SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara (State Bar. No. 39406)
By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) SEP 0 7 2004 2 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officur Senior Deputy District Attorney 3 GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) BY CANAL & WALGALL Senior Deputy District Attorney CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clork GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) 4 Senior Deputy District Attorney 5 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FILLED UNDER SEAL 6 FAX: (805) 568-2398 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA y runsealect pursuant to letteles court SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 1133603 No. 12 Plaintiff. PEOPLE'S SUPPLEMENTAL 13 RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO 14 DEFENSE MOTION TO SUPPRESS MICHAEL JOE JACKSON. 15 DATE: September 17, 2004 Defendant. 16 TIME: 8:20 a.m. DEPT .: SM 2 (Melville) 17 18 i 19 INTRODUCTION 20 There were 150 items seized pursuant to the November 18, 2003, execution of the 21 Search Wurrant on Neverland Valley Ranch. Of that number, 38 are not the subject of the 22

Search Wurrant on Neverland Valley Ranch. Of that number, 38 are not the subject of the Defense's motion. (These consist of 36 items taken from the Main Residence, 1 item from the Arcade (Item No. 517) and 1 item from the Security Building's Upstairs Video Library (Item No. 646).)

23

2.1

25

26

27

28

Of the remaining 112 items, the court has indicated its tentative view that 38 items were lawfully seized. The court expressly requested further argument concerning an additional 8 items, all taken from the Main Residence.

mjfacts.com mjfacts.com

This Memorandum discusses the remaining 66 items, 8 of which were taken from the Main Residence ("300 Series"), 12 from the Arcade ("500 Series"), 36 from the Security Office ("600 Series"), and 10 from the Office within the Security Building ("1000 Series").

For purposes of this response, the Security Building is described as the structure photographically depicted in Exhibit 39b. References to the "security office" refer to the office at the far left end of the structure. The office referred to as "Michael Jackson's Office" means those areas at the right end of the structure, including the museum area. The upstairs area of the Security Building will be called the Upstairs Apartment or Video Library.

Of these items, all but one of the 36 "600 Series" documents are the security personnel's daily logs or incident reports documenting visitors' presence on the ranch (Item Nos. 601–602; 610–642). Except for Item No. 610, all of these items either document the presence of one or more of the Doe Family, a suspected co-conspirator, or another individual prominently mentioned in the investigation. Six of the nine items taken from Michael Jackson's office in the Security Building are a series of photographs of a semi-nude male (Item Nos. 1003–1008).

Items Not Being Contested.

Ó

The People are not contesting the court's tentative ruling as to the following 12 items: Item Nos. 319, 330, 331, 501, 502, 503, 504, 506, 507, 513, 610, and 643.

Items Contested But Returnable.

There are an additional eight items (Nos. 1003–1008, the photographic series of a semi-nude male; No. 348, the cornera, and No. 354, the 2002 calendar), as well as two of the three tapes found in the safe (packaged together by the seizing officer as Item No. 368, and particularly identified as 368a, 368b and 368c), that the People believe were justifiably seized pursuant to the search warrant. Since they lack evidentiary value, we are willing to return them to the Defense.

Attorney-Client Privilege Items.

Lastly, Item Nos. 312 and 318 were lodged with the court pursuant to the Defense claim of attorney-client privilege. Those items were among the items concerning which the

f

1X

court requested further argument. Without viewing the items, it is impossible for the People to address the court's concern or articulate why these items were appropriately seized pursuant to the search warrant.

H

APPLICABLE LAW

A. Introduction

Except as indicated above under the heading "Items Not Contested," we respectfully submit that the items concerning which the court requested has argument and numerous items that the court tentatively ruled would be suppressed were lawfully seized.

To assist the Court in its evaluation of the nature and content of the items to be discussed in light of the proffered justification, a notebook with a photocopy of each Exhibit, identified by its Item number, accompanies this Response. The People believe the Court will see that many items it tentatively decided to suppress are indeed items within the ambit of the search warrant's authorization. As to other items not specifically authorized by the search warrant itself, the People will assert that the "in plain view doctrine" justified their seizure.

