COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesercau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 2 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tcl.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 3 4 SANGER & SWYSEN 5 Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C 6 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 7 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 8 Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans 9 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298 10 Attorneys for Defendant 11 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 12 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION 15 16 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 1133603 CALIFORNIA. 17 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN Plaintiffs. ORDER THAT REPLY TO OPPOSITION 18 RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO 19 CONTINUE BE FILED UNDER SEAL VS. 20 Honorable Rodney S. Melville MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON, 21 Date: December 20, 2004 Defendant. Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept: SM 8 22 23 24 TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT: 25 Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled REPLY 26 27 28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT REPLY TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE BE FILED UNDER SEAL

5.9

TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE and accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and 2 proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and 3 a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 4 Article I. Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. 5 Dated: December 18, 2004  $\epsilon$ Respectfully submitted, 7 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 8 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 9 SANGER & SWYSEN 10 Robert M. Sanger 11 **OXMAN & JAROSCAK** Brian Oxman 12 13 14 Robert M. Sanger 15 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT REPLY TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE BE FILED UNDER SEAL

facts.com

mjfacts.com

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

## THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
  - 2. The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
  - 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

mjfacts.com

## OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT REPLY TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE BE FILED UNDER SEAL

3

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

Dec 17 04 02:41p

2

1

3

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution, Material 1 contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, 2 would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In 3 order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal. 4 CONCLUSION 5 For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that 6 7 REPLY TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE and accompanying documents be filed under seal. 8 Dated: December 16, 2004 9 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 10 Thomas A. Mesercau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 11 SANGER & SWYSEN 12 Robert M. Sanger 13 OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman 14 15 By: Robert M. Sanger 16 Attorneys for MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSO 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT REPLY TO OPPOSITION RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE BE FILED UNDER SEAL

4

tacts.com

## DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- 2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled REPLY TO OPPOSITION

  RESPONSE TO DEFENSE MOTION TO CONTINUE and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this igh day of December, 2004 at Santa Barbara, California.

njfacts.com

Robert M. Sanger

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

jfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.co

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com