1	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUPERIOR COURT TO
2	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  County of Santa Barbara  By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)
3	Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)  SEP 28 2004
4	Senior Deputy District Attorney  1105 Santa Barbara Street  Santa Barbara CA 93101  GARY M. B. AIR. Executive Officer  BY CANCE & Wagner  CARRIEL WAGNER  CARR
5	Santa Barbara, CA 93101  CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clark  Telephone: (805) 568-2300
6	FAX: (805) 568-2398
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
9	SANTA MARIA DIVISION
10	
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ) No. 1133603
12	Plaintiff, NOTICE OF MOTION AND
13	MOTION FOR ORDER  MITAGES CON DIRECTING THAT SEARCH
14	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, WARRANT NOS. SW 5141-5152, THE SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT
15	Defendant. ) FOR EACH, AND ANY RETURN Defendant. ) BE FILED AND MAINTAINED
16	UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF
7	COURT; DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN;
8	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES;
	mjracts.com mjracts.com
9	DATE: October 14, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m.
20	DEPT: SM 2 (Melville)
21	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, STEVE
22	COCHRAN, and ROBERT SANGER, HIS ATTURNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:
23	
4	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 14, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon
25	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does,
6	move for an order directing that the following records be maintained under conditional seal
7	until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq:
8	The warrants for the search of the records of American Express
	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING SEARCH WARRANT NOS. 5141-5152
1	I DURITHE COMMENTAGE STRUCTURE AND

(warrant no. SW 5141), Citicorp Credit Services (SW 5142), Bank of America (SW 5143), Bergdorf Goodman/Nieman Marcus (SW 5144), Capital One Bank (SW 5145), Chevron/Standard Oil (SW 5146), Federated Dept. Stores (Bloomingdales/Macys) (SW 5147), Bank One/First USA Bank (SW 5148), Fleet Credit Card Sves (SW 5149), MBNA America (SW 5150), GE Consumer Card Sves (Mobil) (SW 5151), and Nordstroms Card Sves (SW 5152) were issued on September 23, 2004, together with the supporting affidavit for SW 5141-5152 and the return, if any, on each warrant.

The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify sealing the specified records pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: September 27, 2004

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.

District Attorney

Gerald McC. Frankin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

 I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to seal records pertains to search warrants for American Express (warrant no. SW 5141), Citicorp Credit Services (SW 5142), Bank of America (SW 5143), Bergdorf Goodman/Nieman Marcus (SW 5144), Capital One Bank (SW 5145), Chevron/Standard Oil (SW 5146), Federated Dept. Stores (Bloomingdales/Macys) (SW 5147), Bank One/First USA Bank (SW 5148), Flect Credit Card Svcs (SW 5149), MBNA America (SW 5150), GE Consumer Card Svcs (Mobil) (SW 5151), and Nordstroms Card Svcs (SW 5152) for the seizure of certain records, together with the affidavit supporting all of them and the returns on each, when filed. Warrant Nos. SW 5141-5152 were executed on September 23, 2004 and no returns have yet been filed. A warrant, its supporting affidavit and the return thereon, by statute, are not open to public inspection until the return to a given warrant has been filed or within 10 days after the warrant was issued, whichever is later.
- 3. The information set out in the affidavit in support of SW Nos. 5141-5152 expands upon the confidential information gained by investigators in the course of the ongoing investigation and set out in the original warrant for the search of Neverland Ranch, most of which was sealed by order of this Court pending trial in order to preserve the right of both parties to a fair trial. In addition, the affidavit makes reference to information gathered by investigators following execution of the warrant for the Neverland Ranch search, which they regard as confidential and which would be prejudicial to defendant's right to a fair trial if disclosed to the public prior to trial and while the investigation itself is still underway.
- 4. I believe the information set out in the search warrant affidavit is privileged information within the meaning of Evidence Code sections 1040, subdivision (a) and 1042, subdivision (b), and as information relating to the investigation of alleged child molestation offenses, it may also be privileged pursuant to the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act,

Penal Code sections 11164 through 1117.4. I hereby claim and assert that privilege.

- 5. I therefore believe that the interest in a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to the search warrant records, and supports the sealing of those records until the investigation has been concluded.
- 6. I believe an order maintaining those records under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to those records could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara. California on September 27, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

Penal Code section 1534, subdivision (a) provides:

(a) A search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days after date of issuance. A warrant executed within the 10-day period shall be deemed to have been timely executed and no further showing of timeliness need be made. After the expiration of 10 days, the warrant, unless executed, is void. The documents and records of the court relating to the warrant need not be open to the public until the execution and return of the warrant or the expiration of the 10-day period after issuance. Thereafter, if the warrant has been executed, the documents and records shall be open to the public as a judicial record.

In PSC Geothermal Services Co. v. Superior Court (1994) 25 Cal.4th 1697, our Supreme Court noted:

"Section 1534 provides that the documents associated with the warrant are public documents 10 days after its execution. Typically after the search, arrests are made. There is no exception in the statute for instances, such as that here, where the search is used to further an ongoing investigation. Such information, however, may be privileged as official information under Evidence Code sections 1040, subdivision (a) and 1042, subdivision (b)." (Id., at p. 1714.)

Evidence Code section 1040, subdivision (a) provides: "As used in this section, 'official information' means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made."

Evidence Code section 1042, subdivision (b) provides: "Notwithstanding subdivision (a) [requiring a court to make adverse findings adverse to the public entity upon any issue in a court proceeding to which privileged information is material], where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant official information or the identity of an informer in

order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a result of it." (Emphasis added.)

The procedure for scaling records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Search warrants, their supporting affidavits and the returns thereto are open to the public within 10 days of issuance or until the warrant is executed and returned, whichever is earlier. (Pen. Code, § 1534, subd. (a).)

## Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
  - (2) The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed scaling is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

## Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

1	DATED: September 27, 2004
2	Respectfully submitted
3 4	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara
5	By: Moreld Mich. Jankle
6	Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
9	
10	
11	
12	208-
13	mifacts com
14	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
20	
21	
22	
23	Jos. Jos. Jos.
24	mifacts.com mifacts.com mjfacts.com
25	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
26 27	
28	
20	7

## PROOF OF SERVICE

2 mifacts.co

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On September 27, 2004, I served the within NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THAT SEARCH WARRANT NOS. SW 5141-5152, THE SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT FOR ALL OF THEM AND ANY RETURN TO BE FILED BE FILED AND MAINTAINED UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF COURT; DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES on Media's counsel, and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., STEVE COCHRAN, and ROBERT SANGER, by causing to be mailed a true copy to each counsel at the address shown on the attached Service List.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 27th day of September, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

К

## SERVICE LIST

mifacts.com

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., Esq.
William E. Thomson, Esq.
Julian Poon, Esq.
333 S. Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Attorneys for (collectively) "Media"

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP 1875 Century Park East, No. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: (310) 284-3122

Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson

STEVE COCHRAN, ESQ.
Katten, Muchin, Zavis & Rosenman, Lawyers
2029 Century Park East, Suitc 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012
FAX: (310) 712-8455
Co-counsel for Defendant

ROBERT SANGER, ESQ.
Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers
233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C
Santa Barbara, CA 93001
FAX: (805) 963-7311
Co-counsel for Defendant

i