2 3	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Management of the Collins of the Coll	SEP 14 C C
4 5 6	SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	CARRIE L. VIA LINES, L. Spiny Clerk
8 9 10	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	mjfacts.com
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION	
15		
16 17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF (CALIFORNIA, (CALIFORNIA)	Case No. 1133603
18	Plaintiffs, facts.com	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL SEAL
19	vs.	Honorable Rodney S. Melville
20) MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,)	Date: September 16, 2004 Time: 10:00 am. Dept: SM 8
21		Dept. SM 8
22	Defendant.	2 · 9 2 3 ·
23		ie.
24	mifacts.com mifa	cts.com mjfacts.com
25	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:	
26	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that the accompanying MR. IACKSON'S	
27	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUMRE: A LIMIT TO CROSS-EXAMINATION OF	
28	466	66.9 •
	mjfacts.com	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

1	JANE DOE and accompanying documents, be filed under seal and for such other such further relief	
2	as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr.	
3	Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments	
4	to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.	
5	Dated: September 14, 2004	
6	Respectfully submitted,	
7	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu	
9	SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger	
10	OXMAN & JAROSCAK	
11	Brian Oxmon	
12		
13	Robert M. Sanger Attorneys for Defendant	
14	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
16		
17		
18	mifacts.com mjfacts.com	
19	Injiacts.com injiacts.com	
20		
21		
22	ا فران ا فران ا	
23		
24	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com	
25		
26		
27		
28	THE A DOLL TO A WAY TO MY I I I WAY TO SELECT	
- 1	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL	

mjfacts.com 2

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;
 - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the documents will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the accompanying document is not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the

4 5

1	United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.
2	Material contained the accompanying document pertains to evidence and the testimony of
3	witnesses that, if made public, would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in
4	prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the
5	accompanying document be filed under seal.
6	CONCLUSION
7	For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that the
В	accompanying MR. JACKSON'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM RE: A
9	LIMIT TO CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JANE DOE and accompanying documents, be filed
10	under seal.
11	Dated: September 14, 2004
12	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.
13	Susan C. Yu Milacus Col
14	SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger
15	OXMAN & JAROSCAK
16	Brian Oxman
17	By Marsh
18	Attorneys for
19	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON
20	
21	
22	def.
23	mifacts.com mifacts.com mjfacts.cor
24	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.cor
25	
26	
27	
28	

mjfacts.com

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

.10

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- It is necessary that the accompanying MR. JACKSON'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM RE: A LIMIT TO CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JANE DOE and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 14th day of September, 2004 at Santa Barbara, California.

