1 2 3 4 5	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Scnior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) Scnior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-23000 FAX: (805) 568-2398						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA						
10	SANTA MARIA DIVISION						
11							
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, No. 1133603						
13 14 15	v. Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER v. DIRECTING THAT PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REDUCE BAIL						
16 17 18	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, Defendant. Defendant.						
19	- Under SEAL						
20	DATE: November 4, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: TBA (Melville)						
23	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,						
24	ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO						
25	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:						
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 4, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon						
27	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does,						
28	move for an order directing that Plaintiff's Response to Desendant's Motion to Reconsider						

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR BAIL REDUCTION

Order Denying Bail Reduction, filed under scal contemporaneously with this Request for Conditional Sealing, be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Ronald J. Zonen, are sufficient to justify sealing the specified motion pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Ronald J.

Zonen and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: October 25, 2004

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

By: _______

Ronald J. Zonen, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

.com mjfacts.cor

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

I. Ronald J. Zonen, say:

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to conditionally seal Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Bail Reduction is made on the ground that the Response makes reference to evidence and events not previously made public in this proceeding.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Bail Reduction until the appropriateness of the release of a reducted version of the Response is determined by the court.
- 4. I believe an order maintaining this Response under scal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that pleading could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on October 25, 2004.

Ronald J. Zonen

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under scal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
 - (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order scaling the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the scaling of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under scal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under scal], the lodged record will be conditionally under scal."

////
mifacts.com
////

28 ////

IS

DATED: October 25, 2004 Respectfully submitted, THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara By: Ronald J. Zonen, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO SEAL RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR BAIL REDUCTION

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

SS

ļ

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On October 18, 2004, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THAT PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING BAIL REDUCTION, ETC. on Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. and ROBERT SANGER, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to Mr. Sanger at his office in Santa Barbara, then faxing a true copy to other counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the attached Service List (except Mr. Sanger and Mr. Oxman), and then by causing to be mailed a true copy to each counsel at those addresses.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 25th day of October, 2004.

Chris Linz

jfacts.com mjfacts.

mjfacts.com

36:

.				SERVICE.	LIST		
2	njfacts	.com					
3		GIBSON,	DUNN & C	RUTCHER, LLP			
4		William E.	Thomson, l	RUTCHER, LLP Jr., Esq. Esq.			
5		333 S. Gra	n. Esq. ınd Avenue				
6		Los Angel FAX: (213	es, CA 9007 () 229-7520	1-3197			
7				vely) "Media"			
8			A. MESER				
9		Collins, M	lesereau, Red	ldock & Yu, LLP			
01		Los Angel	ury Park Eas es, CA 9006	17			
11	a di	0 -	NFIDENTIA				
12		Allorney I	or Detendan	t Michael Jackson			
13	mifact	ROBERT	SANGER, E	ESQ.			
14	IIIJIacc	233 E. Car	Swysen, Lav Tillo Street,	Suite C			
15		Santa Bart FAX: (805	oara, CA 930 5) 963-7311	001			
	Co-counsel for Defendant						
16							
17		•					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23		•					
24	mifact						
25							
26							
27							
28							
ĺ	1			7			