```
8305
  1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
  2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
  3 SANTA MARIA BRANCH; COOK STREET DIVISION
  4 DEPARTMENT SM-2 HON. RODNEY S. MELVILLE, JUDGE
  5
  7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
  8 CALIFORNIA, )
Plaintiff, )
10 -vs- ) No. 1133603
  11 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
 12 Defendant. )
  13
  15
  17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
  18
  19 MONDAY, MAY 2, 2005
  20
  21 8:30 A.M.
  22
  23 (PAGES 8305 THROUGH 8351)
  24
```

27 REPORTED MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304

28 BY: Official Court Reporter 8305

```
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
          3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.,
          4 District Attorney -and-
          5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
          6 -and- GORDON AUCHINCLOSS,
          7 Sr. Deputy District Attorney -and-
          8 MAG NICOLA, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
          9 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, California 93101
...uant: COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU

13 BY: THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ESQ. -and-
14 SUSAN C. YU, ESQ. 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700
15 Los Angeles, California 90067
16 -and-
17 SANGER & SWYSEN BY
18 233 F
          18 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, California 93101
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
```

```
1 I N D E X
  3 Note: Mr. Sneddon is listed as "SN" on index.
  4 Mr. Zonen is listed as "Z" on index. Mr. Auchincloss is listed as "A"
  5 Mr. Nicola is listed as "N" on index. Mr. Mesereau is listed as "M" on
  index.
  6 Ms. Yu is listed as "Y" on index. Mr. Sanger is listed as "SA" on
  index.
  7
  9 PLAINTIFF'S
  10 WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
11 WAGNER, Beverly 8311-A 8316-SA 8321-A
 12 BONNER, Craig
 13 (Re-called) 8322-N
  14
  15
  16
  17
  18
  19
  20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
```

## www.mjfacts.info

```
1 E X H I B I T S
          2 FOR IN PLAINTIFF'S NO. DESCRIPTION I.D. EVID.
          3
          4 200-218 Turnberry Isle Resort & Club records 8309 8309
          5 255-258 The Beverly Hilton records 8309 8310
           6 418 Copy of check for
          7 $1,000,000 8312
          8 419 Copy of check for $500,000 8314
          9 859 Visual chart documenting
          10 phone calls 8325 8327
2 o 325 8328

2 preadsheet for February 20, 2003 {
3 875-879 Charts for March 5, 2003,
14 to March 9, 2003 8325 8328
15 880 Linked phone calls and Excel spreadsheet 8325
16 881 Linked phone calls and
17 Excel spreadsheet 8325
18 882 Lin<sup>1</sup>
          12 871 Chart and spreadsheet for February 20, 2003 8325 8328
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
```

- 1 Santa Maria, California
- 2 Monday, May 2, 2005
- 3 8:30 a.m.

- 5 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
- 6 THE JURY: (In unison) Good morning.
- 7 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
- 8 MR. SNEDDON: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 9 Before we get started this morning, we have
- Into ev.

  In Mr. Sanger.

  Inst set of records involves the

  documents that have been marked as Exhibits

  14 through 218 for identification. We have the

  15 custodian of records certification from

  16 Turnberry Inn, and we would

  17 the documents of

  18 ev. n some records that we want to move into evidence.

  - 13 documents that have been marked as Exhibits 200

  - 16 Turnberry Inn, and we would move at this time that
  - 17 the documents 200 through 218 be admitted into
  - 18 evidence as business records and duly certified.
  - 19 MR. SANGER: No objection.
  - 20 THE COURT: They're admitted, 200 through
  - 21 218.
  - 22 MR. SNEDDON: In addition to that, Your
  - 23 Honor, we would be moving that the records that are
  - 24 No. 255 through 258, which again bear the
  - 25 custodian's certification from the Beverly Hilton
  - 26 Hotel, be admitted into evidence as Items 255
  - 27 through 258.

- 1 THE COURT: They're admitted.
- 2 MR. SNEDDON: And then one other item of
- 3 business, Your Honor, that we will complete at the
- 4 break, but I wanted to bring to the Court's
- 5 attention, we have some records that have been
- 6 produced pursuant to a subpoena duces tecum from the
- 7 Calabasas Inn & Country Suites, which are documents
- 8 219 through 222. And while there are copies in the
- 9 Court's original, we want to be able to check the
- Dreak.

  Joreak.

  Joreak. To ones that came in to make sure they're exactly like

  - 19 MR. SANGER: Yes, Your Honor.
  - 20 THE COURT: All right. That will be the
  - 21 order.
  - 22 MR. SNEDDON: Thank you very much, Your
  - 23 Honor.
  - 24 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Good morning, Your Honor.
  - 25 The People call Beverly Wagner.
  - 26 THE COURT: When you get to the witness
  - 27 stand, please remain standing.

```
1 right hand.
           3 BEVERLY WAGNER
           4 Having been sworn, testified as follows:
           6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
           7 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
           8 spell your name for the record.
           9 THE WITNESS: Sure.
          10 My name is Beverly Wagner. B-e-v-e-r-l-y.
.1-e-r.

.HE CLERK: Thank

13

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. AUCHINCLOS

16 Q. Good mos

17 A. Gs
           12 THE CLERK: Thank you.
           16 Q. Good morning, Miss Wagner.
           18 Q. Who do you work for, please?
           19 A. U.S. Bank.
           20 Q. What do you do for U.S. Bank?
           21 BAILIFF CORTEZ: Your microphone, sir.
           22 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I'm sorry.
           23 Q. What do you do for U.S. Bank?
           24 A. I'm the bank manager at the bank.
           25 Q. Which bank?
           26 A. Right now I'm at the 4th and Wilshire
```

27 office.

- 1 different branch?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What branch was that?
- 4 A. The 23rd and Santa Monica branch.
- 5 Q. And were you branch manager for that
- 6 particular branch?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. That second branch, what is the city that
- 9 that's located in?

- January 2003? What is the branch that you worked at in 14 2003? Where was that? What city was that in? 15 A. 2221 Santa Monica Boulevard in Santa Monica, all right 17 May I approach 18 THF

  - 15 A. 2221 Santa Monica Boulevard in Santa Monica.

  - 19 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I'm going to show you
  - 20 two exhibits, which appear to be copies of checks.
  - 21 The first one has been marked as People's Exhibit
  - 22 418, page three. It appears to be a check from
  - 23 Neverland Valley Entertainment dated April 2nd,
  - 24 2003.
  - 25 Do you recognize that check?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. How is it that you recognize that check?

- 1 number for U.S. Bank, and the account number.
- 2 Q. All right. Did you participate personally
- 3 in the cashing of that check as branch manager for
- 4 U.S. Bank?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And were you working at the Santa Monica
- 7 branch at that time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And did you -- how is it that you personally
- above the app.

  In manager, and I had to

  13 cash the check, so it had to c

  14 Q. How much is that check for?

  15 A. One million dollars.

  16 Q. And can you tell

  17 check was

  18 \*\* To participated in the cashing of that check?
  - 11 A. This is way above the approval limit for a
    - 12 branch manager, and I had to get authority to even
  - 13 cash the check, so it had to come through my hands.
  - - 16 Q. And can you tell me in what manner that
    - 17 check was cashed? In other words, was it deposited?
    - 18 Was it -- did the bank disburse those funds in other
    - 19 methods? Tell me exactly how the individual cashed
    - 20 that check.
    - 21 A. Cash.
    - 22 Q. They walked in with this check and walked
    - 23 out with one million dollars in cash?
    - 24 A. Yes.
    - 25 Q. Did the bank have to make any special
    - 26 arrangements to put together that much money in
    - 27 cash?

- 1 Q. How much advance time is necessary for an
- 2 individual to notify the bank that it will need to
- 3 have certain funds on hand for a large cash
- 4 disbursement like this?
- 5 MR. SANGER: Objection; relevance.
- 6 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 7 You may answer.
- 8 THE WITNESS: A minimum of about seven days.
- 9 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right.
- JET numk

  JCHINCLOSS: It's -
  13 THE CLERK: 418.

  14 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: -- 418, page three.

  15 THE COURT: Thank you.

  16 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS:

  17 you Exhibit '

  18 pc It THE COURT: What's the exhibit number,

  - - 16 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. I now show
    - 17 you Exhibit 419, page three. Did you also
  - 18 participate in the cashing of that check?
  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 O. That check is for how much?
  - 21 A. \$500,000.
  - 22 Q. And did the same individual who cashed this
  - 23 first check cash that second check?
  - 24 A. Yes.
  - 25 Q. Was this check also cashed for currency?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. So the individual walked in with this check

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. The individual who cashed these two checks,
- 3 what is his name?
- 4 A. Fred Schaffel.
- 5 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: If I may ask Madam Clerk
- 6 to help me find a photograph.
- 7 If I can just have a moment, Your Honor.
- 8 Q. Miss Wagner, I show you People's Exhibit 16.
- 9 Do you recognize that individual?
- To A. Yes.

- 1s that?

  1. Fred Schaffel

  13 MR. AUCHINCLOSS:

  14 further questions.

  15 THE COURT: Cross

  16 MR. SANGEP

  17 Do v 13 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Thank you. I have no

  - 15 THE COURT: Cross-examine?
  - 16 MR. SANGER: Thank you, Your Honor.
  - 17 Do you want to take your book there? Are
  - 18 the exhibits up there?
  - 19 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yeah.
  - 20 MR. SANGER: Excuse me one second.
  - 21 May I confer with counsel?
  - 22 Do you have the official exhibit book there?
  - 23 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Yes.
  - 24 (Off-the-record discussion held at counsel
  - 25 table.)
  - 26 MR. SANGER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
  - 27 THE COURT: Yes.

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. SANGER:
- 3 Q. Miss Wagner, how are you?
- 4 A. I'm good, thank you.
- 5 Q. You were the branch manager at the 2nd and
- 6 Santa Monica branch, is that what you said?
- 7 A. The 23rd and Santa Monica branch.
- 8 Q. 23rd. I can't read my handwriting. Sorry.
- 9 A. Okay.
- tha

  arc Schaffel h

  res, sir.

  13 Q. How many accounts did h

  14 A. I believe it was three.

  15 Q. Okay. And you produce

  16 accounts, correc

  17 A. Pardo

  18 10 Q. In any event, is that a branch where
  - 11 Frederic Marc Schaffel had one or more accounts?

  - 13 Q. How many accounts did he have?

  - 15 Q. Okay. And you produced records for his

  - 18 Q. You produced records for his accounts to the
  - 19 Court?
  - 20 A. Um -- what -- I'm not sure what records
  - 21 you're talking about.
  - 22 Q. Okay.
  - 23 A. Oh, yes. For -- yes, we did. U.S. Bank.
  - 24 Yeah. I'm sorry.
  - 25 Q. Yes. I believe -- the District Attorney
  - 26 referred to you at one point as a custodian of
  - 27 records?

- 1 Q. Excuse me. So you were responsible for
- 2 having records sent to the Court --
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. -- at some earlier time?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. And now you've identified two checks. And
- 7 you're telling us on these two checks, Exhibits 418
- 8 and 419, page three in each one, that you personally
- 9 participated in those transactions?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- ar. Schaffel coming:

  , cash for those two checks; is the

  13 A. Yes, sir.

  14 Q. All right. Now, you see my client, Mr.

  15 Jackson, sitting there, correct?

  16 A. Yes, sir.

  17 Q. Okay. Did M.

  18 A 11 Q. So you remember Mr. Schaffel coming in and
  - 12 getting cash for those two checks; is that correct?

  - - 17 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Jackson come in the bank?

    - 19 Q. Have you ever seen Mr. Jackson before in
    - 20 person?
    - 21 A. No.
    - 22 Q. All right. Now, with regard to Mr. Schaffel
    - 23 and the records you produced, is it true that in his
    - 24 three accounts during, let's say, the first six
    - 25 months of 2003, that millions of dollars went
    - 26 through his accounts?
    - 27 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I'm going to object to

- 1 case as well as beyond the scope.
- 2 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Well, let's put it this way:
- 4 You -- as the branch manager and as the custodian of
- 5 records, you reviewed the records before they were
- 6 sent up here, right?
- 7 A. Not fully.
- 8 Q. Okay. Were you aware that Mr. Schaffel was
- 9 a customer of the bank?
- TO A. Yes.
- " that personally; is the standard of the second of the se 11 Q. Okay. And you knew that personally; is that

  - 19 Q. So the two times you really remember seeing
  - 20 Mr. Schaffel in person were with regard to these two
  - 21 checks; is that right?
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. Okay. All right. A little hesitancy. You
  - 24 may have seen them --
  - 25 A. More than twice, yes.
  - 26 Q. But the two that stick out in your mind were
  - 27 these two, I take it?

- 1 Q. I guess what I'm getting at is, to cash
- 2 these checks, you had to make sure he really was a
- 3 customer of your bank, right?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. All right. And had he been a customer of
- 6 your bank for a long time?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. And during the course of his
- 9 being a customer for your bank, did you conclude
- 13 Q. Now, the checks were drawn on an account
  14 that's called Neverland Valley Entertainment; is
  15 that correct?
  16 A. Yes, sir.
  17 Q. And was it your
  18 Schaf To that he was trustworthy as far as dealing with him

  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. Was there anybody else who was a signatore
  - 21 on that account?
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. Who else?
  - 24 A. Michael Jackson.
  - 25 Q. Did Mr. Jackson ever sign any checks, to
  - 26 your knowledge, or sign any documents whatsoever on
  - 27 that account?

- 1 Q. Other than the signature cards?
- 2 A. I'm not sure.
- 3 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Objection; foundation.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 5 MR. SANGER: All right.
- 6 Q. Did you review the records to determine
- 7 whether or not Mr. Jackson ever signed anything
- 8 other than the signature cards on that account?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. All right. And you did not verify Mr.

- Lifer than it was on the card; is that correct?

  13 A. Yes.

  14 Q. Okay. In other words, he didn't come in and 15 sign in your presence?

  16 A. No.

  17 Q. You ended up '

  18 saw

  - 17 Q. You ended up looking in your records and you

  - 19 signature for Michael Jackson; is that right?
  - 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. All right. And other than that, all of your
  - 22 dealings, as far as you know, were with Frederic
  - 23 Marc Schaffel, is that correct, on these accounts?
  - 24 A. No.
  - 25 Q. Were there other people that may have come
  - 26 in?
  - 27 A. Not come in. But that I spoke with.

- 1 not know what happened to this money after Mr.
- 2 Schaffel walked out of the bank with it; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 MR. SANGER: All right. And I have no
- 6 further questions.

- 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS:
- 10 Q. Were Michael Jackson and Frederic Marc
- Schaffel the only two authorized individuals as far
  - 12 as to remove funds from this account?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you said you spoke to someone else other
  - 15 than Marc Schaffel about these accounts. Who was
  - 16 that?
  - 17 A. I think at one time I spoke with Michael.
  - 18 Q. Do you remember what that was about?
  - 19 MR. SANGER: I'm going to object. That
  - 20 calls for hearsay. Calls for hearsay and there's a
  - 21 lack of foundation.
  - 22 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Offered as an admission.
  - 23 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
  - 24 You may answer.
  - 25 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Do you remember what
  - 26 that was about?
  - 27 A. No. Not really.

- 1 account?
- 2 MR. SANGER: I'm going to object. That's
- 3 leading and no foundation.
- 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 5 You may answer.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. Thank you very
- 8 much.
- 9 MR. SANGER: No further questions.
- TO THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may

- - 19 CRAIG BONNER
  - 20 Having been previously sworn, resumed the
  - 21 stand and testified further as follows:
  - 22
  - 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION
  - 24 BY MR. NICOLA:
  - 25 Q. Good morning, Sergeant Bonner.
  - 26 A. Good morning.
  - 27 Q. With respect to your investigation in this

- 1 analyze telephone records and subscriber
- 2 information?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Do you see the stack of phone exhibits
- 5 sitting on counsel table? I believe they're 450
- 6 through 459.
- 7 A. Yes, I'm quite familiar with them.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize those exhibits?
- 9 A. I'm quite familiar, yes.
- 11 exhibits together and analyzing the information that
- analyzing the informatio

  anned within them?

  13 A. I did.

  14 Q. Can you briefly and generally explain to the
  15 jury the process that was used to -- the init
  16 step used in getting a handle
  17 that's in those of
  18 A. "
  - 15 jury the process that was used to -- the initial
  - 16 step used in getting a handle on the information

  - 19 of telephone records through search warrant and
  - 20 subpoena. We amassed those records and brought them
  - 21 into a computer database, used that computer
  - 22 database to compile and sort those records into a
  - 23 format where we could begin to see patterns of calls
  - 24 and who was calling whom.
  - 25 We then utilized that information to cut out
  - 26 the unnecessary material, or the material which we
  - 27 could not substantiate through other evidence, and

- 1 will show just those phone calls that are pertinent,
- 2 and we have done that in a visual manner as well as
- 3 in a document that will back up that visual manner.
- 4 Q. Okay. I think we missed a step. With
- 5 respect to the information that was generated and
- 6 you created a spreadsheet from it, can you explain
- 7 to the jury how you verified the information that
- 8 was in your spreadsheets?
- 9 A. The computer database basically put together
- ensure that that list want we then went into those records, which at the actual records sent by the phone companies, at the actual records sent by the phone companies, at the verified each and every call that the computer 15 said occurred, and we have noted where that at the occurs within those records.

  17 Q. Okay. Did you at the control of the con It a list of the calls that it said occurred between

  - 12 correct. We then went into those records, which are
  - 13 the actual records sent by the phone companies, and

  - 19 A. I did.
  - 20 Q. As soon as I finish marking the last of
  - 21 these pages I'll show you the exhibit, okay? I
  - 22 apologize.
  - 23 I'll ask you whether you reviewed the
  - 24 records from the Turnberry Resort and I'll show
  - 25 you those documents in a minute while you were
  - 26 conducting your phone analysis?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 Hilton? And I'll also show you those exhibits in a
- 2 minute.
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Did you examine the record from Huntel with
- 5 respect to air-ground communication for a flight
- 6 occurring on February 7th of 2003?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 (Off-the-record discussion held at counsel
- 9 table.)
- 10 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Detective Bonner, with the
- permiss

  you Exhibits No.

  13 MR. SANGER: Excus

  14 what we have here.

  15 (Off-the-record ~

  16 table.)

  17 MR. ~

  1° 11 Court's permission, I'd like to approach and show
  - 12 you Exhibits No. 859 through No. 882.
  - 13 MR. SANGER: Excuse me. Let me just see

  - 15 (Off-the-record discussion held at counsel

  - 17 MR. SANGER: All right. Go ahead.
  - 18 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: I ask if you recognize
  - 19 Exhibit 859?
  - 20 A. Yes, I do.
  - 21 Q. What does 859 contain?
  - 22 A. 859 is the visual chart documenting
  - 23 telephone calls between the involved parties on
  - 24 February 5th, 2003.
  - 25 Q. Is there also a document behind the visual
  - 26 chart?
  - 27 A. There is. It's an Excel spreadsheet, which

- 1 the visual chart.
- 2 Q. And did you create or cause to be created
- 3 these charts?
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. And did you cause to be created 859 through
- 6 882?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, with respect to testifying about
- 9 the contents of these exhibits, 859 through 882, did
- 13 Q. And which exhibits will you be testifying
  14 today, if you could please the tell jury now?
  15 A. I will testify to 859, 860, 861, 862, °
  16 864, 865, 866, 867, 871, 875
  17 Q. Okay. With ro
  18 thr To you delegate some other of your colleagues to assist

  - 13 Q. And which exhibits will you be testifying to

  - 16 864, 865, 866, 867, 871, 875, 876, 877, 878 and 879.

  - 19 spreadsheets between the period of February 5th of
  - 20 2003 and February 16th of 2003?
  - 21 A. That's correct.
  - 22 Q. Exhibit 871, is that the chart and the
  - 23 spreadsheet for February 20th of 2003?
  - 24 A. That's correct.
  - 25 Q. And with respect to Exhibits No. 875 through
  - 26 879, do those cover the dates of March 5th, 2003,
  - 27 through March 9th of 2003?

- 1 Q. Turning your attention to Exhibit No. 859,
- 2 would you briefly describe for us in general terms
- 3 what is depicted on the chart?
- 4 A. This chart depicts a number of calls that
- 5 occur between various individuals that have came up
- 6 during this investigation, including Frank Cascio,
- 7 Marc Schaffel, Jay Jackson, Evelyn Tavasci, as well
- 8 as a number of telephones that come back registered
- 9 to the Turnberry Resort in Florida.
- 10 Q. Okay. And do the numbers and links on that
- Jeaned from the

  13 about previously?

  14 A. That's correct.

  15 Q. And does the

  16 links you

  17 spre chart accurately reflect the information that you
  - 12 gleaned from the telephone records you've testified

  - 15 Q. And does the information supporting the
  - 16 links you make on Chart 859 provided in the Excel
  - 17 spreadsheets an accurate depiction of what is on the

  - 19 A. That is correct.
  - 20 MR. NICOLA: Your Honor, I would move 859
  - 21 into evidence at this time.
  - 22 MR. SANGER: I have a concern about
  - 23 foundation and relevance as to all of the entries,
  - 24 but I'll submit it at this point subject to
  - 25 cross-examination.
  - 26 THE COURT: All right. I'll admit them.
  - 27 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: I'd ask you the same

- 1 of those charts represent individuals that came up
- 2 in your investigation, including the people you just
- 3 mentioned, and link telephone calls between phones
- 4 registered in their names?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And do each of those exhibits also contain a
- 7 spreadsheet supporting the information you placed on
- 8 the flow chart?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- IC MR. NICOLA: Your Honor, at this time we'd
- Into evidence as wel

  OLR: Same objection, Your Honor.

  13 THE COURT: All right. They're admitted.

  14 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: I have the same question

  15 about Exhibit 871 and Exhibits 875 thro

  16 each of the charts in thoso

  17 reflect the tel

  18 lice 11 move 860 through 867 into evidence as well.

