1	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara	SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BANGARA	
2	By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)		
3	Scnior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 1502)	OCT 19 2024	
4	Schior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)	GARY M. ELAIR, Executive Othor:  Y CARRIEL WAGNES OF Sury Clark  CARRIEL WAGNES OF Sury Clark	
5	Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street	CARRIE L WAGNER District	
6	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398		
7	mjfacts.com m		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA		
10	SANTA MARIA DIVISION		
11			
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )	No. 1133603	
13	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF	
14	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF	
15	}	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY TO DETERMINE	
16	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,	WHETHER SEALING IS APPROPRIATE; DECLARATION	
17	Defendant.	OF GORDON AUCHINCLOSS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS	
18	mitacts.com	AND AUTHORITIES	
19	,	EDIDER SEAL	
20		DATE: November 5, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m.	
21		DEPT: TBA (Mclville)	
22	TO AGOVARI TOP LACKSON AND TO THE	JOMAS A MESSEDEAL ID	
	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,		
23	ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO		
24	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:		
25	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 5, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon		
26	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and		
27	hereby does, request the Court to review Plaintiff's Molion for Discovery, filed		
28	contemporaneously with this Motion, to determine for itse	elf whether an order directing that the	

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

Motion for Discovery is an appropriate document for sealing., and that the Motion be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gordon Auchineloss, may not be sufficient to justify sealing the specified motion pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gordon Auchineless and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: October 19, 2004

nifacts.com THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

By:

Gordon Auchincloss, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

njfacts.com mjfacts.com

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery, and requesting that the Court determine for itself whether the motion is appropriate for scaling, is made on the ground that the Motion for Discovery does not, in the undersigned's opinion, itself reveal any information that would warrant scaling.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that the Motion for Discovery should remain under conditional seal until the appropriateness of sealing the document and, if scaling is ordered, of the release of a redacted version of the opposition is determined by the court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on October 19, 2004.

S.COIII

Gordon Auchinck

28.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 ct seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

## Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
  - (2) The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

## Rule 243.1(c) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

///hjfacts.com	
1111	

mjfacts.com <sup>4</sup> mjf

28

DATED: October 19, 2004





Respectfully submitted, Factor of

mjfacts.com

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara

By:

Gordon Auchincloss, Senior Deputy

Anomeys for Plaintiff

mifacts.com

mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mifacts.com



mjfacts.com



mifacts.com



mifacts.com



## PROOF OF SERVICE

mjfacts.com

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
SS

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse: 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On October 19, 2004, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY and PROPOSED ORDER on THEODORE BOUTROUS, Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, IR. and ROBERT SANGER, by personally delivering a true copy to Mr. Sanger's Office and then transmitting a true copy thereof to Mr. Mesereau by facscimile, at (310) 284-3122.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 19th day of October, 2004.

mjfacts.com

Chris Linz

8: