SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

STREET ADDRESS:

312-C East Cook Street

MAILING ADDRESS:

P.O. Box 5369

CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:

Santa Marla, CA 93456-5369

Cook Division

People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

NOV 1 5 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Cilicer
BY CANUL & Wagner
SARRIEL WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

CASE NUMBER: 1133603

FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING EXHIBITS ADMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE (PEN. C. § 1538.5) (PARTS 1 AND 2) (EXHIBITS 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 44, 51)

During the course of the hearing on Defendant's Motions to Suppress Evidence (Pen. C. § 1538.5) (Parts 1 and 2), 52 exhibits were identified to the court. Only 45 of those exhibits were admitted to evidence. Those exhibits were conditionally sealed and the court now grants the motion to seal Exhibits 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 44 and 51.

The Court makes the following findings:

The exhibits include the search warrant for Bradley Miller's office, Sheriff's Department reports, the Operations Plan for the Neverland Search and general interview questions, the subpoena for Stanley Katz, and a waiver of lawyer-client privilege. The documents identify the complaining witness and family by name as well as particular items of evidence, the admissibility of which has yet to be determined.

In this case, protection of the Defendant's right to a fair trial and protection of the identity of the minor complaining witness and his family overcomes the right of public access to the record. A substantial probability exists that those interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested. The intensity of the media coverage in this case is unprecedented. Each court hearing is thoroughly reported and exhaustively analyzed by the media. It is substantially probable that if the evidence expected to be given at trial were to be released pretrial, it would be similarly reported and analyzed. The sealing order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the available jury pool by limiting its exposure to the expected evidence and testimony pretrial and to prevent exposure to inadmissible items of evidence. The Court has consistently held that because of the pervasive media coverage in this case, the Defendant's right to a fair trial outweighs public access. Those findings are relevant here and incorporated by reference. [See Findings and Order re: Motion for Protective Order, filed January 23, 2004 and Findings and Order re: Sealing of Search Warrant Materials, filed January 23, 2004]

There are no less restrictive means to protect those interests. The extraordinary circumstances present in this case overcome the presumption that cautionary-admonitions and instructions to the jury pool would have a curative effect. It is far more desirable to avoid the prejudice in the first instance than to hope to identify unaffected jurors later.

The Court acknowledges that its order must be narrowly tailored to accommocate the maximum public disclosure. Redacted versions of these documents will be released along with this order.

njracts.com

Dated: NOV 1 5 2004

Moshay Melille

Judge of the Superior Court

RODNEY S. MELVILLE

PROOF OF SERVICE 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of Callfornia. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On NOVEMBER 15, 20 04, I served a copy of the attached FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING EXHIBITS ADMITTED DURING THE COURSE OF DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE (PEN. C. 5 1538.5) (PARTS 1 AND 2) (EXHIBITS 20, 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 44, 51) __addressed as follows:

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP 1875 ŒNTURY PARK EAST. 7™ FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DEFICE

1113 CAATA CARRAM CONTEST
1112 SANTA BARBARA STREET
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101
_X FAX
By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: (310) 861-1007 (Thomas Mesereau Jr.); (805) 568-2398 (Thomas Sneddon) Said transmission was reported complete and without error
Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(I), a transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.
MAIL
By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United
States Postal Service mall box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That
there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular
communication by mall between the place of malling and the place so addressed.
PERSONAL SERVICE
mitacts.com mitacts.com
By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with the person having charge thereof or by hand delivery
to the above mentioned parties.
EVENECC MANY
EXPRESS MAIL
By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, sub-post office, substation, mall chute, or other
like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail, in a sealed
envelope, with express mail postage paid.
I certify under penalty of periury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 15 TH day of

NOVEMBER , 20 04 , at Santa Maria, California.