2 3 4 5 6	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Senior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2398 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUPERIOR COUPT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SUPERIOR COUPT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY OF SANTA B				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA				
10	SANTA MARTA DIVISION				
11					
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.) No. 1133603				
13	Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER				
14	v. mifacts.com) DIRECTING THAT PLAINTIFF'S) PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR				
15	EXPERTS' EXAMINATION OF EnCase COMPUTER HARD				
16	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, DRIVES: DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN IN				
17.	Defendant. SUPPORT OF SEALING; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS				
15	AND AUTHORITIES				
19	DATE: November 29, 2004				
20	TIME: 10:00 a.m. DEPT: TBA (Mclville)				
21					
22					
23	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,				
24	ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO				
25	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR.,, ES <mark>Q., GIBS</mark> ON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, <mark>LLP:</mark>				
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff hereby moves for an order directing that				
27	"Plaintiff's Proposed Protocol For Experts' Examination Of EnCase Computer Harddrives,"				
28	filed under scal on November 29, 2004, be maintained under conditional scal until further order				
	نفاق المنافق				
	PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL PROPOSED PROTOCOL RECXAMINATION OF ENCASE HARDDRIVES				

of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion is made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify scaling the specified document pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion is made on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion on the next calendared appearance in this matter.

the next calendared appearance in this matter.

DATED: November 30, 2004

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.
District Attorney

By: Danle Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Mifacts.com

mjfacts.com



S

m²

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

:5

1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed "Plaintiff's Proposed Protocol For Experts' Examination Of EnCase Computer Harddrives," is made on the ground that the pending Proposed Protocol makes oblique reference to matters not yet made public, including the names of certain witnesses and facts that would tend to identify them.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to "Plaintiff's Proposed Protocol For Experts' Examination Of EnCase Computer Harddrives," until the appropriateness of the release of a redacted version of the motion is determined by the court.
- 4. I believe an order maintaining this motion under scal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that pleading could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on November 30, 2004.

Apall Med. Baull

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2	The procedure for scaling records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq			
5	applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive			
4	pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.			
5	Rule 243.1(d) provides that			
6	The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:			
8	(1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;			
10	(2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record:			
11	(3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will			
12	be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;			
13	(4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and			
1-1	(5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.			
15	Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:			
16	(1) An order scaling the record must (i) specifically set forth the			
17	facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the scaling of			
18	only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents			
19				
20	or page must be included in the public lile.			
21	Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the			
22	motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under			
23	scal."			
24 -	1111			
25	1111			

27

28 1////

1	DATED: November 30, 2004				
2	Respectfully submitted,				
3	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara				
4	Lounty of Santa Barbara				
5	Gerald McC, Franklin, Senior Deputy				
6	Auorneys for Plaintiff				
7					
S					
9 10					
11					
'2					
٠3					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19 20	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com				
21					
22					
23					
24	90 3				
25					
26	acts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.con				
27					
28	5				
	5				

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL PROPOSED PROTOCOL RE ENAMINATION OF Encine HARDDRIVES

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA SS COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 4 5 6 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23 24 25

26 27 28

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On November 30, 2004, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THAT "PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR EXPERTS' EXAMINATION OF ENCASE COMPUTER HARDDRIVES." on Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ROBERT SANGER and BRIAN OXMAN, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to Mr. Sanger's office in Santa Barbara, by faxing a true copy to other counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the autiched Service List, and then by causing to be mailed a true copy to each counsel at that address.

> I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 30th day of November, 2004.

SERVICE LIST

2	fects.com mifacts.com	
3	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
4		
5	Julian Poon, Esq. 333 S. Grand Avenue	
б	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 Attorneys for (collectively) "Media"	
7		
8	THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.	
9	THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP 1875 Century Park East, No. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
10	FAX: (CONFIDENTIAL)	
L	Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson	
12	90.8	
13	ROBERT SANGER, ESQ.	
14	233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C	
15	FAX: (805) 963-7311	
16	Co-counsel for Detendant	
17	RICKY BRIAN OXMAN, ESQ.	
	Oxman & Juroscak, Lawyers 14126 E. Roscerans Blvd.	
18	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	
'9	Co-counsel for Defendant	
20		
21		
22		
23	in the second se	
24	90.8	
25		
26	acts.com mjfacts.com	
27		
28		

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL PROPOSED PROTOCOL RE EXAMINATION OF En Case HARDDRIVES