```
1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA BRANCH; COOK STREET DIVISION
4 DEPARTMENT SM-2 HON. RODNEY S. MELVILLE, JUDGE
5
7 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF )
8 CALIFORNIA, )
9 Plaintiff, )
10 -vs- ) No. 1133603
11 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
12 Defendant. )
13
14
15
16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17
18 TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2005
19
20 8:30 A.M.
21
22
23
24
25
27 REPORTED MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
28 BY: Official Court Reporter 172
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
3 For Plaintiff: THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.,
4 District Attorney -and-
5 RONALD J. ZONEN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
6 -and- GORDON AUCHINCLOSS,
7 Sr. Deputy District Attorney -and-
8 GERALD McC. FRANKLIN, Sr. Deputy District Attorney
9 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, California 93101
10
11
12
13 For Defendant: COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU BY: THOMAS A.
MESEREAU, JR., ESQ.
14 -and- SUSAN C. YU, ESQ.
15 1875 Century Park East, Suite 700 Los Angeles, California 90067
16 -and-
17 SANGER & SWYSEN
18 BY: ROBERT M. SANGER, ESQ. 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C
19 Santa Barbara, California 93101
20 -and-
```

```
23
          24
          25
          26
          27
          28 173
          1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued):
          2 For NBC-Universal; CBS Broadcasting Inc.; Fox News
          3 Network, LLC; ABC, Inc.; Cable News Network, LP, LLP; The New York
          Times
          Company; The Los Angeles
          4 Times; USA Today; The Washington Post; and The Associated Press:
www.mjfacts.info
          6 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
          7 BY: THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ. 333 South Grand Avenue
          8 Los Angeles, California 90071
          10
          11
          12
          13
          14
          15
          16
          17
          18
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
          28 174
          1 Santa Maria, California
          2 Tuesday, March 1, 2005
          3 8:30 a.m.
          5 THE COURT: Good morning.
          6 COUNSEL AT COUNSEL TABLE: (In unison)
          7 Good morning, Your Honor. Good morning.
          8 THE COURT: Mr. Mesereau.
```

9 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 Good morning.

21 OXMAN and JAROSCAK BY: R. BRIAN OXMAN, ESQ.

22 14126 East Rosecrans Boulevard Santa Fe Springs, California 90670

- 11 THE JURY: (In unison) Good morning.
- 12 MR. MESEREAU: Ladies and gentlemen of the
- 13 jury, I would like to clarify some statements I made
- 14 yesterday, correct a couple of statements, and talk
- 15 a little bit more about a few other things I
- 16 mentioned.
- 17 First of all, the prosecutor, in his opening
- 18 statement, made an allegation that Mr. Jackson had
- 19 intentionally, knowingly provided alcohol to a child
- 20 on a flight from Florida.
- 21 You will learn in the trial that on that
- 22 private flight were Mr. Jackson, two of his
- 23 children, his physician, security personnel, and
- 24 other guests, as well as the Arvizos. And you will
- 25 hear from his physician that he was in a seat on
- 26 that plane where he could see everything and never
- 27 slept. And he never saw anything like this
- 28 so-called licking that the prosecutor described. 175
- 1 But in that regard, you need to understand
- 2 that the charges in this case involving alcohol are
- 3 not charges like a bartender giving an underaged kid
- 4 alcohol. The charges are that Mr. Jackson gave
- 5 alcohol for the purpose of molesting.
- 6 The alcohol charge is directly tied into
- 7 allegations of molestation. One doesn't exist
- 8 without the other in those alcohol charges. And
- 9 Mr. Jackson absolutely denies that.
- 10 In that regard, we will prove to you,
- 11 through witnesses, people who worked at and visited
- 12 Neverland, that the Arvizo kids, at times, were out
- 13 of control, broke into the wine cellar, were caught
- 14 drinking alcohol themselves, without Mr. Jackson
- 15 even being present or knowing about it. They also
- 16 were caught breaking into the refrigerator in the
- 17 kitchen, drinking alcohol, and they were caught
- 18 grabbing alcohol from a cupboard. There is one
- 19 witness who will tell that you Mr. Jackson ordered
- 20 some alcohol for he and guests and the children
- 21 stole it. They were caught intoxicated. They were
- 22 caught with bottles. Mr. Jackson was nowhere
- 23 around.
- 24 We will prove to you that they are now
- 25 trying to say that he was behind all of this. And
- 26 it's false.
- 27 We also will prove to you that the Arvizo
- 28 children, as I said before, initially seemed very 176
- 1 well behaved, but that changed. And it changed
- 2 radically. For example, there are workers who are

this happe
the codes t
shocked and horrii
lis wheel up at the to
sphants and people.

prove, did that with
the house. They somehow found a
around the house at will when Mr.
asn't even in town and were actually cau
room. Those witnesses will testify to those
as. They were out of control.
The prosecutor told you that there were
girlie-type magazines and sexually explicit materia.
in Mr. Jackson's home, and there were. Mr. Jackson
will freely admit that he does read girlie magazines
from time to time. And what he does is he sends
someone to the local market, and they pick up
Hayboy and they pick up Hustler, and he has read
showing them to children. And, in fact, the
magazines the prosecutor referred to wer
locked briefcase. And Mr. Jackson wi

found those kids going
grabbed them from h
briefcase. That
I want to em
paid not
were
min descriptions
from the spaid not
were
min descriptions
min descript 5 when they visit Neverland, ride on and play on. 6 One of the workers in charge of those rides

28 locked briefcase. And Mr. Jackson will tell you he 177

3 in charge of the amusement rides. There is a ferris 4 wheel. There are other carousels that children,

9 That, from Mr. Jackson's point of view, the 10 incentive for doing that documentary was that he 11 trusted Mr. Bashir was going to present him in an 12 appropriate and honorable and honest light. And 13 that's not what happened. 14 Yesterday, I mentioned Mrs. Arvizo, while 15 she said she was falsely imprisoned, going for a 16 body wax treatment. I checked the receipt, and I 17 mistakenly said February 14th. It's February 11th, 18 for the body wax. What is particularly significant 19 about that, other than the fact that it's hard to 20 believe while you're being falsely imprisoned you're 21 going for a local body wax. The significance is 22 this: She, at her own request, was driven from 23 Neverland to a salon. She was gone for about three 24 hours. She was left at the salon alone. She was

25 there for over an hour getting a treatment, when she

- 26 says she was falsely imprisoned and couldn't
- 27 communicate with anyone. That is the significance
- 28 and that is absurd. 178
- 1 On that particular day, some very odd things
- 2 happened. Her boyfriend and now her husband, as I
- 3 mentioned, was a Major Jay Jackson, who's in the
- 4 Army Reserve, and he was making approximately \$8,000
- 5 a month while she was accepting welfare. And he was
- 6 allowing her to deposit her welfare checks into his
- 7 account. And as I said to you yesterday, she was
- 8 not disclosing his income or support in any of her
- 9 requests for public assistance, which were under 10 oath.
- 11 On that particular day, Major Jackson spoke
- 12 to her on the phone, and something strange happened.
- 13 In between the body waxes and whatever else she was
- 14 doing at Neverland, she claims and he claims that
- 15 she said she had trouble at Neverland and that he
- 16 called 9-1-1. But when he told her that he had
- 17 called 9-1-1, she said, "I'm not having trouble. We
- 18 don't need 9-1-1." So the opportunity to call
- 19 9-1-1, to call the police, to call the military
- 20 police, was always there. She says she was kept
- 21 from these people during all of this false
- 22 imprisonment by some conspiracy she claims was
- 23 engineered by Michael Jackson. And that's false.
- 24 On that note, we will prove to you, ladies
- 25 and gentlemen, that during the period she says she
- 26 was falsely imprisoned, she had access to the Los
- 27 Angeles Police Department, and was, in fact, in
- 28 contact with police officers in the Los Angeles 179
- 1 Police Department who she associated with, and never
- 2 raised an issue about false imprisonment. She was
- 3 in contact with Major Jay Jackson, who also had
- 4 access to the military police, and no complaint was 5 made.
- 6 She went to a federal building in Los
- 7 Angeles to get a passport for the trip to Brazil.
- 8 That federal building was loaded with federal
- 9 employees, federal agents. Nobody was told there
- 10 was a problem.
- 11 She had access to the Department of Children
- 12 & Family Services in Los Angeles because they
- 13 interviewed her. Where. At Jay Jackson's home.
- 14 Did she complain, "We're being falsely imprisoned.
- 15 We're being abducted. We're being pressured. We're
- 16 being defrauded. My children are at risk". No,
- 17 never.

- 18 All of this during the period that the
- 19 prosecutor says the family was being abducted,
- 20 falsely imprisoned, children were being harmed, et
- 21 cetera.
- 22 I told you yesterday that Michael Jackson
- 23 flat out denies these molestation allegations. They
- 24 are false.
- 25 Now, the prosecutor told you that Ms. Arvizo
- 26 and her children will come in and say there was
- 27 molestation. They gave you this lurid discussion of
- 28 masturbation and things of that sort. Well, ladies 180
- 1 and gentlemen of the jury, the Santa Barbara
- 2 Sheriffs raided Neverland. Approximately 70
- 3 officers showed up --
- 4 MR. SNEDDON: Excuse me, Counsel. I'm going
- 5 to object as argumentative.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 MR. MESEREAU: Ladies and gentlemen, the
- 8 Arvizo children's DNA was never found in Mr.
- 9 Jackson's bedroom after searching and testing.
- 10 Their DNA isn't there. Because the molestation
- 11 claims are fiction.
- 12 I did mention yesterday that Mr. Jackson
- 13 owns approximately a million book. He does, but I
- 14 think I may have suggested that they were all in his
- 15 house. Actually, a lot of them are in storage. So
- 16 I want to make sure that's clear. But he is a
- 17 voracious reader and book collector.
- 18 I also indicated to you that the prosecutors
- 19 initially had filed a Complaint against Mr. Jackson
- 20 alleging that molestation had occurred, based on
- 21 what the Arvizos told them before the Arvizos did
- 22 the rebuttal tape praising Michael Jackson saying he
- 23 never did anything wrong. And you'll see it in the
- 24 trial. And also before they were interviewed by the
- 25 Los Angeles Department of Children & Family Services
- 26 where they repeated their absolute denials that he'd
- 27 ever done anything wrong. They did nothing but
- 28 praise him to the hilt. 181
- 1 And what I said to you was after the reality
- 2 of those statements set in, the prosecutors changed
- 3 the dates of the alleged molestation and now they're
- 4 supposed to have occurred after those interviews.
- 5 And as I said to you, this is a time when
- 6 the media and Mr. Sneddon's investigating, and
- 7 everybody is talking about this Bashir documentary,
- 8 and the networks are coming out to see if they can
- 9 vie for the ability to do a rebuttal tape. This is

- 10 when they're claiming these acts of molestation
- 11 occurred. They didn't.
- 12 Now, Mr. Sneddon, the prosecutor, said to
- 13 you, "Janet Arvizo doesn't want money. She's not
- 14 doing this for money."
- 15 Well, we will prove to you the following:
- 16 As I said yesterday, when she allegedly learned of
- 17 these molestation claims, she didn't go to the
- 18 police, she went to a lawyer. And I just mentioned
- 19 to you that she was in touch with various members of
- 20 the Los Angeles Police Department during this period
- 21 of so-called false imprisonment, this period of a
- 22 so-called conspiracy by Michael Jackson and his
- 23 associates.
- 24 One of those police officers will testify
- 25 that Janet said to him, "Something big is happening,
- 26 and I got a lawyer." That's number one.
- 27 Number two, as I said to you yesterday, she
- 28 realized at some point that going to a lawyer first 182
- 1 doesn't look too good. And that's when she began to
- 2 claim that she learned about it from the police, not
- 3 the lawyers. The problem is, that the lawyers are
- 4 the ones who eventually went to the police. So
- 5 you'll have to figure that one out.
- 6 Janet Arvizo told the Santa Barbara Sheriffs
- 7 in one of her interviews, "You know, my kids have
- 8 till the age of 18 to file civil suits." And she
- 9 was correct, because someone underage who has a
- 10 claim is not held to what is called a statute of
- 11 limitations the way adults are.
- 12 A statute of limitations, as many of you
- 13 know, is a certain period of time you have to sue if
- 14 you have a claim, or your claim gets stale. It gets
- 15 thrown out, because they don't want -- basically the
- 16 law says that people can't go sitting around forever
- 17 waiting to file claims, or the whole system would be
- 18 chaotic.
- 19 So the statutes of limitation have been
- 20 defined by the legislature. And they give time
- 21 periods during which somebody can sue.
- 22 For her children, the alleged victims of
- 23 molestation, they have till 18. And she told that
- 24 to the police, just as she told the police that she
- 25 had hired lawyers. Why did she tell that to the
- 26 police. And why in her police interviews, as we
- 27 will prove, did she repeatedly say, "I need money, I
- 28 want money," or words to that effect. 183

- 2 the relationship between a criminal prosecution and 3 a civil claim for damages based upon the same type 4 of alleged conduct. What am I referring to. I 5 think some of you know this already, but we're going 6 to prove it to you anyway. 7 Here's what it is: There is what is called 8 a burden of proof in a criminal case; and that is, 9 you must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the 10 allegations are true and that the defendant's 11 quilty. 12 In a civil case what is called the burden of 13 proof is lighter. It's easier. It's called 14 preponderance of the evidence. 15 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to 16 object to this. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. MESEREAU: Ladies and gentlemen, we will 19 prove that Janet and her children are using this 20 case to win a civil case. 21 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to 22 object to that as argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 MR. MESEREAU: We will also prove that her 25 lawyer, Larry Feldman, who the prosecutor 26 acknowledged had sued Mr. Jackson a long time ago, a 27 lawyer very well known in Beverly Hills, California, 28 we will prove to you that this lawyer was having 184 1 lunch with CNN talk show host Larry King and told 2 him, "She wants money." 3 Ladies and gentlemen, the prosecutor 4 yesterday alleged that acts of molestation occurred 5 during certain dates. We will prove to you that 6 most of those dates, not all of them, but most of
- 7 them, Michael Jackson wasn't even near Neverland.
- 8 Based upon our investigation, we can find four
- 9 approximate dates where he was at Neverland. But a
- 10 lot of the dates you heard the prosecutor identify,
- 11 he isn't even near the place.
- 12 Now, ladies and gentlemen, I'd like to talk
- 13 to you a little bit about these conspiracy
- 14 allegations, particularly as they relate to the
- 15 so-called co-conspirators that Mr. Jackson is
- 16 supposed to be aligning with for the purpose of
- 17 committing felonies.
- 18 The first thing you need to know is what
- 19 they're saying is that Mr. Jackson committed crimes
- 20 by agreeing with others to commit crimes, so-called
- 21 co-conspirators. And the prosecutor identified who
- 22 those co-conspirators are supposed to be. And
- 23 indeed, in the charging document, Judge Melville
- 24 read you their names. Schaffel, Dieter, Konitzer,

- 25 Cascio, Amen. You know that none of them have been
- 26 charged. Do you know that the only one they charged
- 27 with conspiracy is Michael Jackson.
- 28 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to 185
- 1 object as argumentative.
- 2 THE COURT: Counsel, it is really -- the way
- 3 you're saying what you're saying is argumentative
- 4 form of -- you're not saying, "We're going to prove
- 5 this, we're going to prove that."
- 6 MR. MESEREAU: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 We will prove to you that none of those
- 8 so-called co-conspirators have been charged with any
- 9 crime. The only one these government prosecutors
- 10 have pointed out is Mr. Jackson when it comes to
- 11 these conspiracy allegations.
- 12 As I said to you yesterday, Mr. Jackson has
- 13 a certain lifestyle and presence that attracts all
- 14 kinds of people on a continual basis who seek to
- 15 profit at his expense. It's an ongoing problem.
- 16 It's an ongoing issue. He is one of the world's
- 17 best known megastars. He's known as a musical
- 18 genius, one of the greatest in the world. And he's
- 19 known around the world as someone who's made lots of
- 20 money. This is the reputation people come to him
- 21 with and they want to profit from it.
- 22 And you can just guess at the characters who
- 23 show up trying to get their nose under the tent with
- 24 an idea. An idea for a concert --
- 25 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 26 object again as argumentative.
- 27 MR. MESEREAU: I will -- I will change that,
- 28 Your Honor. 186
- 1 THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: We will prove to you that
- 3 Mr. Jackson, because of his presence around the
- 4 world in the music industry, continually attracts
- 5 people who seek to profit.
- 6 We will prove to you, ladies and gentlemen,
- 7 that this creates a problem in his life. And here
- 8 is the problem we'll prove to you exists: Mr.
- 9 Jackson is an artist. That is what his primary work
- 10 is. He's an artist. He is called a musical genius.
- 11 He is a creative person who dances to a creative
- 12 drummer.
- 13 Mr. Jackson was interviewed by Mr. Bashir
- 14 about how he lives, how he feels, and how he works.
- 15 And among other things, he responded to Mr. Bashir's
- 16 questions along those lines. He said, "I have to be