  - 15 about Exhibit 871 and Exhibits 875 through 879. Do
  - 16 each of the charts in those exhibits accurately
  - 17 reflect the telephone calls between the phones

  - 19 individuals in this investigation, and is there an
  - 20 Excel spreadsheet accompanying each chart supporting
  - 21 the information that's in the charts?
  - 22 A. That's correct.
  - 23 MR. NICOLA: We'd move 871 and 875 through
  - 24 879 into evidence, Your Honor.
  - 25 MR. SANGER: Same objection.
  - 26 THE COURT: All right. They're admitted.
  - 27 MR. NICOLA: Madam Clerk, may I have the

- 1 I believe they're 200. Thank you.
- 2 May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 3 THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Detective Bonner, I'm going
- 5 to hand you a binder containing records from the
- 6 Turnberry, Exhibits 200 through 218, and I'd ask if
- 7 you'd review that.
- 8 And when you're finished, please tell us
- 9 whether you recognize the contents and whether you
- Julize these charts as being the hotel

  13 records for the Turnberry Resort. And we utilized

  14 these charts in that they are the documents within

  15 our charts, in that they contain telephone reco

  16 Q. Okay. Do you recognize the eval.

  17 A. Yes.

  18 MR To used the contents in generating your charts and

  - 19 please, and may I publish?
  - 20 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 21 (Off-the-record discussion held at counsel
  - 22 table.)
  - 23 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Detective Bonner, I'm going
  - 24 to place on the Elmo what appears to be Exhibit 859;
  - 25 is that correct?
  - 26 A. That's correct.
  - 27 Q. Okay. Just starting in the upper left-hand

- 1 the name "Frank Cascio," can you just give all of us
- 2 a very general description of what is intended to be
- 3 depicted in this exhibit?
- 4 A. Absolutely. What we have again are a number
- 5 of individuals whom we have determined through our
- 6 investigation to be involved or associated with Mr.
- 7 Jackson, including Mr. Cascio, Mr. Schaffel, Evelyn
- 8 Tavasci, Chris Carter, Debbie Rowe, and these are
- 9 the hotels -- the Turnberry Hotel records that we
- ...ave telephones that belong to Frank

  13 Cascio, telephones that belong to Mr. Schaffel,

  14 we have indicated that there are nine telephone

  15 calls that occurred between telephones below

  16 Mr. Cascio and Mr. Schaffel,

  17 links tells the calls the c To have just spoke of, and these are calls between

  - 13 Cascio, telephones that belong to Mr. Schaffel, and

  - 15 calls that occurred between telephones belonging to
  - 16 Mr. Cascio and Mr. Schaffel, and each one of these

  - 19 telephones belonging to this, which is Evelyn
  - 20 Tavasci's home telephone number, and Frank Cascio's
  - 21 telephone numbers.
  - 22 We have one call that occurred between Jay
  - 23 Jackson's telephone number and Evelyn Tavasci's home
  - 24 telephone number.
  - 25 We have one call that occurred between the
  - 26 Presidential Suite at the Turnberry, which was under
  - 27 the name of Fred Macy, to Jay Jackson's telephone

- 1 And again, each one of these links tells you
- 2 that there is a call that occurred between phones
- 3 belonging to this person and a phone over here, and
- 4 the number of calls is indicated in the link.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'm going to put up the first page of
- 6 the spreadsheet behind the chart for 2-5-03.
- 7 MR. SANGER: That's part of --
- 8 MR. NICOLA: That's a part of 859.
- 9 MR. SANGER: Part of 859.
- IO Q. BY MR. NICOLA: I'm only going to put up a
- il portion of it, Detective, just so we're not
  - 12 publishing a bunch of home numbers.
- 13 Could you please describe what is intended
- 14 by the numbers and the headings across this exhibit,
- 15 please?
- 16 A. Okay. Starting over on the left, we have
- 17 this number right here, (702) 234-9059. That is a
- 18 telephone number that came back registered to
- 19 Christopher Carter, which is indicated in the next
- 20 one. And then we have the date of the call, which
- 21 is 2-5-2003. We have the time of the call, which is
- 22 at 2322 hours, 11:22 p.m. We have the duration of
- 23 the call, one minute. We then have the number that
- 24 he dialed, (201) 213-0763, which comes back
- 25 registered to Frank Cascio. This is the source of
- 26 that information that's detailed right here, which
- 27 is -- came from T-Mobile records. This is the

- 1 exhibits. This is the tab number within that
- 2 exhibit. And this is the page number at which this
- 3 record can be found within this exhibit.
- 4 Q. Okay. So the Chris Carter to Frank Cascio
- 5 call occurring at 11:22 p.m. on February 5th, 2003,
- 6 is found in the T-Mobile exhibit, which is 452,
- 7 under the first tab, and under the page marked 11 of
- 8 23?
- 9 A. That's correct.

- antally. Different records have

  13 different means by which we were able to identify
  14 specific calls. Some of them actually had an exact
  15 call number to correspond to that call. And in
  16 case we gave that exact call numb
  17 it.
  18 Q. O'
  - 15 call number to correspond to that call. And in that
  - 16 case we gave that exact call number to go along with

  - 19 the hotel records the same way?
  - 20 A. Similarly, yes.
  - 21 MR. NICOLA: If I may, Counsel, the second
  - 22 page.
  - 23 MR. SANGER: Same exhibit?
  - 24 MR. NICOLA: Same exhibit.
  - 25 MR. SANGER: All right.
  - 26 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Would you turn to page two
  - 27 of your spreadsheet, and please go to the line with

- 1 THE COURT: What number exhibit is the
- 2 spreadsheet?
- 3 MR. NICOLA: This exhibit is also Exhibit
- 4 859, Your Honor.
- 5 Q. Do you see on the bottom line up there?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. Is that the entry for the Presidential Suite
- 8 at the Turnberry Isle Resort?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. I'm going to blow it up just a little bit so
- 11 we can see portions of it. This doesn't want to
- car

  2 focus.

  13 Okay. How

  14 Detective?

  15 Okay. So

  16 Turnh

  17 7 13 Okay. How about we do it like this,

  - 15 Okay. So the time of the call from the
  - 16 Turnberry Isle, from the Presidential Suite to Major
  - 17 Jackson, occurred at what time in the morning?
  - 18 A. 0958 hours, or 9:58 a.m.
  - 19 Q. And that's Florida time, correct?
  - 20 A. Correct.
  - 21 Q. And when you indexed the hotel records, you
  - 22 referenced the exhibit, correct, 202 in this case?
  - 23 A. That's correct.
  - 24 Q. And then what does the T794, page six, mean
  - 25 in the last column?
  - 26 A. T794 is a reference number that is on the
  - 27 documents pertaining to the Fred Macy Presidential

- 1 telephone call is found on page six of the documents
- 2 labeled T794.
- 3 Q. Okay. Would you turn to the Turnberry
- 4 exhibit, please, 202, find the page where that phone
- 5 call is and remove it for me, please.
- 6 MR. SANGER: What exhibit number?
- 7 MR. NICOLA: This is 202, page six.
- 8 Q. I'm going to put on the screen Exhibit 202,
- 9 page six. And the call that you're referring to, is
- To that the first entry for the date of 2-5 on this
- 11 exhibit?
  - 12 A. Yes. The first telephone call entry.
- .1bit
  2 A. Yes.
  13 Q. Okay. A
  14 27 minutes?
  15 A. Correct
  16 Q. An
  17 J 13 Q. Okay. And that appears to have lasted for

  - 16 Q. And is that represented on your chart by the
  - 17 link between Fred Macy Presidential Suite and Jay

  - 19 A. Yes, it is.
  - 20 Q. Okay. I'd like to flip this over to page
  - 21 five, if I may.
  - 22 These appear to be phone entries for the
  - 23 date of February 4th, correct?
  - 24 A. That's correct.
  - 25 Q. With respect to the bottom two telephones --
  - 26 telephone entries ending in 6162, one at 1935 hours
  - 27 and one at 2054 hours, do you recognize those

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 Q. And who do those belong to?
- 3 A. Those belong to David Ventura. That would
- 4 be Janet Arvizo's parents.
- 5 Q. Okay. If we could go to the date of
- 6 February 6th, please. Just have that ready.
- 7 I'd like to finish discussing these records
- 8 for the 5th.
- 9 Finishing up with Exhibit 859, Detective,
- To with your pointer, please, and with respect to the
- 11 call from -- the calls from Frank Cascio to Marc
- Schaffel, c

  13 A. I'll have

  14 Q. Please do.

  15 A. Okay. Thr

  16 morning

  17 th 12 Schaffel, over what period of time did those occur?
  - 13 A. I'll have to refer back to the spreadsheet.

  - 15 A. Okay. The first call I have is in the early
  - 16 morning hours, specifically right before 1 a.m., and
  - 17 the last call I see is after 9 p.m.
  - 18 Q. And did a number of these calls last for two
  - 19 minutes or more?
  - 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. And did one of them last 15 minutes?
  - 22 A. Yes. One call at 1:00, a little after 1
  - 23 p.m.
  - 24 Q. How many times did Mr. Cascio call the home
  - 25 of the defendant's personal assistant, Miss Tavasci?
  - 26 A. Three times.
  - 27 Q. And over what period of time did those calls

- 1 A. Again, the first call occurred -- I'm sorry.
- 2 The first call occurred at 3:42 p.m. The last call
- 3 occurred a little after 9 p.m.
- 4 Q. So those are within the times that Mr.
- 5 Cascio was ringing Mr. Schaffel?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Or at least his phone was calling Mr.
- 8 Schaffel's phone?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. In the bottom left-hand corner,
- 11 there's a symbol that says, "Tavasci, Evelyn, MJJ
  - 12 Prod, Unit 1." Can you explain that for the jury,
- 13 please?
- 14 A. That is a phone record. We took the naming 15 of that right off of the phone record, which is 16 Nextel record.

  17 Q. Is that a telephone number of (310) 18 864-7791?
  - 15 of that right off of the phone record, which is a

  - 19 A. Yes, it is.
  - 20 Q. And are all the calls either going to or
  - 21 coming out of your flow chart for that telephone
  - 22 number, the 7791 number?
  - 23 A. I'm sorry, what was the question?
  - 24 Q. Are all the numbers going to that Unit 1
  - 25 number and going out to the same number, the 7791
  - 26 number? Do you understand? You don't.
  - 27 A. No.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. -- to the Unit 1 number, and the calls from
- 3 Frank Cascio to the Unit 1 number, those are all to
- 4 the same number, the 7791 number, correct?
- 5 A. Correct, the one for -- that's indicated as
- 6 Unit 1.
- 7 Q. Okay. And there are other Tavasci, Evelyn,
- 8 MJJ Production phones later on that have different
- 9 telephone numbers, correct?

- about this one.

  In between Chris Carter and the

  13 Turnberry Resort and Marc Schaffel going to the lin
  14 in the bottom right, the Turnberry Isle Resort, are
  15 those incoming or outgoing calls; do you know?
  16 A. Those are both outgoing from +'
  17 i.e., Marc Schaffe'
  18 Turn' 13 Turnberry Resort and Marc Schaffel going to the link

  - 19 Q. Okay. And the Andy Brandon room in the
  - 20 middle, is that the only room where both the Cascio
  - 21 phones and the Schaffel phones were connected during
  - 22 this period of time?
  - 23 A. On that date, that's correct.
  - 24 Q. Can you tell us the period of time in which
  - 25 the calls between Schaffel and the Brandon room
  - 26 occurred, please?
  - 27 A. Okay. With regard to Andy Brandon room to

- 1 in the morning, and ending at right after 10 p.m.
- 2 Q. All day long?
- 3 A. All day long.
- 4 Q. With respect to the call between Major
- 5 Jackson and Evelyn Tavasci's home, can you tell us
- 6 whether Mr. Jackson called Miss Tavasci or whether
- 7 it was the other way around?
- 8 MR. SANGER: Well, I'm going to object.
- 9 We're referring to an individual making a call as
- .. Sustained.

  13 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: With respect to the phone

  14 call between the Evvy Tavasci phone and the Jay

  15 Jackson phone, can you tell us which way the

  16 went?

  17 A. Okay. That was

  18 call n opposed to somebody at one phone calling somebody at

  - 15 Jackson phone, can you tell us which way that call

  - 19 Q. And how long did that -- how long was that
  - 20 call?
  - 21 A. Three minutes.
  - 22 Q. And was that after the call between the Fred
  - 23 Macy Presidential Suite and the Jay Jackson phone?
  - 24 A. That appears to be prior to.
  - 25 Q. In Pacific time or Eastern time, Sergeant
  - 26 Bonner?
  - 27 A. That would have been Pacific time.

- 1 A. It actually -- yeah, 7:27 a.m. Pacific,
- 2 which would be after, I'm sorry.
- 3 Q. Okay. So the call from the Presidential
- 4 Suite came first, and then the call from the Tavasci
- 5 home came second?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. If you could please turn to Exhibit
- 8 860. I'm going to put this chart up. And briefly
- 9 if you could summarize this chart for the jury,

- 13 between telephones belonging to various individuals
  14 identified throughout this investigation. Again, we
  15 have Frank Cascio, Marc Schaffel, Evelyn Tavasci
  16 Christopher Carter, Hamid Moslehi
  17 that's Janet Arvizci
  18 Rowc

  - 18 Rowe, and again, telephones at the Turnberry Resort.
  - 19 Q. Can you tell us, please, when the first call
  - 20 between the Cascio phone and the Schaffel phone
  - 21 occurred?
  - 22 A. The first call that I have between the
  - 23 Cascio phone and the Schaffel phone occurs slightly
  - 24 before 1 a.m. at 0039 hours.
  - 25 Q. And your chart indicates there were 19 calls
  - 26 between the two phones?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 the day?
- 2 A. Yes. The last call occurred a few minutes
- 3 before midnight at 2351 hours.
- 4 Q. With respect to the calls between the Cascio
- 5 phone and the rooms named "Stressler" and "Franklin"
- 6 at the Turnberry, starting with the Stressler room,
- 7 can you tell us whether that was incoming or
- 8 outgoing?
- 9 A. It's an outgoing call from the Stressler
- To room to Frank Cascio's telephone.
- ....that would be an outgoing call from

  13 the Franklin room to the Cascio telephone.

  14 Q. And the calls between the Brandon room and
  15 the Schaffel phone?

  16 A. That is an outgoing call f

  17 room to Schaffel'

  18 Q. F

  - 19 between the Schaffel phone and the Deborah Rowe
  - 20 phone?
  - 21 A. 2116 hours.
  - 22 Q. Which direction was that call going?
  - 23 A. That was from Deborah Rowe to Marc
  - 24 Schaffel's telephone.
  - 25 Q. Okay. From Ms. Rowe's phone to Mr.
  - 26 Schaffel's phone?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 phone and phones registered to David LeGrand?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And can you tell us when the first phone
- 4 call was?
- 5 A. The first phone call was at 1:48 p.m.
- 6 Q. And how long did that last?
- 7 A. Five minutes.
- 8 Q. And the second phone call?
- 9 A. Was at 2:30 p.m., again for five minutes.
- 10 Q. Okay. With respect to the calls from the
- calls f

  on to Janet's parent

  in phone, it's a little blurry f.

  13 you tell us how many calls there were

  14 Franklin room just to Janet's parents?

  15 A. There were eight calls.

  16 Q. Were there two rooms

  17 A. Correct.

  18 0 Gavin Franklin room to Janet's parents and the Jay
  - 12 Jackson phone, it's a little blurry from here. Can
  - 13 you tell us how many calls there were from the

  - 16 Q. Were there two rooms registered under Gavin?

  - 18 Q. Okay. And in your Excel spreadsheet, did
  - 19 you separate the rooms out?
  - 20 A. Yes, we did.
  - 21 Q. Do you know how many calls came from either
  - 22 room, and if you could give us the room designation?
  - 23 A. There are two room designations. One would
  - 24 be T597, the other would be T309. With regard to
  - 25 T597, I have six of those calls to the Venturas'
  - 26 residence coming from T597, and I have two of the
  - 27 calls coming from T309.

- 1 book?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Would you please remove the page that
- 4 contains the phone calls you're referring to?
- 5 Sergeant Bonner, I'm going to put page one
- 6 of Exhibit 216 on the board and I'll blow that up.
- 7 Okay. The calls, at least two of the calls, between
- 8 Room T597 registered under Gavin Franklin, are those
- 9 right in the middle of the exhibit?
- 10 A. Yes, right here.

- and the second one four minutes?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. Okay. And those are the first phone calls

  15 for the 6th, correct?

  16 A. Correct.

  17 Q. Showing you ~

  18 tho

  - 18 the last call to the 6162 number on the 6th is 11:53
  - 19 p.m., top line?
  - 20 A. Yes. All this is -- this one appears to be
  - 21 at straight-up midnight, so depending on which day
  - 22 you want to count that one to.
  - 23 Q. I count midnight as tomorrow.
  - 24 A. Either one.
  - 25 Q. That will work, okay. And then the bottom
  - 26 three numbers, those are Jay Jackson?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 occur after midnight, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. So did you put those on the charts for 2-7?
- 4 A. I would have put these on 2-7, that's
- 5 correct.
- 6 Q. Can you tell us, please, at what time the
- 7 Cascio phone and the phone registered to Mr.
- 8 Jackson's personal assistant, Miss Tavasci, what
- 9 time those occurred?
- ...; the

  ...; t 10 A. The first call occurred at 5:34 p.m.; the

  - 13 the phone call between Cascio and Tavasci, after the

  - 19 MR. SANGER: No foundation.
  - 20 MR. NICOLA: I'll rephrase.
  - 21 THE COURT: Is that the same objection as
  - 22 using the phone instead of the person?
  - 23 MR. SANGER: Yes, sir.
  - 24 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
  - 25 MR. SANGER: There are actually several
  - 26 numbers as well.
  - 27 MR. NICOLA: Okay.

- 1 After the phone calls between the Cascio
- 2 phone and the Tavasci phone, were there two phone
- 3 calls immediately following -- to the Schaffel phone
- 4 from the Cascio phone?
- 5 A. There were two calls, one to one number
- 6 associated with Schaffel and another to another
- 7 number associated with Schaffel. The first one at
- 8 1750. The second one at 1757 hours, or 5:50 and
- 9 5:57 p.m.

- 3 correct.

  13 Q. If we could turn to Exhibit 861, those are 14 phone calls occurring on the 7th of February, 15 correct?

  16 A. That's correct.

  17 Q. Okay. Again.

  18 Tur-

  - 19 A. Correct.
  - 20 Q. There is a "Fred Macy, regular room," kind
  - 21 of in the middle of this exhibit. Can you explain
  - 22 to us what you mean by that?
  - 23 A. There were two different rooms that were
  - 24 registered to Fred Macy. One was the Presidential
  - 25 Suite that cost several thousand dollars a night.
  - 26 There was also a Fred Macy room that was a room that
  - 27 cost about \$400 a night, which is what all of the

- 1 and to designate that, we had "Fred Macy
- 2 Presidential" and "Fred Macy regular room."
- 3 Q. Okay. And the calls from the -- the rooms,
- 4 the individual rooms at the Turnberry and the phone
- 5 registered to Mr. Christopher Carter, were all those
- 6 outgoing calls?
- 7 A. No. They are, with the exception of this
- 8 call right here, where there's one call. That is an
- 9 incoming call from Carter's -- or a telephone
- Individual rooms are

  Ing calls, correct?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. You can't see incoming calls on the
  15 individual room bills; isn't that ri
  16 A. No.
  17 Q. Okay. And
  18 th It associated with Carter to the Turnberry Isle Resort.
  - 11 Q. Okay. But the individual rooms are all

  - 15 individual room bills; isn't that right?

  - 17 Q. Okay. And the Turnberry Isle Resort number,

  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. The calls from Schaffel -- the Schaffel
  - 21 phone, excuse me, to the Turnberry Isle Resort, are
  - 22 all those going from Schaffel to the Turnberry Isle?
  - 23 A. This specific connection right here, these
  - 24 are calls from Schaffel to the Turnberry. However,
  - 25 these two are not.
  - 26 Q. Okay. And the call from the Chris Franklin
  - 27 room to Neverland Valley Ranch, can you tell us

- 1 call to the Chris Carter phone? You can look at
- 2 your records.
- 3 A. Let me just clarify that. You want to know
- 4 whether or not this call here occurred before or
- 5 after that call there?
- 6 Q. No. There are two calls coming out of the
- 7 Chris Franklin room, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. One to the Neverland Valley Ranch?
- TO A. Okay.
- Jother one to the (

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. Which one occurred first, please?

  15 A. Okay.

  16 The first call occurred

  17 Valley Ranch

  18 0 11 Q. Okay. And the other one to the Chris Carter

  - - 16 The first call occurred to the Neverland

    - 19 A. It occurred at 803 p.m.
    - 20 Q. I placed in front of you Exhibit No. 850.
    - 21 Do you recognize that document?
    - 22 A. Yes, I do.
    - 23 Q. What is that?
    - 24 A. This is a billing record for air-to-ground
    - 25 telephone calls that occurred on an Xtra Jet flight
    - 26 on February 7th, 2003.
    - 27 Q. And does it appear that calls were made from

- 1 parents?
- 2 A. Yes, there were three calls.
- 3 Q. And did those occur in time after the ten
- 4 calls from the Gavin Franklin rooms to the phone at
- 5 Janet's parents?
- 6 A. The telephone calls on the airplane occurred
- 7 after the telephone calls from the Gavin Franklin
- 8 room.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do those -- did they occur -- did the
- To phone calls from the aircraft occur after the phone

  - 15 that aircraft to numbers registered to Neverland

  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. And how long did those calls last?
  - 21 A. I have one for one minute. And one for two
  - 22 minutes.
  - 23 Q. And the three calls that were placed from
  - 24 the aircraft to the phone at Janet's parents' house,
  - 25 were they interspersed with the calls to the
  - 26 Neverland phone or did one group come before the
  - 27 other?

- 1 Q. Sergeant Bonner, if you could turn to
- 2 Exhibit No. 862, please, and the supporting chart.
- 3 MR. SANGER: That's 2-8?
- 4 MR. NICOLA: 2-8.
- 5 Q. Do you have this? If you could please
- 6 describe each one of the phones and monikers you've
- 7 given them on this exhibit, please, 2-8. Exhibit
- 8 862 for February 8th of 2003.
- 9 A. Okay. Starting in the top left, we have a
- 10 cellular telephone that came back -- the records
- 11 said -- indicated MJJ Productions, Miko Brando. We
  - 12 then had another phone that came back to Evelyn
- 13 Tavasci, MJJ Productions, Unit 1. This one came
- 14 back to just MJJ Productions, Evelyn Tavasci,
  - 15 without a unit number. This was a telephone
  - 16 registered to Christopher Carter. These were
  - 17 telephone lines that came back to Neverland Valley
  - 18 Ranch. These are telephone lines that came back to
  - 19 Marc Schaffel. Telephones associated with Debbie
  - 20 Rowe. Telephones associated with Frank Cascio.
  - 21 Telephones associated with Vincent Amen. Telephones
  - 22 associated with Christian Robinson, under the
  - 23 business name Site LLC. And a telephone associated
  - 24 with Rudy Provencio.
  - 25 Q. With respect to the contacts between the
  - 26 Cascio phone and the Vince Amen phones, if you could
  - 27 find those in your records, please.

- 1 Q. Could you tell us what time the first call
- 2 between those two phones occurred?
- 3 A. The first time is 11:13 a.m.
- 4 Q. And that was a call for three minutes?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Which direction did it go?
- 7 A. From Amen to Cascio.
- 8 Q. And the second phone call?
- 9 A. Occurred at 2:47 p.m., again, from Amen to

- 13 Q. With respect to the call between the Cascio
  14 phone and the Neverland Valley Ranch number, would
  15 you tell us what time that occurred?
  16 A. The first call occurred at fir
  17 correction, 11:48

  - 19 the Neverland Valley Ranch phone, can you tell us
  - 20 what time that occurred, please?
  - 21 A. At four -- or, correction, 2:44 in the
  - 22 morning.
  - 23 Q. Was there a call between the Cascio phone
  - 24 and the phones at Neverland Ranch at around that
  - 25 same time?
  - 26 A. That's correct. There was a call at 2:34
  - 27 a.m. from Neverland Ranch to Cascio.

```
1 Cascio's phone record, correct?
2 A. That's correct.
3 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our break.
4 (Recess taken.)
5 --000--
6
7
8
9
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

```
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
           2
           3
           4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )
           5 OF CALIFORNIA, )
           6 Plaintiff, )
           7 -vs- ) No. 1133603
           8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
           9 Defendant. )
13 CSR #3.

