. There's just a host of things that a
 - 13 seven-year-old can do and have fun with; is that
 - 14 right?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. And you can play -- all manner of video
 - 17 games that exist anywhere in the world can be found
 - 18 at Neverland; is that right?

- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. And there's video games, there's movies,
- 21 there's a zoo, there's all kinds of parks, and the
- 22 trains. You're very active during the entire day;

- 5 you were losing contact with her and that she was
- 6 losing her contact with you? Did she ever say that?
- 8 Q. In fact, she was very upset over the fact
- 9 that she was losing her ability to have access to
- 10 her son, wasn't she?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Was there, in fact, a shower at Neverland in
- 13 the suite, the bedroom suite?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. But you didn't use it?
- 16 A. I used it by myself.

gnt you'd go back to his room
a play more video games or you'd watch
27 television; is that right?
28 A. Yes. 9117
29 Q. And there's movies of any kind that you can
2 see with Mr. Jackson?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Did your mother ever comple
5 you were losing conte
6 losing her co
7 A. No

- 17 Q. Was he in the room while you were using it?
- 18 A. In the bedroom, not in the shower room,
- 19 which had its own door.
- 20 Q. You were seven years old when you started
- 21 using that shower; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. When did you stop sleeping with Mr. Jackson?
- 24 A. I guess when I was about, I don't know,
- 25 maybe 13, 14, something like that.

- spent the night with him. I just

 spent the night with him, I mean, in

 room or anything like that since then, I don't

 think.

 Q. You haven't gone back to No.

 were 13?

 A. T. 28 haven't spent the night with him, I mean, in his 9118

 - 3 Q. You haven't gone back to Neverland since you

 - 6 Q. Have you gone back to Neverland since you
 - 7 were 13 and actually stayed overnight?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 Q. On how many occasions since you were 13?
 - 10 A. A lot. Same thing. 20, 25. Something like
 - 11 that.
 - 12 Q. Did he take you to other locations such as
 - 13 Las Vegas?
 - 14 A. Yes.

- 15 Q. And while you were at Las Vegas, you went to
- 16 see Siegfried & Roy?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And being with Mr. Jackson back then was a
- 19 very exciting experience, wasn't it?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. He was able to go anywhere he wanted in Las
- 22 Vegas and take you with him?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. Did you start to dress like him?
- 25 A. I always did before I met him.
- 26 Q. All right. And during the time that you
- 27 were with him, he enjoyed you wearing clothing
- 28 similar to what he wore; is that correct? 9119

 1 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; calls for
 2 speculation.
 3 THE COURT: Sustained.

 - 4 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Did he ever tell you that he
 - 5 wanted you to dress like him?
 - 6 A. No.
 - 7 Q. Did he ever give you hats similar to the
 - 8 type of hats that he wears?
 - 9 A. Because I would ask for them.
 - 10 Q. And did you, in fact, wear those types of
 - 11 hats when you were out with him?
 - 12 A. Yes.

- 13 Q. And when you were in Las Vegas, did you wear
- 14 those hats as you wandered around Las Vegas with
- 15 him?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. When you were in Las Vegas, where did you
- 18 stay?
- 19 A. We stayed at The Mirage Hotel.
- 20 Q. Who went to Las Vegas with you?
- 21 A. My mother.

- Jour sister with you at all?

 25 A. No.

 26 Q. Did your sister move to the United States

 27 with you?

 28 A. Yes. 9120

 - 2 A. Well, we weren't -- we didn't live in the
 - 3 United States at that point. We still lived in
 - 4 Australia. We were out on a visit.
 - 5 Q. When you were in the hotel in Las Vegas, it
 - 6 is true that you stayed with Mr. Jackson in his bed?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. And your mother stayed in a separate room;
 - 9 is that right?
 - 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Now, were there other boys that you knew
- 12 about who were sleeping with Michael Jackson during
- 13 that time?
- 14 A. No, not that I knew of. I mean, the only
- 15 other time I was around other boys, other kids at
- 16 the ranch, I think once or twice, and, you know,
- 17 we'd all stay in the room and we'd kind of fall
- 18 asleep on couches, beds, cots, wherever they were.
- 19 Q. Did you know Jordie Chandler?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. You just described -- you just told the jury
- 22 that -- that you knew Jordie Chandler's mother; is
- 23 that
 24 A. Yes.
 25 Q. Wha[†]
 26 A. I l
 27 Q. Al
 28 she 23 that right?

 - 25 Q. What's her name?
 - 26 A. I know her -- June. June Chandler.
 - 27 Q. All right. Describe her for us. What does
 - 28 she look like? 9121
 - 1 A. She has dark, almost black hair. Sort of --
 - 2 sort of brown eyes. I think some -- kind of like
 - 3 a -- a little bit of a darker complexion.
 - 4 Q. Slim woman? Heavy woman?
 - 5 A. Slim woman.
 - 6 Q. Now, do you remember her son Jordie?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. On how many occasions did you meet Jordie?

- 9 A. Once.
- 10 Q. Only one time?
- 11 A. That's all I can remember, yes.
- 12 Q. And did you spend the night with Jordie?
- 13 A. Yeah, we all stayed in Michael's room.
- 14 Q. You say "we all stayed." Were there other
- 15 people there besides Jordie?
- 16 A. Yeah, Macaulay Culkin was there and his
- 17 brother Kieran Culkin.
- 18 Q. Now, Macaulay Culkin has sisters, does he

- A. I don't kn
 21 Q. Were there
 22 you that night?
 23 A. Not that I
 24 Q. Were
 25 sis 21 Q. Were there any girls that were staying with

 - 23 A. Not that I remember.
 - 24 Q. Were there ever any girls, other than your
 - 25 sister, at age seven, who actually spent the night
 - 26 in Mr. Jackson's room with you during the years that
 - 27 you knew him and spent the night in his room?
 - 28 A. Yes. 9122
 - 1 Q. Who?
 - 2 A. There was Brandy Jackson.
 - 3 Q. I'm sorry?
 - 4 A. Brandy Jackson, who is Michael's niece.
 - 5 Q. And she spent the night on how many
 - 6 occasions with you?

- 7 A. Only one that I can remember.
- 8 Q. One night?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. All right. So we're talking about a period
- 11 of about five years; is that right?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. In the five years, you can remember Brandy.
- 14 Who else do you recall?
- 15 A. As far as females?
- , your sister actually never went back

 19 into that room and spent the night with you

 20 that first week when you were seven; is that

 21 correct?

 22 A. Yeah, not that I can remer'

 23 Q. Is it an accurate.

 - 19 into that room and spent the night with you after

 - 23 Q. Is it an accurate statement to say that the
 - 24 boys who stayed there for long periods of time
 - 25 started getting pretty rowdy?
 - 26 A. Rowdy?
 - 27 Q. Yeah.
 - 28 A. What do you mean? 9123
 - 1 Q. Well, goof off, and simply kids who weren't
 - 2 under a lot of supervision?
 - 3 A. Oh, yeah. I mean, you know, boys will be
 - 4 boys. We'd go around and have fun.

- 5 Q. Loud and boisterous?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And on occasions break things?
- 8 A. Accidentally, yeah.
- 9 Q. And be somewhat disobedient?
- 10 A. Some of them maybe.
- 11 Q. Were there ever occasions where you and Mr.
- 12 Jackson were throwing stones at the lion in the zoo?
- 13 A. Stones at the lion. Yeah, I think so.
- 14 Q. That did happen, didn't it?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Mr. Jackson was throwing stones at the lion
- 17 in your presence; is that right?
- 19 Q. Was he encouraging you to do the same thing?
- 20 A. Yeah. Little pebbles, but, yeah.
- 21 Q. They weren't exactly pebbles. They were
- 22 good-sized stones, weren't they?
- 23 A. No, I remember small stones.
- 24 Q. It was designed to irritate the lion, wasn't
- 25 it?
- 26 A. Yeah, we were trying get him to make some
- 27 noise.
- 28 Q. Because it was entertaining to Mr. Jackson 9124
- 1 and to you?
- 2 A. Yeah.

16 Q. 1 17 in yo. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Was 20 A.

- 3 Q. How old were you at the time?
- 4 A. I think I would have been seven or eight.
- 5 Q. Were there any other kids around at that
- 6 time?
- 7 A. No. Maybe my sister.
- 8 Q. Now, you said that you spent one night that
- 9 you can recall with Jordie Chandler. Do you know
- 10 Brett Barnes?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you ever spend a night with Brett
- 3 Barnes?
- 14 A. . 15 Q. Di 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. How 18 A. 15 Q. Did you ever meet Brett Barnes?

 - 17 Q. How old were you when you met Brett Barnes?
 - 18 A. I think I would have been nine. It was soon
 - 19 after we moved to America.
 - 20 Q. On that occasion when you spent the night
 - 21 with Jordie Chandler, Macaulay, and his brother, was
 - 22 Brett Barnes there as well?
 - 23 A. No.
 - 24 Q. Do you know if Brett Barnes spent nights
 - 25 with Michael Jackson?
 - 26 A. I don't know.
 - 27 Q. Do you know if they ever shared a bed?
 - 28 A. I don't know. 9125

- 1 Q. Did Michael Jackson ever talk to you about
- 2 the propriety of sleeping with him?
- 3 A. Could you rephrase that?
- 4 Q. Did Michael Jackson ever have a conversation
- 5 with you wherein the subject of the conversation was
- 6 that it was okay for you to sleep with a
- 7 30-something-year-old man?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. He did?
- IC A. We would both talk about it.
- 11y. From

 Conversation hap

 13 A. I don't remembe

 14 Q. Were you seven?

 15 A. I don't remembe

 16 Q. Was it *

 17 A. No 11 Q. Really. From what age? When did that first
 - 12 conversation happen?
 - 13 A. I don't remember.

 - 15 A. I don't remember.
 - 16 Q. Was it the first week that you were there?

 - 18 Q. Do you think it was soon after you moved to
 - 19 the United States?
 - 20 A. I really don't remember.
 - 21 Q. What was the nature of that conversation?
 - 22 A. I don't remember an exact conversation. I'm
 - 23 sure it's just something, you know, we talked about
 - 24 at some point; that -- you know, that it's fine.
 - 25 Q. Did Mr. Jackson ever tell you that you were
 - 26 family to him?
 - 27 A. Yes.

- 28 Q. Did he tell you that often? 9126
- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did he tell you that he would take care of
- 3 you?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did he tell you he would protect you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. Did he ever tell your mother in

- anat your mother could trust him?

 11 A. Yes.

 12 Q. In fact, the word "trust" came up in many
 13 conversations with Michael Jackson, did in
 14 A. Yeah, we'd talk about trus
 15 other people, and
 16 Q. T
 - 13 conversations with Michael Jackson, did it not?

 - 16 Q. But he encouraged you particularly to trust
 - 17 in him, did he not?
 - 18 A. No, there was no particular emphasis on it.
 - 19 Q. How much time did you spend with Macaulay
 - 20 Culkin?
 - 21 A. Um, there was that -- the trip that we spoke
 - 22 of, that I think was a couple of days. I think I
 - 23 was with him one other time at the Century City
 - 24 apartment, which was a night. I think that was
 - 25 about it.

- 26 Q. Now, the trip you described, that trip was
- 27 where now?
- 28 A. Which trip? 9127
- 1 Q. Maybe I misheard you. Did you say "the trip
- 2 I just spoke of"?
- 3 A. Oh, the one I spoke of. That was the one
- 4 where I said where Jordie Chandler was there at
- 5 Neverland.
- 6 Q. That was Neverland?
- 8 Q. He was there and you were there for what
- was there and you were

 9 period of time together? Just

 10 A. No, it was a couple days.

 11 Q. Did it go over more the

 12 A. Yes.

 13 Q. On bo+

 14 9 period of time together? Just one night?

 - 11 Q. Did it go over more than one night?