- 17 inspired. I can't wake up each day and say, 'You 18 know, today I have a goal. I'm going to create
- 19 music. I'm going to create choreography. I'm going
- 20 to create a video. I'm going to find the message.
- 21 I'm going to translate it into music and
- 22 choreography. This is what I'm going to do today.""
- 23 He told Mr. Bashir, "I can't do that.
- 24 That's not the way it works. I have to be inspired.
- 25 I don't know when I'm going to be inspired. I have
- 26 to make myself open for inspiration in order to do
- 27 the kind of work I do." And to do that, he has to
- 28 live a certain way. 187
- 1 For example, Mr. Bashir expressed surprise
- 2 when he said to him, "I have a tree on my property.
- 3 And lots of times I go up and I sit in the tree
- 4 alone. And I'm peaceful and I'm still, and I
- 5 meditate. And very often God gives me that creative
- 6 spark that I need to do the work that I excel in."
- 7 We will prove to you that Mr. Jackson will
- 8 often wake up at 3:00 in the morning at Neverland.
- 9 He will walk out of his house alone, and he will
- 10 take a walk alone under the stars, under the moon,
- 11 under the sky. He will meditate in his own way, and
- 12 wait for ideas and inspiration to come.
- 13 And he often says when it comes to dance or
- 14 music, "I can't think my way to the result. It has
- 15 to come to me, and I have to feel it."
- 16 This is his lifestyle, we will prove. And
- 17 one of the problems, we will prove, is that if
- 18 you're going to be that kind of a creative genius,
- 19 it doesn't always leave time to sit down with
- 20 lawyers and accountants and business advisors on a
- 21 regular basis. In fact, he has said, "When I spend
- 22 time in those areas, I create less."
- 23 What does that mean, ladies and gentlemen.
- 24 It means he's vulnerable to being taken advantage of
- 25 financially and legally. And we will have witnesses
- 26 tell you, they have observed him presented with
- 27 documents, and he signs them without reading them.
- 28 And these types of behavior -- this type of behavior 188
- 1 has caused problems in the past for him. But he is
- 2 the creative spirit he is, and he has to live the
- 3 way he lives. And he doesn't live like a criminal,
- 4 as they have told you.
- 5 At one point, a number of individuals
- 6 approached him, were able to actually get face to
- 7 face with him and convince him that they could help
- 8 him out with his affairs. Of course, this has been

- 9 going on for years. This has been going on, we will 10 prove to you, since he was a child. Because he 11 began performing at the age of five. And by the age 12 of eight was being recognized for his genius and his 13 talent. That's an early age. 14 And he was, and his family, were working 15 with studios like Motown, where they got a big 16 break. And as part of the business of music, they 17 were told, "We have publicists, press people, 18 agents, managers. They will tell you what to say. 19 Image is business. Image is critical. You can't 20 just walk out there and freely discuss your 21 feelings, your life, what you do, what you like or 22 don't like. We'll tell you what to say, and you'll 23 say it." 24 And things like personal habits, personal 25 fears, personal idiosyncrasies, were hidden, 26 because, after all, this was big business. 27 You heard the names Konitzer and Dieter. 28 They did arrive, and they did claim they were going 189
- 1 to somehow rescue Michael Jackson from this world of 2 charlatans and profiteers, and would-be do-gooders 3 with a hand in his pocket. 4 They also revealed who they were during the 5 course of his experience with them. The prosecutor 6 would have you believe that Mr. Jackson was somehow 7 involved with these people on a daily basis, getting 8 all kinds of information from them and knew 9 everything they were doing. He did not. 10 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to 11 object as argumentative. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 MR. MESEREAU: He didn't. This is what 14 Dieter and Konitzer were planning to do with regard 15 to Mr. Jackson: Now, as for his involvement, 16 referring to Michael Jackson, Michael is an 17 entertainer and not a businessman. He does not like 18 business. He does not care about any formalities, 19 proper procedures, detailed briefings, et cetera. 20 Any one of those elements kills his creative energy. 21 He wants to stay out of that. That is the reason 22 why he gave us an extensive power of attorney. 23 Do you know what a power of attorney is, 24 ladies and gentlemen. I'm sure some of you do. 25 Maybe you all do. It's giving away to somebody an 26 ability to sign for your affairs and represent you 27 in signing things and doing things from a legal

28 point of view. It's a very serious act when you 190

1 give someone a power of attorney. But they got one 2 out of Michael. 3 They said further, "His intention was 4 definitely not being bothered with signatures here 5 and there, every other day, and with personal 6 meetings with each and every member of the team. We 7 realized that some might feel insulted, but it's not 8 personal. Signatures and meetings with Michael 9 will, in general, not happen. Only in very special 10 cases, exceptions will be made." 11 We will prove to you that these so-called 12 business advisors made a concerted effort to keep 13 Michael Jackson away from a lot of what they were 14 trying to do on his behalf and to control his 15 affairs, particularly the music business. 16 On January 11th, 2003, this is what one of 17 them wrote: "Yes, I and Mr. Weizner, whom you met 18 in Las Vegas, are authorized to engage you. We 19 shall gain control of financial affairs, records, 20 documents, agreements, and also operations, anything 21 else belonging to Michael Jackson in their 22 possession or control." 23 They identified two phases of activity they

1 Jackson's business, while he spent his time creating 2 and writing and choreographing and the things he

28 proposal for managing and controlling all of Michael 191

24 were going to pursue: One, take-over. Two, cleanup 25 operation, clean up old business. And they said 26 they were going to create what they called "The 27 Michael Jackson Universe." This was their business

- 4 We will prove to you that, unlike what you
- 5 were told, he didn't know a lot of things they were
- 6 doing, because they intended that he not know what
- 7 they were doing. And they weren't telling him a lot
- 8 of what was going on.

3 loves to do.

- 9 We will also prove to you that a lawyer
- 10 named David LeGrand was, at one time, retained to
- 11 help Mr. Jackson. David LeGrand had been a
- 12 securities law prosecutor. As a securities law
- 13 prosecutor, we will prove that he had prosecuted
- 14 people for financial crimes, stock fraud, not
- 15 following proper procedures and disclosures if
- 16 you're selling stock or securities. Things of that
- 17 sort.
- 18 David LeGrand began to smell that something
- 19 was wrong, and he confronted Dieter and Konitzer
- 20 with his suspicions, even writing to them and
- 21 saying, "Why are you withdrawing money. Who told
- 22 you to do that. Who gave you permission. Where's
- 23 it going. What are you doing with it."

- 24 They then were able to convince Michael
- 25 Jackson to fire LeGrand. But before that happened,
- 26 David LeGrand commenced an investigation into the
- 27 activities of these alleged co-conspirators, Dieter,
- 28 Konitzer, Schaffel and others. He hired a 192
- 1 top-flight investigative firm in New York to check
- 2 out their backgrounds, to check out what they were
- 3 doing, to see if they were hiding things overseas,
- 4 to see if Mr. Jackson was being, as he said,
- 5 defrauded, and to see if they were engaging in acts
- 6 as what he described as self-dealing.
- 7 But they were able to get to Michael and
- 8 stop the investigation by getting him to fire
- 9 LeGrand, because they convinced him that LeGrand was
- 10 doing something wrong.
- 11 An investigative report was prepared. It
- 12 wasn't completed, but it was prepared. It was
- 13 printed and it was given to Mr. LeGrand.
- 14 Marc Schaffel, the prosecutor told you that
- 15 Mr. Jackson was a partner in his business. We will
- 16 prove to you he was never a partner in his business.
- 17 Marc Schaffel was a film producer who had met Mr.
- 18 Jackson in the early '90s. And at one point Mr.
- 19 Jackson said he wanted nothing to do with him. That
- 20 was in writing from his attorneys.
- 21 Marc Schaffel, like so many others, wanted
- 22 to do business with Mr. Jackson. And typically, as
- 23 we will prove, when people are on the outs, they
- 24 band with other people on the outs and look for a
- 25 way to get back in. They look for a way to glom
- 26 onto somebody who has Mr. Jackson's ear during the
- 27 limited time he has to deal with these things. And
- 28 Mr. Schaffel came forward with a proposal that a 193
- 1 rebuttal show be done with FOX.
- 2 Mr. Schaffel travels to Brazil all the time.
- 3 Mr. Schaffel has friends in Brazil and production
- 4 facilities in Brazil. And Mr. Schaffel convinced
- 5 Janet Arvizo that she should come to Brazil, because
- 6 Michael Jackson was going to be with them in Brazil.
- 7 And she was all for it. We will produce witnesses
- 8 who will tell you that Janet Arvizo was very excited
- 9 about going to Brazil. Her children, apparently,
- 10 weren't excited, because they wanted to stay at
- 11 Neverland.
- 12 But we will prove to you through witness
- 13 testimony that she was very happy about going to
- 14 Brazil until she concluded that Schaffel and Dieter
- 15 and Konitzer and others were somehow trying to

- 16 profit off Michael Jackson and keep her out of the
- 17 profits. That's when all these new claims began to
- 18 surface.
- 19 And when she decided not to go to Brazil,
- 20 she didn't go to Brazil. When she decided not to go
- 21 to Brazil, Marc Schaffel went to Brazil anyway.
- 22 Because that's where he was planning to go all
- 23 along.
- 24 We will produce witnesses who will tell you
- 25 that she was excited about this vacation. She
- 26 thought she was going to be with Michael Jackson.
- 27 And one of the constant themes I've been
- 28 articulating to you is that when she realized 194
- 1 Michael wasn't going to be there taking care of the
- 2 family the rest of their life, her attitude suddenly
- 3 changed. And when she realized she couldn't make
- 4 millions of dollars one way, she looked for another
- 5 way. And here we are.
- 6 I was going through a chronological
- 7 description yesterday of events, and we were into
- 8 February of 2003. I told you that the Bashir
- 9 program had aired in the United States on February
- 10 6th, 2003. I also told you, ladies and gentlemen,
- 11 we would prove that that's the day that Prosecutor
- 12 Sneddon announced he was investigating. And
- 13 according to this prosecutor, a little over a month
- 14 after he starts investigating, and Michael Jackson
- 15 learns about it, he suddenly begins to molest. It's
- 16 false and absurd.
- 17 I told you that two days later, on February
- 18 8th, 2003, Ed Bradley at 60 Minutes arrived at
- 19 Neverland with his film crew. Also present was the
- 20 president of CBS Entertainment, Jack Sussman;
- 21 Attorney David LeGrand, whom I just mentioned to
- 22 you, the one who began investigating the backgrounds
- 23 and the activities of these alleged co-conspirators;
- 24 and Attorney Mark Geragos.
- 25 Now, Attorney Mark Geragos you've heard
- 26 about because he's been in some high-profile cases
- 27 in California. And he had been contacted by
- 28 Mr. LeGrand because Mr. Sneddon had started an 195
- 1 investigation. He was at Neverland that day also.
- 2 That's February 8th.
- 3 On February 13th, Janet Arvizo has a phone
- 4 conversation with Frank Cascio that is recorded,
- 5 without her knowledge. And I'd like to tell you a
- 6 few of the things she said in that phone
- 7 conversation February 13th.

- 8 She didn't like those German people, she
- 9 said, and of course she was referring to Dieter and
- 10 Konitzer. She said to Frank Cascio, "I love you so
- 11 much. You don't know how much I love you. Your
- 12 little sister and your little brother."
- 13 Janet Arvizo: "Yeah, it's like we're
- 14 family, you know, Frank."
- 15 Frank tells her, "And to protect Michael,
- 16 because we've been in this situation many times,
- 17 Janet. And you know what, it ends up hurting the
- 18 kids, and we don't want -- and Michael does not want
- 19 Gavin to be hurt."
- 20 Janet, as I said yesterday, has been
- 21 complaining that the media are harassing her. And
- 22 that her son, who was in the Bashir documentary, is
- 23 being hassled at school. She has requested security
- 24 help and she has gotten it. We will prove to you
- 25 now she has converted all of this into this notion
- 26 of being imprisoned.
- 27 Janet: "Oh, I know, I know. That's why I'm
- 28 getting all those information. Oh, for example, 196
- 1 ahh, there was like a year and a half ago, a year
- 2 and a half ago they did an investigation of me and
- 3 David." David, of course, is her ex-husband.
- 4 "We understand that Michael Jackson and
- 5 Chris Tucker are involved with you and the kids."
- 6 And they said -- and the kids spoke up and
- 7 they said, "They're family to us. If we didn't have
- 8 Michael, if we didn't have Michael, we wouldn't have
- 9 a father, a father figure in our life."
- 10 And then the social worker, referring to the
- 11 Department of Children & Family Services: "Okay.
- 12 I'll give the person here a call. Name, David
- 13 Arvizo. And she documented it and everything. So
- 14 I'm returning the note paper so you can have all
- 15 these papers."
- 16 She continually says, "Michael is our
- 17 father." Ladies and gentlemen, Michael Jackson will
- 18 tell you one time at Neverland he got a very bad
- 19 feeling and intuition. They were in the theater
- 20 that you've heard about. There is a theater at
- 21 Neverland, where you can just go in, and kids can
- 22 have their seats. It's on an incline. You got a
- 23 stage and you got a big screen.
- 24 He was there with Janet Arvizo and the three
- 25 kids, Gavin, Star and Davallin. And all of a
- 26 sudden, Janet Arvizo grabs Michael's hand and has
- 27 her children all hold hands, and she says, "Let's
- 28 all kneel down and pray with our Daddy Michael." 197

- 1 And Michael Jackson got a very bad feeling.
- 2 And after that, he concluded, "I got to get away. I
- 3 got to get away. I love helping this child, but
- 4 something is wrong."
- 5 And we will prove to you that he was warned
- 6 by others, "Get away."
- 7 Janet Arvizo continues in that recorded
- 8 phone conversation, "I know we're family, Frank.
- 9 Me -- you, me, my kids, are family. You, Marie
- 10 Nicole, my kids, Baby Rubba, are family. Michael,
- 11 Marie Nicole, you, me, are family, and my parents,
- 12 that's all I got."
- 13 "So that's why these German people" -- and
- 14 it's inaudible what she says, but presumably it's
- 15 not too kind.
- 16 She is sensing that Dieter and Konitzer, the
- 17 ones who wanted to take over Michael's business, are
- 18 keeping her away from Michael, the daddy figure, the
- 19 one who's supposed to rescue her family from all of
- 20 their troubles.
- 21 Further on, she says to Frank Cascio, "So
- 22 he's -- I told him, I told the German people, 'But
- 23 you don't understand. He's family to us and we're
- 24 family to him.' And oh, ahh..."
- 25 And he goes, "Your kids are unimportant, you
- 26 know."
- 27 "Michael's family to me. My kids call him
- 28 'Daddy Michael'." 198
- 1 Yesterday the prosecutor told you he would
- 2 prove that Michael wanted this. Nonsense.
- 3 Frank Cascio: "Michael wants to see you."
- 4 Janet Arvizo: "Tell him he's our family."
- 5 Frank Cascio: "You don't have to talk to
- 6 him."
- 7 Janet Arvizo: "I thought, like everything,
- 8 my family was in jeopardy."
- 9 Frank Cascio: "No."
- 10 Janet Arvizo: "Meaning us being with
- 11 Michael and Michael being with us."
- 12 She fears that relationship is ending.
- 13 Ladies and gentlemen, we will prove that
- 14 three days later, the Arvizo family are interviewed
- 15 by Brad Miller, a licensed California investigator,
- 16 employed by Attorney Mark Geragos.
- 17 Now, Mr. Geragos at this point was concerned
- 18 about who the Arvizos were, and what they were up
- 19 to, and what they really were trying to accomplish.
- 20 During this period of alleged false
- 21 imprisonment, the investigator visits them at their
- 22 home. Does Major Jay Jackson of the Army Reserve

- 23 call the police.
- 24 No. They have an interview with the
- 25 investigator that is tape-recorded. Again, three
- 26 days after that phone conversation with Frank was
- 27 recorded and she didn't know it was being recorded.
- 28 Okay. 199
- 1 She starts talking about her son's cancer.
- 2 Janet Arvizo: "To this day it's an unknown
- 3 cancer. And it's been declared that by multiple
- 4 laboratories and a team of 12 doctors."
- 5 And she talks about her son's internal
- 6 organs that have been removed. Left adrenal,
- 7 kidney, tip of his pancreas, spleen, lymph nodes, et
- 8 cetera.
- 9 The investigator says to her, "Okay. Thank
- 10 you. And what was Mr. Jackson's role in his
- 11 recovery."
- 12 Janet Arvizo: "Like a -- like a father to
- 13 him."
- 14 Investigator: "What would Michael do."
- 15 Janet Arvizo: "Everything a loving
- 16 father -- unselfish, kind" inaudible then
- 17 "exhibits unconditional love."
- 18 She goes on: "And that's one thing the
- 19 role Michael was -- there's not -- he wasn't just a
- 20 father figure to Gavin, he also was to Star and
- 21 Davallin, because he knew all three of them needed
- 22 him. And he was, um, is family to me and he also
- 23 realized that I needed him."
- 24 This is when she's living with her
- 25 boyfriend, whom she later married.
- 26 Janet Arvizo we go on further "Yes, and
- 27 all -- David's role her ex-husband to make sure
- 28 he appeared to be a good father to people, because 200
- 1 he knows of the harm that he placed on me and my
- 2 children for years."
- 3 "How long were you married to David."
- 4 "17 years. I'm living -- we're legally
- 5 separated, pending divorce."
- 6 And then she talks about her being the
- 7 victim of domestic violence by the ex-husband.
- 8 I remind you, in the J.C. Penney deposition,
- 9 she said he had never touched her, under oath.
- 10 She talks about her ex-husband committing
- 11 acts of child endangerment and terrorist threats.
- 12 Sound familiar.
- 13 Then Gavin says about his father, "He hit me
- 14 while I -- during my cancer treatment. Even when I