14 certify:

15 That th

16 con'

17
           12 I, MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR,
        13 CSR #3304, Official Court Reporter, do hereby
           15 That the foregoing pages 8309 through 8350
           16 contain a true and correct transcript of the
           17 proceedings had in the within and above-entitled
           18 matter as by me taken down in shorthand writing at
           19 said proceedings on May 2, 2005, and thereafter
           20 reduced to typewriting by computer-aided
           21 transcription under my direction.
           22 DATED: Santa Maria, California,
           23 May 2, 2005.
           24
           25
           26
```

27 MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304

```
1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
 3 SANTA MARIA BRANCH; COOK STREET DIVISION
 4 DEPARTMENT SM-2 HON. RODNEY S. MELVILLE, JUDGE
 5
 6
7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
8 CALIFORNIA, )
9 Plaintiff, )
10 -vs- ) No. 1133603
11 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
12 Defendant. )
17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19 MONDAY, MAY 2, 2005
20
21 8:30 A.M.
22
23 (PAGES 8352 THROUGH 8472)
24
25
26
27 REPORTED MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
```

```
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
          3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.,
          4 District Attorney -and-
          5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
          6 -and- GORDON AUCHINCLOSS,
          7 Sr. Deputy District Attorney -and-
          8 MAG NICOLA, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
          9 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, California 93101
...uant: COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU

13 BY: THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ESQ. -and-
14 SUSAN C. YU, ESQ. 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700
15 Los Angeles, California 90067
16 -and-
17 SANGER & SWYSEN BY
18 233 F
          18 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, California 93101
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
```

```
1 I N D E X
  3 Note: Mr. Sneddon is listed as "SN" on index.
  4 Mr. Zonen is listed as "Z" on index. Mr. Auchincloss is listed as "A"
  5 Mr. Nicola is listed as "N" on index. Mr. Mesereau is listed as "M" on
  index.
  6 Ms. Yu is listed as "Y" on index. Mr. Sanger is listed as "SA" on
  index.
  7
  9 PLAINTIFF'S
  10 WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
11 BONNER, Craig 8393-SA 8446-N 8450-SA
 12 (Re-called)
 13 ZELIS, Paul (Re-called) 8451-N 8467-SA
  14
  15
  16
  17
  18
  19
  20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
```

26

## www.mjfacts.info