B. "Plain View"

The searching officers had a right to be in each of the locations searched (i.e., "The buildings described as the areade building, the main residence and the security headquarters, the locations of which are depicted on the aerial photograph attached as Attachment 'A-1' or (in the case of the security headquarters) in the photograph attached as 'A-2'") and to be searching in the areas within those buildings where these items were found in "plain view."

"The plain-view doctrine permits, in the course of a search authorized by a search warrant, the seizure of an item not listed in the warrant, if the police lawfully are in a position from which they view the item, if its incriminating character is immediately apparent, and if the officers have a lawful right of access to the object. (Horton v. California (1990) 496 U.S. 128, 135-137 [110 S.Ct. 2301, 2307-2308]; Texas v. Brown (1983) 460 U.S. 730, 739 [103 S.Ct. 1535, 1541-1542, 75 L.Ed.2d 502] (plur. opn.); see Minnesoto v. Dickerson (1993) 508 U.S. 366, 374-375 [113 S.Ct. 2130, 3136-2137, 124 L.Ed.2d 334].)"

An item's incriminating character is "immediately apparent" if its evidentiary significance is apparent "without conducting some further search of the object" beyond that required to identify the object to begin with." (Minnesota v. Dickerson (1993) 508 U.S. 366, 374-375 [113 S.Ct. 2130, 3136-2137, 124 L.Ed.2d 334].)

As articulated in Warden v. Hayden (1967) 387 U.S. 294 [87 S.Ct. 1642, 18 L.Ed.2d 782], a "nexus" must be established between the item to be seized and the criminal behavior. "Thus in the case of 'mere evidence,' probable cause must be examined in terms of cause to believe that the evidence sought will aid in a particular apprehension or conviction." (Id., 387 U.S. at p. 307.) In Texas v. Brown (1983) 460 U.S. 730 [103 S.Ct. 1535, 75 L.Ed.2d \$02], the Supreme Court noted that

probable cause is a flexible, conumon-sense standard. It merely requires that the facts available to the officer would "warrant a man of reasonable caution" in the helief that certain items may be contraband or stolen property or useful as evidence of a crime: it does not demand any showing that such a belief be correct or more likely true than false. A "practical, nontechnical" probability that incriminating evidence is involved is all that is required. [Citation.] (Id., 460 U.S. at 742.)

Ш

mjfacts.com DISCUSSION

A. Search of Main House - "300 Series" Items

1.Itcm No. 329a

Item No. 329 is described as an Apple Laptop PowerBook G-4 Projector and Computer Case, located by Det. McGillivray in a closet under the main entrance to the stairwell. The Court indicated in its tentative ruling that Item 329 was lawfully scized. Item 329a is described as several DVD-R and CD-R digital computer storage disks. These items were found inside of the case containing Item 329. They were discovered at the Santa Barbara Sheriff's Department after Item 329 had already been seized and booked into evidence. Their subsequent identification and designation as separate items was proper

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

because they are the type of evidence specifically authorized for seizure under paragraph 6.

Attachment B, to the search warrant for Neverland Ranch.

2. Item Nos. 333a and 334a

ŋ

Items 333 and 334 are cases containing laptop computers. Both items were found in one of the children's bedrooms in the main residence pursuant to the search warrant, removed from the premises and booked into the Santa Barbara Sheriff's Evidence Room. Items 333a and 334a were found later by Det. Bonner at the Sheriff's Department when the laptops were removed from the cases for examination. In the cord storage pocket of each computer case (Items 333 and 334) a piece of paper with names and phone numbers was found. These pieces of paper (given alpha numbers 333a and 334a, to identify them as relating to the cases in which they were found) came into plain view in the search of the lawfully-seized cases. Item 334a appears to be a Uganda identification document in the name of Grace Rwaramba. Both Items 333a and 334a were booked as evidence.