- 15 had surgery, he hit me a lot."
- 16 Star Arvizo: "He used to hit me in the head
- 17 a lot and used to always kick me."
- 18 Davallin: "He would throw us against the
- 19 wall and he would yank us by the hair."
- 20 Davallin: "My mom has bald spots resulting
- 21 from my father."
- 22 Then Janet talks about how he would abuse
- 23 their dog and their pet ferret.
- 24 Continuing with Janet Arvizo in this
- 25 conversation that she knew was recorded: "No, I'm
- 26 just a regular person, Brad. I had to live with him
- 27 for 17 years. And for 17 years I wished that one
- 28 day me and my children were going to be delivered 201
- 1 from this evil. And when Michael came into the
- 2 picture, I knew that Michael was kind and gentle and
- ${\tt 3}$ a loving person, and he too had to be delivered from
- 4 him."
- 5 Continuing; Janet Arvizo: "Yes, he went --
- 6 they had filmed. When they had filmed the beautiful
- 7 story about Michael and my son, David was there,
- 8 present."
- 9 That's the Bashir filming. "And he no
- 10 longer allowed me to be around Michael because he
- 11 was afraid that I was going to tell Michael about
- 12 everything. Everything that the children had --
- 13 were undergoing by his -- his -- I don't know how to
- 14 classify it -- evil demonic ways."
- 15 She calls the ex-husband demonic. Didn't
- 16 Mr. Sneddon tell you that she refers to Michael as
- 17 "the devil".
- 18 Continuing in this interview; Janet Arvizo:
- 19 "And that one day the kids and me were going to tell
- 20 Michael. So only one time I went with David up
- 21 there when he was the -- and me and Michael were
- 22 dancing. Just dancing. We were approximately --
- 23 maybe like 20 feet from each other and we were
- 24 dancing to the same song. And when I -- and when we
- 25 were taken back into that room, that's why the rest
- 26 of the trip Michael never saw me again. I was
- 28 guest room by her ex-husband at Neverland. 202
- 1 Were police called. No. Were complaints
- 2 made. No. Did anyone hear about it. No. Were
- 3 security yards contacted at Neverland. No. Kitchen
- 4 staff, zookeeper, management, administration, fire
- 5 truck operator. No.
- 6 "And me, because I knew that Michael was

- 7 going to help us. I knew he was going to protect 8 us, as any loving father would, as any head of 9 household. Because that's how I see Michael, as a 10 family man. He's a family man." 11 The investigator looks at Gavin, and he 12 says, "Gavin, tell me about when you would spend the 13 night with Michael. Where would you sleep." 14 "I spent the night with Michael one time 15 when he slept on the floor." 16 He says his brother and he slept on 17 Michael's bed. Michael slept on the floor. And 18 "Michael slept on the floor. Michael slept on the 19 floor." 20 "When I had cancer, he would sleep on the 21 floor. And my brother would be there with me." 22 "Was there ever anything inappropriate with 23 Michael. 24 Janet: "Never." 25 Davallin, the sister, says the following: 26 "He's a bigger father to all of us. He's given us 27 safety. He's given us love. He's given us 28 everything we've ever wanted. He's been our father 203 1 figure. He's the only thing we know to be a 2 father." 3 Michael Jackson is being sucked in. And 4 look what happens when you try to sever the 5 connection and not take responsibility for this 6 family for the rest of your life, after you were 7 kind enough to help them when they were in need. 8 Janet Arvizo: "And being what I endured for 9 17 years, I would be the most sensitive to any 10 little thing. And Michael has never, absolutely 11 never made me feel, in any way, form or shape or 12 matter, that anything was different, other than 13 Gavin, as a son to Michael, Star as a son to
- 14 Michael, Davallin as a daughter to Michael. And 15 Michael father, like a father to all three of them. 16 And to me, family. We, my kids and me, no 17 rejection. We know neglection. We've been 18 rejected, neglected, spit on, fried, tried, burned, 19 abused, the door shut in our face, opportunities 20 lost. And Michael said -- took us from way behind 21 in the line and pulled us up to the front, and said,
- 22 "You matter to me. You may not matter to many
- 23 people, but you matter to me. And that's what's
- 24 important."
- 25 The prosecutor wants you to think this was
- 26 all scripted and done through force at the Major's
- 27 home. The Major in the United States Army.
- 28 Janet talks a little bit about her life, 204

- 1 ladies and gentlemen. That obviously is going to be 2 an issue in this courtroom. She says David, her 3 ex-husband's older brother, is a convicted drug 4 dealer. She says she would get beatings "because I 5 wouldn't participate in drug activities or drug 6 sales. I got beat up for things I stood for." 7 Always leading up to "Michael has rescued us 8 from destruction. We are his family. He is 9 responsible for us." 10 As I mentioned to you, that was February 11 16th, 2003, three days after the recorded phone 12 conversation. 13 Now we go to February 20th, four days later. 14 We will prove to you that Janet and the kids did a 15 video, freely, voluntarily; they wanted it. The 16 kids wanted to be on camera. They wanted to be
- 17 actors. The mother wanted to be on camera. The
- 18 hitch was they wanted lots of money.
- 19 They arrived at the home of the videographer
- 20 in Los Angeles. We will produce witnesses who will
- 21 tell you that Janet seemed happy as a lark, excited
- 22 and interested; but delayed it so she could talk to
- 23 someone whom she thought was an attorney. She
- 24 didn't want to sign a document releasing rights.
- 25 She wanted rights. She wanted money if they were
- 26 going to be filmed. So they went through a lot of
- 27 discussion like that.
- 28 No false imprisonment, no abduction. No 205
- 1 force. Nothing like that.
- 2 She was laughing and giggling throughout the
- 3 video, as you will see. You will see her laughing
- 4 during the filming. And you will see her laughing
- 5 with her kids when they think they're not being 6 filmed.
- 7 She goes through the same routine I just
- 8 read to you. The same victim routine. "We were
- 9 spat on because of our race, our poverty. Nobody
- 10 would help us. We shared cereal. We were going
- 11 nowhere," words to that effect. "And Daddy Michael
- 12 rescued us. Took us into his fold, became the
- 13 surrogate father." And they all praise him to the
- 14 hilt. This is February 20th. They praise him for
- 15 all he has done to help Gavin with his illness.
- 16 They praise him for all he has done to help them
- 17 enjoy life. But implicit in everything she says is,
- 18 "We are now his family. He is now our dad."
- 19 Again, while she's living with Major Jay
- 20 Jackson of the United States Army. Again, she says,
- 21 this is all part of the false imprisonment. And as

- 22 I said to you yesterday, when you see this tape -
- 23 and if they don't show you, we will just watch the
- 24 responses. Watch the facial expressions. Watch the
- 25 spontaneity in the Arvizos. Watch Davallin shed
- 26 tears about wonderful Michael is. Watch Gavin
- 27 praise him for being a father figure; and say to
- 28 yourself, "Is this whole family rehearsed because 206
- 1 there's a gun to their head." Absolutely,
- 2 positively no.
- 3 She does bring religion in; I'll tell you
- 4 that. She says that, "God's grace as God works
- 5 through people, so does the devil. But God elected
- 6 to work in Michael to breathe life into Gavin and to
- 7 my two other children, and to me a much necessary
- 8 love in a very traumatic time in our life." On, and
- 9 on, and on.
- 10 The next morning, she's interviewed by the
- 11 Los Angeles Department of Children & Family
- 12 Services. Now, the prosecutor told you that this
- 13 was all under the gun too, because there was some
- 14 security guy imprisoning them or stopping their
- 15 movement or stopping them from calling someone on a
- 16 telephone. Did they ever tell the interviewers from
- 17 the Los Angeles Department of Children & Family
- 18 Services, "We're being held against our will, my
- 19 children are being abducted, I'm being falsely
- 20 imprisoned, we are prisoners of Michael Jackson".
- 21 No. They sang his praises again.
- 22 And you know something. Actor Chris Tucker,
- 23 from the Rush Hour Series, girlfriend was there too.
- 24 Did they ever look at her and, say, "Please, sneak a
- 25 call to the police. Call 9-1-1. Do something.
- 26 Help us. We're being restricted".
- 27 No.
- 28 After they realized the effect of these 207
- $\boldsymbol{1}$ interviews, after they realized it might be a tough
- 2 sell, that this is all scripted and done by force,
- 3 that's when the dates of the alleged molestation
- 4 changed to after these interviews. Gavin has said
- 5 to the police on one occasion it was before the DCFS
- 6 interview, and then changed it to it was after. How $7\ \text{come.}$
- 8 How come.
- 9 The day after those interviews, Ms. Arvizo
- 10 went to a lawyer named William Dickerman. She had
- 11 concluded that she had been duped by Schaffel,
- 12 Dieter, Konitzer, Frank, Vinnie. She had concluded
- 13 she was not going to get a piece of the action from

- 14 any rebuttal show, which, as you know, was supposed
- 15 to be a response to the Bashir documentary.
- 16 And as I said to you yesterday, yes, there
- 17 was concern over Michael being placed in a false
- 18 light, and Bashir. But also these people saw great
- 19 money-making opportunities, because you could do
- 20 another show and the networks were bidding for the
- 21 other show.
- 22 She decided she wasn't going to be able to
- 23 obtain what she wanted and now it was time to take
- 24 legal action. She had the lawyer send a series of
- 25 letters to Geragos. And they started coming. First
- 26 of all, she was upset that she was joined with
- 27 Michael as a complainant, a plaintiff in a British
- 28 lawsuit against the company that produced the Bashir 208

1 documentary. Because as I said to you yesterday, we 2 will prove Michael was duped by Bashir, didn't want 3 his children revealed so you could recognize them on 4 the show, thought he had editorial control, and 5 trusted Bashir. 6 Janet claimed to be upset that her son was 7 on the show. In reality, we'll prove, she wanted 8 money from the show. That was the problem. She had 9 joined Michael Jackson in a suit in England with 10 Michael Jackson paying the fees. And it was done

- 11 through LeGrand, his lawyer who I mentioned before,
- 12 who had obtained legal counsel in England.
- 13 She decided, "I'm not going to get much out
- 14 of any of this." So she went to this lawyer and he
- 15 starts writing letters to Mr. Geragos, saying she
- 16 wants her property back, which is in storage.
- 17 At her request, her property was taken from
- 18 the studio apartment, put in storage. Her back rent
- 19 was paid by these people who were helping her out,
- 20 because she wanted to go to Brazil, and she always
- 21 complained, "I want to live in a nicer place."
- 22 In fact, her daughter stated to one witness,
- 23 "We're going to get a nice home in the Hollywood
- 24 Hills." Her fiance and now husband complained, "We
- 25 want more than a house out of this. We want more
- 26 than a college education out of this. You guys are
- 27 making millions. What are we getting."
- 28 She wanted out of that location. What she 209
- 1 decided to do when she thought she couldn't make
- 2 money the friendly way was to make money through
- 3 lawyers the hostile way. And Dickerman started
- 4 sending letters, "We want her furniture back, we
- 5 want her possessions back," as if Michael Jackson

- 6 wanted to steal her furniture and possessions. But
- 7 nevertheless, he also said, "We don't want Mr.
- 8 Jackson's people hassling her, harassing her.
- 9 Interestingly enough, the letters keep
- 10 coming, and there's never any mention of child
- 11 molestation. Not in any of these letters.
- 12 Those claims evolved with time.
- 13 In late March, Janet Arvizo bumped into one
- 14 of the Department of Children & Family Services
- 15 workers who had interviewed her at a hamburger
- 16 place, late March 2003. Never mentioned sexual
- 17 misconduct, false imprisonment, extortion, child
- 18 abduction, giving alcohol to minors for sexual
- 19 purposes. Never mentions any of this stuff. Sees
- 20 her at a public place.
- 21 March 26th, Lawyer Dickerman contacts Mark
- 22 Geragos and never mentions sexual misconduct. It's
- 23 in April of 2003 that Janet Arvizo goes to Lawyer
- 24 Larry Feldman.
- 25 On April 16th of 2003, the Santa Barbara
- 26 Sheriff's Department closes their case investigating
- 27 Michael Jackson. They conclude no criminal activity
- 28 has gone on. 210
- 1 In May of 2003, that's May 15th, Larry
- 2 Feldman, who, as you know, has sued Michael Jackson
- 3 before, gets Janet and the children to talk to a
- 4 psychologist that he uses as an expert witness in
- 5 other cases. In other words, lawyers often will
- 6 have experts they want to use and the experts get
- 7 paid, and they're kind of in bed together.
- 8 Not until May 29th, 2003, does this
- 9 psychologist suddenly claim he has a reasonable
- 10 suspicion there was molestation. I repeat the date.
- 11 May 29th, 2003. She hires Attorney Feldman in
- 12 April. It's not till the very end of May that they
- 13 come up with these false claims.
- 14 On June 5th, 2003, the psychologist meets
- 15 with Lawyer Feldman and Lawyer Dickerman. They're
- 16 all collaborating. Doesn't go to the police. Janet
- 17 doesn't go to the police. The kids don't go to the
- 18 police.
- 19 Psychologist Katz and Lawyer Feldman go to
- 20 the Los Angeles Department of Children & Family
- 21 Services on June 13th to report this.
- 22 I'd like you to hear this one, ladies and
- 23 gentlemen: On September 30th, 2003, Lieutenant
- 24 Klapakis from the Santa Barbara Sheriff's Office
- 25 calls the Los Angeles Department of Children &
- 26 Family Services and doesn't want them to interview
- 27 the Arvizos. I repeat, doesn't want them to
- 28 interview the Arvizos. They already had. 211

```
1 On March 29th, 2004, Janet Arvizo testifies
2 under oath before a grand jury in Santa Barbara and
3 says she learned for the first time about the
4 molestation from the police. That, we will prove,
5 is false.
6 Ladies and gentlemen, it's going to be a
7 long trial and you're going to see and hear lots of
8 evidence. We are extremely confident that, when you
9 do, you are going to find Michael Jackson absolutely
10 not quilty of any of this.
11 Thank you.
12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mesereau.
13 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
14 THE COURT: We'll take our morning recess.
15 Remember the admonition.
16 (Recess taken.)
17 ---00---
18
19
20
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 212
1 (An off-the-record discussion was held in
2 chambers.)
3 THE COURT: All right. Is there a
4 stipulation reached.
5 MR. SNEDDON: Yes, Your Honor, between
6 counsel.
7 MR. SANGER: Yes, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Would you state, briefly state
9 the stipulation.
10 MR. SNEDDON: Yes, Your Honor. The
11 stipulation is that there are certain exhibits
12 lodged with the Court in connection with the grand
13 jury proceedings, and there's a stipulation that
14 those exhibits can be released to us, to the People,
15 and that -- for mutual use by both sides.
16 MR. SANGER: So stipulated, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'll approve that stipulation
18 and release the exhibits.
19 MR. SNEDDON: Thank you, Your Honor.
20 THE COURT: Leslie, is the unit on here. Is
```

21 this on.

```
22 THE BAILIFF: You need to --
23 THE COURT: No, I just asked if the --
24 THE BAILIFF: It takes about ten seconds to
25 heat up.
26 THE COURT: You may call your first witness.
27 MR. SNEDDON: Thank you, Your Honor. Call
28 Martin Bashir. 213
1 Mr. Bashir, come forward, please.
2 THE COURT: Come forward to the witness
3 stand. And when you get to the witness stand,
4 remain standing, face the clerk here, raise your
5 right hand.
7 MARTIN BASHIR
8 Having been sworn, testified as follows:
10 THE WITNESS: I do.
11 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
12 spell your name for the record.
13 THE WITNESS: My name is Martin Bashir.
14 M-a-r-t-i-n; surname, B-a-s, as in "sugar," h-i-r.
15
16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
17 BY MR. SNEDDON:
18 Q. Mr. Bashir, I'm going to ask you if you'd
19 scoot a little closer to that microphone, if you
20 can.
21 A. I'm not particularly tall. I'm sorry.
22 Q. That's all right.
23 Mr. Bashir, what is your profession or
24 occupation.
25 A. I'm a television journalist.
26 Q. And how long have you been involved in that
27 occupation.
28 A. I've been involved in journalism for 20 214
1 years, and I've been a television journalist for
2 around 18 years.
3 Q. Could you just --
4 THE COURT: Somehow you need to get closer to
5 that mike. Can you swing it towards you a little
6 bit.
7 BAILIFF CORTEZ: It's bolted down.
8 THE COURT: It moves this way.
9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
12 THE COURT: You'll have to move to it. It
```