```
1 E X H I B I T S
 2 FOR IN PLAINTIFF'S NO. DESCRIPTION I.D. EVID.
 3
 4 868 Linked phone calls and Excel spreadsheet 8452 8452
 5 869 Linked phone calls and
 6 Excel spreadsheet 8453 8453
7 870 Linked phone calls and Excel spreadsheet 8453 8453
8 880 Linked phone calls and
 9 Excel spreadsheet 8325 8454
10 881 Linked phone calls and Excel spreadsheet 8325 8454
11 882 Linked phone calls and
 12 Excel spreadsheet 8325 8454
 13 883 Correction to People's Exhibit No. 879 8447 8448
18 DEFENDANT'S NO.
19 5011 Hand-drawn diagram
20 re phone calls 8402 8404
21 5012 Hand-drawn diagram re phone calls 8419 8419
22 5013 Hand-drawn diagram
23 re phone calls 8427 8427
 24
 25
 26
 27
```

- 2 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 3 MR. NICOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 Q. Sergeant Bonner, with respect to the call
- 5 between --
- 6 BAILIFF CORTEZ: Microphone, sir.
- 7 MR. NICOLA: Thank you.
- 8 Q. Sergeant Bonner, with respect to the call
- 9 between the Cascio phone and the Neverland Valley
- Jur-minute call?

  Lour-minute call.

  3 Q. Okay. And were there also calls between the 14 Cascio phone and the Neverland Ranch phone that 15 occurred from between 35 and 45 seconds, in 16 to that one?

  17 A. I see two others. To Ranch phones occurring at 1544, or 3:44 in the

  - 15 occurred from between 35 and 45 seconds, in addition

  - 19 time, between 35 and 45 seconds?
  - 20 A. Correction, I'm sorry. There's three other
  - 21 calls. Yes, 35 seconds and 45 seconds.
  - 22 Q. If you could turn to the next exhibit in
  - 23 order, please, Exhibit 863.
  - 24 MR. SANGER: Which is what, 2-12?
  - 25 MR. NICOLA: 2-12.
  - 26 Q. Is that 2-12, Detective?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 the day the Arvizos left Neverland Ranch the first
- 2 time?
- 3 A. I believe it is about that time frame, yes.
- 4 Q. And beginning at the upper left-hand corner,
- 5 please, can you indoctrinate the jury as to the
- 6 individual names you have up there by those phones?
- 7 MR. SANGER: I'm not sure "indoctrinate" was
- 8 the correct word, so I object.
- 9 THE COURT: All you do is quibble.

- ... objection?

  DER: It's an objection.

  13 THE COURT: All right. Sustained.

  14 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Could you explain, please?

  15 A. Absolutely. The first phone we have in

  16 telephone number that is recoin to decrease a gradual of the second of the sec

  - 16 telephone number that is registered to the Law Firm
  - 17 of Geragos & Geragos. We then have a telephone that
  - 18 is registered to Ann Kite, a telephone that is
  - 19 registered to Azia Pryor. And the telephone number
  - 20 that is registered to David Ventura, Janet Arvizo's
  - 21 parents. Again, we have telephones that are
  - 22 registered to Frank Cascio and telephones that are
  - 23 registered to Marc Schaffel. We also have a
  - 24 telephone registered to Christopher Carter,
  - 25 Neverland Valley Ranch, and a couple of telephones
  - 26 associated with Evelyn Tavasci's residence. One is
  - 27 the primary, another is a secondary line. We then

- 1 Again, one registered to Christian Robinson under
- 2 the business name Site LLC. A telephone associated
- 3 with Vincent Amen. And a telephone associated with
- 4 David LeGrand.
- 5 Q. Beginning right in the middle of the
- 6 chart -- maybe it will show clearer if I blow that
- 7 up. Well, that wasn't the right button.
- 8 Is that a "38" between the phones of Mr.
- 9 Cascio and Mr. Schaffel?
- It A. That's correct.
- Len did the fi

  Lis between the two pho

  13 A. The first call that I l

  14 or shortly after midnight.

  15 Q. And how long did that

  16 A. One minute in

  17 Q. Was the short of the short o 11 Q. Okay. When did the first of the 38 phone
  - 12 calls between the two phones occur?
  - 13 A. The first call that I have is at 0024 hours,

  - 15 Q. And how long did that call last?

  - 17 Q. Was there another call from the Cascio phone
  - 18 to the Schaffel phone immediately thereafter?
  - 19 A. Yes, there was.
  - 20 O. And at what time?
  - 21 A. 0025 hours. Four minutes.
  - 22 Q. How long did that last?
  - 23 A. Four minutes.
  - 24 Q. Was there another one immediately after
  - 25 that?
  - 26 A. We then jumped to 0037 hours for one minute.
  - 27 Q. Next?

- 1 Q. Was that one outgoing or incoming to the
- 2 Cascio phone?
- 3 A. The last two were actually incoming calls.
- 4 Q. Okay. What about the next call?
- 5 A. That's an incoming call at 0047 hours.
- 6 Q. How long did that last?
- 7 A. For seven minutes.
- 8 Q. And the next call?
- 9 A. Again, an incoming call at 0054 hours for
- phone, correct?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. Is there another call from Schaffel phone to 15 Cascio phone?

  16 A. Yeah, at 0056. I have a two 17 call from the School 18 Q. If the school 18 Q.

  - 17 call from the Schaffel phone to the Cascio phone.

  - 19 A. 0058 hours. Again, an incoming call from
  - 20 the Schaffel phone to the Cascio phone for five
  - 21 minutes.
  - 22 Q. Can you tell us when the next call between a
  - 23 Schaffel phone and a Cascio phone occurred?
  - 24 A. We then skip to 10:52 a.m.
  - 25 Q. And which direction is that call going?
  - 26 A. That is, again, an incoming call from a
  - 27 Schaffel phone to a Cascio phone for six minutes.

- 1 Schaffel phone to Cascio phone, was there a call
- 2 placed from the Vinnie Amen phone to a Marc Schaffel
- 3 phone? Take a look at 11:01.
- 4 A. That's correct, I have a call at 1101 hours
- 5 for two minutes, and this is a direct call from
- 6 Vincent Amen to Marc Schaffel.
- 7 Q. You mean their phones, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And at 11:02 p.m., was there a one-minute
- and Vince

  a correct. For one minute. Again,

  13 this one would be Cascio calling Amen.

  14 Q. And immediately after that, at 11:03, was

  15 there a call from the Cascio phone to the

  16 Carter phone?

  17 A. That's correct

  18 outs To call between Frank Cascio's phone and Vince Amen's

  - 15 there a call from the Cascio phone to the Chris

  - 19 Q. Was there a phone call between the Cascio
  - 20 phone and the Vince Amen phone at 11:06?
  - 21 A. That's correct. It's an outgoing call from
  - 22 Frank Cascio's phone to Vincent Amen's phone for
  - 23 four minutes in length.
  - 24 Q. And at the same time was there an incoming
  - 25 call from the Marc Schaffel phone to Frank Cascio's
  - 26 phone, again at 11:06?
  - 27 A. That's correct. I have a three-minute

- 1 registered to Marc Schaffel.
- 2 Q. When was the next call between Vince Amen --
- 3 Vince Amen's phone, excuse me, and Frank Cascio's
- 4 phone?
- 5 A. At 1113 hours for one minute.
- 6 Q. And that was from a Cascio phone to an Amen
- 7 phone?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was there a call placed between Marc
- also know her as Ann Gabriel?

  13 A. Yes.

  14 Q. What time was that phone call and how long
  15 did it last, please?

  16 A. The first call was at 11.47

  17 minutes in lengt;

  18 Q. T To Schaffel phone and the phone belonging to Ann Kite?

  - - 19 Cascio/Marc Schaffel at 12:41.
    - 20 A. Okay. I have that.
    - 21 Q. You've got it? Okay. The call between
    - 22 Frank Cascio's phone and Marc Schaffel's phone at
    - 23 12:41, how long did that last?
    - 24 A. Three minutes.
    - 25 Q. Okay. And then at 1312, 1:12 in the
    - 26 afternoon, was there a call placed between a phone
    - 27 belonging to Frank Cascio and Chris Carter? Chris

- 1 A. That's correct, a one-minute call.
- 2 Q. And immediately after that, was there a
- 3 seven-minute call placed by the Frank Cascio phone
- 4 to Neverland Valley Ranch?
- 5 A. Correct, at 1313 hours for seven minutes.
- 6 Q. After that phone call, was there a call
- 7 placed from the Frank Cascio phone to the firm
- 8 Geragos & Geragos?
- 9 A. Correct. At 1333 hours.
- there a cal

  ine and the home of dei

  13 assistant, Evelyn Tavasci;

  14 A. Correct, at 1343 hours.

  15 Q. How long was that call

  16 A. One minute in

  17 Q. Was +1

  18 10 Q. And after the phone call to Geragos &
  - 11 Geragos, was there a call between Frank Cascio's
  - 12 phone and the home of defendant Jackson's personal

  - - 17 Q. Was there another call placed exactly one

    - 19 A. Correct. At 1344 for three minutes.
    - 20 Q. To the home of Evelyn Tavasci?
    - 21 A. Correct.
    - 22 Q. After that three-minute phone call, was
    - 23 there a call between the Frank Cascio phone and the
    - 24 Marc Schaffel phone?
    - 25 A. Which call were you interested in?
    - 26 Q. 1348 hours.
    - 27 A. That's correct, for two minutes 11 seconds.

- 1 Tavasci from the Cascio phone lasted three minutes,
- 2 and then the next call from the Cascio phone was to
- 3 the Schaffel phone at 1338, which lasted for two
- 4 minutes, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. With respect to the link between the Evelyn
- 7 Tavasci home number and the phone registered to
- 8 Mr. Moslehi, did that occur at 2:11 in the
- 9 afternoon, 1411?
- 10 A. Correct. 2:11 for one minute.
- 11 Q. Were there additional phone calls between
- We

  2 the Fra

  13 phone? Sh

  14 afternoon.

  15 A. That's

  16 55-ss

  17 f 12 the Frank Cascio phone and the Geragos & Geragos
  - 13 phone? Specifically at 1527 and 1542 in the

  - 15 A. That's correct. The first at 1527 was a
  - 16 55-second incoming call. The second at 1542 was a
  - 17 five-minute 54-second call.
  - 18 Q. And in between those two calls to the
  - 19 Geragos phones from the Cascio phone, was there a
  - 20 call by the Cascio phone to the Marc Schaffel phone
  - 21 at 1540?
  - 22 A. That's correct. I have a one-minute call
  - 23 between the Cascio phone and the Schaffel phone.
  - 24 Q. Was there a call placed from a Schaffel
  - 25 phone to the phone of David LeGrand at 1547 hours?
  - 26 A. That's correct. Three minutes in length.
  - 27 Q. And at 1727 hours, is that the next phone

- 1 phones?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Did that last for seven minutes?
- 4 A. Yes, that was seven minutes in length.
- 5 Q. And shortly after that phone call at 1742,
- 6 was there an incoming call from the second home line
- 7 of Mr. Jackson's personal assistant to the phone
- 8 registered to Frank Cascio?
- 9 A. That is correct. For one minute and ten
- To seconds.
- Are t

  Kite -- ba

  13 Schaffel ph

  14 A. 6:24 p.m.

  15 Q. Okay. Ho

  16 A. Fou 11 Q. Are there additional phone calls between Ann
  - 12 Kite -- between the Ann Kite phone and the Marc
  - 13 Schaffel phone in the evening, approximately 8:24?

  - 15 Q. Okay. How long did that call last?
  - 16 A. Four minutes in length.
  - 17 Q. Now, with respect to the 19 calls between
  - 18 the Cascio phone and the Amen phone, and the 38
  - 19 calls between the Cascio phone and the Schaffel
  - 20 phone, did one group of phone calls occur before,
  - 21 after, or interspersed with the others?
  - 22 A. They were interspersed.
  - 23 Q. Throughout the entire day?
  - 24 A. Correct.
  - 25 Q. If you could turn your attention to the
  - 26 phone call between the Christian Robinson phone and
  - 27 the Moslehi phone. If you could find that.

- 1 Q. Which direction was that phone call in?
- 2 A. Christian Robinson contacting Hamid
- 3 Moslehi's phone.
- 4 Q. Okay. With respect to the phone calls in
- 5 the upper right-hand corner between Janet's parents'
- 6 phone and the phone registered to Azia Pryor, was
- 7 there a call placed at approximately eight o'clock
- 8 at night, 2000 hours?
- 9 A. Correct. At 8:06 p.m. for a little over one
- To minute.
- 1 Q. One minute, or one hour 33 minutes?
  - 12 A. I'm sorry. One hour 33 minutes.
- 12 A. 13 Q. O. 14 went? 15 A. 16 ' 13 Q. Okay. Do you know which direction that call

  - 15 A. That call was from the Venturas' residence
  - 16 to Azia Pryor's phone.
  - 17 Q. Okay. If you could turn your attention to
  - 18 Exhibit -- 863 or 864, which one's next?
  - 19 A. 864.
  - 20 MR. SANGER: What's that, 2-13?
  - 21 MR. NICOLA: That's 2-13, Counsel.
  - 22 Q. Without trying to indoctrinate, could you
  - 23 explain for the jury what's on that?
  - 24 A. Again, we have telephone numbers that are
  - 25 associated with the various individuals. I believe
  - 26 all of these we've already spoke of. Hamid Moslehi,
  - 27 Christian Robinson, Vincent Amen, Evelyn Tavasci,

- 1 Neverland Valley Ranch, Azia Pryor, Marc Schaffel,
- 2 Ann Kite, the law offices of Geragos & Geragos, and
- 3 Deborah Rowe Jackson.
- 4 MR. MESEREAU: Excuse me.
- 5 MR. NICOLA: Bless you.
- 6 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you.
- 7 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: If we could go to the bottom
- 8 right-hand corner specifically with respect to the
- 9 phone calls between the Ann Kite phone and the
- Je there?

  Je there? 10 Geragos & Geragos phone, how many were there?

  - 19 Q. With respect to the four calls between the
  - 20 Ann Kite phone and the Geragos & Geragos phone, what
  - 21 was the shortest call?
  - 22 A. One minute in length.
  - 23 Q. And there were two of those?
  - 24 A. Correct.
  - 25 Q. Was there also a six-minute call and a
  - 26 two-minute call respectively?
  - 27 A. Correct. At 10:05 and 3:48 p.m.

- 1 one-minute call between the Ann Kite phone and the
- 2 Geragos & Geragos phone, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Also at 10:05 a.m. is another one-minute
- 5 call between the Ann Kite phone and the Geragos &
- 6 Geragos phone?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And also at 10:05 a.m., there's a six-minute
- 9 call between the Ann Kite phone and the Geragos &
- 10 Geragos phone?
- A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And the last call between the Ann
- 13 Kite phone and the Geragos & Geragos phone?
- 14 A. Was at 3:48 p.m. for two minutes.
  - 15 Q. Okay. And in between the time interval that
  - 16 you've just testified about, how many times did Ms.
  - 17 Kite's phone contact the Schaffel phones?
  - 18 A. Two times.
  - 19 Q. Is one of those times at 10:11 in the
  - 20 morning?
  - 21 A. Correct.
  - 22 Q. That was immediately after the 10:05 call to
  - 23 the Geragos & Geragos firm?
  - 24 A. Correct.
  - 25 Q. How long did that 10:11 call to the Schaffel
  - 26 phone last?
  - 27 A. 11 minutes. Correction. 17 minutes.

- 1 Schaffel phone?
- 2 A. That's correct. For 13 minutes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Was there a call at 7:06 a.m. between
- 4 the Ann Kite phone and the Marc Schaffel phone?
- 5 A. Correct. One minute in length.
- 6 Q. And immediately after that call, was there a
- 7 call between the Schaffel phone and Neverland Valley
- 8 Ranch?
- 9 A. There was also a call at 7:06 from Schaffel
- To to Neverland Valley Ranch for 17 minutes in length.
- 11 Q. After the call to Neverland Valley Ranch,
- 12 wa.
  13 goin.
  14 phone?
  15 A. Co 12 was there a call between the Marc Schaffel phone
  - 13 going from the Marc Schaffel phone to the Ann Kite

  - 15 A. Correct. At 0759 for 15 minutes.
  - 16 Q. Do your records show a phone call between
  - 17 the Frank Cascio phone and the David Ventura
  - 18 phones -- phone?
  - 19 A. That's correct. I have outgoing calls from
  - 20 the Ventura to the Cascio phone.
  - 21 Q. And what time are those two calls?
  - 22 A. Two calls. The first one at 11:35 p.m. for
  - 23 four minutes. Second one at 11:43 p.m. for three
  - 24 minutes.
  - 25 Q. Immediately preceding those two outgoing
  - 26 calls from the Ventura phones to the Cascio phones,
  - 27 were there a number of phone calls from the Ventura

- 1 A. I have three calls from the Ventura line to
- 2 the Azia Pryor line. The first one at 5:47 p.m. for
- 3 nine minutes. The second one at 6:37 p.m. for 14
- 4 minutes. And the last one at 7:21 p.m. for two
- 5 minutes.
- 6 Q. If you could turn your attention to the
- 7 calls surrounding Frank Cascio's phone. With
- 8 respect to the one call going between the Frank
- 9 Cascio phone and the Evelyn Tavasci phone, what time

- 2.7 p.m., four minutes in

  13 Q. With respect to the 12 calls between the

  14 Amen phone and the Cascio phone, can you tell us

  15 generally what time of day those 12 calls occ

  16 A. With the exception of one call

  17 occurred in the aft

  18 Q. \*\*
  - 15 generally what time of day those 12 calls occurred?

  - 19 054 in the morning?
  - 20 A. Correct.
  - 21 Q. And how long did that last?
  - 22 A. Four minutes.
  - 23 Q. And which direction was that going?
  - 24 A. Frank Cascio calling Vincent Amen.
  - 25 Q. Immediately prior to that was a one-minute
  - 26 call between the Frank Cascio phone and the Marc
  - 27 Schaffel phone at 052 in the morning?

- 1 Q. Sergeant Bonner, if you could turn to
- 2 Exhibit 865, please. Could you please explain the
- 3 chart for February 14th of 2003, Exibit 865.
- 4 A. Again, as with the other ones, we have a
- 5 number of telephone numbers that are associated with
- 6 individuals that have came out during this
- 7 investigation. And we start with Vincent Amen,
- 8 Hamid Moslehi, David LeGrand, Frank Cascio, Marc
- 9 Schaffel, Christian Robinson, Ann Kite, the Law
- could begin in the center with the

  13 Moslehi, Schaffel and Christian Robinson phones, c

  14 you tell us first which direction the call from -
  15 in between Moslehi and Schaffel occurred, between

  16 their phones?

  17 A. The Marc Schaff

  18 Mosl 10 Offices of Geragos & Geragos, Evelyn Tavasci, David

  - 13 Moslehi, Schaffel and Christian Robinson phones, can

  - 18 Moslehi phone for one minute in length at 8:42 p.m.
  - 19 Q. What about the contact between the Christian
  - 20 Robinson phone and the Marc Schaffel phone?
  - 21 A. That was a call from the Christian Robinson
  - 22 phone to the Marc Schaffel phone, one minute in
  - 23 length, at 10:18 p.m.
  - 24 Q. And in between the call from the Schaffel
  - 25 phone to the Moslehi phone, and the Christian
  - 26 Robinson phone and the Schaffel phone, were there
  - 27 two calls placed to Frank Cascio, one from the

- 1 A. I have a phone call from the David Ventura
- 2 residence to a Frank Cascio phone at 2105 hours, two
- 3 minutes in length.
- 4 Q. And at 2130 hours, 9:30 p.m., was there a
- 5 call between the Vince Amen phone and a Frank Cascio
- 6 phone?
- 7 A. That's correct. 2130 hours, one minute in
- 8 length.
- 9 Q. It's not clear on the chart, from here
- The Ventura phone, Janet'

  13 A. There were four calls.

  14 Q. Can you tell us what time the first call was
  15 made on Valentine's Day 2003?

  16 A. The first call I have is from
  17 residence to a Common 18 in 19 To anyway, but how many times was there contact between

  - - 17 residence to a Cascio phone at 4:45 p.m., one minute
  - 19 Q. Okay. When was the next call, please?
  - 20 A. The next call occurred at 7:29 p.m. This
  - 21 one was from the Cascio phone to the Venturas'
  - 22 residence, two minutes in length.
  - 23 Q. And the next one, please?
  - 24 A. Was at 7:45 p.m., again from the Cascio
  - 25 phone to the Ventura residence, for 59 seconds.
  - 26 Q. Is the next one the call that occurs at
  - 27 2105, 9:05 p.m., between the Ventura residence and

- 1 A. That's correct. Two minutes in length.
- 2 Q. Okay. Are there also a number of calls
- 3 between the Ventura phone and the Azia Pryor phone?
- 4 A. Yes, there are.
- 5 Q. And are they going in one direction or both
- 6 directions, generally?
- 7 A. They're going in both directions.
- 8 Q. And what time is the first call between
- 9 those two phones, please?
- IN MR. SANGER: Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 11 at this point. I've been waiting, but it does seem
  - 12 that this is cumulative, and the relevance in these
- point. I'v

  nat this is cumula

  13 details -- I'll object

  14 THE COURT: Overruled.

  15 THE WITNESS: The fi

  16 2:20 p.m., c.

  17 reside 13 details -- I'll object on relevance.

  - 15 THE WITNESS: The first call occurred at
  - 16 2:20 p.m., one minute in length, from the Ventura
  - 17 residence to the Azia Pryor telephone.
  - 18 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Do you have an entry there
  - 19 marked 1250 in the morning?
  - 20 A. I'm sorry, that's correct. That's the first
  - 21 one. 1250, one minute 30 seconds in length, from
  - 22 Azia Pryor's phone to the Ventura residence.
  - 23 Q. One minute 30 seconds?
  - 24 A. I'm sorry, one hour 30 minutes. They're
  - 25 numbers.
  - 26 Q. You bill like phone companies, in reverse.
  - 27 And when was the last call between the

- 1 14th?
- 2 A. The last call I have is at 11:40 p.m. for
- 3 two minutes in length from the Ventura phone to the
- 4 Azia Pryor phone.
- 5 Q. Okay. And in total, there was contact
- 6 between the LeGrand phone and the Kite phone just
- 7 one time?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And two times between the Kite phone and the
- 10 Geragos & Geragos phones that day?
- you could please continue t

  13 the chart for February 15th. And p

  14 this exhibit for the jury, please.

  15 A. It's a little off center.

  16 Q. Okay.

  17 A. Okay. Acc.

  18 in 12 Q. If you could please continue to Exhibit 866,
  - 13 the chart for February 15th. And please explain

  - 17 A. Okay. Again, we have a number of
  - 18 individuals who we have identified as being
  - 19 associated with this investigation, and these are
  - 20 telephones that are associated with those
  - 21 individuals: Hamid Moslehi, Rudy Provencio, Vincent
  - 22 Amen, Marc Schaffel, Frank Cascio, Neverland Valley
  - 23 Ranch, Ann Kite, Law Offices of Geragos & Geragos,
  - 24 Evelyn Tavasci, Jay Jackson, a second line into
  - 25 Evelyn Tavasci's residence, David Ventura and Azia
  - 26 Pryor.
  - 27 Q. Was the first call between the Cascio phone

- 1 Look on page two, four up from the bottom.
- 2 A. The David Ventura phone.
- 3 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Was that the first of five
- 4 calls to the David Ventura phone at 2:11 in the
- 5 morning?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And can you tell us when the first call was
- 8 between the Cascio phone and the Jackson phone that
- 9 morning, that day?
- Jinow .

  Jon phone to t.

  Ji the 14 calls between

  13 phone and the Frank Cascio p

  14 lasted more than one minute?

  15 A. 11 of them.

  16 Q. Was there a ca'

  17 phone and

  18 10 A. The first call I show is at 10:17 a.m. from
  - 11 the Jay Jackson phone to the Cascio phone.
  - 12 Q. Of the 14 calls between the Jay Jackson
  - 13 phone and the Frank Cascio phone, how many of them
  - - 16 Q. Was there a call between the Frank Cascio
    - 17 phone and the Geragos & Geragos phone that day as
  - 19 A. Yes, there was.
  - 20 Q. And what time was that?
  - 21 A. That occurred at 2:45 p.m., for 44 seconds,
  - 22 from Cascio to Geragos phones.
  - 23 Q. And prior to that phone contact between the
  - 24 Cascio phone and the Geragos & Geragos phone, was
  - 25 there a 15-minute phone call beginning at 1424 hours
  - 26 between the Cascio phone and the Jay Jackson phone?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 the Cascio phone to the Geragos & Geragos phone,
- 2 were there two more calls placed between the Cascio
- 3 phone and the Jackson phone?
- 4 A. Correct. At 1501 and 1502, first one for
- 5 one minute and the second one for three minutes.
- 6 Q. And at the end of the three-minute call at
- 7 1502 between the Cascio phone and the Jackson phone,
- 8 was there a call between Frank Cascio's phone and
- 9 Marc Schaffel's phone?
- Of the three calls k

  13 and the Tavasci home pho
  14 time the first call was?

  15 A. The first call occur
  16 minutes in lenc
  17 Q. And
  18 It A. That's correct, at 1505 hours for three

  - 12 Q. Of the three calls between the Cascio phone
  - 13 and the Tavasci home phone, can you tell us what

  - 15 A. The first call occurred at 5:45 p.m., six

  - 17 Q. And which direction was that call going?
  - 18 A. That was from Cascio to the Tavasci phone.
  - 19 Q. At that same time, at 5:45 p.m., was there a
  - 20 call from the Vince Amen phone to the same Frank
  - 21 Cascio phone that dialed the Evvy Tavasci home
  - 22 phone?
  - 23 A. That's correct. It was seven minutes in
  - 24 length from Amen to Cascio.
  - 25 Q. And at the same time, the Cascio phone
  - 26 called the Tavasci home?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Is that what's known as a three-way call,
- 3 Sergeant?
- 4 A. It is potentially a three-way call.
- 5 Q. Immediately after that call between the
- 6 Amen/Cascio, Cascio/Tavasci phones, was there a call
- 7 placed from the Cascio phone to the Schaffel phone?
- 8 A. That's correct. I have a two-minute call at
- 9 1755 hours from the Cascio phone to a Schaffel

- The Cascio phone and one of the Evely

  13 Tavasci home phone numbers and the Cascio phone and
  14 the other Tavasci home number, the second line, at
  15 1825 and 1843 hours?

  16 A. That's correct. I have the final final formula of the Evely
  18 there 12 that between the Cascio phone and one of the Evelyn
  - 13 Tavasci home phone numbers and the Cascio phone and

  - 19 Cascio phone to the Tavasci, Evelyn, home phone.
  - 20 And the second call is at 1843 hours, one
  - 21 minute 47 seconds in length, and that goes from the
  - 22 Cascio to the Evelyn Tavasci second home line.
  - 23 Q. At 1851 hours, was there a phone call
  - 24 between the Cascio phone and the Jay Jackson phone?
  - 25 A. That's correct. Two minutes in length.
  - 26 Q. This would be the call immediately after the
  - 27 call from Cascio's line to the second home line at

- 1 A. A few minutes later, correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. And that was a two-minute call?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. At the end of that phone call at 1853 was
- 5 there another call placed from the Cascio line to
- 6 the same Jay Jackson line?
- 7 A. That's correct. We have a call at 1853, for
- 8 17 minutes in length.
- 9 Q. And at the end of that call, at 1910 hours,
- Three minutes 19 seconds in

  13 length.

  14 Q. And immediately after that call, was there -
  15 excuse me. Were there two calls placed from +'

  16 Frank Cascio phone to the Frank

  17 A. Okay. Yes, we have To was there another call placed from the Frank Cascio

  - 19 four minutes in length.
  - 20 Q. After the phone call at 7:16 p.m. between
  - 21 the Cascio phone and the Schaffel phone, did the
  - 22 phone belonging to Jay Jackson connect with a phone
  - 23 belonging to Frank Cascio, in that direction?
  - 24 A. That's correct. At 8:43 p.m. for four
  - 25 minutes 15 seconds.
  - 26 Q. Was there another call between the same two
  - 27 phones and in the same direction at 8:48 p.m. that

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And immediately after that phone call at
- 3 8:48, was there a call between the Frank Cascio
- 4 phone and the Evvy Tavasci home phone?
- 5 A. That's correct, at 8:56 p.m., two minutes 28
- 6 seconds in length.
- 7 Q. Was there a call approximately ten minutes
- 8 later from the Cascio phone to the Amen phone at
- 9 2108?
- It A. Correct. Six minutes in length.
- 11 Q. At the end of that six-minute call, was
- and six-minute call,

  a call between the Cascio phone and

  13 Neverland Valley Ranch phone?

  14 A. That's correct. 2126 hours. That's and

  15 incoming call from Neverland Valley +

  16 phone, three minutes 41 or

  17 Q. If you com?

  18 Arc? 12 there a call between the Cascio phone and the

  - 15 incoming call from Neverland Valley to the Cascio
  - 16 phone, three minutes 41 seconds in length.
  - 17 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 867, please.
  - 18 And is that the chart for Sunday, February 16th, of
  - 19 2003?
  - 20 A. That's correct.
  - 21 Q. If you could explain to the jury what's
  - 22 depicted in this exhibit, please.
  - 23 A. Once more, we have a number of telephones
  - 24 that are associated with individuals that have came
  - 25 up during this investigation; namely, starting on
  - 26 the left, Chris Tucker, Vincent Amen, Rudy
  - 27 Provencio, Evelyn Tavasci, Azia Pryor, Neverland

- 1 Ventura, Jay Jackson, Frank Cascio, another call
- 2 from Marc Schaffel, and Christian Robinson as Site
- 3 LLC.
- 4 Q. With respect to the calls between the Cascio
- 5 phone and the Jay Jackson phone, the chart shows a
- 6 "2" over a "1." Were there 21 calls between the
- 7 two, or what?
- 8 A. No, there was not. That should indicate
- 9 that there were two calls from one direction and one

- Le the two calls from?

  Jay Jackson to Frank Cascio. And one

  13 call from Frank Cascio to Jay Jackson.

  14 Q. Okay. Can you tell us the time of the first

  15 call between Jay Jackson and the Frank Casci

  16 A. The first call occurred at

  17 minutes in length

  18 telc
  - 15 call between Jay Jackson and the Frank Cascio phone?
  - 17 minutes in length, from Jay Jackson to Frank Cascio

  - 19 Q. And when was the last call between the two
  - 20 phones?
  - 21 A. At 11:26 p.m., two minutes 30 seconds in
  - 22 length, from the Frank Cascio phone to Jay Jackson
  - 23 phone.
  - 24 Q. In between the first and last calls between
  - 25 the Jay Jackson phone and the Cascio phone, was
  - 26 there contact between the Cascio phone and the
  - 27 Neverland Valley Ranch phone?

- 1 Q. So there was not?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. When was the first call between the Vince
- 4 Amen phone and the Frank Cascio phone?
- 5 A. 0844 hours, or 8:44 a.m.
- 6 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 871, I believe.
- 7 Is that the chart for February 20th?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Is it Detective Zelis who will have all the

- Exhibit 871, or a reasonable

  13 likeness, up on the board. Do you know whether this
  14 date, February 20th, coincided with the filming of a
  15 rebuttal video?
  16 A. That is my understanding, corre
  17 Q. Okay. And explain
  18 what

  - 19 A. Again, we have icons which are associated
  - 20 with telephones registered to individuals that have
  - 21 came up during this investigation. Starting at the
  - 22 top left, we have Frank Cascio, Marc Schaffel, David
  - 23 Ventura, Jay Jackson, Evelyn Tavasci second line,
  - 24 Evelyn Tavasci's home line, Neverland Valley Ranch,
  - 25 Vincent Amen, the Turnberry Isle Resort, Christopher
  - 26 Carter and Rudy Provencio.
  - 27 Q. If you could begin, please, with the phone

- 1 Jackson line, tell us what time the first call was
- 2 placed.
- 3 A. The first call occurred at 10:02 a.m., two
- 4 minutes in length, from Amen to Jackson.
- 5 Q. Would you look at the time stamp, 9:51 on
- 6 your chart, please?
- 7 A. I'm sorry, they're out of order. 9:51 would
- 8 be the first call.
- 9 Q. And the second call would be at 10:02 a.m.?
- TO A. Correct.
- Ine Vince Amen phone

  Ine Marc Schaffel phone that day?

  13 A. I have five calls.

  14 Q. And was the first call placed at nearly one
  15 o'clock in the morning or just before one
  16 the morning?

  17 A. Five minutes "

  18 Q. "

  - 15 o'clock in the morning or just before one o'clock in

  - 18 Q. And how many times was there contact between
  - 19 the Vince Amen phone and the Frank Cascio phone that
  - 20 day? You could refer to the chart itself if it
  - 21 helps you.
  - 22 A. I'm just double-checking. I have 31 calls.
  - 23 Q. Was the first call a call that was placed
  - 24 between Frank Cascio's phone and Vince Amen's phone
  - 25 at 1:25 in the morning?
  - 26 A. That's correct.
  - 27 Q. And how many times was there contact between

- 1 morning -- on the day of the 20th?
- 2 A. I have 11 calls. And I have two -- two
- 3 more, so a total of 13.
- 4 Q. Of the two calls between the Cascio phone
- 5 and the Evvy Tavasci home phone, can you tell us
- 6 whether they were going in one direction or both
- 7 ways?
- 8 A. It appears they were going just from Cascio
- 9 to Evelyn Tavasci.
- what time is the first call from

  13 Cascio phone to the Tavasci phone?

  14 A. At 1:26 p.m., three minutes in length.

  15 Q. If you would please turn to the new

  16 that you have, which is No

  17 we leave 871.

  18 New 10 Q. From the Cascio phone to the Tavasci phone?

  - 12 Q. And what time is the first call from the

  - 15 Q. If you would please turn to the next exhibit
  - 16 that you have, which is No. 875 -- actually, before
  - 17 we leave 871, the chart shows seven calls between
  - 18 Neverland Valley Ranch and Frank Cascio's number; is
  - 19 that correct?
  - 20 A. That's correct.
  - 21 Q. And what time did those calls begin and in
  - 22 which direction were they going, please?
  - 23 A. I believe each of these calls was an
  - 24 incoming call. I'm sorry, they're going both
  - 25 directions. And they start at a little after 2 p.m.
  - 26 and end a little after 9 p.m.
  - 27 Q. Okay. Now you can turn to Exhibit 875,

- 1 MR. SANGER: Is that March 5?
- 2 MR. NICOLA: It's March 2.
- 3 THE WITNESS: March 5th.
- 4 MR. SANGER: March 5 is what mine says.
- 5 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Is there an exhibit tag on
- 6 March 2nd?
- 7 A. Yeah. It's not mine, though.
- 8 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. 875 is March 5th. And
- 9 who's doing March 2nd, 3rd and 4th?
- It A. Chief Investigator Dave Saunders.
- a chart for Wednesda

  on?

  13 A. It is.

  14 Q. Okay. Explain to the jury what's on this
  15 exhibit, please.

  16 A. Okay. Again, we have a real of the plane and the plane are associated.

  18 to

  - 16 A. Okay. Again, we have a number of icons that
  - 17 are associated with telephones that are registered
  - 18 to individuals that have came up during this
  - 19 investigation. Starting on the left, we have Frank
  - 20 Cascio, Neverland Valley Ranch, Evelyn Tavasci/MJJ
  - 21 Productions, another number, MJJ Productions/Miko
  - 22 Brando, Evelyn Tavasci home, Rudy Provencio, Paul
  - 23 Hugo and David LeGrand.
  - 24 Q. Let's talk about the Frank Cascio phone and
  - 25 the Neverland Valley Ranch phone. Can you tell us
  - 26 when they first -- when they first begin and which
  - 27 direction they went?

- 1 in the morning, which was an incoming call from
- 2 Neverland to Frank Cascio, and it ended at 6:15
- 3 p.m., again with an incoming call from Neverland to
- 4 Frank Cascio. In between that we had two calls from
- 5 Cascio to Neverland.
- 6 Q. Okay. Can you tell us what time the call
- 7 between the Paul Hugo phone and the Rudy Provencio
- 8 phone was?
- 9 A. Okay. That was at 2109 hours for one minute
- To in length.
- also bet

  David LeGrand ph

  13 A. That was 2134

  14 minutes in length.

  15 Q. Okay. If you c

  16 please. Arc

  17 on t<sup>1</sup> 11 Q. And also between the Paul Hugo phone and the
  - 12 David LeGrand phone, please?
  - 13 A. That was 2134 hours, or 9:34 p.m., for two

  - 15 Q. Okay. If you could move to Exhibit No. 876,
  - 16 please. And if you could explain to the jury what's

  - 18 A. Okay. As with the other exhibits, we have a
  - 19 number of telephones associated with individuals
  - 20 and/or locations that have came up during this
  - 21 investigation. I'll start on the left. We have
  - 22 Paul Hugo, Marc Schaffel and Vincent Amen. We have
  - 23 Frank Cascio, Neverland Valley Ranch. This was a
  - 24 room at the Beverly Hilton that was registered under
  - 25 Christopher Carter. We have the MJJ
  - 26 Productions/Miko Brando phone, Evelyn Tavasci/MJJ
  - 27 Productions phone, Evelyn Tavasci second home line,

- 1 Q. Could you turn to the exhibit book on your
- 2 right, please, and find Exhibit No. 255? Are those
- 3 The Beverly Hilton records?
- 4 A. These are.
- 5 Q. Okay. Exhibit 255, is that the room bill
- 6 for Chris Carter, Room 429, from The Beverly Hilton?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. Okay. Did the phone charge -- do the phone
- 9 charges on The Beverly Hilton records include
- recific to length of call.

  13 Q. And indeed, there are no minutes on your

  14 chart for The Beverly Hilton calls, correct?

  15 A. Correct.

  16 Q. Do you show three calls ~

  17 Beverly Hilton ~

  18 6+h

  - 17 Beverly Hilton out of the Chris Carter room on the
  - 18 6th to Ms. Evelyn Tavasci's home or second home
  - 19 numbers?
  - 20 A. That's correct.
  - 21 Q. And what time is the first call?
  - 22 A. I have two calls that occur at 1136 hours.
  - 23 One to the home line; the second one to the second
  - 24 home line.
  - 25 Q. Okay. Can you remove the page you're
  - 26 looking at in Exhibit 255?
  - 27 MR. SANGER: Which one?

- 1 Q. Showing you page one of Exhibit 255, just
- 2 generally the time stamp in the middle column on the
- 3 left, for example, eleven-three -- 11:36, that's the
- 4 time that corresponds to the call in your charts,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And there's nothing on the right that
- 8 indicates the length of the time; isn't that right?
- 9 A. I don't recognize anything as being a
- To length.
- The call between the ca 11 Q. Can you tell us at approximately what time
  - 12 the call between the -- between the Hugo phone and
  - 13 the Schaffel phone was, please?

  - 15 Q. How long did that call last?
  - 16 A. Two minutes in length.
  - 17 Q. And can you tell us what time the calls
  - 18 between the Amen phone and the Schaffel phone were?
  - 19 A. At 12:21 p.m. and 12:17 p.m., four minutes
  - 20 and three minutes respectively.
  - 21 Q. And your records show the only place of
  - 22 relevance to your investigation that the Cascio
  - 23 phone called was the Neverland Valley Ranch line?
  - 24 A. That's correct.
  - 25 Q. If you could move on to Exhibit 877, please.
  - 26 And is 877 the chart for Friday, the 7th of March,
  - 27 2003?

- 1 Q. Again, the only calls from Frank Cascio that
- 2 you registered were to Neverland Valley Ranch?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Can you tell us what time the first call was
- 5 and what time the last one was?
- 6 A. The first call occurred five minutes after
- 7 midnight, at 0005 hours, and the last call occurred
- 8 at 12:03 p.m.
- 9 Q. Sergeant Bonner, can you tell us about the
- It middle icon, The Beverly Hilton Kenneth Morgan room?
- A. As with the Chris Carter room on the
  - 12 previous day, this was a room at The Beverly Hilton
- 13 which was registered under the name Kenneth Morgan.
- 14 Q. And in which exhibit did you find the
  - 15 Kenneth Morgan phone records from The Beverly
  - 16 Hilton?
  - 17 A. It was under Exhibit 256.
  - 18 Q. Would you find those in the exhibit book,
  - 19 please?
  - 20 A. Done.
  - 21 Q. Done. When was the first call made from
  - 22 that room and to what number?
  - 23 A. The first call occurred on 3-7, 2003, at
  - 24 9:21 p.m. to the Evelyn Tavasci home line.
  - 25 Q. How many calls were placed to the Evelyn
  - 26 Tavasci home line from the Kenneth Morgan room?
  - 27 A. On the 7th?

- 1 A. I have a total of four calls.
- 2 Q. And when was the last phone call between the
- 3 Kenneth Morgan room and the Evvy Tavasci home?
- 4 A. At 9:51 p.m.
- 5 Q. Is there a room charge visible on Exhibit
- 6 256?
- 7 A. There is; in the amount of \$850.
- 8 Q. Per night?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. I believe the next exhibit is 878.
- MR. SANGER: Your Honor, I'm going to move
- 12 to strike the last answer for the purpose of
- 13 objecting to the question. I didn't know where he
- 14 was going, but it's not going anywhere and violates
  15 the Court's rule -- ruling, the room charge.
  16 THE COURT: Sustained.
  17 MR. SANGER: Stricken?
  18 THE COURT: Stricken.

  - 19 MR. NICOLA: Stricken?
  - 20 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 21 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Exhibit 878, is that March
  - 22 8th? I haven't put it up yet.
  - 23 A. That's correct.
  - 24 Q. Okay. What do you have listed in Exhibit
  - 25 878?
  - 26 A. Again, we have icons representing telephones
  - 27 associated with individuals and/or locations that

- 1 starting on the left, Neverland Valley Ranch, Evelyn
- 2 Tavasci/MJJ Productions, MJJ Productions/Miko
- 3 Brando, Beverly Hilton, again the Kenneth Morgan
- 4 room, Evelyn Tavasci/MJJ Productions, and Evelyn
- 5 Tavasci, home.
- 6 Q. Are the phone calls between the Evvy Tavasci
- 7 home and the Kenneth Morgan room also contained in
- 8 Exhibit 256?
- 9 A. Yes, they are.
- Lon they were going?

  Ji those are outgoing calls.

  13 Q. And over what -
  14 A. From the Kenneth Morgan room to the Evelyn

  15 Tavasci room, or line.

  16 Q. And over what period of +:

  17 phone calls span

  18 A. " 10 Q. And of the 12 calls you have listed, can you

  - - 18 A. The first call I have occurs at 8:35 a.m. in
    - 19 the morning and the last call occurs at 6:57 p.m. in
    - 20 the evening.
    - 21 Q. Were there calls from the Kenneth Morgan
    - 22 room to two other phones registered to Evvy Tavasci
    - 23 through MJJ Productions?
    - 24 A. There were.
    - 25 Q. And on the right-hand side of that exhibit
    - 26 appears to be the number "3" in the middle of a
    - 27 link. Can you tell us about that phone number,

- 1 Evelyn Tavasci MJJ Production phone?
- 2 A. Okay. That is the -- the phone number is
- 3 (818) 402-7087. It comes back registered to Evelyn
- 4 Tavasci, MJJ Productions.
- 5 Q. And in the top center of the page, there's
- 6 another telephone with the same icon. Is that a
- 7 different number with the four connections?
- 8 A. Actually, I'm sorry, that -- the four is the
- 9 7087 number. The four calls were to that (818)
- 10 402-7087. And the three calls were to (310)
- Q. The next

  13 879. Is this

  14 A. Yes, it is.

  15 Q. Can you te

  16 between

  17 hor 12 Q. The next exhibit in order, please, Exhibit
  - 13 879. Is this the exhibit for Sunday, March the 9th?

  - 15 Q. Can you tell us what time the phone call
  - 16 between the Cascio phone and the Evvy Tavasci second

  - 18 A. It occurred at 11:34 p.m., and that was an
  - 19 incoming call from Tavasci to the Cascio line.
  - 20 Q. Can you tell us about the phone calls
  - 21 between the Cascio phone and the Schaffel phone on
  - 22 that day?
  - 23 A. I have two telephone calls from the Cascio
  - 24 phone to -- correction, one from the Cascio phone to
  - 25 the Schaffel phone. Another one that was an
  - 26 incoming from Schaffel to Cascio. First one was one
  - 27 minute prior to 7 p.m., and the second one was at

- 1 Q. Can you tell us about the 12 phone calls
- 2 between the Evvy Tavasci/MJJ Production phone and
- 3 the Schaffel phone, please? Do you know when the
- 4 first one occurred?
- 5 A. No. There appears to be an error.
- 6 Q. That should say "Neverland Valley Ranch"?
- 7 A. It should.
- 8 Q. Okay. Can you tell us what time the first
- 9 call to Neverland Valley Ranch occurred?
- IN MR. SANGER: I'm just going to object for
- ...it, that t.
  ...ould be Neverland

  13 clear what that refe.

  14 THE COURT: Sustained.

  15 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: T'

  16 referring to

  17 Never'

  1° 11 the moment, that there is a reference to, "That
  - 12 should be Neverland Valley Ranch," and it's not
  - 13 clear what that reference is to.

  - 15 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: The 12 phone calls I was
  - 16 referring to should have been referred to as the
  - 17 Neverland Valley Ranch, correct?

  - 19 Q. So the link between the Tavasci/MJJ
  - 20 Productions phone and the Marc Schaffel phone is
  - 21 incorrect; is that what you're saying?
  - 22 A. That's correct.
  - 23 Q. Okay. Were there any calls between the
  - 24 Schaffel phone and the Tavasci phone for that day?
  - 25 A. No.
  - 26 Q. Okay. So the 12 calls between the
  - 27 Tavasci/MJJ Production phone and the Neverland

- 1 A. 8:48 a.m.
- 2 Q. And what time did they cease?
- 3 A. At 8:19 p.m.
- 4 Q. Is the chart -- excuse me, is the
- 5 spreadsheet behind the chart accurate, to your
- 6 recollection?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. Okay. And is that how you realized the
- 9 actual chart that's up on the screen is incorrect
- Total phone?

  Correct.

  13 Q. The call between the Amen phone and the
  14 Schaffel phone, what time did that occur, please?
  15 A. At 1757 hours.
  16 Q. And that was a one-minute call
  17 A. One-minute dura
  18 MR no with respect to the link between the Evvy Tavasci

  - 19 this chart, Exhibit 879.
  - 20 It is 879, correct?
  - 21 THE WITNESS: Correct.
  - 22 MR. NICOLA: Pending correction.
  - 23 MR. SANGER: Well, I object to that. It's
  - 24 been referred to in front of the jury, so it should
  - 25 remain. And they can present a corrected chart if
  - 26 they want.
  - 27 MR. NICOLA: We can do that.

- 1 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Did that take you through
- 2 your daily obligation?
- 3 A. It did.
- 4 MR. NICOLA: Thank you.
- 5 Your Honor, I have no further questions at
- 6 this time.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our break
- 8 and then you can do your cross.
- 9 (Recess taken.)
- It THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sanger, you ready?
- 11 MR. SANGER: Yes.
- 12 Bef 13 tryin 14 binder. 15 MR. NJ 16 ric 17 12 Before we do, I was right in the middle of
  - 13 trying to find Exhibits 451 and 458. They're in a

  - 15 MR. NICOLA: The originals? They're all
  - 16 right here.
  - 17 MR. SANGER: There we go. All right. I'll
  - 18 get to them in a minute.
  - 19 May I proceed, Your Honor?
  - 20 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 21
  - 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
  - 23 BY MR. SANGER:
  - 24 Q. Okay. Some big-picture questions. First of
  - 25 all -- I don't really want to go through the exact
  - 26 times of minutes of everything, but there are some
  - 27 big-picture questions.

- 1 that you analyzed, were you able to determine from
- 2 the phone records whether or not Michael Jackson was
- 3 ever on a single call?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And as to some of the other records, for
- 6 instance, those pertaining to Marc Schaffel,
- 7 Frederic Marc Schaffel, there are several telephone
- 8 numbers associated with Mr. Schaffel, correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Based on your investigation, during the
  14 period of time February and March of 2003, Mr.
  15 Schaffel had converted his house into an of
  16 that right?
  17 A. It's the firet
  18 Q. \*\*

  - 15 Schaffel had converted his house into an office; is

  - 19 people working out of his house?
  - 20 A. Yes.
  - 21 Q. All right. And they had different offices
  - 22 set up in his house?
  - 23 A. The interviews that I've conducted,
  - 24 basically people were working -- doing work out of
  - 25 his house, but not necessarily separate offices.
  - 26 Q. Okay. All right. Now, I notice on the
  - 27 summary charts, sometimes, in fact most of the time,

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Sometimes you did not, correct?
- 3 A. Usually -- I mean, we tried to include
- 4 everything that was a one-minute call.
- 5 Q. Okay. For instance and I'll find the
- 6 chart here but there was a chart, I believe, where
- 7 you had not shown two calls from Jay Jackson's house
- 8 because apparently they were very short calls. They
- 9 were one-minute calls.
- IC MR. NICOLA: Objection. The question is

- Overruled.

  13 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Do you recall that?

  14 A. I think I can clarify that. The records

  15 that were introduced into evidence show

  16 calls were actually less +>

  17 and that's why

  18 over
  - 15 that were introduced into evidence show that those
  - 16 calls were actually less than 30 seconds in length,
  - 17 and that's why we removed those calls from the

  - 19 Q. All right. So some of these phone
  - 20 records -- you looked at phone records from various
  - 21 carriers and from hotels; is that correct?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - 23 Q. And some of the carriers bill a call as a
  - 24 minute, no matter how long it takes?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 Q. If it's under a minute, I should say, of
  - 27 course.

- 1 Q. And sometimes the carrier will show a
- 2 specific number of seconds; is that correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, another big-picture item,
- 5 if we can. There are some calls that you showed
- 6 were placed to the law firm of Geragos & Geragos?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And some of those calls -- and we can go
- 9 through if you want, but some of those calls were a
- To minute or less, correct?
- cc
  . correct.

  . Do you have any 1

  13 caller was able to ge
  14 to an attorney there?

  15 A. I wouldn't necess

  16 call less ths

  17 incoms

  10 12 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
  - 13 caller was able to get through the receptionist and

  - 15 A. I wouldn't necessarily think an incoming
  - 16 call less than a minute, but an out -- I'm sorry, an
  - 17 incoming call from Geragos to the cell phone could
  - 18 result in a connection in less than a minute.
  - 19 However, I would highly doubt that a call from the
  - 20 cell phone to Geragos would probably result in a
  - 21 call.
  - 22 Q. In other words, his law firm has a
  - 23 receptionist; is that correct?
  - 24 A. I would assume so.
  - 25 Q. Okay. And there are a number of people that
  - 26 work at his law firm besides Mr. Geragos himself?
  - 27 A. That's correct.

- 1 there are phone calls that are placed to -- they're
- 2 coming into a place like the Turnberry or one of the
- 3 other hotels, those calls go to a switchboard; is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And then the switchboard would send the
- 7 calls to particular rooms or particular staff people
- 8 or guests or whatever, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- IC Q. And so, number one, you have no way of
- way of

  Le calls ended up, corre

  Loan to say they went to the Turnber.

  13 itself, no.

  14 Q. That's right. As far as you could trace it,

  15 it got to the reception desk, and then whe

  16 from there we don't know?

  17 A. Correct.

  18 Q. 6 cil determining where those calls ended up, correct?
  - 12 A. Other than to say they went to the Turnberry

  - 15 it got to the reception desk, and then where it went

  - 19 one-minute call to one of those hotels, there's no
  - 20 reason to believe that the call actually got
  - 21 completed to a quest in the hotel?
  - 22 A. Not necessarily, no.
  - 23 Q. Okay. All right. One more overall question
  - 24 here. You mentioned Rudy Provencio in your
  - 25 analysis, correct?
  - 26 A. Correct.
  - 27 Q. And the first call that you show Rudy

- 1 2003; is that correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And there are some other references to Mr.
- 4 Provencio from time to time being involved in phone
- 5 calls after that date, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. But you have no phone calls showing that
- 8 Rudy Provencio was involved in making or receiving
- 9 calls from any of the people involved in this
- To investigation before February the 8th, 2003,
- 11 correct?
  - 12 A. Not that has made it into these exhibits.
- 13 Q. Well, based on your analysis of the records
- 14 and everybody else that was helping you right? --
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. -- you have no indication that Rudy
- 17 Provencio talked to anybody on the phone associated
- 18 with this case before February the 8th, 2003; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 A. Again, I'd have to -- to say that it's based
- 21 within these exhibits, no, there is not. However, I
- 22 am aware that there are some records that did not
- 23 make it into the computer to get analyzed with this,
- 24 and I can't tell you whether or not there are or are
- 25 not calls in those records.
- 26 Q. Okay. So you're saying -- you're leaving
- 27 the door open that, you know, there's some other

- 1 you thought was important for this case, right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you tried to put in all the phone
- 4 calls that you thought would be important to the
- 5 investigation, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MR. SANGER: Okay. Now, let me ask if I can
- 8 retrieve from the District Attorney, I think I need
- 9 the original of 451 and 458.
- TO MR. NICOLA: Help yourself.

- . res.

  13 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Now, Detective Bonner, did

  14 you prepare these charts, these actual charts that

  15 you have shown?

  16 A. I had them prepared, correct

  17 Q. Okay. And some

  18 this

  - 19 A. Late last night.
  - 20 Q. Okay. So we were handed the final versions
  - 21 of some of them just this morning. They were handed
  - 22 to the defense this morning, correct?
  - 23 A. I don't know when you were handed. I do
  - 24 know that we finalized them late last night.
  - 25 Q. All right. Did you do a chart for February
  - 26 the 4th, 2003?
  - 27 A. No.

- 1 calls relating to some of the people in this case on
- 2 February the 4th, 2003?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And specifically let me ask you if those
- 5 were from the SBC Pac-Bell phone records, or, I
- 6 don't know anymore, AT&T phone records?
- 7 A. I'd have to refer to the records.
- 8 Q. Okay. I'm sorry, just one second.
- 9 Okay. Let me get you the original.
- res.

  13 Q. BY MR. SANGER: I'm going to show you

  14 Exhibit 451 and I've turned it to Tab No. 6, and see

  15 if you're oriented as to what that is.

  16 A. Okay. This is a telephone recor

  17 Bell telephone recor

  18 (212)

  - 19 4th to a phone number ending in 1861.
  - 20 MR. SANGER: And, Your Honor, with your
  - 21 permission, may I put a copy of that one page up?
  - 22 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 23 MR. SANGER: All right. This is 451, Tab 6.
  - 24 Q. All right. And that -- the two calls that
  - 25 I'm referring to there say, "FE04." Is that
  - 26 February 4th? Is that correct?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. It says -- oh, thank you. There's a point
- 3 up there, I think we all know but -- all right. And
- 4 it says, "Reseda." Is that where it's going or
- 5 where it came from?
- 6 A. I believe that's where it's going to.
- 7 Q. And that's the phone number that it's going
- 8 to, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- pho
  ...; is that cc
  Correct.

  13 Q. All right. And both of
  14 were four minutes apiece?
  15 A. Correct.
  16 Q. All right. To