 - 13 Q. On both of those nights, did both of you
 - 14 spend that time in Mr. Jackson's room?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. Now, did either one of you actually spend
 - 17 the night in Mr. Jackson's bed with Mr. Jackson?
 - 18 A. No. I think -- from I can remember -- I can
 - 19 only remember one night in particular, and I
 - 20 remember myself and Kieran Culkin, I think, slept on
 - 21 Michael's bed, and Michael slept on a cot, or
 - 22 something, on the side of us, and I don't know,
 - 23 Macaulay fell asleep on a couch or something.

- 24 Q. I'm sorry.
- 25 A. Go ahead, no.
- 26 Q. The Century City apartment, I believe you
- 27 said you spent some time there with Macaulay Culkin
- 28 as well? 9128
- 1 A. Yeah. I think it was one night there, yeah.
- 2 Q. Was his brother there?
- 3 A. I don't remember for sure. I don't remember
- Q. Mr. Jackson would periodically kiss you,
- Deing there.

 Deing there.

 Q. Mr. Jackson would product the state of would he not?

 A. No.

 Resident the state of the sta

 - 11 A. Hug me. That would be --
 - 12 Q. Put his hands through your hair?
 - 13 A. No.
 - 14 Q. Touch you about the head and the face?
 - 15 A. Yeah.
 - 16 Q. Did he ever kiss you on the cheek?
 - 17 A. Yeah.
 - 18 Q. Did he ever kiss you on the lips?
 - 19 A. No.
 - 20 Q. Do you remember any other children being
 - 21 there about that time?

- 22 A. Which time?
- 23 Q. From the time that you were seven until the
- 24 time you stopped sleeping there at age 13.
- 25 A. Being at the ranch?
- 26 Q. Yes.
- 27 A. Other than we spoke of, no.
- 28 Q. Did you know Blanca Francia's son? 9129
- 1 A. No. I knew of him. But I don't think I
- 2 ever met him.
- Q. What was his name?
- A. I don't know. 5 Q. Does "Jason" 5 Q. Does "Jason" sound familiar?
- 6 A. Yes, but I think because I've heard it
 7 recently. But, yeah.
 8 Q. Now, were there ever occasions after years old that you came and stayed
 10 Neverland Ranch and Michael Jackson was,

 - 8 Q. Now, were there ever occasions after you
 - 9 were 14 years old that you came and stayed at
 - 10 Neverland Ranch and Michael Jackson was, in fact,
 - 11 there?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. And you did not stay with him in his room?
 - 14 A. The only time I can remember was a time I
 - 15 went up there with a few family members and friends,
 - 16 and we didn't know he was going to be there. And,
 - 17 you know, we sort of ran into him and hung out a
 - 18 bit, and, no, I didn't sleep in his room.
 - 19 Q. Did you ever talk to Michael Jackson about

- 20 the fact that at some age it was too late for you to
- 21 be sleeping with him?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you ever have a conversation with him
- 24 about whether or not you should continue to sleep in
- 25 his bed?
- 26 A. No.
- 27 Q. Remember the last time you slept in his bed?
- 28 A. The last -- I think it might have been when 9130

i I was about 14. It was at a Sheraton Hotel in Los was all 2 Angeles. 3 Q. 7

- 3 Q. And how did you happen to be there with him?
- 4 A. I stayed with him for I think it was just
- 5 one night.
- 6 Q. Did you call or did he call you?
- 7 A. I don't remember.
- 8 Q. Was your mother there?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you remember how you got there?
- 11 A. No, I don't.
- 12 Q. Were there occasions that Mr. Jackson would
- 13 summon you to Neverland Ranch?
- 14 A. Summon me?
- 15 Q. Yes. Call you up and ask you to come and be
- 16 there; invite you to Neverland Ranch?
- 17 A. Invite us, yeah.

- 18 Q. All right. Without your mother?
- 19 A. Like ask if I could come without my mother,
- 20 do you mean?
- 21 Q. Or just ask you to come, and you came by
- 22 yourself.
- 23 A. The only time I remember being there --

- Let -
 Let mber being there w

 Let that we spoke of by myself w

 Let Chandler and Macaulay.

 20 Q. On the occasions that you stayed in bed with

 23 Mr. Jackson, would you ever cuddle in bed? 9131

 31 A. No.

 4 Q. Would you lie next to one another?

 5 A. No.

 4 Q. Would you touch?

 5 A. No.

 6 Q. Would

 - 7 inappropriate to have cuddled in bed?
 - 8 A. Sorry?
 - 9 Q. Would you have considered it to be
 - 10 inappropriate to have cuddled in bed?
 - 11 A. No.
 - 12 MR. ZONEN: I have no further questions.
 - 13
 - 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 - 15 BY MR. MESEREAU:

- 16 Q. Mr. Robson, the prosecutor for the
- 17 government asked you about your dressing like
- 18 Michael Jackson.
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. And I believe you said something about you
- 21 dressed like him before you met him.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would you please explain that?
- 24 A. Well, you know, I became a fan of Michael
- 25 Jackson when I was two years old, when I saw the
- 26 making of "Thriller," and started dancing like him
 - 27 when I was, you know, three or four. So I think
- 28 when I was about five, I started, because I was 9132
- 1 imitating him. I got costumes made and that sort of
- 2 thing. So I would dress like him from that point,
- 3 before I met him.
- 4 Q. Did Mr. Jackson ever encourage you to dress
- 5 like him?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you dress like him because you were a
- 8 fan and friend of his?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, the prosecutor talked about your
- 11 throwing pebbles at a lion.
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. Would you please explain what you were

- 14 describing.
- 15 A. Well, there's a lion that was in a cage.
- 16 And, you know, went to see the lion roar, and it's
- 17 pretty much just sitting there, you know, not doing
- 18 anything. So we picked up a couple little stones
- 19 and threw them at the cage, you know.
- 20 Q. And the prosecutor used the word "stones,"
- 21 and you said "pebbles." How big were these things?
- 22 A. Little, you know -- I don't know,
- 23 quarter-inch sort of things.
- A. No.

 26 Q. To your kn

 27 hurt the lion?

 28 A. No. 9133 24 Q. Were you trying to hurt the lion?

 - 26 Q. To your knowledge, was Mr. Jackson trying to

 - 1 Q. Okay. The prosecutor asked you questions
 - 2 about whether or not you were considered family.
 - 3 Did you consider yourself to be part of Mr.
 - 4 Jackson's family?
 - 5 A. Yeah, I mean, in a friendship sort of way.
 - 6 Because we were that close. It was like family.
 - 7 Q. And did you use the word "family" once in a
 - 8 while --
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. -- when you spoke to him?
 - 11 A. Yes.

- 12 Q. Did you hear your mother or sister using the
- 13 word "family"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you think anything was strange about
- 16 that?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. The prosecutor for the government asked
- 19 about Mr. Jackson giving you a kiss on the cheek.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. And you said that happened sometimes?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. Did you think there was anything
- 23 Q. 24 inap. 25 A. No. 26 Q. Di 27 A. 28 24 inappropriate about that?

 - 26 Q. Did you do it in front of your mom?

 - 28 Q. Did you do it in front of your sister? 9134
 - 1 A. Yes.
 - 2 Q. Did your mother kiss him on the cheek?
 - 3 A. Yes.
 - 4 Q. Did your sister kiss him on the cheek?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. Did you kiss Mr. Jackson on the cheek?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. Did your mother used to hug Mr. Jackson?
 - 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. Did Mr. Jackson used to hug your mother?
- 11 MR. ZONEN: I'll object as irrelevant what
- 12 happened with his mother.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
- 14 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Did Mr. Jackson used to
- 15 hug your mother?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did your sister used to hug Mr. Jackson?
- 18 MR. ZONEN: I'll object as leading as well.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- . Did your sister usec
 . Jackson?

 22 A. Yes.

 23 Q. And would you see Mr. Jackson hug your
 24 sister?

 25 A. Yes.

 26 Q. Did you ever

 27 in-20 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Did your sister used to

 - 27 inappropriate about Mr. Jackson hugging any member
 - 28 of your family? 9135
 - 1 A. No.
 - 2 Q. Did you ever think it was inappropriate to
 - 3 see any member of your family hug Mr. Jackson?
 - 4 A. No.
 - 5 Q. Now, you said your sister would sometimes
 - 6 stay in Mr. Jackson's room, correct?
 - 7 A. Yes.

- 8 Q. And how often do you recall that happening?
- 9 A. I remember it just within that first trip we
- 10 were there. So it was -- it was, you know, three or
- 11 four nights or something like that.
- 12 Q. And you mentioned Brandy. Is that who you
- 13 mentioned?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Who was Brandy again?
- 16 A. She was Michael Jackson's niece.
- ...at's the largest number of 20 saw stay in Mr. Jackson's room, 21 A. Yeah, probably four to five. 22 Q. And what do you recall the 23 his room? 24 A. Well, he 25 +' 1) Q. You saw Brandy staying in his room?

 - 19 Q. What's the largest number of kids you ever
 - 20 saw stay in Mr. Jackson's room, if you remember?

 - 22 Q. And what do you recall the children doing in

 - 24 A. Well, before we went to sleep, same sort of
 - 25 things. We'd play video games, watch movies. Have
 - 26 pillow fights. You know, yeah.
 - 27 Q. Did you ever see anything of a sexual nature
 - 28 between Mr. Jackson and any of those children? 9136
 - 1 A. Never.
 - 2 Q. Now, the prosecutor mentioned Macaulay
 - 3 Culkin. Did you get to know Macaulay Culkin at
 - 4 Neverland?
 - 5 A. Yeah. I mean, we hung out a little bit,

- 6 yes.
- 7 Q. Was that where you first met him?
- 8 A. I think I might have met him on a -- on a
- 9 set of a commercial or one of his videos or -- or,
- 10 no, I think I met him on "Black or White" the first
- 11 time, the music video.
- 12 Q. Did you stay in contact with Mr. Culkin?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Is he someone you communicate with on a

- Now, would you see Mr. Culkin's

 18 family at Neverland?

 19 A. Other than his brother Kieran, I don't

 20 remember.

 21 Q. And approximately how o'

 22 do you think?

 23 A

 - - 21 Q. And approximately how old was his brother,
 - 23 A. At that time, he would have been, I guess,
 - 24 nine or ten, or something like that.
 - 25 Q. Have you seen Mr. Jackson hug other children
 - 26 at Neverland?
 - 27 A. Yes.
 - 28 Q. Have you seen other children hug Mr. Jackson 9137
 - 1 at Neverland?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. Have you ever thought any of this was

- 4 inappropriate?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Have you seen Mr. Jackson kiss children at
- 7 Neverland?
- 8 A. On the cheek, yes. Or on the head, or on
- 9 the top of the head, something like that.
- 10 Q. Ever seen kids kiss Mr. Jackson?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Any of that ever look inappropriate to you?
- D A. No.
- Ju seen lots

 Verland on occasion?

 16 A. Yes.

 17 Q. And what do you mean?

 18 A. I think we were the

 19 of his gatheria

 20 thing and

 21 14 Q. Have you seen lots of children visit

 - 18 A. I think we were there once when he had one
 - 19 of his gatherings, like a Heal the World Foundation
 - 20 thing where he had a bunch of kids come up there
 - 21 and -- you know, and have the day there.
 - 22 Q. And how many kids are you talking about, do
 - 23 you think?
 - 24 A. Probably about 100 or 50. 75 to 100,
 - 25 something like that.
 - 26 Q. Were there adults with those children?
 - 27 A. Yes.
 - 28 Q. And you said, "Heal the World." What did 9138
 - 1 that mean to you?