- 13 doesn't --
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I've been working
- 15 as a journalist for 20 years, and I've been working
- 16 as a television journalist for around 18 years.
- 17 Q. BY MR. SNEDDON: Tell us a little bit, to
- 18 the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, if you would,
- 19 a little bit about what a television journalist
- 20 does.
- 21 A. A television journalist is somebody who
- 22 performs the task of journalism, which is, in
- 23 effect, to report, to research, to investigate, to
- 24 report stories. The distinction would be that a
- 25 television journalist, as opposed to a newspaper
- 26 journalist, would use the medium of television so
- 27 that -- when I started my career, I would write for
- 28 newspapers, do stories for newspapers, did some 215
- 1 sports reporting, some general news. And then when
- 2 I moved on to television, it was the same core task
- ${\tt 3}$ of reporting, but it was done using the medium of
- 4 television.
- 5 Q. And then how does the profession -- how does
- 6 the way that you practice that profession differ, if
- 7 it does in any respect, from those that we see on
- 8 the nightly network news stations reporting the news 9 as a journalist.
- 10 A. There would be no distinction as to what I'm
- 11 doing. I'm covering stories and reporting. I
- 12 suppose the distinction would be that news
- 13 journalists would tend to file shorter reports.
- 14 My career has tended to be fixed around
- 15 long-form films. I mean by that documentaries,
- 16 half-hour, one-hour, and in some cases two-hour
- 17 films, current affairs programs. So I would tend to
- 18 be described in the United Kingdom as a current
- 19 affairs specialist, somebody who does long-form film
- 20 as opposed to nightly news.
- 21 Q. Now, in the practice of your profession,
- 22 where is it that you've worked professionally.
- 23 A. As a television journalist.
- 24 Q. Yes, sir.
- 25 A. I began at the BBC with what we call
- 26 regional broadcasting. That would be like a local
- 27 affiliate to you.
- 28 Q. Now, what is the BBC. 216
- 1 A. The BBC is the British Broadcasting
- 2 Corporation. It is a state-funded broadcasting
- 3 organization which does everything from drama,
- 4 comedy, to news and current affairs, light

- 5 entertainment, music, and I joined a local regional
- 6 station in London covering news that was breaking in
- 7 London. I did that for three years.
- 8 Then after that, I was promoted to a network
- 9 position on a current affairs program called "Public
- 10 Eye." And there I did a variety of domestic
- 11 stories, everything ranging from car crime, to
- 12 stories about violent attacks and racist attacks on
- 13 immigrant groups in London.
- 14 After that -- I did that for about three
- 15 years, and then after that I moved on to a program
- 16 called "Panorama," and that was in 1992. "Panorama"
- 17 is the BBC's flagship current affairs program. And
- 18 whilst on that program, I did a number of important
- 19 stories, and the first film I did for "Panorama" was
- 20 actually a film about allegations of satanic abuse.
- 21 I did a film which investigated whether there was
- 22 such a thing. There was so-called satanic covens --
- 23 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Narrative.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 Q. BY MR. SNEDDON: In the year of 2002 --
- 26 excuse me just a second.
- 27 (Brief interruption.)
- 28 Q. BY MR. SNEDDON: All right, Mr. Bashir, 217
- 1 let's jump forward in your career to the year 2002,
- 2 if we could.
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. Where were you employed professionally in
- 5 the year 2002.
- 6 A. I was employed by the United Kingdom's
- 7 biggest commercial television network ITV.
- 8 Q. And what does "ITV" stand for.
- 9 A. Independent Television.
- 10 Q. And in connection with your professional
- 11 responsibilities there, did you do video
- 12 documentaries.
- 13 A. What do you mean by "video documentaries".
- 14 Q. All right. Then obviously you didn't, if
- 15 you don't know what it's called.
- 16 When you do programs, what do you call them.
- 17 A. I call them films.
- 18 Q. Films.
- 19 A. Yeah. Current affairs films.
- 20 Q. Current affairs films, so we can talk about
- 21 the same thing.
- 22 Now, could you give me just two or three or
- 23 maybe four, just by name, of individuals that you've
- 24 done current affairs films for over the years.
- 25 A. Sure. I did a one-hour special on a
- 26 notorious serial killer called Harold Shipman, who
- 27 is alleged to have murdered 273 people and was found

- 1 recently. I did an hour on that.
- 2 I did a special hour on an extraordinary
- 3 story featuring a Maltese couple who came to the
- 4 United Kingdom, and the mother was --
- 5 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Relevance.
- 6 THE COURT: The qualifications is overruled.
- 7 Q. BY MR. SNEDDON: Did you do a current
- 8 affairs piece on Princess Diana.
- 9 A. I did, yeah. That was not in 2002. That
- 10 was in 1995.
- 11 Q. All right. So you did do that.
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. And how long was that production.
- 14 A. I think the total duration was around an
- 15 hour and 50 minutes, an hour and 45 minutes.
- 16 Q. All right. Now, in connection with your
- 17 current affairs occupation or business during the
- 18 year 2000, did you do a current affairs film on the
- 19 defendant in this case, Michael Jackson.
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. And could you tell me when it was that you
- 22 commenced that, in what year.
- 23 A. 2002.
- 24 Q. And when did you finish it.
- 25 A. The broadcast date was the 3rd of February,
- 26 2003.
- 27 Q. When did you actually complete the project,
- 28 the filming of it. 219
- 1 A. The filming of it, I think the last day of
- 2 filming was the 14th of January, 2003, in Miami.
- 3 Q. Now, during the time -- and you have -- let
- 4 me ask you this: Did you review the actual film
- 5 that was used in connection with the broadcast you
- 6 just mentioned in Great Britain.
- 7 A. I'm sorry, what do you mean, did I "review"
- 8 it.
- 9 Q. The one that was shown on the television.
- 10 Excuse me, let me just go back for a second if we
- 11 can.
- 12 What was the name of the program in which
- 13 the current affairs film on the defendant in this
- 14 case, Mr. Jackson, was shown in the United Kingdom.
- 15 A. It was titled, "Living with Michael
- 16 Jackson."
- 17 Q. And what program was it connected with.
- 18 Some individual program, or just --
- 19 A. It was made by a team. The current affairs

- 20 team that supplied the weekly output for ITV was
- 21 called "Tonight." The show was called "Tonight."
- 22 Q. That's what I was after, what the show was
- 23 called.
- 24 A. Forgive me, I'm sorry. The program itself,
- 25 because it was longer than a normal "Tonight" show,
- 26 was actually broadcast on a different night in the
- 27 evening for a longer duration, so it was given its
- 28 own specific title for that reason. 220
- 1 MR. SNEDDON: All right. Your Honor, at
- 2 this time I have two exhibits I'd like to have
- 3 marked as People's 1 and People's 2 for
- 4 identification purposes.
- 5 Actually, if you would excuse me, I will
- 6 make my way over to the clerk.
- 7 (Off-the-record discussion held at counsel
- 8 table.)
- 9 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, the exhibits that
- 10 I've just had the clerk mark for identification
- 11 purposes, People's 1 is a custodian of the records
- 12 declaration from a Phillip Lunt, L-u-n-t, from
- 13 Granada Productions as the declarant, as the
- 14 custodian.
- 15 And the second exhibit is the -- I'm opening
- 16 the package and removing from it the film. That's
- 17 been marked as People's Exhibit No. 2, which
- 18 according to the authentication, is a copy of the
- 19 program that was shown in Great Britain on February
- 20 the 3rd, so I'd like to have both of these moved
- 21 into evidence as People's 1 and 2, and I intend to
- 22 then show the video to the jury.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. They're admitted.
- 24 Q. BY MR. SNEDDON: Mr. Bashir, one last
- 25 question before we actually show the video.
- 26 During the time that you were in production
- 27 of the video "Living with Michael Jackson," were you
- 28 aware of the fact that Mr. Jackson also had one of 221
- 1 his videographers accompanying you during the
- 2 filming of your footage.
- 3 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection.
- 4 Your Honor, I should probably introduce
- 5 myself. I'm Mr. Bashir's counsel, Theodore J.
- 6 Boutrous, Jr., and I'm joined here today by Henry
- 7 Hoberman, who is a senior vice-president and the
- 8 head of litigation for ABC News, and pursuant to the
- 9 Court's prior order, I'm going to object to this
- 10 question on the grounds that it calls for
- 11 unpublished information that's protected by the

- 12 California Constitution's journalist shield law
- 13 which is meant to protect the independence of
- 14 journalists from being called to testify.
- 15 And I'd like to renew our objection to Mr.
- 16 Bashir being called today and also would rely on the
- 17 First Amendment, First Amendment privilege for
- 18 journalists.
- 19 Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous, the objection you
- 21 raise, it's not a privilege. It's a shield from
- 22 contempt. So from the standpoint of sustaining your
- 23 objection, I won't sustain the objection.
- 24 The question's been asked. Are you going to
- 25 answer the question.
- 26 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, the First
- 27 Amendment privilege is a privilege, so I would
- 28 request that the Court enforce that privilege. This 222
- 1 is unpublished information gathered in the news-
- 2 gathering process, and it's important for
- 3 journalists to have that freedom to gather news and
- 4 report it without intrusion of the courts. And ${\tt I}$
- 5 would ask the Court to enforce the First Amendment
- 6 privilege.
- 7 We have great respect for the Court and
- 8 don't want to go into contempt, but we would ask the
- 9 Court to preclude that type of questioning. And
- 10 based on some of the arguments, I'm concerned that
- 11 this will become a side issue, and it could really
- 12 do harm to the ability of journalists to gather
- 13 information that's of important public interest and
- 14 concern and disseminate it to the public.
- 15 So I'd ask the Court to at this point limit
- 16 the questioning to published information and
- 17 background information about Mr. Bashir on both
- 18 sides, because I'm concerned about where the --
- 19 THE COURT: The rights are different on both
- 20 sides.
- 21 MR. BOUTROUS: They are, Your Honor, and in
- 22 fact it's an absolute privilege with respect to --
- 23 yes, it's an absolute privilege with respect to the
- 24 District Attorney, and on that ground, I would ask
- 25 the Court to enforce it absolutely and preclude this
- 26 question, and Mr. Jackson's lawyers have not made
- 27 any showing thus far that they have any ability --
- 28 THE COURT: True. We're not at that issue. 223
- 1 MR. BOUTROUS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: The question, though, has been
- 3 asked. The objection is overruled. And I'm asking

4 the witness if he's going to answer the question. 5 Would you like it read back to you. 6 THE WITNESS: I would, yes. 7 MR. SNEDDON: Thank you. 8 (Record read.) 9 THE WITNESS: The answer to the question is 10 yes, but the individual concerned --11 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. 12 THE WITNESS: -- only filmed on two 13 occasions. 14 THE COURT: Wait. You answered the question, 15 and there's an objection. The question is answered. 16 MR. SNEDDON: Okay. 17 THE COURT: And the answer was "Yes." 18 MR. SNEDDON: Okay. Your Honor, I 19 understand you have a button that has to be 20 activated before we can show the VCR. 21 THE COURT: That's true. 22 MR. SNEDDON: And my second question is, 23 Your Honor, we do have a transcript of this if, in 24 the Court's discretion, you would like to have the 25 jurors have them at this time. If not, that's fine. 26 THE COURT: Because I'm not sure at this 27 point that the transcripts are transcripts of this, 28 and you couldn't make that representation to me, I'm 224 1 not going to allow the transcript to be passed 2 around. 3 MR. SNEDDON: That's perfectly 4 understandable, Your Honor. All right. 5 THE COURT: Now, I think I pushed the right 6 button. 7 MR. BOUTROUS: Could I make a request. 8 Would it be possible for Mr. Bashir to come down so 9 he can watch the broadcast rather than -- I can 10 vacate my seat if that would --11 THE COURT: Oh, yeah, absolutely. But I 12 didn't want to have him come down yet until we're 13 sure that -- I think we're at that point. 14 MR. BOUTROUS: No problem. 15 MR. MESEREAU: If I may lodge an objection, 16 Your Honor; no transcripts. The rules of Court 17 require them before you show a tape. 18 THE COURT: Audiotape. They require them on 19 videotape. 20 MR. MESEREAU: I believe so, Your Honor. 21 They also require that the jury have the transcript. 22 THE COURT: I don't think that's the case. 23 MR. SNEDDON: I thought that what it 24 required was that the record on appeal requires a 25 transcript, not that it's required at the time.

26 THE COURT: You have to lodge the tape with

- 27 the Court, which I didn't prevent him from doing.
- 28 He wanted to hand the jurors copies, and you weren't 225
- 1 in chambers, but it was represented in chambers that
- 2 he hasn't had the opportunity to compare his
- 3 transcript to this tape. So I'm not -- you know,
- 4 I'm not having that. I wouldn't want to hand it to
- 5 the jury at this point.
- 6 MR. SNEDDON: Because it just got here.
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah, this tape just got to my
- 8 office last Friday.
- 9 MR. SNEDDON: Yes, sir, at the court.
- 10 MR. MESEREAU: Could I request, Your Honor,
- 11 that we get a copy of their transcript.
- 12 THE COURT: Certainly.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: Now, I'm going to let Mr. Bashir
- 15 sit down, if you're sure you don't have any other
- 16 questions to ask him.
- 17 MR. SNEDDON: I better quit while I'm ahead,
- 18 Your Honor. I guess we'll have Mr. Bashir come down
- 19 here, I'll give him my chair, and he can watch.
- 20 THE COURT: I can't see. Is there any room.
- 21 There's someone nodding affirmatively. He can sit
- 22 next to that individual.
- 23 Mr. Bashir, you may step down now.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 25 MR. BOUTROUS: Just to be clear, too, this
- 26 is Mr. Hoberman, who I identified in passing.
- 27 MR. HOBERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 28 THE COURT: The individual shaking his head 226
- 1 was co-counsel.
- 2 MR. HOBERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Good morning.
- 4 Whenever you're ready. I have a button here
- 5 that also allows me to have the screen go blank, and
- 6 if counsel is concerned, any of the attorneys is
- 7 concerned -- huh.
- 8 MR. SNEDDON: I thought you were still
- 9 talking.
- 10 THE COURT: If you're concerned, tell me and
- 11 just say, "Blank it," and I'll do that.
- 12 MR. SNEDDON: All right.
- 13 THE COURT: That would only be because it
- 14 shows something I don't anticipate.
- 15 (Whereupon, a portion of a videotape,
- 16 People's Exhibit No. 2, was played for the Court and
- 17 jury.)
- 18 THE COURT: You know, would you hold it a

- 19 second.
 20 There's one admonition that I want to make
 21 to the jury before we watch this, and I'm going to
 22 give you a more detailed instruction on this later,
 23 but I just want to prepare you for this right now:
 24 That the video of "Living with Michael
 25 Jackson," which is this video, at this point is not
 26 offered for the truth of anything said or shown in
 27 the program, with the exception of certain passages
 28 that will later be identified. 227

 1 You will receive additional instruction with
 2 regard to these identified passages. The rest of
 3 the contents of the video is hearsay and not -- and
 4 cannot be considered by you to prove anything other
 5 than the fact that the program aired in February of
- 3 the contents of the video is hearsay and not -- and 4 cannot be considered by you to prove anything other 5 than the fact that the program aired in February of 6 2003. 7 You may now go forward. 8 MR. ZONEN: Your Honor, we're ready. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (Whereupon, a portion of a videotape, 11 People's Exhibit No. 2, was played for the Court and 12 jury.) 13 MR. SNEDDON: There's about a 30-second 14 break, Your Honor. Or 45 seconds. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 MR. SNEDDON: We're missing the commercials. 17 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Your Honor, I can try and 18 fast forward through this if you'd like. 19 THE COURT: Whatever you'd like. 20 (Whereupon, a portion of a videotape, 21 People's Exhibit No. 2, was played for the Court and 22 jury.) 23 THE COURT: Well, it's almost time for the 24 11:30 break, so let's take the 11:30 break. 25 (Recess taken.) 26 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed. 27 (Whereupon, a portion of a videotape,

28 People's Exhibit No. 2, was played for the Court and 228

1 jury to its conclusion.)
2 THE COURT: Do you anticipate needing the
3 screen again for a while.
4 MR. SNEDDON: No, sir. I have no further
5 questions, Your Honor.
6
7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
8 BY MR. MESEREAU:
9 Q. Mr. Bashir, my name is Thomas Mesereau, and
10 I speak for Mr. Jackson.

