1	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY		
2	County of Santa Barbara  By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)		
3	By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Schior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)		
4	Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)  GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)		
5	Schior Deputy District Attorney  CARRIE L. WAGMER, STRUKTONER  1112 Santa Barbara Street		
6	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398		
7	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA		
10	SANTA MARIA DIVISION		
11			
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, \ No. 1133603		
13	Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR		
14	v. ) COURT'S REVIEW OF		
15	PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO PEOPLE'S REPLY TO PEOPLE'S REPLY TO		
16	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY		
17	Defendant.		
18	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
19	DATE Newsphor 4, 2004		
20	DATE: November 4, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m.		
21	DEPT: TBA (Mclville)		
22	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,		
23	ROBE <mark>RT SA</mark> NGER AND BRIAN OXM <mark>AN, HI</mark> S ATTORNEYS OF RECOR <mark>D, AN</mark> D TO		
24	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:		
25	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 4, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon		
26	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and		
27	hereby does, request the Court to review Plaintiff's Supplement to People's Reply to		
28	Defendant's Motion To Compel Discovery, filed contemporaneously with this Motion, to		

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING SUPPLEMENT RE DISCOVERY

determine for itself whether an order directing that the Supplement to Reply is an appropriate document for scaling, and that the Supplement to Reply be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, may not be sufficient to justify sealing the specified motion pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald-McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: October 29, 2004

mifacts.com

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

District Attorney

Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior

Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. 1 am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed Plaintiff's Supplement to People's Reply to Defendant's Motion to Compel Discovery requests that the Court determine for itself whether the Supplement to Reply does not, in its opinion, itself reveal any information that would warrant sealing.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that the Ex Parte Supplement to People's Reply re Discovery should remain under conditional seal until the appropriateness of scaling the document and, if sealing is ordered, of the release of a redacted version of the opposition is determined by the court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on October 29, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

## Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
  - (2) The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

## Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the scaling of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

////	
1111	

28 ////

.19

DATED: October 29, 2004 Respectfully submitted. THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff mjfacts.com 

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING SUPPLEMENT RE DISCOVERY

## PROOF OF SERVICE

SS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On October 29, 2004, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO PEOPLE'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY and PROPOSED ORDER on THEODORE BOUTROUS, Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A.

MESEREAU, JR., and ROBERT SANGER, by transmitting a true copy to each counsel at the facsimile number shown on the attached Service List.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 29th day of October, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

...

SERVICE LIST COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., Esq. Susan Yu, Esq. 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: [Confidential] Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson SANGER & SWYSEN, Lawyers Robert M. Sanger, Esq. 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 Co-counsel for Defendant GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER Theodore Boutrous, Esq. William E. Thomson, Esq. Julian Poon, Esq. 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 FAX: (213) 229-6758 Counsel for (collectively) "Media"