  17 from war
  18 IC Q. And this is the phone number that it's
  - 11 coming from; is that correct?
  - 13 Q. All right. And both of those phone calls

  - 16 Q. All right. The phone that this was coming
  - 17 from was Jay Jackson's phone; is that correct?

  - 19 Q. The phone number it's going to is whose
  - 20 phone?
  - 21 A. I don't immediately recognize that number.
  - 22 Q. All right. Let's see if we can figure it
  - 23 out here.
  - 24 If you turn to Tab 9, please, and look at
  - 25 those documents and see if those are the types of
  - 26 documents that would assist you in determining who
  - 27 is assigned a particular phone number.

- 1 comes back to Chris Tucker.
- 2 Q. Chris Tucker. Okay.
- 3 What I'm going to propose, with the Court's
- 4 permission, is I'm going to write on a piece of
- 5 paper here illustrative of the witness's testimony.
- 6 I'll take it piece by piece, and if he agrees, I'm
- 7 going ask to admit it and publish it.
- 8 So I'm going to start with a blank piece of
- 9 paper, and I guess we should have that marked as
- 10 defense next in order, if we may.

- Inne.

  Line.

  Line.

  13 THE CLERK: That's 5011.

  14 MR. SANGER: 5011? Okay. Why don't we go
  15 ahead and mark that piece of paper.

  16 Okay. Thank you.

  17 Q. Okay. Now
  18 rc

  - 17 Q. Okay. Now, you would agree that the phone
  - 18 records that we just referred to up here, which is
  - 19 from Tab No. 6 of Exhibit 451 okay? the one
  - 20 that's on the board all right? you would agree
  - 21 that those relate to February 4th of 2003; is that
  - 22 correct?
  - 23 A. Correct.
  - 24 Q. So I'm going to write at the top "02-04-03."
  - 25 Now, your chart started -- Exhibit 859 started on
  - 26 02-05-03; is that right?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 week would 04 be?
- 2 A. Tuesday.
- 3 Q. There you go. You paid attention in grammar
- 4 school and it paid off, all right.
- 5 All right. I'm going to write that on the
- 6 top of the page.
- 7 Now, the one telephone that we're dealing
- 8 with here belongs to Jay Jackson; is that correct?
- 9 A. Correct. The 9279.
- Jing to put '

  W that you've looked

  13 you determined that that

  14 A. Chris Tucker's phone.

  15 Q. Chris Tucker's phor

  16 another telephological series in the series of the the series o 10 Q. Okay. So I'm going to draw a telephone.
  - 11 And I'm going to put "Jay Jackson" under it. And
    - 12 now that you've looked at the other phone records,
  - 13 you determined that that call was placed to who?

  - 15 Q. Chris Tucker's phone. I'm going to draw
  - 16 another telephone, not very well, by the way, and
  - 17 I'm going to put the number "2" on the bar. That's
  - 18 what you were doing if you were showing two calls,
  - 19 correct?
  - 20 A. Correct.
  - 21 Q. And these two calls go from Jay Jackson's
  - 22 telephone to Chris Tucker's telephone, correct?
  - 23 A. Correct.
  - 24 Q. So I'll write "Chris Tucker." And remind us
  - 25 who Chris Tucker is.
  - 26 A. He is an entertainer, comedian.
  - 27 Q. And he was in the movies with Jackie Chan?

- 1 Q. There you go. And I'm going to do one other
- 2 thing, if it's all right with you. I'm going to do
- 3 an arrow at the end of the bar to show which
- 4 direction those calls were going. Would that be all
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Sounds good.
- 7 Q. Does that make sense to you?
- 8 Okay. And can you tell us what time of day
- 9 those telephone calls occurred?
- TO A. 6:11 and 6:14 p.m.
- .ay. So
  6:14 p.m. unc
  13 May I approach
  14 THE COURT: Yes.
  15 MR. SANGER: I'
  16 Who's lc
  17 MR 11 Q. Okay. So I'm going to write down 6:11 and
  - 12 6:14 p.m. under the line.
  - 13 May I approach the witness?

  - 15 MR. SANGER: I'll show you what I did.
  - 16 Who's looking at this? Oh, that's right. Sorry.
  - 17 MR. NICOLA: That's a beautiful chart.
  - 18 MR. SANGER: Thank you. Others will be the
  - 19 judge of that.
  - 20 THE WITNESS: You appear to have paid more
  - 21 attention in art class than I did.
  - 22 Q. BY MR. SANGER: There you go. All right.
  - 23 I want to ask you, does that appear to be an
  - 24 accurate depiction?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, I would move into
  - 27 evidence 5011.

- 1 MR. SANGER: Thank you. And I'd ask
- 2 permission to place that up on the board.
- 3 THE COURT: You may.
- 4 MR. SANGER: Thank you. Or on the screen.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did it the old-fashioned way.
- 6 Now, does that accurately represent -- I
- 7 don't want to beat this to death here, but does that
- 8 accurately represent the date at the top, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And then we have a call from Jay Jackson's
- ne, two c

  lucker's telephon

  13 A. That's correct.

  14 Q. Okay. Thank you.

  15 All right. Now I

  16 telephone c

  17 down 11 telephone, two calls, 6:11 and 6:14 p.m., to Chris
  - 12 Tucker's telephone, correct?

  - 15 All right. Now I want to go to some other
  - 16 telephone calls at the end. And let me take this
  - 17 down. I'm sorry, not at the end. I want to go to
  - 18 the middle, to 2-11. Excuse me.
  - 19 Oh, I'm sorry, excuse me. Before I leave
  - 20 that, you have the book up there with the 200
  - 21 series, I believe; is that correct?
  - 22 A. Appears to be from -- correction. Or -- it
  - 23 is the 200 series.
  - 24 Q. So if you look at Exhibit 223 --
  - 25 And that's already been received into
  - 26 evidence, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask
  - 27 permission to put that up.

- 1 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
- 2 Q. There's two pages to 223; is that correct,
- 3 sir?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. The first page I'll put up on the
- 6 board, and that's the itinerary that we've seen --
- 7 you weren't in the room, I don't think, but we've
- 8 seen this before. It's an itinerary for a flight
- 9 that apparently did not occur, no tickets were
- To issued on this. But it's an itinerary. But do you
- Do you r.

  NICOLA: Objective

  13 Judge.

  14 THE COURT: Overruled.

  15 You may answer.

  16 THE WITNESS:

  17 Howev 11 see that? Do you recognize that to be what it is?
  - 12 MR. NICOLA: Objection; lack of foundation,

  - - 16 THE WITNESS: I recognize it as an itinerary.
    - 17 However, I'm not familiar enough with it to tell you
    - 18 whether or not a ticket was issued or not.
    - 19 Q. BY MR. SANGER: That's fair enough. In any
    - 20 event, there's an itinerary there, and that's for a
    - 21 flight that's scheduled to go at two o'clock in the
    - 22 afternoon; is that correct?
    - 23 A. It appears to be the case.
    - 24 Q. All right. And if we look at -- keeping
    - 25 that in mind for a moment, if we look at page two
    - 26 down at the bottom, there's a fax transmittal that
    - 27 shows this document was faxed or attempted to be

- 1 correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. It shows, "NG," it didn't go through, but at
- 4 least they were trying to fax it at that time,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. All right. Now, on your February 5
- 8 document, if we look at page -- if the summary is
- 9 page one, then this would be page three. It's the
- It second page of phone summary records.
- Inght. Did I tell you Exhibit 859?

  13 A. That's correct.

  14 Q. Did I say that? Okay. So that's for the

  15 5th of February. And if you look down +'

  16 Your Honor, may I inquire ^^

  17 Has this page '

  18 TPT

  - 15 5th of February. And if you look down the list --

  - 19 MR. SANGER: Okay. May I inquire of the
  - 20 witness? All right. Why don't I just approach so
  - 21 we don't have a problem, Your Honor.
  - 22 THE COURT: You may.
  - 23 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Let me just make sure
  - 24 we're -- maybe compare the top and the bottom to see
  - 25 if they're the same.
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 MR. SANGER: Okay. May I publish this page?

- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- 2 Q. BY MR. SANGER: It actually says page two of
- 3 three at the bottom, sir?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. It's actually page three of the exhibit,
- 6 because the first page is your summary, right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. All right. I'll put that up. Let's see if
- 9 it settles there.
- It All right. I'm hoping it's going to get a
- ...g to get

  . it doesn't seem to w

  . guess we cut off part of it here

  13 see if that....

  14 All right. Before I refer to -- I'm going

  15 to refer to this particular line here

  16 A. Can you show me which ~

  17 Q. Yeah, it's

  18 h~' 11 little clearer, but it doesn't seem to want to do
  - 12 that. I guess we cut off part of it here. Let's

  - - 17 Q. Yeah, it's -- there you go. You have to
    - 18 hold it just right. I'm going to refer to this
    - 19 particular line here, which is 2-5-03 at 9:58.
    - 20 A. Okay.
    - 21 Q. Okay? And before we talk about that
    - 22 particularly, let me ask you some general questions
    - 23 that will cover other entries as well.
    - 24 In general, through your investigation in
    - 25 this case, did you determine that when Mr. Jackson
    - 26 travels, the people that arrange his travel often
    - 27 will take a name that is not Mr. Jackson's name and

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And is that something common with
- 3 celebrities, to book rooms under other names,
- 4 whether it's a staff person or just a fictitious
- 5 name?
- 6 A. I don't have personal knowledge. This is
- 7 the only instance that I've personally been involved
- 8 in.
- 9 Q. All right. Have you ever seen other

- - 13 you. You don't want to put your own name down there

  - 17 Q. And sometimes in your investigation, just to
  - 18 cover the big picture, you will see in the documents
  - 19 that the name that is used appears to have -- the
  - 20 last name that's used appears to have no relation to
  - 21 anybody we know of, right?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - 23 Q. So it just might be a name like "Mason" or
  - 24 something like that; is that correct?
  - 25 A. Could be, yes.
  - 26 Q. On the other hand, sometimes you'll see
  - 27 rooms are booked in the name of somebody who is

- 1 other way associated with that organization, such as
- 2 Chris Carter?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. So Chris Carter might reserve rooms in his
- 5 name, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You never saw rooms reserved in the name of
- 8 Michael Jackson himself, correct?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. All right. And the records are kept openly
- 11 in that regard, correct? In other words, through
- 12 the records that you found both at Neverland and
- 13 from the various places where records were
- 14 subpoenaed or obtained by search warrants,
  - 15 internally there was no effort to hide the fact that
  - 16 these were Mr. Jackson's rooms, right?
  - 17 A. No.
  - 18 Q. All right. So, going to these -- we can
  - 19 look at all these rooms down here. These are
  - 20 basically a series of phone numbers that are
  - 21 associated with rooms or suites at that hotel; is
  - 22 that correct?
  - 23 A. Correct.
  - 24 Q. And the Presidential Suite, or the top one
  - 25 that we're looking at here, was a multi-room suite;
  - 26 is that correct?
  - 27 A. I don't know for certain. I just simply

- 1 Q. In your investigation, the course of your
- 2 investigation, did you determine that the
- 3 Presidential Suite was a multi-room suite?
- 4 A. Not personally, no.
- 5 Q. You heard that though?
- 6 A. I have heard that, yes.
- 7 Q. Do you know how many telephones were located
- 8 in that suite?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 Q. Do you know how many people associated with
- or in or out c

  13 associated with

  14 A. I don't know.

  15 Q. Do you know

  16 of that r

  17 A. r 11 MJJ Productions or with other people in this case,
  - 12 or in or out of this case, how many people were
  - 13 associated with that room?

  - 15 Q. Do you know how many people came in and out

  - 18 Q. Do you know how many people -- how many
  - 19 people used the phone or phones in that room?
  - 20 A. Of course not.
  - 21 Q. Pardon?
  - 22 A. Of course not.
  - 23 Q. Yeah, okay.
  - 24 That's a question like Tuesday comes before
  - 25 Wednesday. I just wanted to get it on the record.
  - 26 Thank you.
  - 27 Okay. Now, as we look here, this appears --

- 1 9:58 in the morning, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. That's two minutes of 10:00, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And that would have been Florida time,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And Florida is three hours -- what time
- 9 would it be in California if it was 9:58 in Florida?

- 13 somebody at two minutes to 7:00 that lasts 27
  14 minutes that was placed from the Turnberry and was
  15 made to Jay Jackson, correct?
  16 A. Correct.
  17 Q. Now, in the com18 thir:

  - 19 back up, Exhibit 223. That at least has an
  - 20 itinerary for people to fly commercially at two
  - 21 o'clock on the 5th, right?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - 23 Q. In the course of your investigation, you
  - 24 determined that in fact, Chris Tucker was the person
  - 25 who arranged for a private jet to take the Arvizos
  - 26 to Florida; is that correct?
  - 27 A. That is my understanding.

- 1 than those on the 4th, between members associated
- 2 with the Arvizos that is, Jay Jackson and the
- 3 Venturas or anybody else associated with the Arvizos -
- 4 and Chris Tucker; is that correct?
- 5 A. I believe there was one call later on that
- 6 we covered.
- 7 Q. When was that?
- 8 A. It was in the March time frame.
- 9 Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I meant in this time
- 13 Q. Okay. All right. Now I'd like to turn to
  14 Exhibit 458, if we can, please, and I'll take this
  15 off in a moment. And 458 relates to whom?
  16 A. You'll have to bring me the r
  17 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.
  18 May 7 To period, February the 4th and the 5th, regarding this

  - 19 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: Sure.
  - 22 Q. 458 relates to whom?
  - 23 A. This appears to be a billing for Jay Jackson
  - 24 telephone line.
  - 25 Q. And what company?
  - 26 A. I believe this is the Talk America records.
  - 27 Q. And that would be the long-distance carrier

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Okay. So the phone records that we just saw
- 3 up on the board were the local phone records for Jay
- 4 Jackson; is that correct?
- 5 A. Or local toll or -- yeah. Not long
- 6 distance.
- 7 Q. Not long distance. There are toll charges?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. But not technically long distance.
- 10 All right. So looking at 458, these appear
- 11 to indicate phone calls made long distance by Jay
- 12 Jac.
  13 me, j.
  14 moment.
  15 Look a
  16 abc
  17 12 Jackson. And if I'm correct -- if you'll bear with
  - 13 me, just hold on to that. Don't go anywhere for a

  - 15 Look at your exhibits that you just talked
  - 16 about today, Exhibits 859 through 881, whatever it
  - 17 was, and you testified to some of them, but not all
  - 18 of them, we know that, okay? And I'm going to ask
  - 19 you to look in particular at 862 and 863.
  - 20 While the witness is doing that, I'd like to
  - 21 have marked next in order two more blank pieces of
  - 22 paper.
  - 23 THE COURT: You may.
  - 24 MR. SANGER: Thank you. Separate numbers.
  - 25 Q. All right. Are you looking at 862 and 863?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. Okay. Not a big thing. It's just you

- 1 next summary chart you have is for February the
- 2 12th; is that correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. All right. So you did not do a summary
- 5 chart for February the 11th, correct?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Now, on February 11th, based on your
- 8 investigation, the night of February 11th, right at
- 9 the end of the night, were you aware that Janet
- I'm not sure which

  One of the ride actually occurred at -- the

  14 ride -- the Rolls Royce left the ranch at about 1:52

  15 in the morning; is that correct?

  16 A. The person I interviewed wasn't

  17 about times, so -
  18 Q. Yo

  - 19 A. Yes. But --
  - 20 Q. Did you look at the entries on the gate
  - 21 logs?
  - 22 A. I did. But not having them in front of me,
  - 23 I couldn't state with certainty.
  - 24 Q. Whatever time it was, it was sometime in the
  - 25 early morning hours of the 12th when the Rolls Royce
  - 26 left the property; is that your understanding?
  - 27 A. Yes.

- 1 was asking, around midnight, somewhere just before
- 2 midnight on the 11th, for a ride?
- 3 A. That is my understanding, yes.
- 4 Q. All right. There you go.
- 5 So on the 11th, I want to follow the same
- 6 procedure, with the Court's permission, and I'm
- 7 going to write up at the top "02-11-03." And since
- 8 the 12th was a Wednesday, the 11th had to be a
- 9 Tuesday. We learned that. Right?
- It A. Sounds good.
- 11 Q. Okay. And now I'm going to ask, with the
- And now I

  Ourt's permission,

  13 458.

  14 THE COURT: All right.

  15 MR. SANGER: All right

  16 Q. Page two

  17 to -
  18 12 Court's permission, to put up page two of Exhibit

  - 15 MR. SANGER: All right.
  - 16 Q. Page two of 458. All right. Now, referring
  - 17 to -- I'm going to refer to these three calls down
  - 18 here. Hit the line numbers, I'll make it easier.
  - 19 Referring to these three calls down here
  - 20 that say "Santa Barbara," so that looks like 17, 18
  - 21 and 19, correct?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - 23 Q. And I think you told us these are the phone
  - 24 records of Jay Jackson, correct?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 Q. The long-distance phone records, correct?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 at 2322 hours -- that would be 11:22 at night,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Jay Jackson calls from Los Angeles, where
- 5 his phone's located, to Santa Barbara, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And then you look right below it, at 2323,
- 8 in other words, one minute later, he makes another
- 9 call to Santa Barbara, correct?
- TO A. Correct.
- And

  2 19, the

  13 makes anot

  14 A. Correct.

  15 Q. So, onc

  16 those

  17 J 11 Q. And then on the 19th -- I'm sorry, on line
  - 12 19, the same evening, at 2349 or 11:49 at night, he
  - 13 makes another call to Santa Barbara, correct?

  - 15 Q. So, once again, I'm going to draw one of
  - 16 those little phones and I'm going to put "Jay
  - 17 Jackson" under it, and I'm going to put -- there's
  - 18 two different numbers that are called, right?
  - 19 A. That's correct.
  - 20 Q. I'm going to put two arrows, do it a little
  - 21 differently than your chart, but not much, and I'll
  - 22 put "1" for one number and "2" for the other
  - 23 numbers, and then I will draw two phones quickly.
  - 24 All right. Now, let me ask you if you
  - 25 recognize the first number that was called on that
  - 26 evening.
  - 27 A. The 2300 number?

- 1 A. I'm not certain, but it may be the Santa
- 2 Barbara Police Department.
- 3 Q. The Santa Barbara -- that's the Santa
- 4 Barbara Police Department in downtown Santa Barbara,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. The police department as opposed to the
- 7 sheriff's department.
- 8 Q. Yes, sir. I was going to let you explain
- 9 that. For those who don't know, but probably
- To everybody does, the police department has
- A. Withi.

  13 Q. Within

  14 A. Correct.

  15 Q. Okay. The jurish il jurisdiction over the City of Santa Barbara?
  - 12 A. Within the city limits, correct.
  - 13 Q. Within the city limits in the South County?

  - 15 Q. Okay. The sheriff's department has
  - 16 jurisdiction over the entire county, but primarily
  - 17 the incorporated areas; is that correct?

  - 19 Q. And I guess by contract, some of the
  - 20 unincorporated areas like Buellton, Solvang, so on?
  - 21 A. A few of them, yes.
  - 22 Q. Okay. So the first call we believe is to
  - 23 the police department, right?
  - 24 A. It's my guess, based on the number.
  - 25 Q. Okay. So I'm going to put a little
  - 26 shield-looking thing there that says "SBPD," and I'm
  - 27 going to show you this in a minute.

- 1 A. (805) 683-2724.
- 2 Q. What number is that?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Is that the sheriff's department, by any
- 5 chance?
- 6 A. It's not a number I recognize as being the
- 7 sheriff's department.
- 8 Q. All right. So you don't know that number at
- 9 this point?
- TO A. No.
- Just write "2724" (

  put the whole number down. And

  13 deal with that later.

  14 May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

  15 THE COURT: Yes.

  16 Q. BY MR. SANGER: I'm inc.

  17 take a look of

  18 Time 11 Q. So I'm going to just write "2724" on there.
  - 12 I won't put the whole number down. And then we'll

  - - 16 Q. BY MR. SANGER: I'm just going to ask you to

    - 19 MR. SANGER: Yes, I'm sorry.
    - 20 THE WITNESS: 5012. And it appears to be an
    - 21 accurate representation.
    - 22 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
    - 23 MR. NICOLA: May I see that?
    - 24 MR. SANGER: Oh, yeah.
    - 25 MR. NICOLA: Thank you.
    - 26 MR. SANGER: I'd move 5012 in.
    - 27 THE COURT: It's admitted.

- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- 2 MR. SANGER: By now everybody's got it, all
- 3 right?
- 4 Q. So we have -- on 2-11, we've got three calls
- 5 from Jay Jackson to numbers in Santa Barbara. One
- 6 is the Santa Barbara police, we believe, and the
- 7 other is a number ending in 2724?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 10 All right. I'd like you to go to Exhibit

- nonor, I'm going to follow the

  13 same procedure, with the Court's permission, with
  14 one variation. Rather than doing a separate page
  15 for a number of days, one separate page for a follower a number of days, I will a

  17 series of phone a

  18 THF 13 same procedure, with the Court's permission, with

  - 15 for a number of days, one separate page for each day

  - 19 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 20 Q. I'm going to ask you to look at the -- under
  - 21 No. 5, tell me whose phone records those are.
  - 22 A. These are the phone records for David
  - 23 Ventura, Janet Arvizo's parents.
  - 24 Q. Now, you did not do all of the summary
  - 25 charts in this case. I think we've established
  - 26 that.
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 that was prepared and submitted, although you
- 2 haven't testified to it somebody else will will
- 3 be Exhibit 882?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And so the last summary chart, then, was for
- 6 March the 12th of 2003?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And what was the significance of the date
- 9 March the 12th, 2003?
- 10 A. The 12th was the day we believed the Arvizo
- 11 family left Neverland Ranch.
- \_y left
  Q. All right.

  13 make sure we h

  14 THE COURT: Yes.

  15 MR. SANGER: T<sup>1</sup>

  16 Q. We're

  17 up 12 Q. All right. Now -- may I approach just to
  - 13 make sure we have the same pages here?

  - 15 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 16 Q. We're looking at Exhibit -- I shouldn't talk
  - 17 up here, so excuse me. I just want you to look at
  - 18 these pages here.
  - 19 A. 8-1.
  - 20 O. And dash 2.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: Your Honor, if I may speak
  - 22 loudly just briefly so I can get oriented.
  - 23 THE COURT: All right.
  - 24 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 25 Q. On these, I believe you have to look at the
  - 26 date of the bill and then reference the page
  - 27 numbers. Do you want to --

- 1 Q. Okay. Excuse me one second.
- 2 A. Okay. I have them all.
- 3 Q. Why don't you put a post-it sign on each one
- 4 of those that you just found.
- 5 All right. Now, Exhibit 882, the reason I
- 6 put post-its there, I need to flip back and forth a
- 7 little bit. So look at Exhibit 882, first of all,
- 8 8-8-2. 882, that's the exhibit number. Sorry to
- 9 say it three times.
- That's a summary chart for 3-12-03, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 12 Q. On that summary chart I realize you did
- 13 not prepare it, and I'm not going to go into any
- 14 detail except to ask, do any of the phone calls to
  15 Buellton or Guadalupe that are shown on Exhibit 451
  16 Tab 5, the page that says March 26th, 8-1 -- 8-1
  17 of 9, that's the first half -- the first post-it.
  18 Are any of those phone calls shown on that summary
  - 15 Buellton or Guadalupe that are shown on Exhibit 451,

  - 19 chart?
  - 20 A. Do you mean the calls from the --
  - 21 Q. The David Ventura telephone to Buellton and
  - 22 Guadalupe on the 12th.
  - 23 A. On 2-12?
  - 24 No, they're not.
  - 25 MR. SANGER: All right. Now, Your Honor,
  - 26 may I put up that page of Exhibit 451-5, and it's
  - 27 the March 26th, 8-1 of 9.

- 1 MR. SANGER: And I did this before, Your
- 2 Honor, and I apologize. And I apologize, there's
- 3 some little pen marks there that should be ignored.
- 4 They're not on the original exhibit.
- 5 Q. But I'd like to direct your attention to the
- 6 telephone calls here to -- on March the 12th. It's
- 7 Line No. 4, March the 12th, 5:08 p.m. to Buellton.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. I do.
- To Q. About a four-minute call?
- Foun

  2 Q. And M.

  13 a one-minu

  14 A. Correct.

  15 Q. And Mar

  16 Guada'

  17 A 11 A. Four-minute call, correct.
  - 12 Q. And March the 12th, a 7:02 call to Buellton,
  - 13 a one-minute call, correct?

  - 15 Q. And March the 12th at 11:25 p.m. to
  - 16 Guadalupe, 35-minute call?

  - 18 Q. All right. Now, I'm going to put at the top
  - 19 of this page -- there's an overlap, because you
  - 20 already have a 3-12 exhibit, but I'm going to
  - 21 overlap and it's going to be 03-12-03 to 03-21-03.
  - 22 And then we're going to start with the telephone
  - 23 number that this comes from, and I'm going to risk
  - 24 drawing another telephone here.
  - 25 And whose phone do all of these calls come
  - 26 from on those three pages that I've asked you to
  - 27 look at on page Exhibit 451, Tab 5?

- 1 Q. I'm going to write "David Ventura. And
- 2 based on your investigation, by 3-12-03, you
- 3 believed that the children, as well as Janet Arvizo,
- 4 had left the ranch; is that correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And they went to David Ventura's house; is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. I'm not certain where they went, but I do
- 9 know they left.
- ...g to look
  ...d ends in 5002, which
  ...line 6, all right? It says "Guadala
  13 line 6, 5002, okay?
  14 Did you determine whose telephone that was?
  15 A. No.
  16 Q. Okay. I'll put a phone +>
  17 to write the lace
  18 I'll 10 Q. All right. Now, I'm just going to look at
  - 11 the phone number that ends in 5002, which is shown