- 11 A. Thank you.
- 12 Q. At some point in time, you made an effort to
- 13 contact Mr. Jackson about doing this show, correct.
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And approximately when was that.
- 16 A. I think it was around April 2002.
- 17 Q. And you contacted someone named Uri Geller,
- 18 correct.
- 19 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor. I
- 20 think Mr. Mesereau is now straying directly into the
- 21 areas covered by the California shield law and the
- 22 First Amendment, and we would ask the Court to rule
- 23 that that kind of inquiry is off limits.
- 24 THE COURT: Before we rule on that objection,
- 25 I think we need to discuss the scope of the
- 26 examination here. As I see it, the prosecution put
- 27 him on simply to authenticate the tape. I suspect
- 28 you would like to examine him at length, based on 229
- 1 your opening statement, about a lot of things.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: Yes, Your Honor. But he does
- 3 give special thanks to Mr. Uri Geller at the end of
- 4 the tape. And the prosecution played that tape, and
- 5 I think they've opened the door on that one.
- 6 MR. SNEDDON: It's not even in for the truth
- 7 of the matter stated, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: I think I'll -- do you have him
- 9 under subpoena.
- 10 MR. MESEREAU: No.
- 11 THE COURT: What I think I should do is to
- 12 limit your examination of him at this time as to the
- 13 foundation of the tape. So that's what I'm going to 14.1
- 14 do.
- 15 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, in order to
- 16 produce the show we've just watched, you had to
- 17 speak to Mr. Jackson, true.
- 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 19 Again, this is unpublished information. It's clear
- 20 from the face of the tape that Mr. Bashir talked to
- 21 Mr. Jackson, and that's published material and
- 22 that's the limits of the proper examination.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection for
- 24 the same reason I stated earlier.
- 25 Would you be more comfortable to be at
- 26 counsel table where you have a microphone.
- 27 MR. BOUTROUS: That might be helpful, Your
- 28 Honor. It might be better for the jury, and they 230
- 1 won't have to see me jumping up and down. I don't
- 2 want -- I'm a little uncomfortable taking one side

- 3 or the other.
- 4 THE COURT: Well, I think you need to take a
- 5 chair. We won't assume you're taking anyone's side.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 And, Your Honor, may I just inquire on the
- 8 procedure that the Court is following. Because the
- 9 approach the Court took, the initial objection was
- 10 to ask Mr. Bashir to decide whether to answer. And
- 11 I'm reluctant to have him make that decision without
- 12 knowing that I'm going to be able to argue against a
- 13 potential contempt finding based on the shield law.
- 14 So if the Court could clarify the procedures
- 15 that it's following, that would be very helpful.
- 16 THE COURT: Well, the procedure I was
- 17 following was to let you make your objection. Then
- 18 I'll ask the witness if he wishes to answer the
- 19 question, and we will go from there.
- 20 MR. BOUTROUS: Will I have an opportunity,
- 21 if the witness declines to answer based on the
- 22 constitutional shield law protecting journalists, to
- 23 then argue that the material's protected and the
- 24 Court should not hold Mr. Bashir in contempt.
- 25 THE COURT: Of course.
- 26 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 27 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, the prosecution
- 28 did go into Mr. Bashir's qualifications. May I 231
- 1 cross-examine in that area.
- 2 THE COURT: Yes.
- 3 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 Q. First of all, Mr. Bashir, I did ask you a
- 5 question, and that question I'll repeat: In order
- 6 to produce what the jury has just seen, you had to
- 7 make contact with Mr. Jackson, correct.
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And you made numerous attempts to contact
- 10 Mr. Jackson, true.
- 11 MR. BOUTROUS: Again, Your Honor, I object
- 12 on the grounds that that's unpublished information,
- 13 and it's also unclear from the face of the tapes
- 14 that he had contact with Mr. Jackson.
- 15 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, I have
- 16 correspondence from Mr. Bashir. It's pretty obvious
- 17 that, in a nonprivileged context, he was trying to
- 18 make contact and say what he wanted to do.
- 19 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 20 Do you wish to answer that question, Mr.
- 21 Bashir.
- 22 MR. BOUTROUS: I'm going to leave it to
- 23 Mr. Bashir. It puts him in a difficult position.
- 24 Because there's a very important legal principle
- 25 that's taken. It does not matter whether Mr.

- 26 Mesereau claims to have correspondence or that
- 27 there's other documents. That's the limits of the
- 28 permissible -- 232
- 1 THE COURT: I think I understand the legal
- 2 parameters.
- 3 MR. BOUTROUS: -- information.... I just
- 4 want to make sure I preserve the record and that
- 5 the --
- 6 THE COURT: You are doing an excellent job.
- 7 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, my preface is to
- 9 simply stand by the film as you've seen it and to
- 10 testify as to its contents.
- 11 THE COURT: All right.
- 12 MR. MESEREAU: I'll object, Your Honor, and
- 13 move to strike his comments. They were not in
- 14 response to any question.
- 15 THE COURT: Well, actually they were a
- 16 response to my question if he wanted to answer the
- 17 question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. That --
- 19 THE COURT: So I won't let you strike that.
- 20 All right. He is following his counsel's
- 21 advice and he's not answering that question. Next
- 22 question.
- 23 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, I would move for
- 24 sanctions against the witness. Or I would move to
- 25 strike all of his testimony, including the
- 26 prosecution's playing of this tape, if he refuses to
- 27 be cross-examined.
- 28 THE COURT: The way I would like to proceed 233
- 1 with this is that he -- he does have some protection
- 2 under the shield law that his counsel has been
- 3 pointing out. That protection is against contempt
- 4 of court.
- 5 What I think I'll do is let you ask him the
- 6 questions, let his attorney make the objections, let
- 7 him decide whether he's going to answer. And then
- 8 I'll make a record -- we have a record of those
- 9 questions, and then I'll review them later to
- 10 determine whether or not I feel a contempt charge
- 11 should be issued. It's really a ticklish area of
- 12 the law.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 Q. Mr. Bashir, you outlined some qualifications
- 15 you have in the world of journalism, correct.
- 16 A. I didn't outline any qualifications, sir. I
- 17 just referred to the chronology of my career.

- 18 Q. Did you mean, when you did that, to explain
- 19 that you're a qualified professional journalist.
- 20 A. I meant simply to explain my career
- 21 chronologically, sir.
- 22 Q. Do you consider yourself as a professional
- 23 journalist.
- 24 A. I do, sir.
- 25 Q. Do you consider yourself a professional
- 26 journalist because you've had certain experience in
- 27 journalism.
- 28 A. I do, sir. 234
- 1 Q. Do you consider yourself to be a
- 2 professional journalist because you're educated in
- 3 the world of journalism.
- 4 A. My academic studies were not in journalism.
- 5 They were in the arts and humanities. So I don't
- 6 have a formal qualification, if that's what you're
- 7 asking, sir, but I have the experience that comes
- 8 with working in the profession.
- 9 Q. Now, as a journalist in England, you are
- 10 regulated by a certain administrative agency,
- 11 correct.
- 12 A. Could you repeat the question.
- 13 Q. Sure. Is there an organization or an
- 14 administrative agency that goes by a title somewhat
- 15 like British Broadcasting Standards Board.
- 16 A. There is an organization called the
- 17 Broadcasting Complaints Commission. Would you be
- 18 referring to that.
- 19 Q. I think I am. Do you work with that
- 20 organization in any capacity.
- 21 A. That organization doesn't employ
- 22 journalists.
- 23 Q. Have you been sanctioned by that
- 24 organization.
- 25 A. Could you repeat the question.
- 26 Q. Have you been sanctioned by that
- 27 organization.
- 28 A. By the Broadcasting Complaints Commission. 235
- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. The answer to that question is, three
- 3 complaints were made against me. Two of the key
- 4 complaints were entirely rejected, and they were to
- 5 do with balance and fairness. One of the three was
- 6 upheld. This is -- sir, just so I can explain so
- 7 people understand, because they --
- 8 Q. Certainly.
- 9 A. -- because they may not understand.

- 10 The Broadcasting Standards Commission is not
- 11 a legal body, and it has no particular merit in a
- 12 legal setting.
- 13 Q. Nevertheless, a complaint against you as a
- 14 journalist was upheld, true.
- 15 A. As I said, sir, three complaints were made.
- 16 The two key complaints were entirely rejected. One
- 17 complaint was upheld of the three.
- 18 Q. Let's talk about the one that was upheld,
- 19 sir. There was a complaint against you that was
- 20 upheld by that agency, correct.
- 21 A. There was, sir, yes.
- 22 Q. And what did they complain about, Mr.
- 23 Bashir.
- 24 A. The complaint related to -- to what -- to
- 25 what -- to how I described what I was doing with the
- 26 story that I was working on.
- 27 Q. And what were you doing, Mr. Bashir.
- 28 A. I was doing journalism. 236
- 1 Q. Could you put a little teeth on that and
- 2 just tell the jury what we're talking about.
- 3 A. In relation to.
- 4 Q. You don't know what we're talking about.
- 5 A. Do you mean the specific program. Sorry, I
- 6 don't know whether -- sorry, I apologize. Are you
- 7 asking me about the complaint, or are you asking me
- 8 about the story, the reporting that I was doing.
- 9 Q. Why don't you tell the jury about both.
- 10 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 11 object. Mr. Mesereau is now inquiring about
- 12 unpublished information or unbroadcast information
- 13 about another matter. Same objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, it's a compound question.
- 15 Sustained.
- 16 MR. MESEREAU: Okay.
- 17 Q. Please describe for the jury the subject
- 18 matter of the complaint you just identified, Mr.
- 19 Bashir.
- 20 A. The story was about a teenaged prodigy, a
- 21 mathematics genius, who had run away from
- 22 university, had legally emancipated herself from her
- 23 family. And the story was to describe what had
- 24 happened from both sides.
- 25 Q. You were accused of misrepresentations,
- 26 true.
- 27 A. No, that's incorrect.
- 28 Q. You were not accused of misrepresenting 237

- 2 A. I was accused of unfairness, which was
- 3 entirely rejected. I was accused of breaching an
- 4 agreement, which was entirely rejected. I was
- 5 accused of not representing the entirety of what I
- 6 was doing with that broadcast to one of the
- 7 individuals.
- 8 Q. Kind of what you've been accused of here,
- 9 right.
- 10 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection.
- 11 MR. SNEDDON: Argumentative, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, how long was
- 14 the film you did on Mr. Jackson.
- 15 A. The total duration.
- 16 Q. Yes, please.
- 17 A. I'm afraid I don't know in exact terms.
- 18 It's some time -- it's over two years since the film
- 19 was broadcast. I think it was around an hour and 15
- 20 minutes.
- 21 Q. And how many hours of footage did you obtain
- 22 during the time you spent with Mr. Jackson.
- 23 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 24 Unpublished information, covered by the shield law
- 25 and the First Amendment.
- 26 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 27 Do you wish to answer.
- 28 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 238
- 1 THE COURT: Next question.
- 2 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: You don't know at all.
- 3 Can't even estimate.
- 4 THE COURT: He doesn't --
- 5 THE WITNESS: That's not what I wish to
- 6 answer.
- 7 THE COURT: He chose not to answer.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, I would move that
- 9 the entire testimony be stricken and the
- 10 prosecution's evidence be stricken.
- 11 THE COURT: That's denied.
- 12 MR. MESEREAU: I would ask for contempt,
- 13 Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: The procedure that I'm going to
- 15 follow I already outlined.
- 16 MR. MESEREAU: Okay. Okay. For the record,
- 17 Your Honor, could I have a running objection if he
- 18 refuses to answer a question, or shall I make my
- 19 request each time.
- 20 THE COURT: No, you don't need to do that.
- 21 Let me just understand what we're having a running
- 22 record about.
- 23 MR. MESEREAU: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: If he -- if his attorney objects,

- 25 and he declines to answer based on his attorney's
- 26 advice, I will review that question for contempt
- 27 proceedings without further necessity on your part
- 28 to request that. Your motion to strike his entire 239
- 1 testimony and evidence is denied.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: Okay. Your Honor, could I
- 3 have that be a running objection as well.
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. MESEREAU: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 Q. Mr. Bashir, you communicated with Mr.
- 7 Jackson's assistant by letter before you began
- 8 filming this show, correct.
- 9 MR. BOUTROUS: Again, Your Honor, I object.
- 10 It's unpublished information created and prepared in
- 11 the course of news gathering and covered by the
- 12 shield law and the First Amendment.
- 13 THE COURT: Do you wish to answer.
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- 15 MR. MESEREAU: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: And I'll review the record.
- 17 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, you wrote to
- 18 Mr. Jackson's assistant and said you would very much
- 19 like to feature Michael with a large group of
- 20 children, around 50, welcoming them and sharing with
- 21 them his extraordinary home so that, for one day,
- 22 their lives can be enriched, correct.
- 23 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you wish to --
- 25 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor -- excuse me.
- 26 Could I add an objection to that also.
- 27 Beyond the scope of direct examination.
- 28 THE COURT: Sustained as to beyond the scope 240
- 1 of the direct examination.
- 2 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, you
- 3 interviewed Mr. Jackson and repeatedly asked him
- 4 questions about his desire for an international
- 5 children's holiday, correct.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection, Your Honor,
- 7 in that would seem to be beyond the scope of the
- 8 direct examination as well.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained as to beyond the scope.
- 10 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, will the Court
- 11 permit me to ask questions about what's actually on
- 12 the tape.
- 13 THE COURT: No, because the tape's being
- 14 introduced not for the truth of the matter asserted,
- 15 but for a different purpose. There are some areas,
- 16 however, that I didn't instruct the jury on about

- 17 the assertions that they wish to have considered for
- 18 the truth of the matter. And those would not be out
- 19 of bounds, because -- well, let me ask the District
- 20 Attorney.
- 21 You do not intend to offer any other
- 22 evidence of those statements that were the subject
- 23 of the motion to have the Court consider the
- 24 statements for the truth of the matter.
- 25 MR. SNEDDON: No, I think we outlined in our
- 26 motion, and the Court made its ruling last week. Is
- 27 that what you're referring to, Your Honor.
- 28 THE COURT: Well, I'm asking you, this is the 241
- 1 only evidence you're going to have of those
- 2 statements, so --
- 3 MR. SNEDDON: Yes, sir.
- 4 THE COURT: Then those statements open
- 5 cross-examination as to those statements.
- 6 Do you understand what I'm saying.
- 7 MR. MESEREAU: I think I do, Your Honor.
- 8 The statements you had us isolate for purposes of
- 9 constituting admissions --
- 10 THE COURT: Yes.
- 11 MR. MESEREAU: -- is what you're talking
- 12 about.
- 13 THE COURT: That's correct.
- 14 MR. MESEREAU: May I take a second just to
- 15 obtain those.
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- 17 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you.
- 18 While we're getting those, Your Honor, if I
- 19 may, I'll just continue.
- 20 THE COURT: Sure.
- 21 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, you had
- 22 Michael Jackson sign an agreement without a lawyer
- 23 present, true.
- 24 MR. BOUTROUS: Again, Your Honor, beyond the
- 25 scope of the direct and covered by the shield law.
- 26 THE COURT: I'd overrule that objection.
- 27 Will you answer that question.
- 28 THE WITNESS: Mr. Jackson signed two 242
- 1 agreements in which he asked for no conditions
- 2 whatsoever and agreed that I was free to make the
- 3 film with him. And the first of those agreements
- 4 was signed in November 2002, and the second
- 5 agreement was signed in January 2003, just about two
- 6 weeks prior to broadcast of the British version of
- 7 the film that you've just seen.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, I would move to

- 9 strike the answer and request that the Court order 10 the witness to answer the question.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. It's stricken. And
- 12 I'll ask the court reporter to read back the
- 13 question so that you understand the question.
- 14 (Record read.)
- 15 MR. BOUTROUS: I renew my objection, Your
- 16 Honor. That goes to news gathering and relates to
- 17 information prepared in connection with news
- 18 gathering.
- 19 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 20 Do you wish to answer that.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I think I agree with my
- 22 attorney that I have protections under the shield
- 23 law, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 MR. MESEREAU: Same objection would be
- 26 noted, Your Honor.
- 27 MR. SANGER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, it's
- 28 hard for Mr. Jackson and for us to hear the witness. 243
- 1 THE WITNESS: I apologize. It's my fault.
- 2 Sorry. I'm sorry.
- 3 THE DEFENDANT: Speak up.
- 4 THE COURT: And, yes, you don't need to. I'm
- 5 going to review all of the questions.
- 6 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 Q. Mr. Bashir --
- 8 THE COURT: If you want to, you can.
- 9 MR. MESEREAU: Okay.
- 10 Q. Mr. Bashir, you have been accused in England
- 11 of forging signatures, correct.
- 12 A. Incorrect.
- 13 Q. No one has ever made that accusation, sir.
- 14 MR. BOUTROUS: I'm going to object, Your
- 15 Honor. Hearsay; lack of foundation; beyond the
- 16 scope of direct examination.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained on beyond the scope of
- 18 direct.
- 19 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, to qualify as
- 20 a professional journalist, do you have to fulfill
- 21 any particular educational program.
- 22 A. In the United States or in the United
- 23 Kingdom, sir.
- 24 Q. Anywhere.
- 25 A. I'm frankly unsure about how that applies in
- 26 the United States. And in the United Kingdom, there
- 27 would be different ways of progressing your career.
- 28 Some people would do it through the route of 244

- 1 experience. And others would do it through some
- 2 kind of academic qualification. I think others will
- 3 have a mix of the two.
- 4 Q. How did you do it.
- 5 A. A mix of the two.
- 6 Q. Could you please explain that.
- 7 A. I was given training during my employment at
- 8 the BBC. And I also took opportunities to work in
- 9 print and radio journalism, so I combined the
- 10 experience part with the training.
- 11 Q. You are currently a paid legal analyst for
- 12 ABC, correct.
- 13 A. Incorrect, sir.
- 14 Q. Are you a paid employee by ABC in any
- 15 capacity.
- 16 A. I am, sir.
- 17 Q. Could you please tell the jury what that is.
- 18 A. I'm employed as a correspondent for ABC
- 19 News, which is owned by Disney.
- 20 Q. How long have you been paid as a
- 21 correspondent for ABC.
- 22 A. My contract began on the 1st of September,
- 23 last year.
- 24 Q. Are you covering this case as a
- 25 correspondent who is paid.
- 26 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor, beyond
- 27 the scope; and again, requiring him to cover news
- 28 gathering activities is covered by the shield law. 245
- 1 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 2 Do you wish to answer that question.
- 3 THE WITNESS: No, I don't, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. MESEREAU: Same objection. Thank you.
- 5 THE COURT: Noted.
- 6 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, if you look at
- 7 the two documents you referred to that you say Mr.
- 8 Jackson signed, his signature appears to be
- 9 different from document to document, correct.
- 10 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 11 And -- same objection on the shield law, Your Honor;
- 12 and beyond the scope of direct.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained; beyond the scope.
- 14 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, did you
- 15 request that Michael Jackson bring Macauley Culkin
- 16 so you could film him at Neverland.
- 17 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection under the
- 18 shield law and the First Amendment, Your Honor. And
- 19 beyond the scope of direct.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained on beyond the scope.
- 21 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: In the process of putting
- 22 this film together, Mr. Bashir, did you write to
- 23 Michael Jackson's assistant and say you wanted to