- 2 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as exceeding
- 3 the scope of the direct examination, and irrelevant,
- 4 and beyond the scope of his knowledge.
- 5 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 6 You may answer.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
- 8 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Yeah. What was "Heal the
- 9 World," as far as you remember?
- 10 A. As far as I knew, it was a foundation or a
- In charity that Michael had created that, you know,
- 12 raised money for kids with illnesses. I don't know
 - 13 exactly what kind, but --
- money f

 exactly what ki

 14 Q. Did you interd

 15 visited that day?

 16 A. I may have,

 17 met a cour

 18 Q. F 14 Q. Did you interact with any of these kids that

 - 16 A. I may have, yeah, I mean, waved at them or
 - 17 met a couple of them or something like that.
 - 18 Q. Did you see Mr. Jackson hugging other

 - 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 Q. Did you see them hugging him?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. Did you see Mr. Jackson kiss children?
 - 24 A. Yeah.
 - 25 Q. Have you seen them kiss him?
 - 26 A. Yes.
 - 27 Q. Ever seen anything inappropriate?
 - 28 A. No. 9139

- 1 Q. Did you see Mr. Jackson hug adults who were
- 2 with those children?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you see adults hug Mr. Jackson who were
- 5 with those children?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Ever think any of that was inappropriate?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Now, the prosecutor for the government asked
- 10 you questions about whether he touched your hair.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 A. 12 Q. Dc 13 hair? 14 A. 15 -12 Q. Do you recall Mr. Jackson ever touching your

 - 14 A. I can't recall an exact thing, but it seems
 - 15 like something he might have done at some point.
 - 16 Q. Do you ever recall Mr. Jackson doing
 - 17 anything inappropriate with your hair?
 - 18 A. No.
 - 19 Q. Ever seen Mr. Jackson touch another child on
 - 20 the head?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Have you seen that many times?
 - 23 A. Many times.
 - 24 Q. Did it ever seem like anything inappropriate
 - 25 was going on when you saw that?
 - 26 A. No.

- 27 Q. The prosecutor asked about disobedience at
- 28 Neverland, and you said you did see kids act up 9140
- 1 sometimes?
- 2 A. Yeah, I mean, act up as far as kids go. I
- 3 mean, you know, driving golf carts around and
- 4 throwing water balloons at each other, and things
- 5 that, I guess, I don't know, maybe parents would get
- 6 upset about at some point, but that was the extent
- 7 of it.
- Q. And did you engage in some of that, too?
- res.

 10 Q. Did you ever see Mich

 11 balloon fight with kids?

 12 A. Yes.

 13 Q. Ever see kid

 14 Michaeld 10 Q. Did you ever see Michael Jackson in a water

 - 13 Q. Ever see kids throw water balloons at

 - 16 Q. Ever see Michael throw water balloons at
 - 17 kids?
 - 18 A. Yes.
 - 19 Q. Did you ever see Michael in golf carts with
 - 20 kids?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. When you used to play at Neverland during
 - 23 the day, would Michael often be with you?
 - 24 A. Yes.

- 25 Q. And what would Michael do with you?
- 26 A. We'd go on rides together, you know, where
- 27 we'd drive around in the golf cart together, look at
- 28 animals together, watch movies together. 9141
- 1 Q. Did you see Mr. Jackson act in a similar way
- 2 with other children?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Ever see anything inappropriate go on when
- 5 he was doing any of these things?
- ο A. No.
 - 7 Q. Now, how often do you recall your mother
 - 8 going to Neverland with you?
- 9 A. It's been every time except for that one
- 10 time that I spoke of when I was there with Jordie
- 11 Chandler and Macaulay and I.
- 12 Q. What do you recall seeing your mother do at
- 13 Neverland?
- 14 A. A lot of the same things with us.
- 15 Q. Would she sometimes be with Mr. Jackson when
- 16 all the kids were playing?
- 17 A. Oh, yes. She was playing along with us.
- 18 Q. Now, you mentioned visiting an apartment in
- 19 Century City with Mr. Jackson, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what do you recall doing in the
- 22 apartment with Mr. Jackson?

- 23 A. Same sort of things. He had arcade games
- 24 there. You know, candy. We'd eat, we'd watch, you
- 25 know, T.V. shows, Stooges. Hang around, play games,
- 26 you know.
- 27 Q. Did you ever see Mr. Jackson do anything
- 28 inappropriate with any child at that apartment? 9142
- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Where else have you been with Mr. Jackson?
- Ley apartment. Sheraton
 and stayed at my place once.

 6 Q. Where was that?

 7 A. That was in Hollywood. It was -- my mother
 8 and I had a condo, and my sister.

 9 Q. Did you see Mr. Jackson do

 10 inappropriate at

 11 A. " 3 A. Like I said, we covered Las Vegas. Westwood
 - apartment, Century City apartment. Sheraton Hotel.

 - 12 Q. Ever seen Mr. Jackson touch any child in a
 - 13 sexual way at any of these locations?
 - 14 A. Never.
 - 15 Q. Did Mr. Jackson ever touch you
 - 16 inappropriately in any of these locations?
 - 17 A. No.
 - 18 Q. Now, have you been following media reporting
 - 19 in this case?
 - 20 A. Yeah. On and off.

- 21 Q. You're aware of allegations that were made
- 22 that Mr. Jackson --
- 23 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as leading
- 24 and exceeding the scope of the direct -- cross.
- 25 THE COURT: I don't know what the question is
- 26 yet.
- 27 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Okay. You've been
- 28 following these reports that somehow Mr. Jackson was 9143
- What do you think (
 4 A. I think it's -5 MR. ZONEN: I'll object.
 6 I'll withdraw the obi
 7 THE WITNESS: T
 8 MR. MEST
 9 I seen inappropriately touching you?

 - Q. What do you think of them?

 4 A. I think it's --

 - 6 I'll withdraw the objection.
 - 7 THE WITNESS: I think it's ridiculous.
 - 8 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.

 - 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 - 11 BY MR. ZONEN:
 - 12 Q. When Mr. Jackson stayed with you at your
 - 13 Hollywood apartment, how old were you?
 - 14 A. I would say 11 or 12.
 - 15 Q. Did he share your bed with you at that time?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. All right. There was one bed that you had
 - 18 in your room; is that correct?

- 19 A. No, it was actually -- it was a -- like a
- 20 futon that was our couch down in the living room.
- 21 Q. So both of you stayed on the couch in the
- 22 living room?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Was that couch your normal residence? Was
- 25 that where you normally slept?
- 26 A. I think that's where I was sleeping at that
- 27 point, yeah.
- 28 Q. You think that's where you were sleeping? 9144
- 2 Q. You
 3 A. Yeah.
 4 Q. Now,
 5 all 2 Q. You slept in other rooms at different times?

 - 4 Q. Now, all those places that you mentioned are
 - 5 all places that you mentioned, a series of places,
 - 6 you had been with Michael Jackson. On all of those
 - 7 occasions you slept in the same bed with him; is
 - 8 that correct?
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. Do you think that's appropriate, for a
 - 11 35-year-old man to be sleeping with an
 - 12 eight-year-old boy?
 - 13 A. I don't see any problem with it.
 - 14 Q. Suppose the 35-year-old man has an obsession
 - 15 for sexually explicit material. Would that change
 - 16 your view?

```
17 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; 352.
```

- 18 MR. ZONEN: It's in evidence.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: Calls for speculation;
- 20 assumes facts not in evidence.
- 21 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the
- 22 objection because of the use of the term
- 23 "obsession."
- 24 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: If you knew that the person,
- 25 the 35-year-old man who was sleeping with an
- 26 eight-year-old boy, possessed a great quantity of
 - graph sexually explicit material, would that cause you
- 28 concern about that person's motivations while he was 9145
- 1 in bed with the boy?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 MR. ZONEN: No further questions.
- 4
- 5 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. MESEREAU:
- 7 Q. If you had known Michael Jackson, as a grown
- 8 man, was reading Playboy, Hustler, Penthouse,
- 9 magazines like that showing naked women, would that
- 10 have concerned you?
- 11 A. No. That's what I was going to say
- 12 afterward. Depends on what kind of material, what
- 13 kind of pornographic material you were talking
- 14 about.

- 15 Q. Would that have concerned you?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.
- 18 MR. ZONEN: May I approach the witness?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.

20

- 21 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. ZONEN:
- 23 Q. I'd like to show you a couple exhibits, 841
- 27 Boys." I'd like you to take a look at a few of the 28 pages. Just go ahead and start turning pages, 9146

 1 please.
 2 Stop there for a mom-

 - 4 side?
 - 5 A. There's a young boy with his legs open and
 - 6 he's naked.
 - 7 Q. All right. The picture prominently displays
 - 8 his genitalia, does it not?
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. That boy looks, to you, to be approximately
 - 11 how old?
 - 12 A. Maybe 11 or 12.

- 13 Q. That's how old you were when you were
- 14 sleeping with Michael Jackson; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Go ahead and flip a couple of more pages, if
- 17 you would.
- 18 You can stop right there, the next page.
- 19 What's the picture on the left show?
- 20 A. Just a young boy who's naked standing on a
- 21 rock.
- 22 Q. His genitalia is prominently displayed in
- 23 that picture; is that correct?
- pictu.
 A. Yes.
 25 Q. Appears th.
 26 the other one?
 27 A. Yes.
 28 Q. Flip 25 Q. Appears that that child is about the same as

 - 28 Q. Flip a couple more pages. Please keep 9147

 - 2 Okay. Stop right there.
 - 3 What's in that two pages, series of two
 - 4 pages?
 - 5 A. There's a boy, about the same age, 11 or 12,
 - 6 who's naked.
 - 7 Q. All right. And in those pictures his
 - 8 genitalia is prominently displayed as well; is that
 - 9 correct?
 - 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. In fact, if you'll take just a second and
- 12 strum through the balance of that book -- you can do
- 13 it fairly rapidly, if you would. You don't have to
- 14 go page by page, but as you wish.
- 15 Is it true, Mr. Robson, that all of the
- 16 pictures in that book are of boys about the same
- 17 age?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. 10, 11, 12 years old?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And that many of the photographs, if not
- 22 mos 23 of bo 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And 26 ger 27 22 most of the photographs, depicted in that book are
 - 23 of boys nude; is that correct?

 - 25 Q. And in fact, in most of those pictures, the
 - 26 genitalia is prominently displayed; is that right?

 - 28 Q. Would you be concerned with a person who 9148
 - 1 possesses a book like that?
 - 2 A. No.
 - 3 Q. Would you be concerned about having your
 - 4 12-year-old child in bed with a person who possesses
 - 5 a book like that?
 - 6 A. No.
 - 7 Q. You would have no such concern?
 - 8 A. No. It's -- to me, it doesn't -- it's not a

- 9 pornographic book. It's sort of, you know -- I
- 10 don't know, just a book.
- 11 Q. I'd like -- and I'd like to show you
- 12 Exhibit 596, please. Take a moment and look at that
- 13 book.
- 14 Let's stop there for a moment.
- 15 That's the first, in fact, picture in that
- 16 book; is that correct?
- 17 A. I didn't notice, no.
- 18 Do you want me to go to the first picture?
- 13 Q. You know, no, you can pick any picture,
- fou k

 J actually.

 21 random.

 22 Right there.

 23 A. Oh, sorr

 24 Q. Is

 25 wh 20 actually. Just go ahead and open the book at

 - 24 Q. Is it a fact, as you look through that book,
 - 25 what is depicted in that book throughout that book
 - 26 are a series of photographs of two men engaged in
 - 27 sex acts with one another?
 - 28 A. Yes. 9149
 - 1 Q. And in fact, the sex acts are all acts of
 - 2 either masturbation, oral sex or sodomy; is that
 - 3 right?
 - 4 A. From what I saw, yes.
 - 5 Q. And sodomy, as you understand, is an act of
 - 6 anal sex; is that correct?

- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would you be concerned about a person who
- 9 possesses that book crawling into bed with a
- 10 ten-year-old boy?
- 11 A. Yes, I guess so.
- 12 MR. ZONEN: No further questions.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes.

1.5

- 16 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. MESEREAU:
- 18 Q. Mr. Robson, I want to show you Exhibit
- 18 Q. 19 No. c 20 this? 21 A. 22 c 19 No. 841. It says, "Boys Will Be Boys." Do you see

 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. Okay. Now, I'd like you to read the
 - 23 inscription on that book, okay? Read it out loud,
 - 24 if you would.
 - 25 A. Okay. "Look at the true spirit of happiness
 - 26 and joy in these boys' faces. This is the spirit of
 - 27 boyhood, a life I never had and will always dream
 - 28 of. This is the life I want for my children. MJ." 9150
 - 1 Q. Having read that inscription and having
 - 2 looked at this book, would you have any concern
 - 3 being in bed with Michael Jackson if you knew this
 - 4 book was found in his home?

- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Let me show you Exhibit No. 842. Please
- 7 read out loud the inscription on that book.
- 8 A. Is that, "To Michael"? Yeah. "To Michael,
- 9 from your fan. Kiss, kiss, hug, hug, hug, hug.
- 10 Rhonda. 1983."
- 11 Q. You've looked through that book okay? -
- 12 and it says, "The Boy; A photographic Essay," right?
- 13 A. I didn't look through that book.
- showed you, and in you would have a problem being showed you, and in you would have a problem being shown base the second shows and the inscription. The second shows and the inscription. The second shows a second sho 14 Q. Okay. Why don't you look through this book
 - 15 the prosecutor showed you, and please say whether or
 - 16 not you would have a problem being in the same
 - 17 bedroom with Michael Jackson based upon what you see

 - 20 Q. Okay. Now, let me show you -- let me show
 - 21 you Exhibit No. 596 that the prosecutor showed you.
 - 22 Just read the cover, if you would.
 - 23 A. "Man, A Sexual Study of Man. Illustrated
 - 24 With Photographs and Art Prints."
 - 25 Q. Okay. Now, you've seen those photographs,
 - 26 and you've said you were somewhat disturbed by the
 - 27 pictures, right?
 - 28 A. Well, I wasn't disturbed by the pictures. 9151
 - 1 Q. Well, if you -- if you read this book, and
 - 2 it appeared to be a book dealing with male sexuality

- 3 in all different areas, and you knew that this book
- 4 existed with hundreds of editions of Hustler,
- 5 Playboy, Penthouse --
- 6 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as leading.
- 7 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: -- would that bother you?
- 8 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 You may answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it?
- 12 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Sure.
- 13 Let's assume that you learned that Michael
 - 14 Jackson had ten years' worth of Hustler, Playboy,
- 15 Penthouse okay? magazines, heterosexual-type
- 16 magazines, and let's assume that -- have you ever
 17 seen Mr. Jackson's library?
 18 A. Yes.
 19 Q. How many books do you think are in there?
 20 A. Thousands.

 - 21 Q. And let's suppose in the middle of all those
 - 22 books you found, "A Sexual Study of Man, Illustrated
 - 23 With Photographs and Art Prints," okay?
 - 24 A. Yes.
 - 25 Q. Putting all this together, would being in
 - 26 bed with Mr. Jackson concern you?
 - 27 A. No.
 - 28 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions. 9152

- 1 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. ZONEN:
- 3 Q. Of course nobody's told you where this book
- 4 comes from, did they?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 MR. SNEDDON: Ron?
- 7 THE COURT: It's time for the break.
- 8 MR. ZONEN: It's not quarter to.
- 9 THE COURT: My bailiff told me.
- 10 (Recess taken.)

- Thank you.

 13 MR. MESEREAU: I'm going to object that the
 14 questions have become cumulative and there's no
 15 foundation for it to go any further.
 16 BAILIFF CORTEZ: Your microphore
 17 sir.
 18 MR

 - 19 this is not a character witness.
 - 20 MR. ZONEN: I don't recall which question
 - 21 he's referring to.
 - 22 THE COURT: I'm looking for it myself.
 - 23 I don't have a question.
 - 24 THE REPORTER: Would you like me to read the
 - 25 last question, Judge?
 - 26 MR. ZONEN: I'm prepared to start with a new
 - 27 question.

28 THE COURT: All right. 9153

- 1 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Mr. Robson, the three books
- 2 that are in front of you that you've already taken a
- 3 look at, I'd like to go back over the inscription
- 4 that -- 842 is a book, and you were asked to read
- 5 that inscription out loud.
- 6 Take a look at that inscription again, would
- 7 you, please?
- 8 A. Do you want me to read it again?
- Q. No, you don't need to read it again.
 - 10 Go to the last word, which is the name.
- No,

 J Go to t

 11 What is t

 12 A. Rhonda.

 13 Q. Notice

 14 A. No

 15 C 11 What is the name on that?

 - 13 Q. Notice anything unusual about the name?

 - 15 Q. Do you notice it's written with quotations
 - 16 on both sides of it?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Doesn't that usually mean that that's not
 - 19 the name when somebody writes it in quotation marks?
 - 20 A. Not that I know of.
 - 21 Q. Have you ever written your name in quotation
 - 22 marks?
 - 23 A. No.
 - 24 Q. That's actually from somebody who's not
 - 25 named Rhonda, right?

- 26 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; calls for
- 27 speculation.
- 28 THE COURT: Sustained. 9154
- 1 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: The three books I've given
- 2 you so far to take a look at, they all feature
- 3 either boys or adult men, predominantly nude, and
- 4 the one on adult men is engaged in sex acts; is that
- 5 correct?

- District of the second of the

 - 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 16 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: I'll start with the first
 - 17 picture. What do you see right there?
 - 18 A. I see in the center of the picture, it's a
 - 19 drawing. And there's a naked man with his genitalia
 - 20 exposed, and there's kangaroos on either side.
 - 21 Q. Okay. Go ahead and proceed.
 - 22 You can keep going. Just keep going through
 - 23 it.

- 24 You can stop.
- 25 What you've seen so far are all pictures of
- 26 naked men; is that right?
- 27 A. Yes.
- 28 Q. And pictures with their genitalia 9155
- 1 prominently displayed; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Some of these are bondage pictures, are they
- 4 not?
- 6 MR. ZONEN: It's cross-examination, Your

- 11 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Is that correct? Like that
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Would you consider this to be homoerotic
- 15 material?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Exhibit No. 590-B, take a look at that,
- 18 please. Tell us the title.
- 19 A. "Before the Hand of Man."
- 20 Q. Go ahead and take a look at the content of
- 21 that book.

Jection; leading

Jonen: It's cross-examination

Honor.

MR. MESEREAU: Assumes facts not in

evidence.

10 THE COURT: Overruled

11 Q. BY MR. 70

12 r.

- 22 That's enough.
- 23 Pictures of naked young men; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q. Would you consider that to be homoerotic
- 27 material?

- . look at this more as sort of -- it's

 the photography and it's more of an art boc

 Q. Do you think it's the background setting

 that makes it unique?

 A. Unique?

 Q. Well, they're all naked "

 8 right?

 9 A. Yes.

 10 Q 2 A. I look at this more as sort of -- it's about
 - the photography and it's more of an art book, to me.

 - 7 Q. Well, they're all naked men in it; is that

 - 11 You can stop.
 - 12 Those are all pictures of boys and men, all
 - 13 nude; is that correct?
 - 14 A. I didn't see any boys. I saw men.
 - 15 Q. How old do you think is the youngest person
 - 16 you've seen in this book so far?
 - 17 A. Maybe 19. 18, 19.
 - 18 Q. Teenaged?
 - 19 A. Yeah.

- 20 Q. Okay. They're all about that age or a
- 21 little bit older; is that right?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Do you think this person is 19?
- 24 A. 18, 19, yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. And in fact, in each one of them,
- 26 genitalia is prominently displayed; is that correct?
- 27 A. Yes.
- 28 Q. Take a look at this book, please, No. 590-A. 9157

You can stop here.

- 2 W.
 3 the pag
 4 you see?
 5 A. Ther
 6 they'r
 7 Q. Ir
 8 dep
 9 A. 2 What are you taking a look at? Particularly
 - 3 the page you're focused on at the moment, what do

 - 5 A. There's two naked men and it looks like
 - 6 they're about to kiss each another.
 - 7 Q. In this book, in fact, each of the pictures
 - 8 depict two naked men; is that right?

 - 10 Q. And in each instance, both of them are doing
 - 11 something with one another of a sexual nature; is
 - 12 that right?
 - 13 A. No.
 - 14 Q. They're hugging, they're touching, they're
 - 15 caressing?
 - 16 A. They're posing.
 - 17 Q. They're posing. And they're all naked?

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Would you consider this to be homoerotic
- 20 art?
- 21 A. Mind if I look a little more?
- 22 Q. Go ahead.
- 23 A. Yeah, it's -- I don't think it's so erotic.
- 24 It seems more loving in a way, like not so much
- 25 about sex.
- 26 Q. Well, how about the one you just turned to?
- (2) Where is his hand?
- 1 Q. Okay.
 2 right now.
 3 Mr. Robsc
 4 posse
 5 1 23 A. Down his pants. 9158
 - 1 Q. Okay. You can go ahead and close that one

 - 3 Mr. Robson, are you concerned about a man
 - 4 possessing these seven books being in bed with a
 - 5 12-year-old boy?
 - 6 A. If it was a man I didn't know, maybe. But
 - 7 not Michael.
 - 8 Q. Is that because you view Mr. Jackson as
 - 9 being, for the most part, asexual?
 - 10 A. No.
 - 11 Q. Because you believe that he doesn't really
 - 12 have a sexual interest?
 - 13 A. I believe that he has a sexual interest in
 - 14 women.
 - 15 Q. Did you know that he possessed these

- 16 magazines?
- 17 MR. MESEREAU: Objection, Your Honor, he
- 18 didn't let the witness complete his answer.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Could the witness complete
- 21 his answer, Your Honor?
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 THE WITNESS: I believe that he has a sexual
- 24 interest in women.

- 27 Q. These books don't suggest otherwise?
 28 A. Not necessarily. 9159

 1 Q. All right. Let's go to some other side of
 2 the counter.
 3 Exhibit No. 575, have vo...
 4 magazine.

 - 5 A. No.
 - 6 Q. Not that specific one. A magazine of that
 - 7 nature?
 - 8 A. Of that nature, yes.
 - 9 Q. Okay. And go ahead and turn through it.
 - 10 You can stop there. You don't need to go
 - 11 too much further.
 - 12 This magazine depicts naked women, or a
 - 13 woman in this case, inserting things inside of her;

- 14 is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You would consider this to be very
- 17 graphic --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- in terms of sexually explicit material?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Exhibit No. 520, tell us the title of that.
- 22 A. "Hard Rock Affair."
- 23 Q. Okay. Go ahead and turn through a few
- 24 pages.
 - 25 You don't have to turn any further.
- 26 That magazine depicts graphic sexual
- \bigcap 27 pictures of a man and a woman engaged in acts of
 - 28 intercourse and oral sex; is that right? 9160
 - 1 A. Yes.
 - $2\ \mathrm{Q.}$ You would consider this to be pretty much as
 - 3 hard-core as sexually graphic material goes; is that
 - 4 right?
 - 5 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Misstates the
 - 6 evidence; 352.
 - 7 MR. ZONEN: I asked him what he considered.
 - 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 9 You may answer.
 - 10 THE WITNESS: That's as hard-core as it goes,
 - 11 is that what you asked?

- 12 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Yes.
- 13 A. No, not as hard-core as it goes.
- 14 Q. What could be more than that?
- 15 A. I've seen crazy things, crazy bondage
- 16 things, all sorts of stuff.
- 17 Q. You saw the bondage in the last publication;
- 18 is that right?
- 19 A. Yeah, but they weren't really doing
- 20 anything.
- when it gets into, you know, really
 24 unusual stuff.
 25 Q. What's the title of this one? The magazine
 26 that we have here is 522.
 27 A. "Double Dicking Caroline"
 28 Q. Go ahead and 21 Q. All right. You would consider bondage to be

 - 1 Okay. That's fine.
 - 2 These are all photographs of a man and a
 - 3 woman engaged in pretty much anything a man and a
 - 4 woman can do; is that right?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. Including acts of intercourse, acts of oral
 - 7 sex, and the picture right in front of you, acts of
 - 8 anal sex; is that right?
 - 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. This you would consider to be fairly
- 11 hard-core, would you not?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. The title of this one, please? No. 510.
- 14 A. "Stiff Dick Lynn." Or "for Lynn," sorry.
- 15 Q. "For Lynn"?
- 16 A. "Stiff Dick for Lynn."
- 17 Q. Let's get our prepositions right.
- 18 A. Get it right, yeah.
- 19 Q. Go ahead and turn the page, if you would.
- 20 A. I never thought I'd have a room of people
 - 21 watching me do this.
- 22 Q. That's enough.
- 23 You would agree that this is a depiction of 24 a man and a woman engaged in virtually every 25 variation that a man and woman can do with a 26 another; is that right?

 27 A. Yes.
 - 24 a man and a woman engaged in virtually every
 - 25 variation that a man and woman can do with one

 - 28 Q. Sexually. 9162
 - 1 The collective material that you have just
 - 2 been shown does not cause you a moment of pause when
 - 3 you think about the prospect of this person who
 - 4 possesses all of this crawling into bed with a
 - 5 ten-year-old boy?
 - 6 A. No.
 - 7 Q. And you would allow a child to crawl into

- 8 bed with such a person?
- 9 A. If I knew the person, yes.
- 10 Q. If you knew them?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Your own child, you'd have no problem
- 13 sleeping with a 35-, 40-year-old man?
- 14 A. If I knew the person well, no.
- 15 MR. ZONEN: No further questions.

16

- 20 A. Yes.
 21 Q. That's your fiancee right there, correct?
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. You are heterosexual, corr
 24 A. Yes.
 25 Q. "

 - 25 Q. You are a close friend of Michael, correct?
 - 26 A. Yes.
 - 27 Q. By the way, did Michael Jackson ever -- oh,
 - 28 I'll ask from there. 9163
 - 1 When you were a young child, did Michael
 - 2 Jackson ever show you any sexually explicit
 - 3 material?
 - 4 A. No.
 - 5 Q. Did you ever see Michael Jackson show

- 6 sexually explicit material to any child?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Now, let me show you again
- 11 Exhibit No. 841. Do you see that?

- chance to flip through

 les.

 16 Q. Okay. Let me show you again Exhibit No.
 17 596. It says, "A Sexual Study of Man." Do you see
 18 that?
 19 A. Yes.
 20 Q. Have you had a chance to fl:
 21 book?
 22 A. Yes.
 23 Q. C.

 - 24 842, "A boy; A Photographic Essay," okay? And
 - 25 that's the one with the inscription, "To Michael,
 - 26 from your loving fan, Rhonda," okay?
 - 27 A. Yes.
 - 28 Q. And have you had a chance to flip through 9164
 - 1 that book?
 - 2 A. Yes.
 - 3 Q. In fact, you see young children with rather

- 4 innocent photographs of young boys, correct?
- 5 MR. ZONEN: I'm going to object as leading,
- 6 Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Innocent photographs of
- 9 young boys in various situations, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. You see a young boy hanging from a
- 12 tree, right?
- To A. Yes.
- ang boy sitting out:

 16 A. Yes.

 17 Q. See young boys on a beach, right?

 18 A. Yes.

 19 Q. Okay. Now, let's go

 20 material the 14 Q. You see a young boy sitting outside a door,

 - - 19 Q. Okay. Now, let's go to -- quickly, to the
 - 20 material the prosecutor for the government showed
 - 21 you, okay? He showed you some magazines with
 - 22 heterosexual activity, correct?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Okay. Have you seen one book that depicts
 - 25 child pornography in that group?
 - 26 A. No.
 - 27 MR. ZONEN: I believe there was a Court
 - 28 restriction on the use of that word, Your Honor, one 9165
 - 1 initiated by the defense. Unless that reservation

- 2 is finished.
- 3 MR. MESEREAU: He's correct. And I made a
- 4 mistake using the word. I'll withdraw it, and I
- 5 apologize.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. The problem is that
- 7 sometimes it's an appropriate word to use and
- 8 sometimes it's not. But the jury's been instructed
- 9 on it. And so if you want to rephrase it, that's
- 10 fine.
- II MR. MESEREAU: Okay.
- 12 Q. In those books that the prosecutor for the
- 13 gov 14 right 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. You 17 Sey 18 13 government showed you, you see books about men,

 - 16 Q. You see one book that says, "A Study of Male
 - 17 Sexuality" and shows some sexual acts between men,
 - 18 correct?

 - 20 Q. And he showed you a number of magazines
 - 21 involving sexual activity between men and women,
 - 22 correct?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Okay. Has he shown you one book involving
 - 25 children having sex?
 - 26 A. No.
 - 27 Q. Has he shown you one book where a man is
 - 28 having sex with a child? 9166

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. The prosecutor tried to suggest that Mr.
- 3 Jackson is asexual. Do you remember that question?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you believe he's asexual?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Have you seen Mr. Jackson with women in your
- 8 lifetime?
- 9 A. With what kind of woman? A woman that he's

 - - 18 A. Never.
 - 19 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.
 - 20
 - 21 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 - 22 BY MR. ZONEN:
 - 23 Q. Mr. Robson, when did you first learn that
 - 24 Michael Jackson possessed material of the nature
 - 25 that's before you right now?
 - 26 A. Right now I did.

- 27 Q. All the years that you have known Michael --
- 28 A. Actually, no one's told me where this came 9167
- 1 from.
- 2 Q. Assuming this comes from Michael Jackson's
- 3 residence.
- 4 A. Assuming it does, this is the first I know.
- 5 Q. All right. And you had never, ever known
- 6 that Mr. Jackson collected sexually explicit
- / material?
- o A. No.
- 9 Q. T.
 10 just
 11 A. Yes.
 12 Q. You
 13 eff
 14 9 Q. This is something new that you're learning
 - 10 just today; is that right?

 - 12 Q. You're telling us that this would have no
 - 13 effect at all on your belief that this bears on some
 - 14 suitability for him sleeping with ten-year-old boys?

 - 16 Q. You knew that there were a succession of
 - 17 ten-year-old boys that he slept with, didn't you?
 - 18 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Misstates the
 - 19 evidence; the Court ruling.
 - 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
 - 21 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Did you know about other
 - 22 children that he had slept with?
 - 23 A. No.
 - 24 Q. Never?

- 25 A. No.
- 26 Q. Did you know that he was sleeping with Brett
- 27 Barnes?
- 28 A. No. 9168
- 1 Q. Did you know that he was sleeping with
- 2 Macaulay Culkin?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you know that he was sleeping with
- 5 Jordie Chandler?
- ZONEN: No further quest

 8

 9 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

 10 BY MR. MESEREAU:

 11 Q. You actually ~

 12 from tim~

 13 * 7 MR. ZONEN: No further questions.

 - 11 Q. You actually saw kids sleeping in his room

 - 13 A. Yeah. When he was present as well, yeah.
 - 14 Q. And Macaulay Culkin was there as well,
 - 15 correct?
 - 16 A. Yeah.
 - 17 Q. Never saw anything inappropriate happen,
 - 18 right?
 - 19 A. No.
 - 20 Q. Has anything this prosecutor for the
 - 21 government has said to you changed your opinion of
 - 22 Michael Jackson?

```
23 A. Not at all.
```

- 24 Q. Does it change your opinion as to whether or
- 25 not he ever did anything inappropriate with a child?
- 26 A. Not at all.
- 27 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.
- 28 MR. ZONEN: I have no questions. 9169
- 1 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
- 2 Call your next witness.
- witness box?

 AT: Yes.

 6 THE BAILIFF: Oh, I'll get it for you.

 7 THE COURT: When you get to the witness
 8 stand, please remain standing.

 9 Face the clerk over here

 10 right hand.

 11 3 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, may I remove the

 - 9 Face the clerk over here and raise your

 - 12 BRETT CHRISTOPHER BARNES
 - 13 Having been sworn, testified as follows:
 - 14
 - 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
 - 16 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
 - 17 spell your name for the record.
 - 18 THE WITNESS: My whole name?
 - 19 THE CLERK: Yes, please.
 - 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's Brett Christopher

- 21 Barnes. B-r-e-double t; C-h-r-i-s-t-o-p-h-e-r;
- 22 B-a-r-n-e-s.
- 23 THE CLERK: Thank you.

24

- 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 26 BY MR. MESEREAU:
- 27 Q. Mr. Barnes, how old are you?
- 28 A. I'm 23 years old. 9170
- I Q. Where is your home?
- 2 A. Melbourne, Australia.
- Q. And what kind of work do you do?

 4 A. Right now I'm unemployed. I actually had to
- 5 quit my job to come here.
 6 Q. Okay. And what job is
 7 A. I was a roulette deales
 8 Q. In Melbourne?
 9 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. Okay. And what job is that?
 - 7 A. I was a roulette dealer at the casino.

 - 10 Q. Okay. Do you know the fellow seated to my
 - 11 right at counsel table?
 - 12 A. Absolutely.
 - 13 Q. Who is he?
 - 14 A. It's my good friend Michael Jackson.
 - 15 Q. And you say your good friend. How long have
 - 16 you known him?
 - 17 A. Since I was five.
 - 18 Q. How did you meet him?

- 19 A. I actually -- I was a big -- well, I was as
- 20 big a fan as you can be at that age, of his, and he
- 21 was in Melbourne for the "Bad" tour. And so my mom
- 22 thought it would be a good idea for us to write a
- 23 letter. My sister wrote the letter, but put it in
- 24 my name as if I was writing the letter.
- 25 And we went to meet him -- well, see him at
- 26 the airport when he touched down. And while we were
- 27 there, we gave the letter to one of his dancers, and
- 2ϵ then we left the airport after it was all over. 9171
- 1 And I'm not sure exactly how much time
- 2 passed, but after a little while, he -- we received
- 3 a phone call from him, and ever since then we've
- 4 been really good friends.
- 5 Q. And is he a friend of your family?
- 6 A. Absolutely.
- 7 Q. Okay. Have you ever visited Neverland
- 8 Ranch?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. When did you first visit Neverland Ranch?
- 11 A. It would have been December of '91.
- 12 Q. And do you remember why you visited
- 13 Neverland?
- 14 A. Because he was there, and it's his house, I
- 15 guess.
- 16 Q. Who did you go with?

- 17 A. My whole family went, my mother and my
- 18 father and my sister and myself.
- 19 Q. Did you stay at Neverland?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. How many times do you think you visited
- 22 Neverland?
- 23 A. Too many to remember. Probably -- it would

- ... More than ten tim
 ...any times do you think you'v
 ...ed over at Neverland?

 27 A. Every time.

 28 Q. Okay. Did you ever stay in Michael 9172

 1 Jackson's room?

 2 A. Yes, I have.

 3 Q. How many times d

 4 that?

 5 A.

 - 6 Q. And how would you describe his room?
 - 7 A. It's big. It's pretty cool because it's got
 - 8 lots of fun stuff to do there. Video games, such as
 - 9 stuff like that. And it's probably the best as I
 - 10 can describe it.
 - 11 Q. Have you ever stayed in Michael Jackson's
 - 12 bed?
 - 13 A. Yes, I have.
 - 14 Q. How many times do you think you have?

