1 2 3	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Num Susan Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone (310)284-3120 Facsimile (310)284-3133	& YU ber 091182  FILED  SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA  OCT 0 8 2009			
5 6 7	SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805)962-4887 FAX(805)963-7311	CARRIEL STUBBLE Debuty Clerk			
9	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fc Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562)921-5058, Fax.;(562)921-2928		) Tel		
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CLESCOM				
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  Plaintiffs,  vs.  MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,  Defendant.	Case No. 1133603  APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL WITHOUT SERVICE TO PROSECUTION MR. JACKSON'S IN CAMERA EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR IN CAMERA HEARING ON OCTOBER 14, 2004  FILED UNDER SEAL.  Honorable Rodney Melville  Trial Date: January 31, 2005 Time: 8:30 am. Dept: SM 2			
25		·			
26	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:				
27	Defendant Michael J. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that the				
28	A 11 11 1 1 1 1 1				

1	accompanying In Camera Ex Parte Application for In Camera Hearing on				
2	October 14, 2004 be filed under seal; and that the prosecution not be served with				
3	said documents and that the public including the media, not be served with this				
4	application; and for such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and				
5	proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of attorney-client				
6	privilege and Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth,				
7	Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article				
8	I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.				
9	i de la companya de				
10	Dated: October 8, 2004 Respectfully submitted,				
11	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK &				
12	Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan Yu				
13	hifacts.com mifacts.com SANGER & SWYSEN				
14	Robert M. Sanger				
15	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman				
16 17					
18	By: Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.				
19	Anomeys for defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON				
20	I A STATE OF THE S				
21					
22	و الله				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	Application to Start G				
- 11	Application to file In Comern Ex Parts Application for In Comment				

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mifacts.com

# THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
  - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;
  - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest. (California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

## OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of attorney-client privilege, as well as Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

The overriding interest of attorney-client privilege justifies an order that the accompanying document be filed under seal. Confidential communications between a defendant and his or her lawyer relating to the attorney's representation of the defendant and the status of that relationship are

б

III

/// ///

**7**  privileged. (California Evidence Code section 952.) There is no right of public access to materials covered by the attorney client privilege.

Furthermore, Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the accompanying document is disclosed to the public. A person accused of a crime is entitled to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Releasing even the name of the pleading would be detrimental to Mr. Jackson because it would infringe upon Mr. Jackson's rights by tainting the jury pool with prejudicial information.

Moreover, disclosure of the information in the accompanying exparte application information to the prosecutor would further compromise the rights.

In order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the accompanying document be filed under seal and not be served on the prosecution nor that this application be served on the public and the media.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that the accompanying In Camera Ex Parte Application for In Camera Hearing on October 14, 2004 be filed under seal and that the

mifacts.com

1	prosecution not be served with said documents and that the public including the			
2	media, not be served with this application.			
3	Dated: October 8, 2004	COLLINS, MESEREAÙ, Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan Yu	REDDOCK & YU	
5		SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger		
6 7	mifacts.com	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman		
8				
9	Ву:	Thomas A Masareau II		
10		Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Attorneys for defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JAC	W S O N	
11		MICHAEL JOSEI II JAC	RSON	
12	mifacts.com			
13				
14				
15	ا فرائف			
16				
17	mjfacts.co			
18				
19				
20				
21	<b>90</b> 8 ·			
22	Oin.			
23	mjfacts.com			
24				
25	,			
26	- 6 66			
27	die.			
المحا				

### DECLARATION OF THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR

<sup>2</sup>injfacts.com

I, Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., declare:

I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, and lead counsel for Michael Jackson.

2. It is necessary that the accompanying In Camera Ex Parte
Application For In Camera Hearing On October 14, 2004 be filed under seal;
that it not be served on the prosecution, and that this application not be served
on the public or media in order to protect the overriding interests of attorneyclient privilege and Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 8th day of October, 2004 at Los Angeles, California.

Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr

m

mjfacts.com