- 24 film the beautiful landscape encouraging all of us
- 25 to become as little children again.
- 26 MR. SNEDDON: I'm going to object as beyond
- 27 the scope.
- 28 THE COURT: You must let him finish his 246
- 1 question before you interrupt. Don't interrupt is
- 2 what I'm saying.
- 3 MR. BOUTROUS: Could I just object, though.
- 4 The scope of the direct is very clear. Mr. Mesereau
- 5 knows what the scope of direct is. And now he's
- 6 just knowingly asking questions that are beyond the
- 7 scope of direct. And I would ask the Court to ask
- $\boldsymbol{8}$ him to refrain from doing that. That would truncate
- 9 the examination.
- 10 THE COURT: I would like to be able to hear
- 11 the question he's asking so that I can make a
- 12 reasoned ruling on his question.
- 13 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir --
- 14 THE COURT: You had not finished the last
- 15 question.
- 16 Would you read back his answer as far as you
- 17 got it. His question.
- 18 (Record read.)
- 19 THE COURT: Is that the complete question.
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: And the objection.
- 22 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection. Beyond the
- 23 scope of direct; shield law; First Amendment.
- 24 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, did you, in
- 25 the process of getting -- making contact with Mr.
- 26 Jackson so you could make this film, misrepresent
- 27 that you were putting together a trip to Africa for
- 28 Mr. Jackson to visit sick children. 247
- 1 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 2 Beyond the scope of direct; shield law; First
- 3 Amendment.
- 4 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection;
- 5 beyond the scope of direct.
- 6 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, did you allow
- 7 Mr. Jackson any editorial control over this film.
- 8 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: The objection beyond the scope is
- 10 sustained.
- 11 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, before this
- 12 film was shown and I'm talking about the actual
- 13 film itself, not generally speaking. I'm talking
- 14 about what the prosecution has used in this
- 15 courtroom today, all right. did you watch that

- 16 actual reel.
- 17 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections. Beyond the
- 18 scope; shield law; First Amendment.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: I think we're discussing
- 20 authentication, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 22 Do you want the question read back.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, please, Your Honor.
- 24 (Record read.)
- 25 THE WITNESS: I don't wish to answer the
- 26 question. The question is -- is protected under the
- 27 shield law.
- 28 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, I would -- 248
- 1 THE COURT: Maybe you didn't understand the
- 2 question. I hope I did. The question is, did you
- 3 watch this reel right here, just before we showed
- 4 it.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Today.
- 6 THE COURT: Today.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm happy to answer that
- 8 question, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Is that your question.
- 10 MR. MESEREAU: Yes, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Move to strike the
- 14 prosecutor's evidence and testimony as being
- 15 unauthenticated.
- 16 THE COURT: I'll take that under advisement.
- 17 I'll have to go back and look at it.
- 18 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Before you testified
- 19 today, did you discuss your testimony with
- 20 Prosecutor Sneddon.
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. Before you testified today, did you discuss
- 23 your testimony with anyone.
- 24 A. I had private discussions with my attorney.
- 25 Q. Other than your attorney, before you
- 26 testified today, did you discuss what you were going
- 27 to say with anybody.
- 28 A. No. 249
- 1 Q. No one with your company.
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Never mentioned it to any other journalists,
- 4 Mr. Bashir.
- 5 A. Today.
- 6 Q. Yes, sir.
- 7 A. I haven't been with any other journalists,

- 8 sir.
- 9 Q. How about yesterday.
- 10 A. I wasn't with any journalists yesterday,
- 11 sir.
- 12 Q. Have you discussed with any other journalist
- 13 at any time what you were going to say in this
- 14 courtroom in this case, Mr. Bashir.
- 15 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, what's the
- 16 materiality of this question. That has nothing to
- 17 do with the direct examination.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 You may answer.
- 20 THE WITNESS: The only -- I've discussed
- 21 this case with my attorney.
- 22 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: To your knowledge, when
- 23 did you receive a subpoena in this case, Mr. Bashir.
- 24 A. At the beginning of this year.
- 25 Q. Are you telling this jury, under oath, that
- 26 since you received a subpoena, or since you knew you
- 27 received a subpoena, till today, you've never
- 28 discussed what you were going to say with anyone 250
- 1 other than your attorney.
- 2 A. I'm trying very hard to remember so that I
- 3 can be accurate, sir.
- 4 Q. You can take your time.
- 5 A. Thank you.
- 6 As far as my recollection goes, I recall
- 7 discussing the matter with my attorney.
- 8 Q. Let me state the question again, because you
- 9 may not have understood it. You learned you had
- 10 received a subpoena when.
- 11 A. At the beginning of this year.
- 12 Q. Since you learned you had received a
- 13 subpoena, have you ever discussed the fact that you
- 14 were going to be a witness with anyone other than
- 15 your attorney.
- 16 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 17 object. That's a different question than discussing
- 18 what he was going to say. I'm not sure how he could
- 19 discuss what he was going to say before the trial.
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Objection, Your Honor. These
- 21 are speaking objections. This is improper form.
- 22 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
- 23 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: You're free to answer.
- 24 THE COURT: And I can have it read back for
- 25 you.
- 26 THE WITNESS: That's okay, Your Honor.
- 27 Thank you.
- 28 I'm happy to state that I discussed the 251

- 1 matter with my attorney.
- 2 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: You may be happy to say
- 3 that, sir, but would you please answer the question.
- 4 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, that's
- 5 argumentative.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 Read the question back to him, please.
- 8 (Record read.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: I discussed the matter with my
- 10 attorney, sir.
- 11 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, could I ask that
- 12 the witness be ordered to respond to the question.
- 13 THE COURT: You haven't quite answered it.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I understand, Your Honor. The
- 15 difficulty is I want to be completely accurate in my
- 16 answers, unequivocal and clear. And what I know for
- 17 certain is that I have discussed the matter with my
- 18 attorney.
- 19 THE COURT: And no one else.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm pretty sure that's the
- 21 case, but I can't -- I can't be as unequivocal as
- 22 I'd like to be, and that's why I'm hesitant.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
- 24 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Correct me if I'm wrong,
- 25 Mr. Bashir and I might be you do not have a
- 26 clear recollection of telling any other journalist,
- 27 since you learned you had a subpoena, that you're
- 28 going to be a witness in this case, right. 252
- 1 A. No.
- 2 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 3 object to that question. That was not the question
- 4 that was asked.
- 5 MR. MESEREAU: It's another question.
- 6 MR. SNEDDON: Then the framing of the
- 7 question is improper.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: It's not improper, Your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I'm
- 11 going to have the question read back. Whenever
- 12 there's an argument like that --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: -- we lose the question.
- 15 (Record read.)
- 16 THE WITNESS: The fact of my subpoena, sir,
- 17 was published in various forms of media, so that
- 18 everybody knew that I had been subpoenaed as a
- 19 result of that. It is possible that during my work
- 20 for ABC, I may have mentioned that I had been
- 21 subpoenaed.
- 22 If you are suggesting that I had a detailed

- 23 discussion about what I was going to say, that is
- 24 completely untrue. But it is possible -- as I say,
- 25 I wish to be as clear as I can, but it is possible
- 26 that I mentioned the fact of my subpoena to my
- 27 colleagues.
- 28 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: But as you sit here today, 253
- 1 you just don't remember ever mentioning it to any
- 2 particular colleague, true.
- 3 A. That's not what I said.
- 4 Q. What are you saying.
- 5 MR. BOUTROUS: Asked and answered; and
- 6 argumentative.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 You may answer.
- 9 MR. MESEREAU: I'll restate it, Your Honor,
- 10 if it will make it easier.
- 11 Q. Mr. Bashir, are you telling this jury, under
- 12 oath, that you don't have a clear recollection of
- 13 ever telling any journalist that you were going to
- 14 be a witness in this case.
- 15 A. Sir, what I said was, that news of the
- 16 subpoena was published broadly and wide,
- 17 internationally. And as I returned to my core task
- 18 at ABC News, I may have mentioned the fact that I
- 19 had received a subpoena.
- 20 Q. Are you telling this jury that you don't
- 21 have a clear recollection of ever telling any
- 22 particular journalist that you were going to be a
- 23 witness in this case; yes or no, Mr. Bashir.
- 24 A. Sir --
- 25 MR. SNEDDON: I'm going to object to that.
- 26 It's argumentative.
- 27 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the
- 28 objection, only because you said, "Are you telling 254
- 1 this jury," as opposed to just asking a question.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: I'll rephrase it.
- 3 THE COURT: Everybody is telling this jury
- 4 everything. They're sitting right there.
- 5 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, do you recall
- 6 telling any particular journalist that you were
- 7 going to be a witness in this case.
- 8 A. As I've said, news of my subpoena was
- 9 published. And I'm quite sure that it's possible
- 10 that I may have mentioned the fact that I had
- 11 received a subpoena in relation to these
- 12 proceedings.
- 13 What I am -- your earlier question, which
- 14 slightly confused me, was that you were suggesting

- 15 that I may have had a discussion with individuals
- 16 about the content of what I would say.
- 17 Q. Mr. Bashir, please tell us if you don't
- 18 understand the question. Okay.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- 20 Q. Do you recall ever telling any journalist
- 21 that you were going to be a witness in this case;
- 22 yes or no.
- 23 A. I've answered the question.
- 24 MR. MESEREAU: May I ask that the witness be
- 25 instructed to answer the question, Your Honor.
- 26 THE COURT: You have not answered the
- 27 question. Would you answer the question.
- 28 THE WITNESS: My answer to the question, Your 255
- 1 Honor, is that I cannot specifically recollect a
- 2 time, a day, and an individual with whom I had a
- 3 detailed discussion about the subject of my
- 4 subpoena. What I am saying is it is perfectly
- 5 possible that it was mentioned to me, or by me, as I 6 returned to work.
- 7 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, I'm not asking
- 8 you about day or time. I'm just asking you if at
- 9 any time you told any journalist that you're going
- 10 to be a witness in the case.
- 11 A. It's possible.
- 12 Q. Are you saying under oath you don't recall
- 13 any specific individual that you spoke to about
- 14 that.
- 15 A. That's what I'm saying, sir.
- 16 Q. And you don't recall any specific journalist
- 17 you ever told, "I'm going to be a witness in the
- 18 Michael Jackson case".
- 19 A. I just can't be specific, I'm afraid, sir.
- 20 Q. Is your office in New York with ABC.
- 21 A. It is, sir.
- 22 Q. Are there other offices near your office at
- 23 ABC.
- 24 A. There are, sir.
- 25 Q. Are there journalists who occupy those
- 26 offices at ABC, Mr. Bashir.
- 27 A. There are, sir.
- 28 Q. Do you tend to chat with those journalists 256
- 1 from time to time, sir.
- 2 A. I have a private office. And I tend to
- 3 spend a large amount of time out on the road. So
- 4 opportunities to talk like that are not very
- 5 frequent.
- 6 Q. Whose office is next to yours at ABC.

- 7 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to 8 object on relevance grounds and beyond the scope of 9 direct, and asked and answered, and many things. 10 THE COURT: The problem is, Counsel, that he 11 said that he only spoke to his attorney about this, 12 and he has a privilege which we all understand. The 13 reason I have not sustained objections is, counsel 14 has been exploring whether or not he spoke to anyone 15 else about that besides his attorney. 16 But guess what. It's time for a break. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Recess taken.) 19 THE COURT: You may proceed. 20 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 Q. Mr. Bashir, I'd like to ask you some 22 questions about some statements of Michael Jackson 23 that Judge Melville has deemed to be legal 24 admissions. They are statements that were contained 25 in your show. 26 To obtain those statements, you told Michael 27 Jackson he was underappreciated, true. 28 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor. 257 1 Covered by the shield law; beyond the scope of 2 direct; and covered by the First Amendment. 3 THE COURT: Just so you know, I was going to 4 allow him to go outside of direct for these issues 5 rather than have your client come back on some other 6 date. There have been hearings outside the presence
- 7 of the jury concerning these statements. 8 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, then I would 9 request that Mr. Mesereau be limited to nonleading 10 questions, since he will be handling this as a 11 direct witness. And I'll continue to make my 12 objections, but if he's going outside the scope, I 13 would request that. 14 MR. SNEDDON: Judge, I have a further 15 comment. In fairness to Mr. Bashir, perhaps he 16 should have the statements in front of him, so he 17 knows which ones they are, since we've just watched
- 18 an hour and a half of the video. He has the
- 19 disadvantage from us, I think.
- 20 THE COURT: Well, I think the witness right
- 21 now is Mr. Mesereau's. I'll allow him to conduct
- 22 the examination as he chooses.
- 23 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 Q. I'll repeat the question, Mr. Bashir.
- 25 To obtain statements from Mr. Jackson, you
- 26 told him he was underappreciated, correct.
- 27 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 28 Plus, leading question. 258

- 1 THE COURT: The Court will sustain the
- 2 objection for ambiguity.
- 3 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, to obtain an
- 4 interview with Mr. Jackson, you told him you were a
- 5 friend of Princess Diana, correct.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: The objection being, when you say
- 8 "same objection" --
- 9 MR. BOUTROUS: First, impermissible leading
- 10 question; beyond the scope of the direct elicited by
- 11 the District Attorney. In addition, it seeks
- 12 unpublished information connected to news gathering
- 13 prepared and gained during news gathering.
- 14 Conversations with the source is news gathering. So
- 15 I would invoke the California shield law and the
- 16 First Amendment.
- 17 THE COURT: Here's the problem, Mr. Mesereau:
- 18 You're back to the general question on the whole
- 19 tape, the whole thing. And my prior statement that
- 20 the question was ambiguous is that he doesn't know
- 21 what statements you're talking about. So --
- 22 MR. MESEREAU: I will --
- 23 THE COURT: -- if you would --
- 24 MR. MESEREAU: I could refer to some
- 25 statements, Your Honor.
- 26 THE COURT: All right.
- 27 MR. MESEREAU: Sure.
- 28 Q. Mr. Bashir, in the show you prepared, which 259
- 1 we've just seen, Mr. Jackson made statements to the
- 2 effect that nothing sexual was going on in his bed,
- 3 correct.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. To obtain the interview you had with Mr.
- 6 Jackson when he made that statement, you told him
- 7 that he was underappreciated, true.
- 8 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor, on the
- 9 shield law grounds and First Amendment grounds,
- 10 unpublished information, and the tape that the jury
- 11 has seen speaks for itself.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. The objection is
- 13 overruled.
- 14 Do you wish to answer that question.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I'm standing on the broadest
- 16 privilege and the shield law, Your Honor.
- 17 MR. MESEREAU: Objection noted, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you.
- 20 Q. Mr. Bashir, in the show about Michael
- 21 Jackson, Mr. Jackson says that nothing sexual went

- 22 on in his bedroom. To obtain that statement, you
- 23 told Mr. Jackson that your romantic development was
- 24 partially shaped by his records, true.
- 25 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor. Same
- 26 grounds. First Amendment; shield law.
- 27 THE COURT: Do you wish to answer that
- 28 question. 260
- 1 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. The objection is
- 3 noted.
- 4 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, on the show we
- 5 just saw in this courtroom, Mr. Jackson says that
- 6 nothing sexual goes on in his bedroom.
- 7 To obtain that statement from Mr. Jackson,
- 8 you told him that when you looked at his
- 9 relationship with children, it almost made you weep,
- 10 correct.
- 11 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 12 California shield law and the First Amendment. And
- 13 I object to that question as being ambiguous as
- 14 well, the first phrase, "to obtain that statement."
- 15 Object to that.
- 16 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 17 Do you wish to answer that.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: Objection noted, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, on your show,
- 22 Mr. Jackson says that nothing sexual ever went on in
- 23 his bedroom.
- 24 To obtain that statement from him, you told
- 25 him that you believe in his vision of an
- 26 international children's holiday, correct.
- 27 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 28 The shield law and the First Amendment. 261
- 1 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 2 Do you wish to answer that question.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. MESEREAU: Objection noted, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Noted.
- 6 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, in this
- 7 interview you did of Michael Jackson, he says that
- 8 nothing sexual went on in his bedroom.
- 9 To obtain that statement, you told him,
- 10 "Neverland is an extraordinary, a breathtaking, a
- 11 stupendous, an exhilarating and amazing place. I
- 12 can't put together words to describe Neverland."
- 13 True.