- 15 A. Countless as well.
- 16 Q. Has Mr. Jackson ever molested you?
- 17 A. Absolutely not. And I can tell you right
- 18 now that if he had, I wouldn't be here right now.
- 19 Q. Has Mr. Jackson ever touched you in a sexual
- 20 way?
- 21 A. Never. I wouldn't stand for it.
- 22 Q. Has Mr. Jackson ever touched any part of
- 23 your body in a way that you thought was
- 24 inappropriate?
- ver. It

 would stand f

 27 Q. When you st.

 28 A. Uh-huh. 9173

 1 Q. -- on
 2 ther

 2 25 A. Never. It's not the type of thing that I

 - 27 Q. When you stayed in Mr. Jackson's bed --

 - 1 Q. -- on any of those occasions was anyone else

 - 4 Q. Who?
 - 5 A. Well, I can't -- see, I was pretty young at
 - 6 the time, so I can't remember exactly. But I know
 - 7 my sister as being there, his cousins have been
 - 8 there. And -- yeah, Macaulay has actually been
 - 9 there as well, Macaulay Culkin. So there's been a
 - 10 few people.
 - 11 Q. What is the longest period you think you've
 - 12 ever stayed at Neverland?

- 13 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't remember
- 14 really.
- 15 Q. Would it be a week, or three days?
- 16 A. Longer than that.
- 17 Q. Longer than that?
- 18 A. Yeah. It would probably be a couple weeks,
- 19 maybe a month at Neverland at one time.
- 20 Q. And what do you recall doing at Neverland
- 21 during the times you stayed there?
- 22 A. Playing arcade games. Going
- park rides. And watching plenty of 25 movies, plenty of cartoons. Eating very good 26 Q. Do you consider Michael Jackson to be your 27 family friend?
 28 A. Absolutely. 9174 23 ATV/motorbike-riding around the property. Going on

 - 25 movies, plenty of cartoons. Eating very good food.

 - 2 A. Absolutely.
 - 3 Q. And how do you typically communicate with
 - 4 Michael Jackson?
 - 5 A. Like he's a member of the family. Just
 - 6 always had warm conversations, reminisce about old
 - 7 times.
 - 8 Q. Are you familiar with a Jacuzzi at
 - 9 Neverland?
 - 10 A. Yeah.

- 11 Q. Ever been in the Jacuzzi?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. Do you recall Michael Jackson ever being in
- 14 the Jacuzzi with you?
- 15 A. I don't recall. He possibly could have, but
- 16 I don't really remember.
- 17 Q. Do you recall ever taking a shower with
- 18 Michael Jackson?
- 19 A. Never.
- 20 Q. Now, have you visited Neverland without your
- 21 parents being with you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 22 A 23 Q. 1 24 that? 25 A. I+ 26 g² 27 23 Q. And how many times do you think you've done

 - 25 A. It wouldn't be that many. But I couldn't
 - 26 give you a ballpark figure even.
 - 27 Q. Now, have you followed this case in the
 - 28 media? 9175
 - 1 A. No, I haven't.
 - 2 Q. Okay.
 - 3 A. I chose not to.
 - 4 Q. Are you aware of any allegations being made
 - 5 that Mr. Jackson inappropriately touched you when
 - 6 you were with him?
 - 7 A. Yes, I am. And I'm very mad about that.
 - 8 Q. You're mad about it?

- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Why?
- 11 A. Because it's untrue, and they're putting my
- 12 name through the dirt. And I'm really, really,
- 13 really not happy about it.
- 14 MR. MESEREAU: No further questions.
- 15
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. ZONEN:
- Dider it molested?

 Dider it molested?

 21 Q. All right. And why would it be a 22 for somebody to have been molested?

 23 A. Well, a child is -
 24 MR. MESEREAU: Objection

 25 speculation:

 26 Tr. 18 Q. Mr. Barnes, do you consider it disgraceful

 - 21 Q. All right. And why would it be a disgrace

 - 24 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. This calls for

 - 27 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: How old were you when you
 - 28 first started sharing a bed with Michael Jackson? 9176
 - 1 A. Couldn't tell you. Don't really remember.
 - 2 Q. How old were you when you first started
 - 3 visiting Michael Jackson?
 - 4 A. When I first started visiting, I was nine.
 - 5 Q. And you visited Neverland with whom?
 - 6 A. With my parents.

- 7 Q. Both your mother and your father?
- 8 A. And my sister as well.
- 9 Q. Your sister as well. And how long did you
- 10 stay at Neverland?
- 11 A. The first time?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. I don't exactly remember.
- 14 Q. Did you stay over the week?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- a regular

 ...an't say regular, but, yeah, it was

 19 quite frequent.

 20 Q. Did you visit Neverland at least once a year

 21 thereafter?

 22 A. Yeah.

 23 Q. And when you wince

 24 alwin

 - - 25 A. Yeah, most of the time.
 - 26 Q. All right. Now, I asked you, I believe, the
 - 27 age the first time you went. Did you answer that
 - 28 question? 9177
 - 1 A. Yeah.
 - 2 Q. And you said what? About nine?
 - 3 A. Yeah.
 - 4 Q. Okay. And then you would continue to go

- 5 each year thereafter?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Did you sometimes go more than once a year?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And would you stay for longer than one week
- 10 at a time?
- 11 A. Sometimes, I quess, yeah.
- 12 Q. Were there occasions where you would stay
- 13 two or even three weeks?
- To A. So it would be purely speculation.

 18 Q. Would it have been summer vacation; that is,

 19 your summer vacation in Australia?

 20 A. I really couldn't tell you

 21 Q. Did you ever ~

 22 pars 14 A. I was young at the time, so I don't really

 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. So sometimes you would fly all the way from
 - 25 Australia to Neverland, is that right, by yourself?
 - 26 A. Absolutely.
 - 27 Q. Were you much older than nine years old when
 - 28 you did that? 9178
 - 1 A. I couldn't tell you. But -- well, right --
 - 2 yeah, I was older than nine.

- 3 Q. Did you visit in your tenth year and your
- 4 eleventh year and your twelfth year as well?
- 5 A. I really couldn't tell you that.
- 6 Q. Did you ever visit in your thirteenth year
- 7 and your fourteenth year?
- 8 A. I don't remember much about ages.
- 9 Q. You can't tell us how old you were when you
- 10 stopped visiting Neverland?
- 11 A. I still -- I continue to visit to this day.
- 12 Q. Do you still sleep with Michael Jackson?
- i3 A. No, I don't.
- 14 Q. How old were you when you stopped sleeping
- 15 with Michael Jackson?
- 16 A. I couldn't tell you that.
 17 Q. Why don't you still sleep
 18 Jackson?
 19 A. Well, he's got kids now.
 20 Q. And?
 - 17 Q. Why don't you still sleep with Michael

 - 21 A. And I -- it would be purely speculation if I
 - 22 told you. I could not answer that knowingly,
 - 23 like -- it's just --
 - 24 Q. But during the years that you were visiting
 - 25 Michael Jackson at Neverland, you never stayed
 - 26 anyplace but in his room; is that correct?
 - 27 A. I couldn't tell you.
 - 28 Q. You're telling us that you don't remember if 9179

- 1 you stayed in a guest lodge by yourself or with your
- 2 mother or if you shared a bed with Michael Jackson?
- 3 A. I don't remember staying in the guest lodge
- 4 by myself.
- 5 Q. But it's true, sir, that you stayed
- 6 virtually the entire time in his bedroom; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. And during that time nobody else stayed in
- - 19 A. My sister. Macaulay Culkin. There was
 - 20 Levon and Elijah. There was Frank, Eddie, and
 - 21 Dominick.
 - 22 Q. Was Frank --
 - 23 A. Prince as well.
 - 24 MR. MESEREAU: Objection, he hasn't finished
 - 25 the question.
 - 26 THE WITNESS: His son Prince as well.
 - 27 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Prince? Prince is how old

- 1 A. I'm not quite sure.
- 2 Q. About what, seven?
- 3 A. Yeah. I guess so.
- 4 Q. And how old was Prince when he stayed in the
- 5 room with you and Michael Jackson?
- 6 A. I think he was three.
- 7 Q. All right. So it was about four years ago?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- Q. So you stayed in the room with Michael
 - 10 Jackson when you were 18 years old?

- 14 Q. So you were 19 years old?
- 16 Q. Did you share a bed with him at that time?
- 17 A. Yeah, I did.
- 18 Q. You did. Have you been sharing a bed with
- 19 him consistently from age nine until age 19?
- 20 A. What do you mean by "consistent"?
- 21 Q. Well, in all the times that you visit,
- 22 predominately do you stay in his room, in his bed?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. Did you do it when you were ten years old as
- 25 well?

Jackson when yo

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. You're 22 now?

13 A. 23. 23.

14 Q. So you

15 A. Y

- 26 A. Yeah.
- 27 Q. Did you do it when you were 11 years old as
- 28 well? 9181
- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Most of the times you did it, there was
- 3 nobody else in the room; is that correct?
- 4 A. I guess you could say that.
- 5 Q. Because Macaulay Culkin was only in the room
- 6 with you once or twice; isn't that true?
- TA. I couldn't tell you how many times.
 - $\ensuremath{\text{0}}$ Q. Could he have been in the room 20 or 30
- Juldn't

 2. Could he hav

 9 times?

 10 A. Probably not.

 11 Q. So really it

 12 that righ

 13 A.
 - 11 Q. So really it's closer to once or twice; is

 - 13 A. Yeah, I guess so.
 - 14 Q. All right. And your sister stayed there the
 - 15 first time you visited; is that right?
 - 16 A. Not just the first time.
 - 17 Q. Did she stay there the second time?
 - 18 A. I -- look, I don't really remember.
 - 19 Q. Did your sister share a bed with you and
 - 20 Michael Jackson ever?
 - 21 A. I can't recall.
 - 22 Q. You can't recall if your sister shared a
 - 23 bed?

- 24 A. I can't remember.
- 25 Q. When did your sister stop visiting
- 26 Neverland?
- 27 A. She still visits to this day.
- 28 Q. Does she still share a bed with you and 9182
- 1 Michael Jackson?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. When was the last time your sister shared a
- 4 bed with you and Michael Jackson?
- an't tell y

 riod of time.

 7 Q. Could you have been

 8 A. I couldn't tell you.

 9 Q. Could you have bee

 10 A. I couldn'+

 11 Q. Mr

 12 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know the exact
 - 7 Q. Could you have been 15 or 16 years old?

 - 9 Q. Could you have been ten?

 - 11 Q. Mr. Barnes, the best you can tell us about
 - 12 when your sister last shared a bed with you and
 - 13 Michael Jackson, you have no idea?
 - 14 A. It's not something that I think of. What --
 - 15 why would I try and remember that?
 - 16 Q. Is there --
 - 17 A. Why would I think about it?
 - 18 Q. Is there any other 35-year-old man that you
 - 19 slept with when you were ten years old besides
 - 20 Michael Jackson?
 - 21 A. Yes.

- 22 Q. Who?
- 23 A. My uncle.
- 24 Q. All right. A family member.
- 25 A. Yeah.
- 26 Q. Who else?
- 27 A. That would probably be it. I can't recall
- 28 any others. 9183
- 1 Q. All right. Now, with whom do you live at
- . Now, with cime?

 A. My parents and my sist

 Q. And both your parents?

 A. Yeah.

 Q. And that's in Australia?

 A. Yes.

 Q. All right. Did

 9 Neverland

 10 P

 - 8 Q. All right. Did your father ever visit

 - 11 Q. When your father visited Neverland with you,
 - 12 where did you stay?
 - 13 A. Both in the questhouse and both with him.
 - 14 Q. Both in the guesthouse and with Mr. Jackson?
 - 15 A. I stayed with Mr. Jackson, yeah.
 - 16 Q. Did you ever have a conversation with your
 - 17 father about the propriety of sharing a bed with a
 - 18 35-year-old man?
 - 19 A. Not that I recall.

