1 2 3	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133	O91182 FILED SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA NOV 0 3 2004	
4 5 6	SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer	
8 9	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298 Attorneys for Defendant	mifacts.com Linscaled pursua To leliblos conocionado Order	
11	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANT.	A BARBARA, COOK DIVISION	
14			
15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) CALIFORNIA,)	Case No. 1133603	
16	Plaintiffs,	PRIVILEGE LOG FOR COMPUTER RECORDS	
17	vs)	UNDER SEAL AND IN CAMERA	
18) MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,)	Honorable Rodney S. Melville Date: November 5, 2004	
20	Defendant.	Time: 8:30 am Dept: SM 8	
21			
22		20.5	
23			
24		I.	
25	njfacts.com <u>PRIVIL</u> I	EGE LOG	
26	In response to the Court's order on October 14, 2004, Mr. Jackson respectfully submits		
27	the following privilege log regarding computer records seized from Bradley Miller's Office. Mr.		
28			
		PRINT PCE LOC DOD GOLDNITTO TOGODOS	

Jackson has previously asserted the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine protection (PRIVILEGE LOG FOR EVIDENCE ITEMS 824, 825, AND 826 (Evid. Code, § 954) dated August 13, 2004; SUPPLEMENTAL PRIVILEGE LOG REGARDING 20 DOCUMENTS (Evid. Code, § 954) dated August 17, 2004), as to these documents. There is one additional record, an email dated February 14, 2004, that was inadvertently omitted from previous privilege logs, that is also protected by attorney-client privilege and/or work product.

If the description of the items is not sufficient for the Court to be able to make a determination, Mr. Jackson respectfully requests that an independent referee view the records to determine whether they are subject to the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine.

Therefore, counsel initially believed it would be feasible to submit these 21 documents to the Court for review. However, upon closer review of the documents, they disclose such attorney work product that disclosure to the trial judge may cause prejudice to one party or the other in this litigation. Mr. Jackson requests that, if necessary, a referee be appointed and that, the referee be a sitting judge and that the referee not share his or her conclusions or observations with the Court.

I. ITEM 824

A. 19 Electronic Mail Messages

Microsoft Outlook Express Format

- Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated February 14, 2003, "Re: Confidential Client." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the investigation conducted by Mr. Miller.
- 2. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated February 24, 2003, "Re: Confidential Client." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the investigation conducted by Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller requests instructions from Mr. Geragos.
- 3. Email from Mark Geragos to Brad Miller dated March 4, 2003, "Re: MSNBC Newslink:

 Jackson resorts to voodoo." This is a one page email regarding investigation that

 includes a link to an article on www.msnbc.com. Mr. Miller informs Mr. Geragos that a

	article regarding Mr. Jackson.
4.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 17, 2003, "Re: CONFIDENTIAL
	CLIENT." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of Mr. Miller's
l	investigation. This email also discusses billing matters. There are two ".pdf'
	attachments to this email. (See below.) Mr. Miller requests instructions from Mr.
	Geragos.
5.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 18, 2003, "Re: The latest on
	CONFIDENTIAL." This is a one page updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the
	investigation conducted by Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller requests instructions from Mr.
	Geragos.
6.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 19, 2004, "Re:
mif	IMPORTANT/MISCELLANEOUS." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on
	the status of the investigation conducted by Mr. Miller. The email also discusses billing
	matters. Mr. Miller requests instructions from Mr. Geragos.
7.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 21, 2003, "Re: CONFIDENTIAL
	CLIENT." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the
	investigation conducted by Mr. Miller.
8.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 31, 2003, "Re: CONFIDENTIAL
	CLIENT." This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the
	investigation conducted by Mr. Miller.
9.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 3, 2003, "Re: VARIOUS." This is
	a one page email updating Mr. Geragos on the status of the investigation conducted by
- 16.	Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller also provides updates to Mr. Geragos regarding other cases.
10.	Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 8, 2003, "Re: GOOD
	MORNING/AFTERNOON." This is a one page email regarding investigation of the
	present case, as well as various other cases. This email is primarily concerned with

