SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

STREET ADDRESS:

312-C East Cook Street

MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE:

P.O. Box 5369

BRANCH NAME:

Santa Maria, CA 93456-5369

Cook Division

People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

NOV 0 4 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY CARRIEL WAGNER, Deputy Clerk

CASE NUMBER: 1133603

FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING OPPOSITIONS TO MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE PURSUANT TO PEN. C. § 1424 AND REPLY THERETO

On October 20, 2004, the District Attorney filed is Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Recusal of District Attorney's Office under conditional seal. The redacted version was released on October 20, 2004. On October 28, 2004, the AG filed its Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Recusal. The redacted version was released on October 29, 2004. On November 1, 2004, the Defendant filed his Reply. The redacted version was released that same day. On November 4, 2004, the court granted the motions to seal the documents.

The Court makes the following findings:

The Oppositions and Reply in unredacted form identify the complaining witness and his family by name as well as other potential witnesses in this proceeding. These names are the only items redacted from the documents.

In this case, protection of the Defendant's right to a fair trial and protection of the identity of the minor complaining witness and his family overcomes the right of public access to the record. A substantial probability exists that those interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested. The intensity of the media coverage in this case is unprecedented. Each court hearing is thoroughly reported and exhaustively analyzed by the media. It is substantially probable that if the evidence expected to be given at trial were to be released pretrial, it would be similarly reported and analyzed. The sealing order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the available jury pool by limiting its exposure to the expected evidence and testimony pretrial and to prevent exposure to inadmissible items of evidence. The Court has consistently held that because of the pervasive media coverage in this case, the Defendant's right to a fair trial outweighs public access. Those findings are relevant here and incorporated by reference. [See Findings and Order re: Motion for Protective Order, filed January 23, 2004 and Findings and Order re: Sealing of Search Warrant Materials, filed January 23, 2004]

There are no less restrictive means to protect those interests. The extraordinary circumstances present in this case overcome the presumption that cautionary admonitions and instructions to the jury pool would have a curative effect. It is far more desirable to avoid the prejudice in the first instance than to hope to identify unaffected jurors later.

The Court acknowledges that its order must be narrowly tailored to accommodate the maximum public disclosure. Redacted versions of these documents were released as noted above.

Dated: NCV 0 4 2004

Judge of the Superior Court
RODNEY S. March 1995



PROOF OF SERVICE 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesald. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On NOVEMBER 4, 20 04, I served a copy of the attached FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING OPPOSITIONS TO MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE PURSUANT TO PEN. C. § 1424 AND REPLY THERETO _______addressed as follows:

THOMAS SNEDDON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
1112 SANTA BARBARA STREET
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.
COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP
1875 ŒNTURY PARK EAST. 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

mjfacts.com X FAX		
(310) 861-1007 (Thomas Mese	thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: <u>(805 reau, Jr.)</u> , Said transmission was report fourt 2005(I), a transmission report was projed hereto.	ted complete and without error.
States Postal Service mail box there is delivery service by the	thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with posin the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Bard United States Postal Service at the place so add n the place of mailing and the place so addresse	para, addressed as above. That lressed or that there is a regular
PERSONAL SERVICE		
By leaving a true copy to the above mentioned parties	thereof at their office with the person having ${\sf ch}$ i.	arge thereof or by hand delivery
like facility regularly maintaine	velope in a post office, mailbox, sub-post office, and by the United States Postal Service for rece	
envelope, with express mail poor in certify under penalty NOVEMBER , 20 04 , at Santa	of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct	. Executed this <u>4[™]</u> day of
	Carrie	LWagner