- 20 Q. Did you ever have a conversation with your
- 21 mother about that, whether that was a wise thing to
- 22 do, to share a bed with a 35-year-old man?
- 23 A. Not that I recall.
- 24 Q. Did he ever show you any sexually explicit
- 25 material?
- 27 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; beyond the scope.
- 28 THE COURT: Overruled. The answer is, 9184
- - 5 Q. Did you ever travel with Michael Jackson

 - 9 A. South America, North America, Africa, and
 - 10 Europe.
 - 11 Q. On those occasions --
 - 12 A. And Australia as well, I'm sorry.
 - 13 Q. On those occasions did you share a bed with
 - 14 him?
 - 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. Were any other people present while you were
 - 17 in the room with him?

- 18 A. I don't recall. I can't -- I can't
- 19 remember.
- 20 Q. Was your mother with you when you traveled
- 21 through South America?
- 22 A. I think so.
- 23 O. You think so?
- 24 A. Look, when I was young, I wasn't really
- 25 thinking about this sort of stuff. I wasn't trying
- 26 to retain in my memory this sort of stuff. And
- remember much of the

 1 all sort of -- it's all sort of meshed i

 2 Q. Mr. Barnes, how old were you when you

 3 traveled through South America with Market A. To tell the truth, I can't the

 5 Q. You can't the (2) what's sad is that I traveled to all these countries
 - and I really don't remember much of them. So it's 9185
 - 1 all sort of -- it's all sort of meshed into one.

 - 3 traveled through South America with Mr. Jackson?
 - 5 Q. You can't tell whether you were 19 or 10?
 - 6 A. Well, I wasn't 19. It was in my middle
 - 7 teens, maybe -- no, it would have been in my
 - 8 early -- early teens.
 - 9 0. 12?
 - 10 A. It could have been possibly 12.
 - 11 Q. So you were 12 or 13. You don't know if
 - 12 your mother was there with you when you traveled
 - 13 through South America?
 - 14 A. She was, actually.
 - 15 Q. In fact, you traveled quite a bit with Mr.

- 16 Jackson without your mother being present; isn't
- 17 that true?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you travel through North America with
- 20 Mr. Jackson without your mother?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And in how many cities through North America
- 23 did you go without your mother and Mr. Jackson?
- 24 A. I'm not too sure.

- .., perhaps eight?

 A. I couldn't tell you.

 27 Q. Was he performing at the time, Mr. Jack.

 28 A. For which? 9186

 1 Q. On the trip through North America, was he

 2 performing?

 3 A. No, he wasn't.

 4 Q. Did vo. 27 Q. Was he performing at the time, Mr. Jackson?

 - 5 performing?
 - 6 A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. Was that -- in South America, was he
 - 8 performing then?
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. And every night after the performance, you
 - 11 would go with him to his room; is that correct?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. And you stayed in his bed that night?

- 14 A. Yep.
- 15 Q. In North America when you traveled, your
- 16 mother was not there?
- 17 A. Not -- well, see, she went to some cities,
- 18 like we all went to some cities, and sometimes we --
- 19 I went. Like on some of the occasions when I was
- 20 gone, coming over here alone, we'd go around. But
- 21 sometimes when my whole family was there, we'd go
- 22 around, too.
- 23 Q. How many times did you come to the United
- 24 States by yourself?
- 25 A. Probably only two or three maybe.
- 26 Q. Anc 27 happen? 28 A. He 26 Q. And did Mr. Jackson arrange for that to

 - 28 A. He arranged for all of our trips. 9187
 - 1 Q. So all of the transportation for you and
 - 2 your family from Australia to Neverland; is that
 - 3 correct?
 - 4 A. Yeah.
 - 5 Q. And then all the travels that you did
 - 6 through the United States and through South America?
 - 7 A. Uh-huh. I was very fortunate.
 - 8 Q. I'm sorry?
 - 9 A. I was very fortunate.
 - 10 Q. When you slept with Mr. Jackson, what
 - 11 generally did you wear?

- 12 A. Sorry?
- 13 Q. When you slept with Mr. Jackson in the same
- 14 bed, what would you wear?
- 15 A. Pajama pants, T-shirt, pajama top sometimes.
- 16 Q. Always?
- 17 A. Well, always pajama pants, always a T-shirt.
- 18 Q. And Mr. Jackson?
- 19 A. Exactly the same thing.
- 20 Q. Always pajamas and pajama bottoms?
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. I'd like to show you a series of photographs
- 23 and 24 this 1 25 A. Yep. 26 Q. Who 27 A. 28 23 and tell me if you recognize them, the people in
 - 24 this photo. Let's start with 893.

 - 26 Q. Who's that?
 - 27 A. That's me and him.
 - 28 Q. Are these Polaroids? 9188
 - 1 A. They look so.
 - 2 Q. Do you remember taking these pictures?
 - 3 A. Not at all.
 - 4 Q. Do you remember where you were?
 - 5 A. Not at all.
 - 6 Q. Can you tell us about how old you were?
 - 7 A. Probably about 11 maybe, 10.
 - 8 Q. I notice you've changed your hairstyle since
 - 9 then, haven't you?

- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You were about nine or ten.
- 12 So this was early on in your meeting with
- 13 Mr. Jackson; is that right?
- 14 A. Yeah, I would say so.
- 15 Q. All right. This is 893, and that has two
- 16 photos on the front, and two photos on the back; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. Yep.
- 19 Q. And that's you in the two photos in the back
- 20 as well?
- 21 A. Yep. 22 Q. All right. 892, is that you as well?

 - 24 Q. And Mr. Jackson?
 - 25 A. Yep. Yep.
 - 26 Q. And the two on the back? Do these appear to
 - 27 have been taken at the same time?
 - 28 A. These? 9189
 - 1 Q. Yes.
 - 2 A. Yeah, I'd say so.
 - 3 Q. 891, who is that?
 - 4 A. That's Michael Jackson.
 - 5 Q. Did you take those pictures?
 - 6 A. Couldn't tell you.
 - 7 Q. They appear to have been taken at the same

- 8 time; no?
- 9 A. Possibly.
- 10 Q. All right. If I were to tell you that they
- 11 were all found together, would that suggest that
- 12 they were all taken at the same time?
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; calls for
- 14 speculation.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Turn it over, if you would,
- 1) to the back side. Three more pictures on the back.
- 19 A. Of Michael Jackson.
- 20 Q. Do you remember those pictures?
- 22 Q. Does that look familiar to you?
- 24 Q. Mr. Barnes, did you take those photographs?
- 25 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't -- I couldn't
- 26 tell you, no.
- 27 Q. 890, two pictures on the front. Would you
- 28 take a look at those two pictures? 9190
- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. One of those is you; is that right?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. You appear to be in bed.
- 5 A. Uh-huh.

are t.

A. Of Michae

20 Q. Do you rem

21 A. Not at all.

22 Q. Does that

23 A. No.

24 Q.

- 6 Q. The other picture is what?
- 7 A. Appears to be Michael Jackson.
- 8 Q. And he's in his underwear; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. 889, is that you?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And anything on the back?
- 13 A. Yep.
- 14 Q. Is that you as well?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- . A
 27 A. Don
 18 you when
 19 Q. Where?
 20 A. They
 21 Q. T'
 22 7 16 Q. All right. Do you remember those pictures?
 - 17 A. Don't remember taking them, but I can tell
 - 18 you where they were taken.

 - 20 A. They were taken at the ranch.
 - 21 Q. They were taken at the ranch?
 - 22 A. In a photo booth.
 - 23 Q. There's a photo booth at the ranch on that
 - 24 one there?
 - 25 A. Yep.
 - 26 Q. Do you recognize the background on any of
 - 27 the other photographs?
 - 28 A. On these? 9191
 - 1 Q. Yes.
 - 2 A. No, I don't. No.
 - 3 Q. Are all of these photographs accurate

- 4 photographs of the subjects depicted within? In
- 5 other words, the photographs of Mr. Jackson really
- 6 are Mr. Jackson and the photographs of you really
- 7 are you?
- 8 A. That one's a bit sketchy, but the rest,
- 9 yeah.
- 10 Q. The one in his underwear?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. You're not sure that's him?
- 13 A. Well, you can't really see the face
- i4 properly.
- 15 Q. 16 did 17 A. No. 18 Q. Th 19 Ca 20 15 Q. Mr. Barnes, before coming from Australia,
 - 16 did you speak with anybody about your testimony?

 - 18 Q. They simply flew you from Australia to
 - 19 California?
 - 20 A. Well, they explained that I'd be a witness.
 - 21 Q. And then they interviewed you after you got
 - 22 here?
 - 23 A. The interview?
 - 24 Q. Yes. You had an interview with an
 - 25 investigator --
 - 26 A. Yes.
 - 27 Q. -- who works for Mr. Mesereau; is that
 - 28 right? 9192
 - 1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And that interview took place after you got
- 3 to California?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- 5 Q. So they had no way of knowing what you were
- 6 going to say while you were still in Australia?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Because they never talked with you at all?
- 9 A. Not about the case, not being a witness. I
- 10 signed a declaration.
- 11 Q. You signed a declaration from where?
- 12 A. From -- I was at home. Melbourne,
- Australia.
- 14 Q. Mel.
 15 A. Yeah.
 16 Q. All
 17 and
 18 14 Q. Melbourne, Australia?

 - 16 Q. All right. So somebody wrote a declaration
 - 17 and they sent it to you, is that right, in
 - 18 Australia?
 - 19 A. Faxed it.
 - 20 Q. I'm sorry?
 - 21 A. Faxed it.
 - 22 Q. They faxed it you. But you had to have
 - 23 talked to somebody to tell them what to put in the
 - 24 declaration, didn't you do that?
 - 25 A. Yes, I did.
 - 26 Q. So you did talk with somebody while you were
 - 27 in Australia?
 - 28 A. Yes. 9193

- 1 Q. Who was the person that you talked with
- 2 while you were in Australia?
- 3 A. It was Brian Oxman and some -- I think it
- 4 might be Scott Ross as well. But I'm not exactly
- 5 sure.
- 6 Q. And then they prepared a declaration, they
- 7 sent it to you; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 MR. ZONEN: Madam Clerk, could I have
- another sticker that says....
- 11 Q. Let me show you 894, four photographs on it.
- 12 MR. MESEREAU: Excuse me, Counsel. Are
- 13 these what I saw before? Are these what you showed
 14 me earlier?
 15 MR. ZONEN: Not the first set. Let me show
 16 you.
 17 Q. 893, would you take a look at that, please,

 - 18 both sides of that?
 - 19 A. Yep.
 - 20 Q. That contains a total of six pictures, two
 - 21 on one side, four on the back; is that right?
 - 22 A. That's correct.
 - 23 Q. And those are all pictures of you, one
 - 24 picture of Mr. Jackson?
 - 25 A. Correct.
 - 26 Q. 894?

- 27 A. Uh-huh.
- 28 O. That's who? 9194
- 1 A. Michael Jackson.
- 2 Q. All four of those pictures?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize the location?
- 5 A. No, I don't.
- 6 Q. You have no recollection of that?
- / A. No.
- A. Quite

 A. Quite

 10 Q. All r.

 11 A. Uh-huh.

 12 Q. Does a

 13 A. Ia

 14 + © Q. Could that have been a hotel you stayed in?
 - 9 A. Quite possibly.
 - 10 Q. All right. 896?

 - 12 Q. Does any of that look familiar to you?
 - 13 A. Is this New York? I don't remember any of
 - 14 these pictures.
 - 15 Q. Do you remember any of those pictures being
 - 16 taken?
 - 17 A. Not at all.
 - 18 Q. And your age, approximately, in those
 - 19 photographs?
 - 20 A. I would have absolutely no idea.
 - 21 Q. You would have no idea at all?
 - 22 A. Well, these ones of me?
 - 23 Q. Yes. You.
 - 24 A. Probably about the same age.