- billing related matters involving the present case and other cases.
- 11. Email from Mark Geragos to Brad Miller dated April 8, 2003, "Re: GOOD MORNING/AFTERNOON." This is a one page email regarding investigation of the present case, as well as various other cases. This email is primarily concerned with billing related matters involving the present case and other cases.
- 12. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 8, 2003, "Re: GOOD MORNING/AFTERNOON." This is a one page email regarding investigation of the present case, as well as various other cases. This email is primarily concerned with billing related matters involving the present case and other cases.
- 13. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 11, 2003, "Re: CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT." This is a one page email requesting instructions from Mr. Geragos regarding the investigation.
- 14. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 23, 2003. The subject heading of this email falls under the attorney-client privilege. This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos regarding Mr. Miller's investigation and asking Mr. Geragos to call him for clarification.
- 15. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 24, 2003. The subject heading of this email falls under the attorney-client privilege. This is a one page email updating Mr. Geragos regarding Mr. Miller's investigation.
- 16. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated April 28, 2003, "Re: Confidential Client."

 This is a one page email requesting instructions from Mr. Geragos regarding the investigation.
- 17. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated May 21, 2003, "Re: Miscellaneous."

 This is a one page email regarding billing matters related to this case and another highprofile case.
- 18. Email from Mark Geragos to <u>"rudd@geragos.com"</u>, Cc: Brad Miller, dated May 22, 2003, "Fw: Miscellaneous." This is a one page email regarding billing matters related to

Microsoft Outlook Format

19. Email from Brad Miller to Mark Geragos dated March 17, 2003, "Re: CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT." This is a one page email regarding investigation, with two ".pdf' attachments. (See below.) This email appears to be identical to Number 4 above.

B. 2 Electronic Documents

- 1. Two page billing ledger regarding "Confidential Client," in PDF format, attached to an email (Numbers 4 and 19 above) sent to Mark Geragos. This document relates to the present case and is a summary of Mr. Miller's billing on the case. Mr. Miller lists activities, hours, his rate, a total fee and the balance.
- One page bill in PDF format dated May 1, 2003 for "Account ID: G&G/Geragos/Summary" related to the present case. This appears to be a summary of Mr. Miller's billing regarding this case. Mr. Miller lists activities, hours, his rate, a total fee and the balance.

II.

THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE SEIZED COMPUTERS ARE SUBJECT TO OTHER OBJECTIONS OR PRIVILEGES THAT SHOULD BE ASSERTED, BUT WOULD BE BETTER ASSERTED BY MR. MILLER, MS. TAVASCI, OR THEIR COUNSEL

The vast majority of the materials contained on the computers seized from both Mr. Miller and Ms. Tavasci have nothing to do with the government's investigation of Mr. Jackson. In particular, Bradley Miller's computers contain a tremendous amount of information related to other cases for which attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine should be asserted. Thousands of records are contained on Mr. Miller's computers. The wholesale invasions into the business and personal affairs of Mr. Miller and Ms. Tavasci raise concerns regarding their right to privacy. As officers of the Court, we have brought this invasion to the Court's attention, however, because we represent neither computer owner, we are not in a position to assert privileges or objections on their behalf. If the Court decides that these materials must be viewed

mifacts.com

1	in order to make a determination, Mr. Jackson respectfully requests that an independent referee		
2	view the records to determine whether they are attorney client privileged or subject to other		
3	objections.	١	
4	Dated: November 3, 2004		
5	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu		
7	SANGER & SWYSEN		
8	Robert M. Sanger		
9	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Prian Oxman		
10			
11	By: Robert M. Sanger		
12	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON		
13	mifacts.com mifacts.com mifacts.com	n	
14	Injudets.com injudets.com injudets.com		
15			
16			
17			
18	mifacts.com mjfacts.com		
19	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
20	•		
21			
22.			
23			
24	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
25	injiacts.com injiacts.com injiacts.com		
26 27	·		
28			
: 6			
- 1	PRIMITEGE LOC FOR COLONIARIO PEGODOS		