

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 1 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Conspiracy as charged in Count One of the Indictment.

We unanimously make the following findings with respect to the crimes charged as the object or objects of the conspiracy:

Found Not Found

_____ a violation of Penal Code Section 278 (CHILD ABDUCTION), a felony;

Found Not Found

_____ a violation of Penal Code Section 236 (FALSE IMPRISONMENT), a felony;

Found Not Found

_____ a violation of Penal Code section 518 (EXTORTION), a felony.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 2 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of a Lewd Act
Upon a Minor Child in violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a), as charged in Count
Two of the Indictment.

We unanimously make the following findings with respect to the crime charged in
Count Two:

Found Not Found

_____ The victim of that crime was under the age of 14 years at the time the
crime was committed;

Found Not Found

_____ Defendant had substantial sexual conduct with the victim at the time the
crime was committed

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 3 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of a Lewd Act
Upon a Minor Child in violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a), as charged in Count
Three of the Indictment.

We unanimously make the following findings with respect to the crime charged in
Count Three:

Found Not Found

_____ The victim of that crime was under the age of 14 years at the time the
crime was committed;

Found Not Found

_____ Defendant had substantial sexual conduct with the victim at the time the
crime was committed

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 4 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of a Lewd Act
Upon a Minor Child in violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a), as charged in Count
Four of the Indictment.

We unanimously make the following findings with respect to the crime charged in
Count Four:

Found Not Found

_____ The victim of that crime was under the age of 14 years at the time the
crime was committed;

Found Not Found

_____ Defendant had substantial sexual conduct with the victim at the time the
crime was committed

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 5 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of a Lewd Act
Upon a Minor Child in violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a), as charged in Count
Five of the Indictment.

We unanimously make the following findings with respect to the crime charged in
Count Five:

Found Not Found

_____ The victim of that crime was under the age of 14 years at the time the
crime was committed;

Found Not Found

_____ Defendant had substantial sexual conduct with the victim at the time the
crime was committed

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 6 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Attempt to Commit a Lewd Act Upon a Child, in violation of Penal Code sections 664 and 288, subdivision (a), as charged in Count Six of the Indictment.

We further find that the victim of that crime was under the age of 14 years at the time the crime was committed.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 7 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in Count Seven of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 8 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in Count Eight of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION
4

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 9 - VERDICT

10
11
12 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Administering
13 an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in Count Nine of the
14 Indictment.

15
16
17 DATED: _____, 2005

18
19 _____
20 Foreperson
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 10 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Administering
an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in Count Ten of the
Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 1 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Conspiracy as charged in Count One of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 2 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of a Lewd
Act Upon a Minor Child as charged in Count Two of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 3 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of a Lewd
Act Upon a Minor Child as charged in Count Three of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 1133603

COUNT 4 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Lewd Act Upon a Minor Child as charged in Count Four of the Indictment.

DATED: _____

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 5 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of a Lewd
Act Upon a Minor Child as charged in Count Five of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 6 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Attempting to Commit a Lewd Act Upon a Minor Child as charged in Count Six of the
Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 7 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in
Count Seven of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 8 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in
Count Eight of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 9 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in
Count Nine of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 10 - VERDICT

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Administering an Intoxicating Agent to Assist in the Commission of a Felony as charged in
Count Ten of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 7 - VERDICT

LESSER OFFENSE

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Providing
Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that charged in
Count Seven of the Indictment.

DATED: , 2005

Foreperson

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 8 - VERDICT

LESSER OFFENSE

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Providing
Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that charged in
Count Eight of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION
4

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 9 - VERDICT
LESSER OFFENSE

10
11
12 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Providing
13 Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that charged in
14 Count Nine of the Indictment.

15
16
17 DATED: _____, 2005

18
19 Foreperson _____
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION

4
5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
6 Plaintiff,
7 v.
8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,
9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 10 - VERDICT
LESSER OFFENSE

10
11
12 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant GUILTY of Providing
13 Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that charged in
14 Count Ten of the Indictment.

15
16
17 DATED: _____, 2005

18
19 _____
Foreperson

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION
4

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
6 Plaintiff,
7 v.
8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,
9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 7 - VERDICT
LESSER OFFENSE

10
11
12 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
13 Providing Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that
14 charged in Count Seven of the Indictment.
15
16

17 DATED: _____, 2005
18
19

Foreperson
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,
Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 8 - VERDICT
LESSER OFFENSE

We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
Providing Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that
charged in Count Eight of the Indictment.

DATED: _____, 2005

Foreperson

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION
4

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 9 - VERDICT

LESSER OFFENSE

10
11
12 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
13 Providing Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that
14 charged in Count Nine of the Indictment.
15
16

17 DATED: _____, 2005

18
19 _____
20 Foreperson
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3 SANTA MARIA DIVISION
4

5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

9 Defendant.

No. 1133603

COUNT 10 - VERDICT
LESSER OFFENSE

10
11 We, the Jury in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant NOT GUILTY of
12 Providing Alcoholic Beverages to Persons Under the Age of 21, a lesser included offense of that
13 charged in Count Ten of the Indictment.
14

15
16
17 DATED: _____, 2005

18
19 _____
Foreperson
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28