    - 12 here on line 6, all right? It says "Guadalupe,"

  - - 16 Q. Okay. I'll put a phone there, and I'm going
    - 17 to write the last digits of the number, "5002," and

    - 19 All right. Now what we'll do is, we'll
    - 20 start here with what we have, and I'm going to put
    - 21 arrows for these various phone calls from the David
    - 22 Ventura telephone to the 5002 phone in Guadalupe.
    - 23 And I'm going to put the date and time on each call,
    - 24 because this chart is going to span several days.
    - 25 So when's the first call to Guadalupe?
    - 26 A. The first call to Guadalupe was at 11:25
    - 27 p.m.

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. 11:25 p.m. All right. When's the next call
- 3 to Guadalupe? I think if you look at the page
- 4 that's on the screen there.
- 5 A. March 13th, 8:45 p.m.
- 6 Q. And while we're at it, I'm going to put down
- 7 the duration here. So the first call was a duration
- 8 of 35 minutes; is that correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- To Q. The second call?
- 11 A. 64 minutes.
- 12 Q. 64 minutes. Okay. Now, I'm going to -- are
- 12 Q.
  13 ther
  14 A. No.
  15 Q. Al
  16 I'
  17 13 there any other calls to Guadalupe on that page?

  - 15 Q. All right. With the Court's permission,
  - 16 I'll go to the next page, 451, Tab 5. What's the
  - 17 next call to Guadalupe?
  - 18 A. March 14th, 8:12 p.m.
  - 19 Q. How many minutes?
  - 20 A. One minute.
  - 21 Q. The next call?
  - 22 A. March 16th?
  - 23 Q. Yes.
  - 24 A. 26 minutes.
  - 25 Q. What time was that?
  - 26 A. 12:01 a.m.
  - 27 Q. 12:01 a.m. So one minute after midnight?

- 1 Q. And how many minutes? I'm sorry.
- 2 A. 26 minutes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Next?
- 4 A. March 16th, 10:14 p.m., six minutes.
- 5 Q. Next call?
- 6 A. March 17th, 7 -- 6:28 p.m., one minute.
- 7 Q. Next call?
- 8 A. March 17th, 8:51 p.m., one minute.
- 9 Q. Yes. Next one?

- and say one-minute calls,

  Lid be less than that?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. Somebody picks it up and they say, "He's not

  15 here."

  16 A. Correct.

  17 Q. Next call?

  18 A. M-

  - 19 Q. Okay.
  - 20 A. March 18th, 9:29 p.m., 26 minutes.
  - 21 Q. 26 minutes.
  - 22 All right. And then I'll put up the last
  - 23 page from -- or the third page I identified from
  - 24 Exhibit 451, Tab 5, and ask if that shows additional
  - 25 calls and times.
  - 26 A. It does.
  - 27 Q. And if you'd go ahead, and we'll continue to

- 1 A. 3-19, 1910, or 7:10 p.m., 36 minutes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 3 A. 3-19, 8:01 p.m., 17 minutes.
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. 3-19, 10:50 p.m., three minutes.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. 3-20, 2:57 p.m., three minutes.
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. 3-20, 7:52 p.m., three minutes.
- TO Q. Okay.
- 11 A. 3-20, 7:55 p.m., 20 minutes.
- 12 Q.
  13 and
  14 right?
  15 A. Apr
  16 Q.
  17 12 Q. So it looks like 7:52, there was a hang-up
  - 13 and then a call back pretty much right away; is that

  - 15 A. Appears to be the case.
  - 16 Q. And that last one was 20 minutes; is that

  - 18 A. Correct.
  - 19 Q. Okay.
  - 20 A. And finally, 3-21, 9:37 p.m., one minute.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: All right. May I approach the
  - 22 witness --
  - 23 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 24 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Ask you to take a look at
  - 25 this and see if that's --
  - 26 THE COURT: Give us the exhibit number.
  - 27 MR. SANGER: Yes, I'm sorry, that's Exhibit

- 1 THE WITNESS: It appears to be correct.
- 2 MR. SANGER: All right. May I retrieve the
- 3 exhibit?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
- 6 And I would move into evidence 5013 and ask
- 7 to publish it.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. It's admitted.
- 9 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
- 10 Q. All right. We won't go over all this again,
- 11 but this is a summary of what you just testified to,

  - 15 number and -- well, it ends in 5002. We don't have
  - 16 to say the whole number again here. Do you know if
  - 17 anybody -- as one of the detectives in the case, do
  - 18 you know if anybody made an effort to find out whose
  - 19 number that was?
  - 20 A. No.
  - 21 Q. Do you know if the defense turned over
  - 22 information to the prosecution indicating whose
  - 23 number that was?
  - 24 A. I don't know, no.
  - 25 Q. Do you know if anybody tried to find a
  - 26 number for a Mr. Vivanco?
  - 27 A. I have -- somebody has asked me to go in --

- 1 record other than a Santa Maria number for him.
- 2 O. You found a number for Mr. Vivanco?
- 3 A. Yes, but he gave our sheriff's detectives,
- 4 when we served a search warrant in November of 2003,
- 5 he gave a Santa Maria number.
- 6 Q. And you -- did you go along and interview
- 7 him at that time?
- 8 A. I didn't interview him. I was at Neverland,
- 9 but that was the phone number that was given to the
- To detective who put it onto his report.
- November

  13 number; is

  14 A. Correct.

  15 Q. Have yr

  16 infor

  17 ; 11 Q. Okay. So you checked that phone number from
  - 12 November and it's a different number than this
  - 13 number; is that correct?

  - 15 Q. Have you subsequently learned, from
  - 16 information turned over from the defense, that there
  - 17 is some relationship between Mr. Vivanco and that

  - 19 A. No. I --
  - 20 MR. NICOLA: Objection, Your Honor. Asked
  - 21 and answered.
  - 22 THE COURT: Overruled. The answer was,
  - 23 "No." Next question.
  - 24 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Have you done anything to
  - 25 follow up on that, to your knowledge? And by "you,"
  - 26 I mean your department.
  - 27 MR. NICOLA: Objection, Your Honor, it calls

- 1 beyond the scope.
- 2 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 3 You may answer.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Again, I have not personally
- 5 had anything to do with attempting to find out what
- 6 that number comes back to. I was asked to research
- 7 Vivanco to find out what number he gave to us and
- 8 that's what I did.
- 9 MR. SANGER: Okay. All right. I'll take
- To that down now, Your Honor.
- your summary charts her

  13 way that is representative,

  14 through every single thing.

  15 First of all, in your and

  16 records, you deta

  17 telephon

  18 11 Q. All right. I want to go through just a few
  - 12 of your summary charts here and try to do it in a
  - 13 way that is representative, rather than going

  - 15 First of all, in your analysis of the phone
  - 16 records, you determined that there are a lot of
  - 17 telephone calls that are placed to phone numbers
  - 18 associated with Evvy Tavasci; is that correct?
  - 19 A. That's correct.
  - 20 Q. And you understand that Evvy Tavasci works
  - 21 for MJJ Productions; is that right?
  - 22 A. That's correct.
  - 23 Q. And she's also responsible for securing
  - 24 phones for a number of people; is that right?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 Q. She also has other people who assist her in
  - 27 the office; is that your understanding?

- 1 Q. Okay. And is it also your understanding
- 2 that Miss Tavasci tends to be the person that people
- 3 go to at MJJ Productions to obtain information, make
- 4 reservations, all that sort of thing?
- 5 A. I know that she is the administrative
- 6 assistant, but I think that's what she does, based
- 7 on what she's described to us.
- 8 Q. Okay. The District Attorney several times
- 9 referred to her as Mr. Jackson's personal assistant.
- To Did you hear that?
- 11 A. I did.
- 12 Q. Okay. And in addition to that, she fulfills
- 13 the function of facilitating the purchase of
- 14 supplies, tickets, travel arrangements, all sorts of 15 things; is that right?

  16 A. I would believe that to be the case.

  17 Q. Okay. So she's not just doing this for Mr.

  18 Jackson. She is working for -- or she is providing

  - 19 these services to anybody associated with MJJ
  - 20 Productions who needs to have such things; is that
  - 21 right?
  - 22 MR. NICOLA: I'll object. Lack of
  - 23 foundation. Compound.
  - 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
  - 25 MR. SANGER: On compound or lack of
  - 26 foundation?
  - 27 THE COURT: Lack of foundation.

- 1 Q. Based on your investigation, did you
- 2 determine what her duties were, what Evvy Tavasci's
- 3 duties were?
- 4 MR. NICOLA: Objection. Relevance at this
- 5 point.
- 6 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Based on the investigation, I
- 8 think we've seen a few different things that, in
- 9 addition to coordinating for Mr. Jackson's personal
- as other subjects.

  SANGER: All right. Now, the summary

  13 charts in general show that there's a bunch of
  14 people, or at least their telephones, talking to
  15 each other here during a period of time. That'
  16 pretty much what you're showing
  17 A. Correct.
  18 Q. v It calendar, that she also is a coordinator for travel

  - 19 were involved in putting together rebuttal videos,
  - 20 correct?
  - 21 A. Correct.
  - 22 Q. Based on your investigation, there was the
  - 23 first rebuttal video, the actual one that was aired,
  - 24 that was hosted by Maury Povich; is that right?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 Q. And then there was a second one called,
  - 27 "Michael Jackson Home Movies"; is that right?

- 1 do remember that we have a video of that.
- 2 Q. All right. During the period of time that
- 3 Mr. Schaffel was involved, based on your review of
- 4 the records and as a lead detective in this case,
- 5 you were aware that he was working on doing a
- 6 three-television-show package surrounding Mr.
- 7 Jackson at this period of time; is that right?
- 8 MR. NICOLA: Objection, Your Honor.
- 9 Relevance to this testimony. Beyond the scope.
- TO THE COURT: Sustained.
- \_\_\_ght. I'd like to go
  \_\_\_y the 7th and I would like to -- I'm r

  13 sure I have the absolute latest chart on each on
  14 these. I'm not saying it wasn't given to me this
  15 morning, but I'm not sure that I have the la+
  16 each one. So I'd like you to la

  17 I'm going to take
  18 boar 12 to February the 7th and I would like to -- I'm not
  - 13 sure I have the absolute latest chart on each one of

  - 15 morning, but I'm not sure that I have the latest on
  - 16 each one. So I'd like you to look at that and then
  - 17 I'm going to take it away from you and put it on the

  - 19 May I approach for that purpose?
  - 20 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 22 THE WITNESS: Do you need the Excel sheets
  - 23 also?
  - 24 MR. SANGER: I'll leave those with you.
  - 25 I'll just take this. Thank you.
  - 26 Q. So this is the first page of 861, which is
  - 27 the summary, and I'm putting that up on the board.

- 1 of these. I've skipped there. I'm going to skip a
- 2 few more places and then we'll be done. But just of
- 3 interest, you had -- there you go -- you had
- 4 commented on these telephone calls that are in the
- 5 upper right-hand corner?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Now, you do not have directions on your
- 8 lines. You don't have arrows. You just have lines.
- 9 A. No.
- Lom a different number,

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. You also -- well, we're going to have to use
  15 this as -- you also have some lines that an
  16 place in a straight line down '
  17 This does not succession.

  - 15 this as -- you also have some lines that go from one

  - 17 This does not suggest that these are pass-through

  - 19 correct?
  - 20 A. No, they do not.
  - 21 Q. It's just a chart that shows these phone
  - 22 calls went there, and that phone was used to make
  - 23 some other phone calls, right?
  - 24 A. Correct.
  - 25 Q. So the connections are -- the important
  - 26 connections in the chart are really just between one
  - 27 phone and another, correct?

- 1 Q. All right. So let's go back up to this
- 2 corner here where we're at. And all of these calls,
- 3 there are 16 phone calls from the Gavin Franklin
- 4 rooms at the Turnberry -- I'm sorry, let me
- 5 withdraw.
- 6 There are 13 phone calls from the Gavin
- 7 Franklin room at the Turnberry to Jay Jackson, or
- 8 Jay Jackson's phone, and the grandparents' phone or
- 9 Janet's parents' phone, right?

- were made on Mr.

  5 nickel, right?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. Okay. So in other words, they're charged to
  15 the room. There is also three phone calls f
  16 Xtra Jet flying up in the air
  17 telephone number
  18 A. C
  - 15 the room. There is also three phone calls from the

  - 19 Q. And once again, all of these phone calls
  - 20 were placed to those two phones. There were no
  - 21 calls that were made back to either the Turnberry or
  - 22 to the Xtra Jet?
  - 23 A. Correct.
  - 24 Q. And when we say "Turnberry," if there were,
  - 25 they would show up over here, correct, to the resort
  - 26 main number?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 David Ventura phone or the Jay Jackson phone to the
- 2 Turnberry Hotel itself at all, to any phone number
- 3 there, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let me skip ahead here, and we'll go
- 6 to 864.
- 7 May I approach to exchange documents?
- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 MR. SANGER: Let me give you 861.
- 10 Okay. Thank you.

- 13 MR. SANGER: Thank you.

  14 Q. Again, we'll just take a representative
  15 instance here to talk about them, and re
  16 going through all the phone
  17 calls between re
  18 are 15 instance here to talk about them, and rather than
  - 16 going through all the phone calls. Looking at the
  - 17 calls between Ann Kite and Geragos & Geragos, there

  - 19 A. That's correct.
  - 20 Q. Two of the calls were one minute long; is
  - 21 that right?
  - 22 A. Correct.
  - 23 Q. Three of the calls -- I may be wrong --
  - 24 three of the calls were placed in the same minute;
  - 25 isn't that right?
  - 26 A. Correct.
  - 27 Q. So to add to Mr. Nicola's conspiracy theory

- 1 three minutes, all of the calls made in the very
- 2 same minute, right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. All right. That doesn't mean that Ann Kite
- 5 actually talked to a lawyer at Geragos & Geragos
- 6 four times?
- 7 A. No. Two times.
- 8 Q. It strongly suggests that, at most, there
- 9 was -- there was one or two phone calls that might
- y, is tha were conversations.

  J. All right. I'm going to skip and 13 to Exhibit 866.

  14 And may I do the same thing, approach and 15 exchange here?

  16 THE COURT: Yes.

  17 MR. SANGER: Mar.

  18 TPT To have gotten through to somebody; is that true?

  - 12 Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to skip ahead

  - - 19 Q. BY MR. SANGER: All right. Now, this is for
    - 20 February the 15th, and this is the second time that
    - 21 Rudy Provencio's phone number shows up; is that
    - 22 correct?
    - 23 A. I believe so.
    - 24 Q. All right. So for Rudy Provencio we had
    - 25 oneth -- "oneth," sorry. Let me try it again.
    - 26 For Rudy Provencio, we had one call on
    - 27 February 8th, and then another call on February

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. All right. I'd like to direct your
- 3 attention now to the phone calls here. We have Jay
- 4 Jackson and Frank Cascio. There are 18 calls. I'm
- 5 sorry -- well, I'm not sure.
- 6 You have 14, and I have I guess an earlier
- 7 version that says 18. How many calls were there
- 8 between Frank Cascio and Jay Jackson's phone, Frank
- 9 Cascio's phone and Jay Jackson's phone?
- TO A. 14.
- Of those 1

  ere placed from Jay

  13 Frank Cascio's phone?

  14 A. Eight of the calls.

  15 Q. I'm sorry, eight?

  16 A. Eight.

  17 Q. And

  18 11 Q. Okay. Of those 14 calls, how many calls
  - 12 were placed from Jay Jackson, Jay Jackson's phone to

  - - 17 Q. And of the five phone calls from the Ventura
    - 18 phone, how many phone calls were made from the
    - 19 Ventura phone to the Cascio phone?
    - 20 A. There was one call from the Ventura phone to
    - 21 the Cascio phone.
    - 22 Q. And so one of the five, somebody in the
    - 23 Ventura residence called Frank Cascio's phone,
    - 24 right?
    - 25 A. Correct.
    - 26 Q. And that call lasted for eight minutes and
    - 27 22 seconds; is that correct?

- 1 MR. SANGER: All right. May I approach
- 2 again, Your Honor, just to compare a page?
- 3 THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 MR. SANGER: Now, Detective -- oh, excuse me
- 5 one second.
- 6 THE COURT: Counsel, it's necessary to break
- 7 early. We'll take our break early.
- 8 (Recess taken.)
- 9 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- TO MR. SANGER: May I proceed?
- right.

  Exhibit 866 -
  13 BAILIFF CORTEZ:

  14 MR. SANGER: Oops.

  15 Q. Just for reform the book to be a control of the book to be a control o 11 Q. All right. Just for reference, we have

  - 13 BAILIFF CORTEZ: Microphone, sir.

  - 15 Q. Just for reference, we have Exhibit 866 up
  - 16 on the board, which is where it was when we took our
  - 17 break. And we were talking about the 14 telephone
  - 18 calls between the Cascio phone and the Jay Jackson
  - 19 residence phone, correct?
  - 20 A. Correct.
  - 21 Q. And you had told us that in your summary you
  - 22 had identified eight of those 14 calls as being
  - 23 placed by Jay Jackson's phone or from Jay Jackson's
  - 24 phone to the Cascio phone, correct?
  - 25 A. Correct.
  - 26 MR. SANGER: All right. Now, with the
  - 27 Court's permission, I'll put up the last page of

- 1 fourth page, because that's the first page.
- 2 THE COURT: All right.
- 3 MR. SANGER: That's a little crooked there.
- 4 Sorry.
- 5 Q. Okay. And on your summary page you
- 6 summarized the phone records by putting this into a
- 7 spreadsheet computer program, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you have the eight phone calls here from
- The Frank Case of the Frank Ca 10 the phone at Jay Jackson's house to the Frank Cascio

  - 19 A. I believe so, yes.
  - 20 Q. And these particular eight phone calls all
  - 21 last a number of minutes; is that correct?
  - 22 A. With the exception of the third to the last
  - 23 one, which was less than one minute. I'm sorry.
  - 24 And the three up from that is also less than one
  - 25 minute. You have one for 47 seconds, and one for 57
  - 26 seconds.
  - 27 Q. Yes, absolutely. Actually, sorry, I was

- 1 Okay. So let me point here. All right?
- 2 Let's just go through very quickly, and we'll just
- 3 round it off. We've got a six-and-a-half-minute
- 4 call; a three-and-a-half-minute call; a call that's
- 5 three seconds short of a minute; eight seconds over
- 6 a minute; a minute and a half; 47 seconds; four
- 7 minutes and 15 seconds; two minutes and six seconds,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- that's 10:17 in the

  ac night; is that correct?

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. And then you also have the call that was

  15 placed to Mr. Cascio's phone from the Vol

  16 residence. That was at 8:29

  17 that lasted for

  18 A 10 Q. And we also see that these telephone calls
  - 11 were placed from 10 -- that's 10:17 in the morning

  - 15 placed to Mr. Cascio's phone from the Venturas'
  - 16 residence. That was at 8:22 in the morning, and

  - 19 Q. So basically you have more calls being made
  - 20 from these phone numbers to Mr. Cascio's phone than
  - 21 you do from Mr. Cascio's phone to these two numbers?
  - 22 A. That's correct.
  - 23 Q. Looks like somebody was trying to get ahold
  - 24 of Mr. Cascio and talk to him, or somebody at Mr.
  - 25 Cascio's phone, correct?
  - 26 A. I don't know that I can say what they were
  - 27 trying to do, but I can say that they were calling

- 1 Q. All right. Now, we have the -- we have
- 2 these eight phone calls you just talked about. And
- 3 I'm going to put up -- let me take off that page,
- 4 which is 866, and I'll put it back together with the
- 5 exhibit and I'll deliver that up to you in just a
- 6 moment.
- 7 Your Honor, with the Court's permission, I'm
- 8 going to put up Exhibit 458. And this would be
- 9 page 2 of 458.
- TO THE COURT: All right.

- Jung to work? Excuse

  Joond. Can I borrow your stapler?

  13 It's on this podium here and kind of

  14 bubbling up. See if that makes it any better. I

  15 don't know if that makes it better or worse

  16 doesn't, all right.

  17 Q. The point of +'

  18 the '

  - 19 A. Correct.
  - 20 Q. And you counted there are actually 12 phone
  - 21 calls to the phone number of -- associated with Mr.
  - 22 Cascio from Mr. Jackson's telephone; is that
  - 23 correct?
  - 24 A. 12 attempts or phone calls, yes.
  - 25 Q. All right. And in essence, you eliminated
  - 26 four of those and you only showed eight -- I'm
  - 27 sorry, Major Jackson, Jay Jackson's telephone. When

- 1 about Jay Jackson.
- 2 A. Understood.
- 3 Q. Okay. Make sure there's no question.
- 4 And in fact, this Exhibit 458 is an exhibit
- 5 from the phone records of Major Jay Jackson,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. All right. And your understanding is this
- 9 was a land-based phone in his house?
- TO A. Correct.
- Lar story, it's very har

  Linere because of the glassine envelop

  13 it's 2-15, February 15th. Those are all Febru

  14 15th calls and there are 12 of them, correct?

  15 A. There are 12 calls or attempts to call

  16 correct.

  17 Q. And you did n

  18 you 12 to read there because of the glassine envelope, but
  - 13 it's 2-15, February 15th. Those are all February

  - 18 your summary charts because you felt that they were
  - 19 too short?
  - 20 A. Yes, there were several of them that were
  - 21 two, three seconds and less -- and the other two
  - 22 that were less than 30 seconds.
  - 23 Q. So there are a couple that are just a couple
  - 24 of seconds. And I can't read it from here, but
  - 25 there was one 15 seconds, I think.
  - 26 Anyway, your criteria was if they broke it
  - 27 down, if they broke it down into seconds less than a

- 1 seconds, correct?
- 2 A. We did that with all records, correct.
- 3 Q. All right. But if they didn't break it down
- 4 and they said one minute, then you would record it?
- 5 A. We have no other way of doing it, correct.
- 6 Q. So using those criteria you eliminated four
- 7 of these calls, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. But nevertheless -- as an evidentiary or as
- To a matter of your investigation, nevertheless, it
- Ju Jacksor

  17 Vent 11 does show that somebody from Jay Jackson's phone was
  - 12 at least dialing the number for Mr. Cascio's phone;

  - 15 Q. And it was dialed a total of 12 times from
  - 16 Jay Jackson's phone and one time from David
  - 17 Ventura's phone, right?

  - 19 Q. And more calls were dialed -- in fact, more
  - 20 calls were completed to the Cascio phone than were
  - 21 made from the Cascio phone to those phones; is that
  - 22 correct?
  - 23 A. Correct.
  - 24 Q. So you would agree that for the purpose of
  - 25 getting down to the actual facts here, the original
  - 26 exhibits being the exhibits of the actual phone
  - 27 records, are going to be more detailed and more

- 1 A. More detailed --
- 2 MR. NICOLA: I'm going to object. That's
- 3 argumentative, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. SANGER: Let's start with more detailed.
- 5 MR. NICOLA: They're different records.
- 6 MR. SANGER: Let me withdraw it so it's not
- 7 compound.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 9 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Would you agree that there
- The records

  Let. Yes.

  13 Q. And you identified at least one chart whe

  14 the -- there was actually an error, correct?

  15 A. Correct. 3-9.

  16 Q. Okay. And 879?

  17 And may I appro
  18 I d no would be more detail in the actual records than

  - 13 Q. And you identified at least one chart where

  - 18 I don't want to leave these things out of the book,
  - 19 so if I may --
  - 20 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: Okay. Thank you. Oops. Yes.
  - 22 879, that's right.
  - 23 I think it was offered. I think this was
  - 24 received, 879? It was -- it was shown and I think
  - 25 it was found to be in error, but I'd like to put it
  - 26 up.
  - 27 THE COURT: It's in evidence, I think. The

- 1 MR. SANGER: Okay. May I put it up?
- 2 THE COURT: Yes.
- 3 Q. BY MR. SANGER: And the error on 879 is that
- 4 there were not 12 calls between a phone associated
- 5 with Evvy Tavasci and Marc Schaffel, but instead,
- 6 between Evvy Tavasci's phone and Neverland Ranch,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you -- based on your review of the
- To records, you would expect, in the ordinary course of
- 11 business, that Evvy Tavasci's phones would be in
  - 12 contact with Neverland Ranch, right?
- 13 A. At minimum, occasionally, yes.
- 14 Q. And you understood that Miko Brando,
  15 although he's the son of Marlon Brando,
  16 employee of MJJ Productions, correct?
  17 A. Correct.
  18 Q. And he's one of the longer-term employee
  - 15 although he's the son of Marlon Brando, was an

  - 18 Q. And he's one of the longer-term employees.
  - 19 He's been there a number of years; is that right?
  - 20 A. I don't know.
  - 21 MR. SANGER: May I have just a moment, Your
  - 22 Honor, please?
  - 23 THE COURT: Yes.
  - 24 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 25 All right. We can take this down. And may
  - 26 I approach to return it?
  - 27 THE COURT: Yes.

- 2 MR. NICOLA: May I have that exhibit again,
- 3 please? And 879, please.

- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. NICOLA:

1 questions.

- 7 Q. Detective Bonner, during the break, did you
- 8 make a correction to another copy of Exhibit 879,
- 9 which is the chart for March 9th of 2003?
- TO A. I did.
- 11 MR. SANGER: Do you have a copy for me, or --
- 12 MR. NICOLA: I don't.
- 13 MR. SANGER: Okay. That's fine.
- 14 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: I'd like to put the 879 on 15 the board that we've already moved into evide 16 And I'd like to show you Exhibit No. 883 and 17 this is your corrected exhibit.

  18 A. It is.
  - 15 the board that we've already moved into evidence.
  - 16 And I'd like to show you Exhibit No. 883 and ask if

  - 19 Q. Using the original 879, could you just point
  - 20 out for the jury what -- what the difference is?
  - 21 And then we'll show 883 in a moment.
  - 22 A. I recognize that the mistake that was made
  - 23 is that on Marc Schaffel, the records say "Neverland
  - 24 Valley Entertainment," and what we did is we
  - 25 accidentally made a mix-up between Neverland Valley
  - 26 Ranch and Neverland Valley Entertainment, so I have
  - 27 relabeled "Neverland Valley Entertainment -

- 1 "Neverland Valley Ranch" into "Marc Schaffel NVE."
- 2 And this connection has gone from here to here and
- 3 we have erased or removed this connection right
- 4 here.
- 5 Q. You did that yourself?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. Okay. And is that depicted on Exhibit 883?
- 8 A. It is.