- 25 Q. Okay. Does it appear that those photographs
- 26 were taken about the same time?
- 27 A. Purely speculation, but, yes.
- 28 Q. Is your hairstyle the same as -- 9195
- 1 MR. MESEREAU: Objection; move to strike.
- 2 THE COURT: Stricken. Speculation.
- 3 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Based on your familiarity
- 4 with yourself, does it appear that you are about the
- 5 same age in each of the photographs so far shown to

- you?
 A. Yes. 8 Q. And all those photographs are you with
 - 9 Michael Jackson in a room someplace; is that right?
 - 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. You have no recollection of when those
 - 12 photographs were taken?
 - 13 A. Absolutely not.
 - 14 Q. And the pictures of Mr. Jackson, you have no
 - 15 recollection of actually taking those yourself?
 - 16 A. Absolutely not.
 - 17 Q. Were there many occasions, when you were ten
 - 18 years old, that you traveled by yourself with Mr.
 - 19 Jackson?
 - 20 A. I wouldn't say -- well, I'm not sure I
 - 21 understand the question.
 - 22 Q. Did you travel more than one trip with Mr.

- 23 Jackson when you were about the age of 10 by
- 24 yourself?
- 25 A. Do you mean from my home?
- 26 Q. Yes.
- 27 A. Around?
- 28 I can't really remember. I can't remember 9196
- 1 how many times. It might have been one, might have
- 2 been two. I can't really tell you.
- 3 Q. On the occasions when you traveled with Mr.
- tour, did you go to a tour, did you go to a few forms and the few forms and the few forms and the few forms and the few forms are the few forms and the few forms and the few forms are the few forms are the few forms and the few forms are the few Jackson and he was on tour, did you go to all of the

 - 12 Q. Somebody with whom he was close to. I don't
 - 13 mean an assistant. I mean a friend or a girlfriend.
 - 14 A. Not that I recall.
 - 15 Q. At the time that you were traveling with him
 - 16 in South America, was he ever traveling with a
 - 17 woman? And I don't mean an assistant. A
 - 18 girlfriend.
 - 19 MR. MESEREAU: Object as beyond the scope.
 - 20 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 21 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Were there ever any other
- 22 people traveling with you as part of your unit?
- 23 A. Where?
- 24 Q. When you traveled.
- 25 A. Sometimes.
- 26 Q. Did any of them sleep in the same room with
- 27 you and Mr. Jackson?
- 28 A. I can't really recall. I don't remember. 9197
- I Q. Did anyone ever share a bed with you and Mr.
- 3 bed together?
 4 A. Car' 🔁 Jackson, where there were three of you in the same

 - 4 A. Can't really remember.
- 5 Q. Did your sister ever sleep with you in the 6 same bed and Mr. Jackson?
 7 A. Unfortunately, I can't really remember that 8 either.
 9 Q. Do you know Wade Robson?

 - 7 A. Unfortunately, I can't really remember that

 - 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. How well do you know Wade Robson?
 - 12 A. Not very.
 - 13 Q. Have you kept up some kind of friendship
 - 14 with Mr. Robson?
 - 15 A. Nope.
 - 16 Q. Is that a "no"?
 - 17 A. That's a "no."
 - 18 Q. When was the last time you spoke with Mr.

- 19 Robson?
- 20 A. Today.
- 21 Q. All right. Today you saw him. When was the
- 22 last time prior to today?
- 23 A. Yesterday.
- 24 Q. Okay. So you've been staying at Neverland,
- 25 have you?
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. Did you have an opportunity to talk with Mr.
- 26 Robson? 9198
- 1 A. 2 Q. An 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did 5 A. 1 A. I had an opportunity, yeah. Yeah.
 - 2 Q. And did you speak with Mr. Robson?

 - 4 Q. Did you discuss your testimony?
 - 5 A. Absolutely not.
 - 6 Q. And why not?
 - 7 A. Because it was explained to us that we were
 - 8 not allowed to.
 - 9 Q. Who was that who told you that?
 - 10 A. The lawyers did.
 - 11 Q. Did they say why you weren't allowed to?
 - 12 A. Isn't it against the law?
 - 13 Q. Is that what they told you, it was illegal
 - 14 to talk with each other?
 - 15 A. No, they didn't. They just explained that
 - 16 it wasn't -- they just said, "Don't talk about the

- 17 case."
- 18 Q. Were you aware of Wade Robson spending long
- 19 periods of time with Michael Jackson?
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Beyond the scope;
- 21 relevance.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 23 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Did Michael Jackson ever
- 24 speak to you about other boys who spent nights with
- 25 him in his room?
- John.

 JAT: Sustained. 9199

 1 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Did you ever talk with
 2 Michael Jackson about the propriety
 3 with him?
 4 MR. MESEREAU.
 5 for 20 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Beyond the scope;

 - 12 Michael Jackson about the propriety of sharing a bed
 - 4 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Beyond the scope;

 - 6 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - 7 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Go ahead and answer the
 - 8 question.
 - 9 A. Not that I recall.
 - 10 Q. At no time did you ever have a conversation
 - 11 with Michael Jackson where the subject of the
 - 12 conversation was whether or not you should be
 - 13 sharing a bed with him?
 - 14 A. Not that I recall.

- 15 Q. Did he ever tell you that you were like
- 16 family to him?
- 17 A. All the time.
- 18 Q. All the time. Did he ever tell you that you
- 19 should trust him?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Did he ever tell you that he was like a
- 22 father to you?
- 23 A. He may have, yes.
- 24 Q. Did he ever tell you that he considered you
- 25 to be like a son to him?
- to be . 26 A. Yes. 27 Q 26 A. Yes
 27 Q. Was t
 28 you? 9200

 1 A. No.
 2 Q 27 Q. Was that something he frequently said to

 - 1 A. Not frequently.
 - 2 Q. Was that something that he frequently said
 - 3 to you during the early stages of your relationship
 - 4 when you were about age eight?
 - 5 A. Never met him when I was age eight.
 - 6 Q. You were about nine?
 - 7 A. Yeah.
 - 8 Q. Okay. So how long after you had begun
 - 9 visiting Neverland did Mr. Jackson speak to you in
 - 10 such terms, tell you you were like family to him or
 - 11 like a son to him?
 - 12 A. I couldn't tell you.

- 13 Q. Did he ever have a conversation with your
- 14 mother of that nature, tell your mother that he
- 15 considered her to be like family to him as well?
- 16 A. Wouldn't have a clue.
- 17 Q. That was never done in your presence?
- 18 A. Possibly. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. Did your mother ever have a conversation
- 20 with him about anything in your presence?
- 21 A. Quite possibly.
- Land, on occasion you wou

 Lowdy?

 25 A. Nope.

 26 Q. You were always fairly well disciplined and
 27 behaved?

 28 A. I was brought up right. 920°

 1 Q. Ho
 - 23 running around Neverland, on occasion you would get

 - 2 Neverland while you were there?
 - 3 A. As far as I remember, yeah, we were all
 - 4 pretty -- there were a couple maybe, but I never
 - 5 really saw an outbreak.
 - 6 Q. You never saw what?
 - 7 A. An outbreak.
 - 8 Q. An outbreak?
 - 9 Who were the other boys who were there about
 - 10 the time that you were there?

- 11 A. I saw Mac there, Macaulay Culkin. His --
- 12 Levon and Elijah. Frank, Eddie, Dominick --
- 13 Q. How old was Frank at the time?
- 14 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. He hasn't
- 15 finished his answer yet, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: Go ahead.
- 18 A. Aldo. Marie Nicole. My sister, of course.
- 19 That's all I really remember.
- 20 Q. Of the ones that you've mentioned, how many
- 21 of them shared Michael Jackson's bedroom while you
- 22 were there?
- 23 A. All of them have.
- 24 Q. Did -- all of them?
 25 A. I'm pretty sure.
 26 Q. On how many nights of 27 same time?
 28 A. Not at the same time

 - 26 Q. On how many nights do you think, all at the

 - 28 A. Not at the same time, because like one trip 9202
 - 1 we'd see -- we saw Mac, and the other trips we saw
 - 2 everyone else.
 - 3 Q. And would they share the same bed with you
 - 4 and Mr. Jackson?
 - 5 A. I can't honestly recall that.
 - 6 Q. Do you remember any of those people who you
 - 7 just mentioned sharing a bed with Mr. Jackson in
 - 8 your presence?

- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Who?
- 11 A. Mac.
- 12 Q. Macaulay Culkin?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Who else?
- 15 A. Frank.
- 16 Q. Frank Cascio?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- The time

 Jon. He hasn't

 July his answer yet.

 21 THE WITNESS: Eddie.

 22 THE COURT: I can't tell if he has or not.

 23 Have you finished your answer?

 24 THE WITNESS: No, I haven't

 25 THE COURT: Go -'

 26 TPT 18 Q. How old was Frank Cascio at the time?

 - 27 that's all I can recall.
 - 28 Q. BY MR. ZONEN: "Eddie" is Eddie who? 9203
 - 1 A. Cascio.
 - 2 Q. That's Frank's brother?
 - 3 A. Yeah.
 - 4 Q. How old was Frank at that time?
 - 5 A. Wouldn't be able to tell you.
 - 6 Q. Well, was he a child?

- 7 A. I never knew him as a child. He's -- he's
- 8 older than me, so....
- 9 Q. You only know Frank Cascio as an adult?
- 10 A. No. He's like a year older, two years older
- 11 than me.
- 12 Q. You said you never knew him as a child?
- 13 A. Well, as a child, I consider to be under the
- 14 age of 10.
- 15 Q. How about as a young teenager?
- To A. Yeah.
- 2. So yo 17 Q. So you saw him there as a young teenager?
- 18 A. 19 Q. W. 20 well? 21 A. 22 C 19 Q. Would he share Michael Jackson's bed as

 - 21 A. If I recall correctly, yeah.
 - 22 Q. And Eddie, how old was Eddie during that

 - 24 A. Like he's -- it would have been a young
 - 25 teenager as well.
 - 26 Q. What's the longest period of time that any
 - 27 of those people stayed in Michael Jackson's room
 - 28 while you were there? In other words, how many 9204
 - 1 consecutive days did that happen?
 - 2 A. Can't recall properly.
 - 3 Q. Did Michael Jackson ever tell you that he
 - 4 loved you?

- 5 A. Yeah, all the time.
- 6 Q. Did he ever touch you?
- 7 A. In what manner?
- 8 Q. Did he ever kiss you?
- 9 A. On the cheek, on the forehead, yeah.
- 10 Q. Often?
- 11 A. I wouldn't say often, but, yeah. I've --
- 12 I can't really remember these things. It's not
- 13 something that would -- you know, I would try to
- 14 remember.
- 16 MR. MESEREAU: I have no further questions,
- further questions.

 LEREAU: I have no further question

 17 Your Honor.

 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may

 19 step down.

 20 I think we'll start the no

 21 tomorrow.

 22 (To

 - 23 8:30. Remember the admonition. Have a good
 - 24 evening.
 - 25 (The proceedings adjourned at 2:30 p.m.)
 - 26 ---00---
 - 27
 - 28 9205
 - 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

```
3
           4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
           5 CALIFORNIA, )
           6 Plaintiff, )
           7 -vs- ) No. 1133603
           8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
           9 Defendant. )
           10
           11
          12 I, MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR
pages 9016 through 9205

contain a true and correct transcript of the

forceedings had in the within and above-entitled

matter as by me taken down in shorthand writing at

said proceedings on May 5, 2005, and thereaft

reduced to typewriting hy

transcri
         13 #3304, Official Court Reporter, do hereby certify:
           21 DATED: Santa Maria, California,
           22 May 5, 2005.
           23
           24
           25
           26
           27 MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
```

28 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 9206