- 14 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 15 First Amendment and the California shield law.
- 16 THE COURT: Do you wish to answer that
- 17 question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Noted; objection noted.
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 21 Q. Mr. Bashir, to prepare the show you've just
- 22 shown the jury where Michael Jackson says nothing
- 23 sexual went on in his bedroom, you told him that you
- 24 had an abiding sense that he is selfless and a most
- 25 generous person, correct.
- 26 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 27 THE COURT: Do you wish to answer that
- 28 question. 262
- 1 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: Noted.
- 3 MR. MESEREAU: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 4 Q. Mr. Bashir, to obtain the statement from
- 5 Mr. Jackson that nothing sexual goes on in his
- 6 bedroom, you described Neverland as a beautiful
- 7 landscape encouraging all of us to become as little
- 8 children again, true.
- 9 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor, and I
- 10 want to repeat my objection on the leading question
- 11 ground, and I believe the improper form of the
- 12 question, and again, reassert the California shield
- 13 law's protection of unpublished information prepared
- 14 and gathered in news gathering, and the First
- 15 Amendment.
- 16 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
- 17 Do you wish to answer.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 19 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, to obtain
- 20 Michael Jackson's statement that nothing sexual goes
- 21 on in his bedroom with children, you complimented
- 22 him for what he does for disadvantaged children from
- 23 the ghetto, true.
- 24 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Do you wish to answer.
- 26 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 27 THE COURT: The objection is noted.
- 28 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor. 263
- 1 I just need to get one notebook, if I can,
- 2 Your Honor.
- 3 Q. Mr. Bashir, to obtain the statement from
- 4 Mr. Jackson that nothing sexual went on in his
- 5 bedroom with children, you told him that you were

- 6 going to arrange a meeting with Kofi Annan, the
- 7 Secretary General of the United Nations, and would
- 8 plan a trip to Africa with Mr. Jackson and Kofi
- 9 Annan to help African children with AIDS, true.
- 10 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 12 Do you wish to answer.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: The objection is noted.
- 15 MR. MESEREAU: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 Q. Mr. Bashir, to obtain Mr. Jackson's
- 17 statement that nothing sexual ever went on in his
- 18 bedroom with children, you told him that you had
- 19 great admiration for his visiting sick children in
- 20 hospitals in England, true.
- 21 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
- 23 Do you wish to answer.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: The objection's noted.
- 26 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, I would like
- 27 to ask you further questions about how you obtained
- 28 Michael Jackson's statement that nothing ever goes 264
- 1 on in his bedroom that is sexual with children. Do
- 2 you intend to not answer any questions along those
- 3 lines.
- 4 MR. BOUTROUS: Let me just say that I intend
- 5 to continue making the same objections, if Mr.
- 6 Mesereau is seeking to short-circuit the process -
- 7 the First Amendment, the shield law if that's
- 8 helpful.
- 9 THE COURT: Do you intend to follow your
- 10 counsel's advice on those issues.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
- 12 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, I would like
- 13 to ask you questions about other statements Mr.
- 14 Jackson made in your interview, and I would like to
- 15 ask you about how you got face to face with him to
- 16 obtain those statements. Are you saying that you
- 17 are not going to respond to any such questions.
- 18 A. I'm --
- 19 MR. BOUTROUS: Just to make clear, he's
- 20 doing so based on my assertions of the California
- 21 shield law protecting the independence of
- 22 journalists, as well as the First Amendment.
- 23 THE COURT: You would continue to object on
- 24 those questions.
- 25 MR. BOUTROUS: I would indeed, Your Honor.
- 26 Thank you.
- 27 THE COURT: Would you continue to follow your
- 28 counsel's advice. 265

- 1 THE WITNESS: I would, Your Honor.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: Objection noted, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Noted.
- 4 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, in the process
- 5 of attempting to obtain statements from Mr. Jackson,
- 6 you called him "the boss," didn't you.
- 7 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections.
- 8 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
- 9 Do you wish to answer that.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: The objection's noted.
- 12 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, do you intend
- 13 to not answer any question I ask you on
- 14 cross-examination in this trial.
- 15 MR. BOUTROUS: To preserve the record, Your
- 16 Honor, I will continue to object on the grounds
- 17 which we believe are well-founded. And Mr. Bashir
- 18 is acting on my instruction and my objections under
- 19 the California Constitution and the U.S.
- 20 Constitution.
- 21 THE COURT: Do you intend to follow his
- 22 advice on all questions relating to this interview
- 23 and the trial.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
- 25 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, have the
- 26 answers you actually have given been 100 percent
- 27 truthful.
- 28 A. I believe so. 266
- 1 Q. Do you know for sure.
- 2 A. I think so.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, while you were obtaining
- 4 statements from Mr. Jackson, someone named Hamid
- 5 Moslehi was present, true.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: I reassert the objection I
- 7 made during direct, Your Honor, which was First
- 8 Amendment and California law. It's unpublished
- 9 information. Observations of a journalist during
- 10 the journalistic process is protected under the
- 11 California shield law, including people who are in
- 12 public places.
- 13 THE COURT: Do you wish to follow your
- 14 counsel's advice --
- 15 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: -- and not answer the question.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: The objection's noted.
- 19 MR. MESEREAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 Q. Mr. Bashir, in preparing for your testimony

- 21 today, did you discuss what answers you would give
- 22 with anyone other than your lawyer.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. To your knowledge, has anyone from the
- 25 District Attorney's Office ever tried to contact you
- 26 about your testimony in this case.
- 27 A. No.
- 28 Q. To your knowledge, has anyone from the 267
- 1 District Attorney's Office tried to get -- excuse
- 2 me, to communicate with any representatives -- any
- 3 representative of yours regarding your testimony in
- 4 this case.
- 5 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I will object, to
- 6 the extent that calls for the attorney-client
- 7 communication or attorney work product.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, have you
- 10 discussed your testimony today with any
- 11 representative of the Santa Barbara Sheriff's
- 12 Office.
- 13 A. I have not, sir.
- 14 Q. Have you provided any statements to anyone
- 15 associated with the prosecution.
- 16 A. I have not, sir.
- 17 Q. Among the journalists you associate with at
- 18 ABC, have you ever discussed with any of them what
- 19 you were going to say in this courtroom.
- 20 A. I don't believe so.
- 21 Q. You don't think so. Mr. Bashir, do you know
- 22 for sure whether or not you ever discussed with any
- 23 journalist at ABC what you were going to say in this
- 24 courtroom.
- 25 MR. BOUTROUS: Asked and answered, Your
- 26 Honor.
- 27 THE COURT: I'm not sure it is. I'll have to
- 28 go back to that series of questions. But I'm 268
- 1 willing to do that, if you want.
- 2 MR. BOUTROUS: I think he asked this
- 3 question many times, if I'm hearing it correctly.
- 4 THE COURT: I think -- I think it's a little
- 5 different, but let me look.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: Okay.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, but
- 8 I'll have the question read back. It's been a
- 9 while.
- 10 (Record read.)
- 11 THE WITNESS: As far as I can recollect, I
- 12 don't think I discussed what I was going to say in

- 13 this courtroom, sir.
- 14 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: You used the words "as far
- 15 as I can recollect." Is that correct.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Are you saying you have no present
- 18 recollection of ever speaking with any journalist
- 19 about what you were going to say in this courtroom.
- 20 A. That's correct, sir.
- 21 Q. Is it possible you had a recollection at
- 22 some other point in time about who you had spoken
- 23 to.
- 24 MR. SNEDDON: Your Honor, that calls for
- 25 speculation.
- 26 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 27 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, did you review
- 28 any documents to prepare for your testimony today. 269
- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 Q. To your knowledge, has anyone from the
- 3 District Attorney's Office sent you any documents
- 4 regarding this case.
- 5 A. No, they have not, sir.
- 6 Q. Okay. Have you ever spoken to District
- 7 Attorney Thomas Sneddon.
- 8 A. Never.
- 9 Q. Never said hello to him.
- 10 A. I think I may have said hello to him today.
- 11 Q. When you arrived at court this morning, were
- 12 you taken to any office associated with the District
- 13 Attorney.
- 14 A. I was taken -- I was taken to an office. I
- 15 don't know if it was the District Attorney's Office,
- 16 but I was taken with my attorneys to an office where
- 17 we waited, because you were in session this morning.
- 18 So we were waiting.
- 19 Q. And when you got here today, did you meet
- 20 anyone from the District Attorney's Office.
- 21 A. Not to my knowledge. We were -- we were --
- 22 we were given access by a female member of staff.
- 23 We were left in a room. And when we were required
- 24 to come down, we were invited to do so.
- 25 Q. When you arrived, to your knowledge, did you
- 26 meet anyone associated with the District Attorney's
- 27 Office.
- 28 A. To my knowledge, no. 270
- 1 Q. Okay. Did anyone come up to you and
- 2 introduce themselves as from the District Attorney's
- 3 Office.
- 4 A. No.

- 5 Q. Okay. Have you met any of the prosecutors
- 6 who are sitting here today on a prior occasion.
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you meet them today for the first time.
- 9 A. I did, sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. Have you ever spoken to any of them
- 11 on the telephone.
- 12 A. Not the prosecutors, no.
- 13 Q. Okay. How about the sheriffs.
- 14 A. I received a phone call from a police
- 15 officer sometime ago.
- 16 Q. Who was that.
- 17 A. Detective Paul Zelis.
- 18 Q. Did you speak to him on the telephone.
- 19 A. I did, sir.
- 20 Q. And approximately when did you speak to
- 21 Detective Paul Zelis on the telephone.
- 22 A. It was prior -- it was prior to my arrival
- 23 at ABC, so it would have been during 2004.
- 24 Q. Where were you when he called you.
- 25 A. I was in England.
- 26 Q. Did you speak to him in England.
- 27 A. I did, sir.
- 28 Q. How long was the phone conversation. 271
- 1 A. Very brief.
- 2 Q. Okay. Have you ever spoken to him since.
- 3 A. No, but he did call again. He did call and
- 4 leave a message, sir.
- 5 Q. Is he the only person from the Santa Barbara
- 6 Sheriff's Office you've spoken to.
- 7 A. I believe so.
- 8 Q. Do you know for sure.
- 9 A. Yes, I think so.
- 10 Q. Okay. Has anyone from the Santa Barbara
- 11 Sheriff's Office, to your knowledge, sent you any
- 12 documents to review.
- 13 A. Never.
- 14 Q. Who made arrangements for you to come here
- 15 today, to your knowledge.
- 16 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection to the extent it's
- 17 an attorney-client communication or work-product
- 18 issue, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: To the extent it is, I'll sustain
- 20 the objection.
- 21 MR. BOUTROUS: I made his hotel reservation.
- 22 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, in how many
- 23 locations did the filming take place that appears in
- 24 your show.
- 25 MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 26 Again, the film speaks for itself. It was on
- 27 screen. The jury's seen it. Anything else is

- 1 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
- 2 Do you wish to answer that.
- 3 THE WITNESS: The evidence of where we were
- 4 filming was clear from the film, from the
- 5 documentary which has just been shown.
- 6 MR. MESEREAU: Could I ask that the witness
- 7 be instructed to answer, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: You did not answer the question.
- 9 Do you wish to answer the question.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 MR. BOUTROUS: I will reassert my objections
- 12 under the shield law, Your Honor. Again, it's just
- 13 classic unpublished information. The film speaks
- 14 for itself.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. Your objection is
- 16 overruled.
- 17 Do you wish to answer the question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: The objection's noted.
- 20 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Did you fly to these
- 21 locations with Mr. Jackson.
- 22 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 23 First Amendment; shield law.
- 24 THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
- 25 Do you wish to answer.
- 26 THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
- 27 THE COURT: The objection's noted.
- 28 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Did you travel by car to 273
- 1 any of the locations where you filmed any footage
- 2 that appears in this documentary with Mr. Jackson.
- 3 MR. BOUTROUS: Same objections, Your Honor.
- 4 And I think in the last series of questions Mr.
- 5 Mesereau's has veered back into being far beyond the
- 6 scope of direct, so I will again assert that that
- 7 question was beyond the scope of direct examination,
- 8 as were the last several.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Mr. Bashir, after this
- 11 show appeared, you were sued by Mr. Jackson in
- 12 England, correct.
- 13 MR. BOUTROUS: Again, Your Honor, beyond the
- 14 scope of direct and --
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you.
- 17 MR. MESEREAU: Your Honor, in light of the
- 18 refusal to answer questions, I'm going to terminate
- 19 my cross-examination. But I would ask that the

- 20 Court order this witness back to appear as a defense
- 21 witness.
- 22 THE COURT: I will order you to return at a
- 23 time when the defense requests that you return.
- 24 Is that a satisfactory -- I'm assuming -- I
- 25 don't mean to say, "Is that satisfactory." I mean,
- 26 is it a satisfactory way of handling -- if you're
- 27 willing to have your client appear and he's willing
- 28 to appear on notice by the defense, then I'll 274
- 1 release him under our normal convenience-type
- 2 subpoenas. If not, I'd have to have him appear at a
- 3 certain date to retain jurisdiction.
- 4 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor if I may step up
- 5 here. Thank you I guess two things: The defense
- 6 has known for several weeks that the prosecutors had
- 7 subpoenaed Mr. Bashir. And they had indicated they
- 8 had put Mr. Bashir on their witness list, and I
- 9 argued that the defense had not made the showing. I
- 10 put them on notice that I planned to invoke the
- 11 shield law and the First Amendment and that there
- 12 were standards for them to meet. And they knew Mr.
- 13 Bashir was going to be here.
- 14 And for them now -- he lives part of the
- 15 time in the United Kingdom, part of the time in New
- 16 York. To now make him come back seems unfair and
- 17 burdensome, particularly without the defense making
- 18 the requisite showing that they need to make in
- 19 terms of necessity of his testimony, lack of
- 20 alternative sources, the tests that they would need
- 21 to make to justify piercing the reporter's
- 22 privilege. So I would ask the Court to reject that
- 23 request.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll modify my order. I will
- 25 order him to return. However, I will require the
- 26 defense to file the appropriate application for
- 27 subpoena, with the reasons, and allow you to file an
- 28 opposition to that. 275
- 1 But to just short-circuit the problem of
- 2 actually serving him, I'll order him to appear under
- 3 those conditions I've previously announced, unless
- 4 we advise him otherwise, if you succeed on your
- 5 motion.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: That makes sense, Your Honor.
- 7 And just to clarify on the questions today,
- 8 I think there were a number that he declined to
- 9 answer on the shield law grounds. And I wanted to
- 10 clarify that my objections also go to the questions
- 11 being beyond the scope of direct testimony and

- 12 wanted to clarify and make sure that we would have a
- 13 chance to brief these issues, before the Court makes
- 14 a contempt ruling, to try to either --
- 15 THE COURT: Oh, absolutely.
- 16 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: The procedure I would intend to
- 18 follow would be as indicated. I'll review the
- 19 transcript and the law. If it appears that he's in
- 20 contempt or -- I will issue a contempt citation, and
- 21 then you'll have your opportunity to be heard.
- 22 MR. BOUTROUS: I just would like to have the
- 23 chance to persuade you that the information was
- 24 protected and no contempt citation should be issued
- 25 before any contempt finding.
- 26 THE COURT: I will give you that opportunity.
- 27 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 28 THE COURT: I think we're talking about the 276

```
1 same thing. There's a difference between citing him
```

- 2 so you can speak to me through your written
- 3 pleadings, and holding him in contempt. I'm not
- 4 going to do the latter without giving you an
- 5 opportunity to be heard.
- 6 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 And my apologies to the jury for not having
- 8 more creative or different objections every time.
- 9 But thank you very much, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Do you have further
- 11 questions.
- 12 MR. SNEDDON: I think not, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
- 14 You're not excused. You're on call subject to the
- 15 arrangements that I've made with your counsel.
- 16 Should you no longer need this counsel, you have the
- 17 direct personal responsibility to me to return if I
- 18 ask you to. Do you understand that.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
- 23 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 24 Our next witness will be Ann Kite, and she is on her
- 25 way down from our office.
- 26 THE COURT: When you get to the witness
- 27 stand, remain standing. Face the clerk and raise
- 28 your right hand. 277
- 1 ANN KITE
- 2 Having been sworn, testified as follows:

- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 5 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
- 6 spell your name for the record.
- 7 THE WITNESS: My name is Ann Marie Kite,
- 8 K-i-t-e. But I use Ann Gabriel as my professional 9 name.