- 9 MR. NICOLA: We'd offer that into evidence
- To at this time, Your Honor.
- MR. SANGER: No objection, but I'd ask,
- No objection.

  ...ce nobody has a copy c

  13 allowed to have a copy, in

  14 if that would be possible.

  15 THE COURT: That's fine.

  16 The clerk will ~'

  17 MR. NICC
  18 12 since nobody has a copy of it, if we might be
  - 13 allowed to have a copy, if the clerk can make one,

  - 15 THE COURT: That's fine. I'll admit it.
  - 16 The clerk will give you a copy.
  - 17 MR. NICOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.
  - 18 And with the Court's permission, I'd just
  - 19 publish it just briefly.
  - 20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
  - 21 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Okay. These are the changes
  - 22 that you were talking about, Detective Bonner?
  - 23 A. That's correct. The switch of the name
  - 24 here, and here, and then removing this connection
  - 25 and making it go from here to here.
  - 26 Q. Okay. Mr. Sanger was asking you about
  - 27 dropping phone calls from your analysis that lasted

- 1 Jackson's phone records found in Exhibit 458, did
- 2 you follow that procedure for dropping numbers that
- 3 were in the 30-second range and less for everybody?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So everyone who had seconds in their cell
- 6 phone records, you would drop those calls?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 MR. SANGER: Objection. Asked and answered
- 9 and actually misstates the testimony.
- JOLA: Did you d

  Jeconds or less on Frank

  13 A. Yes, we did.

  14 Q. And Vinnie Amen's records?

  15 A. I don't believe Vinnie 7

  16 on his.

  17 Q. Just +7

  18 \*\* IT THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
  - 11 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Did you drop calls that were
    - 12 30 seconds or less on Frank Cascio's records?

  - - 15 A. I don't believe Vinnie Amen had any seconds

    - 17 Q. Just the ones that were billed in seconds

    - 19 A. Correct.
    - 20 Q. Let me ask you about the minutes.
    - 21 Specifically with respect to Exhibit 451, that's the
    - 22 exhibit that Mr. Sanger --
    - 23 May I have your exhibit, please?
    - 24 MR. SANGER: The ones we did?
    - 25 MR. NICOLA: Uh-huh.
    - 26 MR. SANGER: I gave them back to the clerk.
    - 27 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Specifically with respect to

- 1 cross-examination that the call at 6:11 lasted four
- 2 minutes and the call at 6:14 also lasted four
- 3 minutes. And is it your understanding that a
- 4 four-minute call on those records can be anywhere
- 5 between three and four minutes?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MR. NICOLA: Okay. I have no further
- 8 questions, Judge.
- 9 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- TO BY MR. SANGER:
- getting Neverland Vall

  Imment confused with Neverland Rancl

  13 A. Correct.

  14 Q. And Neverland Valley Entertainment was a

  15 separate entity, correct?

  16 A. Yes, it is.

  17 Q. And it seeme?

  18 Sch 11 Q. You talked about getting Neverland Valley
  - 12 Entertainment confused with Neverland Ranch.

  - 19 A. Correct. Records came back to his home
  - 20 address.
  - 21 Q. And did it appear to you that Marc Schaffel
  - 22 was attempting to use a similar name for the purpose
  - 23 of suggesting that he was part of Mr. Jackson's
  - 24 business enterprise?
  - 25 MR. NICOLA: Objection, Your Honor. Calls
  - 26 for speculation. Beyond the scope of redirect.
  - 27 THE COURT: Sustained.

- 1 questions, Your Honor.
- 2 MR. NICOLA: No questions.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may
- 4 step down.
- 5 Call your next witness.
- 6 MR. NICOLA: We call Detective Paul Zelis,
- 7 Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: You may be seated. You're still
- 9 under oath.
- TO DETECTIVE ZELIS: Thank you.

- 12 PAUL ZELIS
- 13 Having been previously sworn, resumed the
- 14 stand and testified further as follows:
  15
  16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
  17 BY MR. NICOLA:
  18 Q. Good afternoon.

- 19 A. Good afternoon.
- 20 Q. Detective Zelis, did you participate with
- 21 the team analyzing certain phone records for certain
- 22 dates arising out of the records which were seized
- 23 in this case?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 Q. And to that end, did you examine the records
- 26 pertaining to these following days: February 17th
- 27 of 2003, February 18th of 2003, February 19th of

- 1 of 2003?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the phone
- 4 records to the left of me, sitting on the end of
- 5 counsel table? The other left.
- 6 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I am.
- 7 Q. Did you actually receive copies of all that
- 8 and go through and --
- 9 A. Yes.
- July, and aslaresponding to that

  A. Okay.

  14 Q. Is that Exhibit 868?

  15 A. Yes, it is.

  16 Q. And have volume

  17 the line 10 Q. I'd like to begin with the date of February
  - 11 17th of 2003, and ask if you'd turn to the exhibit
  - 12 corresponding to that in the exhibit binder.

  - - 16 Q. And have you gone over the contents of both
    - 17 the link chart on the front page and the supporting

    - 19 A. Yes, I have.
    - 20 Q. And did you participate in creating both of
    - 21 those documents in 868?
    - 22 A. I did.
    - 23 Q. And to your knowledge, do the contents of
    - 24 868 accurately reflect your analysis of the phone
    - 25 calls conducted on 2-17 of '03?
    - 26 A. Yes, they do.
    - 27 MR. NICOLA: Your Honor, we'd offer 868 into

- 1 MR. SANGER: No objection.
- 2 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 3 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Detective Zelis, if you
- 4 would just save us a step and go to Exhibit 869 and
- 5 870, I'd ask if 869 corresponds to February 18th.
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 Q. And if 870 corresponds to February 19th of
- 8 2003.
- 9 A. Yes.
- accurate

  Ine phone calls on the

  13 A. Yes, they do.

  14 MR. NICOLA: We'd offer 869 and 870 into

  15 evidence at this time.

  16 THE COURT: Admitted.

  17 Q. BY MR. NICOT

  18 No 10 Q. Do both of those exhibits accurately reflect
  - 11 your analysis of the phone calls on those particular

  - 17 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: If you could turn to Exhibit

  - 19 A. Okay.
  - 20 Q. IS that one of your exhibits?
  - 21 A. Yes.
  - 22 Q. Okay. And what's the date on that?
  - 23 A. March 10th of 2003.
  - 24 O. And how about 882?
  - 25 A. Yes, that reflects March 12th of 2003.
  - 26 Q. And right in the middle is 881, right?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 880, 881 and 882 accurately reflect the analysis
- 2 that you conducted on March 10th for the phone
- 3 calls -- excuse me, for March 10th, March 11th and
- 4 March 12th of 2003?
- 5 A. Yes, they do.
- 6 MR. NICOLA: We'd offer those into evidence
- 7 at this time, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 9 MR. SANGER: No objection.
- IC Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Detective Zelis, if you
- Dack to Exhibit No. 8

  With February 17th of 2003.

  13 If I may have "Input 4," Your Honor.

  14 Is this Exhibit 868 that is in the book?

  15 A. Yes.

  16 Q. If you could please dec.

  17 for the jury.

  18 A 11 could please turn back to Exhibit No. 868, we'll

  - 16 Q. If you could please describe the contents

  - 18 A. It is a visual graph that shows the calls
  - 19 between different parties on the date of February
  - 20 17th, 2003.
  - 21 Q. Could you be more specific and point to the
  - 22 sections with your laser and tell the jury what
  - 23 you're trying to convey with that exhibit?
  - 24 A. This exhibit basically shows different
  - 25 parties involved, the number of calls between the
  - 26 two telephone numbers and how they are connected.
  - 27 Q. Starting in the upper left-hand portion, you

- 1 connected to a Christopher Carter?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. That's how these charts work, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you show 12 links between phones
- 6 belonging to Mr. Carter and Mr. Jay Jackson?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Can you tell us when those phone calls first
- 9 began and who placed them?
- They began just shortly before ten o'clock

  14 at night. It shows 2151 hours, which is military

  15 for 9:51.

  16 Q. And in which direction were

  17 going?

  18 A. 7.

  - 19 Carter to Jay Jackson.
  - 20 Q. Were any of those 12 calls longer than one
  - 21 minute?
  - 22 A. No, they show that all of them were exactly
  - 23 one minute long.
  - 24 Q. And did they occur at a fairly regular
  - 25 interval?
  - 26 A. The 12 calls are approximately 10 to 15
  - 27 minutes apart.

- 1 the evening, was there a phone call placed from the
- 2 Carter phone to Neverland Valley Ranch?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And how long did that call last?
- 5 A. Four minutes.
- 6 Q. Was there another call immediately
- 7 thereafter to Neverland Valley Ranch from the Chris
- 8 Carter phone?
- 9 A. Yes. At 2228.
- rom the Chris Carter prackson phone continue?

  13 A. Yes.

  14 Q. And did they continue at the same interval

  15 of frequency, approximately 10, 15 minutes

  16 A. Yes.

  17 Q. And were anv

  18 min. The Ranch, did the calls from the Chris Carter phone to

  - 15 of frequency, approximately 10, 15 minutes apart?

  - 17 Q. And were any of those calls longer than one

  - 19 A. No.
  - 20 Q. Of the four phone calls between Marc
  - 21 Schaffel and Rudy Provencio, can you tell us how
  - 22 many were going in which direction, please?
  - 23 A. It shows the direction is Rudy Provencio to
  - 24 Marc Schaffel.
  - 25 Q. All four calls?
  - 26 A. Correct.
  - 27 Q. And the calls between Frank Cascio and

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Were they in a predominantly one-sided
- 3 direction or were they mixed?
- 4 A. Predominantly in one direction. The
- 5 records -- or the record source is from T-Mobile,
- 6 which belongs to Mr. Amen, and it shows five of the
- 7 calls being incoming. Five of the seven, I should
- 8 say.
- 9 Q. At what time were the calls between Jay
- the times? I'm :

  \_s, the times.

  13 A. The first call was at 1441 hou

  14 at 2:41, and 1423, which is 2:23.

  15 Q. And then which direction wer

  16 going?

  17 A. One was

  18 ^ 10 Jackson's phone and Frank Cascio's phone?
  - 11 A. Did you want the times? I'm sorry.

  - 13 A. The first call was at 1441 hours, which was

  - 15 Q. And then which direction were the two calls

  - 17 A. One was outgoing and one was incoming.

  - 19 A. Correct.
  - 20 Q. Which one was first?
  - 21 A. The call from Frank Cascio to Jay Jackson.
  - 22 Q. How long did that call last?
  - 23 A. Three minutes and 37 seconds.
  - 24 Q. I'd like to turn your attention to Exhibit
  - 25 869, please.
  - 26 A. Okay.
  - 27 Q. Is that the chart for February 18th?

## www.mjfacts.info

- 1 Q. Can you tell us which phone initiated the
- 2 call for the Jay Jackson symbol in the middle?
- 3 A. Jay Jackson.
- 4 Q. And which phone did he call first?
- 5 A. Christopher Carter.
- 6 Q. That registered for 33 seconds?
- 7 A. Correct. At six o'clock in the morning.
- 8 Q. At 6 a.m.?
- 9 A. Correct.

- . the Jay Jackson phone?

  , which would be at 11:31 at night.

  13 Q. Okay. At what time was the call between th

  14 Jay Jackson phone and the Frank Cascio phone?

  15 A. On the 18th?

  16 Q. Yes. I'm sorry.

  17 A. At 1806 hours

  18 the 13 Q. Okay. At what time was the call between the

  - 17 A. At 1806 hours, which would have been 6:06 in

  - 19 Q. Was there a phone call between Frank Cascio
  - 20 and Vince Amen -- excuse me, Vince Amen at 26
  - 21 minutes after midnight?
  - 22 A. Yes.
  - 23 Q. And after that phone call -- how long did
  - 24 that call last?
  - 25 A. Six minutes and 27 seconds.
  - 26 Q. After that phone call, was there a call
  - 27 between Frank Cascio's phone and Evvy Tavasci's home

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And what time was that call?
- 3 A. 33 minutes past midnight.
- 4 Q. Okay. How long did that phone call last?
- 5 A. 15 minutes and 17 seconds.
- 6 Q. Was there a call placed after that
- 7 particular call between Frank Cascio's phones and
- 8 Vince Amen's phones?
- 9 A. I show another call between Frank Cascio and

- In the morning.

  Old Q. Okay. Detective Zelis, if you could

  14 proceed, please, to Exhibit No. 870 of the chart for

  15 February 19th.

  16 A. Yes.

  17 Q. Does this chart

  18 betwo

  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. Okay. At least between their phones?
  - 21 A. Yes.
  - 22 Q. And included in the exhibit are phone calls
  - 23 between the phones of Christian Robinson, Vince Amen
  - 24 and Marc Schaffel, Neverland Valley Entertainment,
  - 25 correct?
  - 26 A. Correct.
  - 27 MR. SANGER: I'm sorry, I'm going to object

- 1 MR. NICOLA: I'll rephrase.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
- 3 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: There are phone calls
- 4 between the Christian Robinson phone and the Marc
- 5 Schaffel phone, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And also between the Christian Robinson
- 8 phone and the Vince Amen phone?
- 9 A. Correct.
- ...affel phone?

  ...affel phone?

  ...affel phone?

  13 Q. And three calls between t

  14 and the Hamid Moslehi phone?

  15 A. Yes.

  16 Q. And three cal¹

  17 Jay Jack

  18 \* 10 Q. And also between the Vince Amen phone and

  - 13 Q. And three calls between the Vince Amen phone

  - 16 Q. And three calls between Vince Amen and the

  - 19 Q. And in the lower left-hand corner -- why
  - 20 don't you read it? Go ahead.
  - 21 A. Frank Cascio to Marc Schaffel, there's six
  - 22 calls. Frank Cascio to Vincent Amen, ten calls.
  - 23 Frank Cascio to Jay Jackson, nine calls. And Frank
  - 24 Cascio to Neverland Valley Ranch, 13 calls.
  - 25 Q. There appears to be one call from the Jay
  - 26 Jackson phone to Neverland Valley Ranch?
  - 27 A. Yes.

- 1 A. 1421 hours, which would be 2:21 in the
- 2 afternoon.
- 3 Q. How many of the calls between the Frank
- 4 Cascio phone and the Jay Jackson phone occurred
- 5 prior to the time Neverland was called from Jay
- 6 Jackson's line?
- 7 A. From Frank Cascio to Jay Jackson?
- 8 Q. Yes. Please.
- 9 A. I'm not showing --
- 10 Q. They were all afterwards?
- 3:05 p.m., 2005, was there
  13 call between Frank Cascio and Jay
  14 A. Yes, 18 minutes and 33 seconds.
  15 MR. SANGER: Once again, it's not
  16 the people, it's betw
  17 objected each 12 Q. At 8:05 p.m., 2005, was there an 18-minute
  - 13 call between Frank Cascio and Jay Jackson?

  - 15 MR. SANGER: Once again, it's not between
  - 16 the people, it's between the phones. I haven't

  - 19 MR. SANGER: Thank you.
  - 20 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Was there another
  - 21 seven-minute phone call between the phones of Mr.
  - 22 Cascio and Mr. Jackson at 7:20 -- excuse me, 2026?
  - 23 A. Yes.
  - 24 Q. And with respect to the phone calls between
  - 25 the Vince Amen phone and the Jay Jackson phone, can
  - 26 you tell us what time they began, please?
  - 27 A. They began at 1050 hours, 10:50 in the

- 1 Q. And were they all from the Amen phone to the
- 2 Jackson phone?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. With respect to the ten calls between the
- 5 Cascio phone and the Amen phone, can you give us a
- 6 time range of when those occurred?
- 7 A. A time range of 11:07 in the morning to
- 8 2345, 11:45 at night.
- 9 Q. Why don't we proceed to the next exhibit in
- Jease. T

  13 MR. SANGER: I have March 10th as 880.

  14 Q. BY MR. NICOLA: Is that Exhibit 880?

  15 A. Which date?

  16 Q. Yes.

  17 A. I'm sorrv

  18 O To your set, Exhibit No. 881, please. That's March

  - 19 A. March 10th is 880. Eight-eight-zero.
  - 20 Q. I'll be with you as soon as I find my chart.
  - 21 Why don't you tell the jury what's going on
  - 22 in this exhibit for this day, please.
  - 23 A. We have one call between Jay Jackson's phone
  - 24 and Vincent Amen. We have one call between Vincent
  - 25 Amen and Rudy Provencio.
  - 26 Q. Their phones, right?
  - 27 A. Correct.

- 1 A. One -- or, I'm sorry. Five calls between
- 2 Vincent Amen's phone and Marc Schaffel's phone.
- 3 Four calls between Vincent Amen's phone and
- 4 Neverland Valley Ranch phone. 13 calls between
- 5 Neverland Valley Ranch phone and Frank Cascio phone.
- 6 And one call between MJJ Productions/Miko Brando and
- 7 Evelyn Tavasci's home.
- 8 Q. Can you tell us what time the call between
- 9 the Amen phone and the Jay Jackson phone occurred,

- 13 between the Cascio phone and Neverland Valley Ranch
  14 phones, could you give us an approximate time spread
  15 of those?
  16 A. From about 11:42 in the morning
  17 night.
  18 Q. 24

  - 19 A. Yes.
  - 20 Q. How about the calls between the Vince Amen
  - 21 phone and the Neverland Valley Ranch phones, what's
  - 22 the spread on those?
  - 23 A. 1751 to 1918 hours.
  - 24 Q. Of the five calls between the Marc Schaffel
  - 25 phone and the Vincent Amen phone, can you tell us
  - 26 how many were initiated by either phones?
  - 27 A. Four calls were initiated by the Vincent

- 1 phone.
- 2 Q. Okay. Now we can go on to Exhibit 881,
- 3 please. That's 3-11.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Can you explain this exhibit for the jury,
- 6 please?
- $7 \ \text{A.}$  This exhibit shows the telephone of Frank
- 8 Cascio and Neverland Valley Ranch. There's a total
- 9 of 11 calls. Neverland Valley Ranch phone and
- To Tavasci, Evelyn/MJJ Productions, there's four
- 11 telephone calls. Tavasci, Evelyn/ MJJ Production to
  - 12 Tavasci, Evvy, home, second line, one call.
- 13 Neverland Valley Ranch to Vincent Amen telephones,
- 14 one call. Ventura David, Janet Arvizo's parents,
  - 15 and Vincent Amen telephone, there's two calls.
  - 16 Schaffel, Marc/Neverland Valley Entertainment and
  - 17 Vincent Amen, there's two calls. Rudy Provencio and
  - 18 Vincent Amen, there's one call. And Jay Jackson and
  - 19 Vincent Amen, three calls.
  - 20 Q. Beginning with the calls between the Jay
  - 21 Jackson phone and the Vince Amen phone, can you tell
  - 22 us what time they began?
  - 23 A. 7:04 in the morning.
  - 24 Q. And were those calls placed by the Amen end?
  - 25 A. Two calls by the Amen end, and one call by
  - 26 Jackson.
  - 27 Q. What time was the call from the Jackson

## www.mjfacts.info

- 1 A. 1503, which is 3:03 in the afternoon.
- 2 Q. Okay. What about the calls between the
- 3 Vince Amen phone and the David Ventura phone?
- 4 A. Two calls, one at 12:07, and the other at
- 5 12:29.
- 6 Q. The call at 12:07, which end was the Amen
- 7 end?
- 8 A. The front end. The initiating pend.
- 9 Q. Okay. And how long did that phone call
- To last?
- 11 A. 22 minutes.
- 12 Q. .
  13 the A.
  14 A. Yes.
  15 Q. How
  16 A.
  17 12 Q. At 12:29, was there another call placed from
  - 13 the Amen phone to the Ventura phone?

  - 15 Q. How long did that call last?
  - 16 A. Five minutes.
  - 17 Q. With respect to the call between Amen and
  - 18 Provencio, can you tell us what time that call was
  - 19 placed and which end initiated it?
  - 20 A. The time of the call was at 1710, which is
  - 21 5:10 in the afternoon, and it was initiated by
  - 22 Vincent Amen phone.
  - 23 Q. Okay. If you could proceed, please, to
  - 24 Exhibit No. 882, I believe. Is 882 the chart for
  - 25 March 12th of 2003?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. Can you tell us what's occurring in this

- 1 A. It shows Jay Jackson's phone, or calling --
- 2 or calls between a Jay Jackson phone and Neverland
- 3 Valley Ranch. There's a total of six calls. A
- 4 Neverland Valley Ranch to Tavasci, Evelyn/MJJ
- 5 Productions. There's one call. Tavasci, Evelyn/MJJ
- 6 Productions to Christopher D. Carter, there's seven
- 7 total calls.
- 8 Neverland Valley Ranch to Frank Cascio, a
- 9 total of 13 calls. Frank Cascio's phone to Vincent
- 10 Amen's phone, a total of four calls. Vincent Amen
- 1 to Marc Schaffel, four calls.
  - 12 And Schaffel to Rudy Provencio, two calls.
- 13 And Schaffel, Marc, to Christian Robinson or Site
- 14 LLC, K. Robinson, one call. And Tavasci, Evelyn/
  15 MJJ Productions to David Ventura, Janet Arvizo's
  16 parents, one call.
  17 Q. With respect to the phone calls -- with
  18 respect to the phone calls initiated between the

  - 18 respect to the phone calls initiated between the Jay
  - 19 Jackson phone and the Neverland Valley Ranch phone,
  - 20 can you tell us which direction they were going in?
  - 21 A. All six calls were initiated by Jay Jackson
  - 22 to Neverland Valley Ranch.
  - 23 Q. And the calls from Jay Jackson's phone began
  - 24 at approximately 7:35 in the morning?
  - 25 A. Yes.
  - 26 Q. What about the calls between the Frank
  - 27 Cascio phone and Neverland Valley Ranch, what time

- 1 A. 1302.
- 2 O. In the afternoon?
- 3 A. Correct. 1:02 in the afternoon.
- 4 Q. And the calls between the Rudy Provencio
- 5 phone and the Marc Schaffel phones, were both of
- 6 those calls from Mr. Provencio's phone to Mr.
- 7 Schaffel's phone?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how long were those calls and at what
- To times?
- for 12 minutes. The

  Lall for 20 minutes. The first cal.

  13 1904, which is 7:04 in the evening. The se

  14 was at 2154, which is 9:54 in the evening.

  15 MR. NICOLA: Thank you, Detective Zelia

  16 THE WITNESS: You're welcoma

  17 MR. NICOLA: You

  18 mm 12 second call for 20 minutes. The first call was at
  - 13 1904, which is 7:04 in the evening. The second call

  - 19 THE COURT: Cross-examine?
  - 20
  - 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
  - 22 BY MR. SANGER:
  - 23 Q. Detective, Zelis, how are you doing?
  - 24 A. Good afternoon.
  - 25 Q. I'd like to go to Exhibit 870, so I'm going
  - 26 to ask you to take that out of the book, if you
  - 27 would.

- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- 2 MR. SANGER: May I put this on the screen?
- 3 THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Okay. That's Exhibit 870 up
- 5 on the screen. Now, you are one of the lead
- 6 investigators in this case; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. And based on your investigation
- 9 in this case, the day of the 19th of February, 2003,
- no was the day that Hamid Moslehi was attempting to put
- 11 together a video of the Arvizos; is that right?
  - 12 A. He among others, yes.
- \_ A. He am

  13 Q. Okay. F.

  14 A. Correct.

  15 Q. And he

  16 A. Ye

  17 C 13 Q. Okay. He was the videographer, right?

  - 15 Q. And he had a crew, correct?

  - 17 Q. And you understand that that was originally
  - 18 scheduled to take place at Neverland Ranch, correct?
  - 19 MR. NICOLA: Objection; lack of foundation.
  - 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
  - 21 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Sir?
  - 22 A. That is my understanding, yes.
  - 23 Q. And then at some point they decided that
  - 24 they had to do it in the Los Angeles area; is that
  - 25 correct?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. And that's because Janet Arvizo was down

- 1 MR. NICOLA: Objection. Calls for hearsay
- 2 and speculation. It's beyond the scope of direct.
- 3 THE COURT: Calls for hearsay; sustained.
- 4 MR. SANGER: All right.
- 5 Q. So you see that there is a good deal of
- 6 activity between Frank Cascio's phone and the
- 7 Neverland Valley Ranch phone; is that correct?
- 8 A. On this particular date?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- s phone and Vincent A

  se interacting with each other, co

  13 A. Yes.

  14 Q. And somebody at Jay Jackson's number is

  15 talking with somebody at Vincent Amen's

  16 A. Correct.

  17 Q. And also France

  18 A. " 11 Q. And Frank Cascio's phone and Vincent Amen
  - 12 seem to be interacting with each other, correct?

  - 15 talking with somebody at Vincent Amen's number?

  - 17 Q. And also Frank Cascio's number, correct?

  - 19 Q. And then Mr. Moslehi receives some phone
  - 20 calls, I believe. Does he receive them or make
  - 21 them?
  - 22 A. He received them.
  - 23 Q. All right. Now, you were aware that
  - 24 Mr. Moslehi was also at the ranch during that
  - 25 afternoon, correct?
  - 26 A. Yes.
  - 27 Q. So if he was making phone calls, he could

- 1 Ranch telephones; is that correct?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. All right. He was at the ranch, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you see there's some phone calls that
- 6 are made from various ranch numbers; is that
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. No, I don't.
- 9 Q. Okay. All of the phone calls are made to
- - 19 finish with this witness if I have another 45
  - 20 seconds.
  - 21 THE COURT: Go ahead.
  - 22 Q. BY MR. SANGER: All right. At this time, on
  - 23 February the 19th, you understood that Rudy
  - 24 Provencio was working with Christian Robinson; is
  - 25 that correct?
  - 26 MR. NICOLA: Objection, assumes facts not in
  - 27 evidence, Your Honor.

- 1 Q. BY MR. SANGER: Let's put it this way:
- 2 Your understanding from your investigation is that
- 3 both Christian Robinson and Rudy Provencio were
- 4 associated with Mr. Schaffel; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And as far as the production was
- 7 concerned, they were working on the production on
- 8 behalf of Mr. Schaffel, the production of the
- 9 rebuttal video; is that correct?
- IN MR. NICOLA: Objection. Vague; assumes
- 11 facts not in evidence.
  - 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 2 THE COU
  13 MR. SANGE
  14 questions.
  15 THE COURT
  16 after
  17 M 13 MR. SANGER: Okay. I have no further

  - 15 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our
  - 16 afternoon recess. I'll see you tomorrow morning.
  - 17 MR. NICOLA: Your Honor -- may the witness
  - 18 be excused? I won't have any redirect.
  - 19 THE COURT: No redirect?
  - 20 You may step down.
  - 21 Call your next witness first thing in the
  - 22 morning.
  - 23 MR. NICOLA: Thank you.
  - 24 (The proceedings adjourned at 2:30 p.m.)
  - 25 ---00---

26

27

## www.mjfacts.info

```
1
          2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
          3
          5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )
          6 OF CALIFORNIA, )
         7 Plaintiff, )
         8 -vs- ) No. 1133603
          9 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
13 I, MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR,
14 CSR #3304, Official Court Reporter, do hereby
15 certify:
16 That the foregoing pages 8356
17 contain a true 27
18 prof
         To Defendant. )
         18 proceedings had in the within and above-entitled
         19 matter as by me taken down in shorthand writing at
         20 said proceedings on May 2, 2005, and thereafter
         21 reduced to typewriting by computer-aided
         22 transcription under my direction.
         23 DATED: Santa Maria, California,
         24 May 2, 2005.
         25
          26
          27
```

www.mjfacts.info