10

- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kite.
- 14 A. Good afternoon.
- 15 Q. Can you tell me who you work for, please.
- 16 A. Currently.
- 17 Q. Currently, yes.
- 18 A. I am the president of an organization called
- 19 the Webcaster Alliance.
- 20 Q. What is Webcaster Alliance.
- 21 A. Webcaster Alliance is a group of webcasters
- 22 who play music on the Internet.
- 23 Q. How long have you been employed with
- 24 Webcaster.
- 25 A. Well, the organization's been in association
- 26 for about two years, since 2002.
- 27 Q. And in what capacity are you employed.
- 28 A. President. 278
- 1 Q. And what are your duties as president of
- 2 Webcaster Alliance.
- 3 A. To help move forward legislation to make it
- 4 easier for people to be able to produce music and
- 5 information on the Internet. Also to help people 6 produce programming.
- 7 Q. At sometime prior to -- well, maybe at the
- 8 same time. At some point previously, were you the
- 9 president of an organization called Gabriel Media.
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. What was Gabriel Media.
- 12 A. Gabriel Media was a public relations firm
- 13 and a marketing firm.
- 14 Q. And what was your role in Gabriel Media.
- 15 A. To help people and products get their
- 16 information out to the public.
- 17 Q. Basically PR.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Public relations.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you had a background in public
- 22 relations.
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 Q. Can you describe that for me, please.
- 25 A. Sure. For about the last 20 to 25 years
- 26 I've been involved in a number of different

- 27 businesses, all with the intention of helping people 28 meet, take their products and move them to the 279
- 1 public, to help them look better, to help them
- 2 market themselves better.
- 3 Q. Do you have a background that qualified you
- 4 for that position.
- 5 A. Life experience. A lot of life experience.
- 6 I've worked in a number of different businesses,
- 7 specifically with products, to help people and their
- 8 products reach the public.
- 9 Q. All right. So when you say 20 years of
- 10 experience in public relations, can you elaborate on
- 11 that for me a little bit.
- 12 A. Certainly. I've had a number of different
- 13 clients. For example, I went through a period where
- 14 I did a lot of things with technology, high
- 15 technology. Consumer products; both Sony and JVC,
- 16 Panasonic. Those types of things.
- 17 Then I also worked with some people who
- 18 might be considered personalities, where I helped
- 19 them to define their image to the public. I helped
- 20 them if they got into a difficult position with
- 21 their image in the public, and helped them make a
- 22 plan to move themselves forward.
- 23 Q. When you say "personalities," does that
- 24 include celebrities.
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q. All right. Can you tell me what the term
- 27 "crisis management" means.
- 28 A. Well, crisis management is a different type 280
- 1 of public relations. Crisis management comes about
- 2 when you have someone, or a product or a person, who
- 3 gets into a situation that is unexpected.
- 4 And in the case of crisis management, you
- 5 have to do a couple of different things. First of
- 6 all, you want to try to analyze the assets of the
- 7 client or the product, and then also their
- 8 vulnerabilities, what areas might they be attacked 9 on.
- 10 And so crisis management is the for lack
- 11 of a better term the difficult part of public
- 12 relations, the difficult part of trying to help
- 13 someone present themselves or their product to the 14 public.
- 15 Q. What do you mean when you say "the assets of
- 16 a client".
- 17 A. Well, any client or any product has assets,
- 18 the things that are good about them, the thing that

- 19 the public perceives good about them.
- 20 Q. In general terms, can you tell me what the
- 21 goal of a public relations specialist is.
- 22 A. In general terms, on the best days, it is to
- 23 make sure that your client's product, or their
- 24 person, the personality, is always presented in the
- 25 best light to the public.
- 26 Q. And the purpose for that would be.
- 27 A. So that they can create things for
- 28 themselves, whether they want to create more assets 281
- 1 as far as dollarwise, entertainment value, or
- 2 whatever it is they're trying to sell.
- 3 If it's themselves that they're trying to
- 4 sell, you want to make sure they're always presented
- 5 in the best light.
- 6 Q. Is it fair to say that a celebrity's image
- 7 is his stock in trade for purposes of marketability
- 8 and selling whatever entertainment that that
- 9 particular celebrity is involved in.
- 10 A. Oh, yes, absolutely.
- 11 MR. MESEREAU: Objection, leading.
- 12 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: It's foundational, Your
- 13 Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled. The answer was yes.
- 15 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right.
- 16 Q. Do you know an individual by the name of
- 17 David LeGrand.
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Ms. Kite -- Ms. Kite, I show you People's
- 20 Exhibit No. 3. Can you identify that for me,
- 21 please.
- 22 A. Yes, that's David LeGrand.
- 23 MR AUCHINCLOSS: Move to admit People's 3,
- 24 Your Honor.
- 25 MR. MESEREAU: No objection.
- 26 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 27 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: How do you know David
- 28 LeGrand. 282
- 1 A. David and I met in March of 2002, and we
- 2 worked together on several projects.
- 3 Q. What is Mr. LeGrand's occupation.
- 4 A. He's an attorney.
- 5 Q. How did you meet him.
- 6 A. We met through a mutual friend.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what projects did you work on.
- 8 A. Well, we worked on Webcaster Alliance
- 9 together. David had a client that had some products
- 10 that they were looking to sell, and he wanted me to

- 11 look at their business plan and see how I could help
- 12 them move forward with it, do some public relations
- 13 with them on that.
- 14 And then, of course, with Michael Jackson.
- 15 Q. Okay. Was Mr. LeGrand affiliated with
- 16 Webcaster Alliance.
- 17 A. Yes, he was.
- 18 Q. In what capacity.
- 19 A. He was a director.
- 20 Q. And what were the other associations you had
- 21 with him in a business sense.
- 22 A. Well, we worked together in Washington D.C.
- 23 to put together legislation for webcasters.
- 24 Q. Was that it.
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q. Okay. Did you have a personal relationship
- 27 with Mr. LeGrand.
- 28 A. Yes, I did. 283
- 1 Q. And when did that begin.
- 2 A. In July of 2002.
- 3 Q. And did that end at some point.
- 4 A. Yes, at the end of January of 2003.
- 5 Q. Okay. Was that a dating relationship --
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 Q. -- something of that nature.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't write down the date.
- 10 When did you say it ended.
- 11 A. At the end of January of 2003.
- 12 Q. In January of 2003 -- well, let me back up.
- 13 You mentioned an affiliation with Mr.
- 14 LeGrand concerning Michael Jackson.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When did that begin.
- 17 A. I don't understand your question. When was
- 18 the first time that he mentioned anything to me
- 19 about Michael Jackson.
- 20 Q. When did you -- well, let me back up.
- 21 Did Mr. LeGrand himself become associated
- 22 with Michael Jackson at some point.
- 23 A. Yes, he did.
- 24 Q. When was that.
- 25 A. Officially.
- 26 Q. As far as you know. In any fashion, let's
- 27 start there.
- 28 A. As far as I knew -- 284
- 1 MR. MESEREAU: Objection, hearsay and
- 2 foundation.

- 3 THE COURT: Foundation's sustained.
- 4 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right.
- 5 Q. At some point, did David LeGrand recruit you
- 6 to go to work for Michael Jackson.
- 7 A. Yes, he did.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: I can rephrase.
- 11 Q. At some time did Mr. LeGrand ask to employ
- 12 you, to come work for Michael Jackson.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Hearsay.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 You may answer.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
- 17 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. And when
- 18 was that.
- 19 A. At the end of January of 2003.
- 20 Q. And did you go to work for Michael Jackson.
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. When you say the end of January 2003 was
- 23 when Mr. LeGrand approached you, do you have the
- 24 approximate dates.
- 25 A. It was around the 27th -- the 26th, the 27th
- 26 of January.
- 27 Q. In what capacity were you -- were you
- 28 employed. 285
- 1 A. Public relations.
- 2 Q. And at some point did you actually go to
- 3 work for Michael Jackson.
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. When was that.
- 6 A. February 9th of 2003.
- 7 Q. As a public relations employee for Michael
- 8 Jackson, what was your -- what was your job. What
- 9 were you hired to do.
- 10 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Misstates the
- 11 evidence.
- 12 THE COURT: The preamble to the question.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Yes, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: What were you hired to
- 16 do.
- 17 A. To help with image management.
- 18 Q. Okay. And was there a specific reason why
- 19 Michael Jackson's image needed to be managed.
- 20 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Foundation.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Were you informed as to
- 23 what your duty was to manage Michael Jackson's
- 24 public relations image.
- 25 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading.

- 26 THE COURT: The objection's overruled. But
- 27 you can only answer yes or no to that question. Do
- 28 you want the question -- 286
- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 THE COURT: Oh, you answered.
- 3 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. And what were
- 4 you told.
- 5 A. I was told that there would --
- 6 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Hearsay.
- 7 THE COURT: Still foundation also as to who
- 8 told you.
- 9 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. And that's where
- 10 we're -- okay.
- 11 Q. Who told you what your assignment was to be
- 12 as a public relations employee for Michael Jackson.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Foundation and
- 14 hearsay; it misstates the evidence.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 You may answer.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Initially it was David
- 18 LeGrand.
- 19 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. And what
- 20 did Mr. LeGrand tell you your duties were.
- 21 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Hearsay;
- 22 foundation.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 You may answer.
- 25 THE WITNESS: To help with the fallout from
- 26 the Martin Bashir video.
- 27 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. What did
- 28 you understand your duties were at that point. 287
- 1 A. To immediately begin protecting Mr.
- 2 Jackson's reputation.
- 3 Q. Did you research the issue, the problem.
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Did you evaluate the problem.
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Did you come up with a plan of attack.
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading and
- 10 privilege.
- 11 THE COURT: The leading is overruled. The
- 12 other one I don't understand.
- 13 MR. MESEREAU: She's retained by a law firm.
- 14 She's not supposed to be --
- 15 THE COURT: You're raising that privilege.
- 16 MR. MESEREAU: Yes. She's not supposed to
- 17 be violating her duty of confidentiality.

- 18 THE COURT: Do you want to address the
- 19 attorney-client privilege.
- 20 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: The attorney-client
- 21 privilege has already been divulged to us, number
- 22 one. Number two, counsel has not established that
- 23 she was acting as an agency on behalf of the law
- 24 firm in a legal capacity. This is an area that
- 25 probably, if counsel wants to make that objection,
- 26 he should have it briefed and address the Court with
- 27 points and authorities on it.
- 28 In addition, Your Honor, I can -- 288
- 1 MR. MESEREAU: She says she was hired by an
- 2 attorney for Mr. Jackson, Your Honor. Mr. Jackson's
- 3 never met her.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. The objection's
- 5 overruled. You may proceed.
- 6 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right.
- 7 Q. So, my last question, if I can recall it
- 8 correctly was, what was the plan that you formulated
- 9 to approach -- well, let me back up. Let me just
- 10 start at the beginning here.
- 11 You said you formulated an assessment of the
- 12 problem. How did you go about doing that.
- 13 A. Well, I took a look at a lot of the press
- 14 that was coming out, the information that was out in
- 15 the media. I looked at what was being played most
- 16 prominently, and figured that that was probably the
- 17 most vulnerable area of attack for Mr. Jackson at
- 18 that point.
- 19 So I began to formulate a plan, based on my
- 20 information of things that had come out in the press
- 21 in the past, and to immediately try to take the
- 22 focus off of Mr. Jackson's frailties as a human and
- 23 put them back on his genius as a musician. That was
- 24 my plan.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you sign a contract to come on as
- 26 a PR specialist.
- 27 A. Yes, I did.
- 28 Q. When did you do that. When was that 289
- 1 contract signed.
- 2 A. In the afternoon of the 14th of February.
- 3 Q. Did you become familiar with any other
- 4 individuals who were also hired to work on the same 5 problem.
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Did you communicate with them.
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Who were these people.

- 10 A. Ronald Konitzer. Marc Schaffel. Stuart
- 11 Backerman. Melanie Riley. And Richard, I think
- 12 it's Eldon, from Bell Yard. And a team of some
- 13 other attorneys in the United K -- United Kingdom.
- 14 Q. During the course of your employment for
- 15 Mr. Jackson, did you communicate with these
- 16 individuals.
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Did you communicate directly with Ronald
- 19 Konitzer.
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. In what fashion. In what medium.
- 22 A. Both by e-mail and by telephone.
- 23 Q. Did you contact and speak directly with Marc
- 24 Schaffel.
- 25 A. Yes, I did.
- 26 Q. Did you also communicate with him by e-mail.
- 27 A. Yes, I did.
- 28 Q. How about Mr. Backerman. 290
- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. Did you ever talk to an attorney by the name
- 3 of Mark Geragos.
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Who did you report to. Who did you report
- 6 to in terms of the hierarchy of this team.
- 7 A. Well, I spoke to David. I spoke to Marc
- 8 Schaffel. I spoke to Mr. Geragos. And I spoke to 9 Ronald.
- 10 Q. So would it be fair to say, you reported to
- 11 all of those four individuals.
- 12 A. Yes, at different times.
- 13 Q. And Mr. Konitzer, did you have an
- 14 understanding of what his function was in relation
- 15 to Michael Jackson.
- 16 A. I understood that Mr. Konitzer was the
- 17 lead --
- 18 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading; and
- 19 foundation.
- 20 THE COURT: Foundation; sustained.
- 21 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: It's a yes or no question.
- 22 I would follow with foundation.
- 23 MR. MESEREAU: Same objection.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow a yes or
- 25 no answer.
- 26 Do you want the question read back.
- 27 THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
- 28 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. The question -- 291

- 2 back. Thank you.
- 3 (Record read.)
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 5 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: All right. And what
- 6 was it that you based that opinion on, or that
- 7 assessment on.
- 8 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading and
- 9 foundation.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 You may answer.
- 12 THE WITNESS: On information that David had
- 13 given me.
- 14 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. Did you also
- 15 base it on information that you obtained in the
- 16 course of conversing with Mr. Konitzer.
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. And Mr. Schaffel.
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Did you base it on information that you
- 21 obtained by way of e-mails from other members of the
- 22 team.
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. And from Bell Yard.
- 25 A. Yes, I did.
- 26 Q. Was it clear from -- clear to you -- well,
- 27 did you also base it upon the conduct of all of
- 28 these individuals on the team that you previously 292
- 1 mentioned.
- 2 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Leading;
- 3 foundation.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained; leading.
- 5 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Did you consider
- 6 conduct as part of this assessment.
- 7 MR. MESEREAU: Same objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 You may answer.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay. And based on all
- 12 this information, did you form a belief or an
- 13 understanding as to what Mr. Ronald Konitzer's role
- 14 was in relation to Michael Jackson and this team
- 15 that you've described.
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. What was that.
- 18 A. That he was the leader.
- 19 Q. Also based on all that information that I've
- 20 asked you about, were you able to form an opinion as
- 21 to what Mr. Schaffel's role was in this team.
- 22 A. Yes. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. And what was that.
- 24 MR. MESEREAU: Objection. Foundation.

- 25 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 26 THE WITNESS: That he was in charge of the
- 27 public relations effort for Michael Jackson.
- 28 Q. BY MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Same question as to 293
- 1 Mr. Backerman. Based on all the information you
- 2 obtained during the course of your employment, were
- 3 you able to form an assessment or did you obtain an
- 4 understanding of what Mr. Stuart Backerman's role
- 5 was.
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. And what was that.
- 8 A. That he was the spokesperson for Mr.
- 9 Jackson.
- 10 Q. What's a spokesperson in the PR realm.
- 11 A. Well, in Mr. Backerman's case, it was my
- 12 understanding that he would be the one that would,
- 13 for the most part, get out and speak in front of the 14 press.
- 15 Q. So the actual face person that would be in
- 16 front of the camera.
- 17 A. For the most part, yes.
- 18 Q. All right. You previously said that you
- 19 assessed the problem based upon your review of what.
- 20 A. The information that was coming out in the
- 21 press.
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.
- 23 A. The information that was coming from the
- 24 Bashir documentary.
- 25 Q. Uh-huh.
- 26 A. And the bombardment of questions that were
- 27 coming from people in the press that were looking to
- 28 delve into other aspects of Mr. Jackson's empire. 294
- 1 Q. All right.
- 2 THE COURT: All right. We're going to stop
- 3 for the afternoon.
- 4 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: Okay.
- 5 THE COURT: I have a couple of points I want
- 6 to address.
- 7 One is that the District Attorney must lodge
- 8 a transcript of the videotape that was played today
- 9 with the Court as soon as you can.
- 10 MR. SNEDDON: Judge, in order to do that,
- 11 I'll need permission of the Court to withdraw the
- 12 exhibit so I can have it -- have a transcript made
- 13 perfect.
- 14 THE COURT: Any objection to that.
- 15 MR. MESEREAU: No objection, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.

```
17 MR. SNEDDON: Thank you.
18 THE COURT: The second thing is that even
19 though we are not redacting documents anymore,
20 sealing documents, California Rule of Court 2073,
21 which relates to the filing of documents on the
22 Internet, which we do in this case, has some
23 restrictions that you cannot put on the Internet.
24 For example, addresses, phone numbers of parties.
25 I'm not going to read the whole statute to you, but
26 that's what I'm talking about.
27 So when you file a document, you must file
28 it -- file a redacted version in compliance with 295
1 California Rule of Court 2073 so that may be placed
2 on the Internet.
3 I don't intend to do any separate sealing
4 orders, because they're just -- it's a statutory
5 requirement that you redact those.
6 Any questions about that.
7 MR. AUCHINCLOSS: No, Your Honor.
8 MR. MESEREAU: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: All right. We'll recess until
10 tomorrow morning. I'll see you tomorrow at 8:30.
11 (The proceedings adjourned at 2:30 p.m.)
12 --000--
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 296
1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2
4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE )
5 OF CALIFORNIA, )
6 Plaintiff, )
7 -vs- ) No. 1133603
8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, )
```

```
9 Defendant. )
10
11
12 I, MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR,
13 CSR #3304, Official Court Reporter, do hereby
14 certify:
15 That the foregoing pages 175 through 296
16 contain a true and correct transcript of the
17 proceedings had in the within and above-entitled
18 matter as by me taken down in shorthand writing at
19 said proceedings on March 1, 2005, and thereafter
20 reduced to typewriting by computer-aided
21 transcription under my direction.
22 DATED: Santa Maria, California,
23 March 1, 2005.
24
25
26
27 MICHELE MATTSON McNEIL, RPR, CRR, CSR #3304
```