3. Item No. 340

Item No. 340 is a VHS tape cassette found in a cabinet located in one of the children's ruoms. Although the cassette itself bore no visible identifying information, several different paragraphs in the search warrant authorize seizure of videotapes. It should be noted that almost every building searched contained countless numbers of videos. Most had commercially produced labels or other identifying information. Given the large number of videotapes not seized, the searching officers obviously were very discreet in selecting the type and number of videos to seize. The lack of any label on the cassettes in question gave the seizing officer reason to believe they contained depictions of the types authorized for seizure.

4. Item No. 348

Item No. 348 is a digital camera. The camera was not operative at the time of its seizure. The camera was taken because the officer reasonably suspected it might contain photographs of individuals or images of the type specified in Attachment B, paragraph 1 ("Photographs, films, negative slides . . ."), paragraph 2 ("any photographs, negatives, slides . . .") and paragraph 4 ("any photograph, including but not limited to still photos . . .").

While it could be argued that a simple on-site check of the camera would have sufficed, the fact of access, whether on-site or later at the Sheriff's Department, would constitute a search. Since the camera was not in operating order when it was seized, and because it is easy to crase or compromise electronic media, the camera was removed and examined later by SBSO technical experts. Although lawfully seized, the People are willing to keep the images and return the camera.

5. Items Nos. 350, 351 and 352

Items Nos. 350, 351 and 352 all relate to the identity of the namy "Grace." The affidavit in support of the search warrant sets forth the details of a July 6, 2003, interview with Jane Doe. Among the individuals traveling on the private jet from Miami. Florida, to Neverland Ranch in early February of 2003, she named "...his [Michael Jackson's] children's namies" (See Affid. 22:4.) James Doe also mentioned the presence of the namies on the flight and supplied investigators with the names "Grace" and "Patti." (See Affid. 48:6.) It was for that reason that Exhibit 35, the Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department Operational Plan distributed to all individuals searching the premises, listed a "• Grace, namy" as a "Named Individual."

Item No. 350 is described as "Miscellaneous Paperwork." It was found by Det.

Janet Williams in one of the children's bedrooms. The item is a FedEx envelope addressed to a "Grace Quest" at the Mandarin Oriental Hotel in Miami. The envelope also bore the name "Katie Bernard" and a telephone number.

Item No. 351, also described as "Miscellaneous l'aperwork. That item was located within the nightstand in one of the children's bedrooms by Det. Williams. The Item is actually a bill or receipt for an advertisement placed for a job as "Governess/Tutor" by a "Grace Smith," giving the address of "California" and a telephone number.

Item No. 352, described as "Miscellaneous Paperwork." was found by Det.

Dallenbach inside a suitease in one of the children's bedrooms. The suitease contained numerous papers displaying the name "Grace Rwaramba" and identifying her residence as

These items were seized because they contained information potentially identifying the full name of the namy "Grace" who was on the flight from Miami to Neverland Ranch. The paperwork contained information directly linking her to Michael Jackson and contained address and contact information that would facilitate the investigators' efforts to find and interview her. The address information for "Grace Smith" (Item 351) and "Grace Rwaramba" (Item 352) is identical, suggesting she uses multiple last names.

6. Item No 353

Ī

б

ŋ

Item No. 353 is an invitation from Lisa Minelli to Evelyn Tavasci.

Evelyn Tavasci's name appears twice in the affidavit supporting the warrant for the search of Neverland Ranch. In both instances, she is described as Michael Jackson's "personal assistant." (See Alfid, 21:9-10; 59:13-15.) The affidavit relates her involvement in putting James Doe in contact with Michael Jackson for the Martin Bashir filming at Neverland Ranch in the Fall of 2002, and the possibility she was the custodian of items of property (a laptop computer and a Bronco SUV) Michael Jackson had given to the Doe family and which were returned to him with her assistance. For these reasons her name is one of those shown on the "Named Individuals" list (p. 003505), part of Exhibit 35.

In the course of searching for items listed in the search warrant, officers observed Item 353 in Michael Jackson's master bedroom. The invitation contains address information that would assist investigators in contacting Evelyn Tavasci, as well as corroborating the Doe family's accounts of events they described to detectives. The document links her to Michael Jackson. The item provides detectives with a lead which might corroborate the Doe family's assertion that the defendant gave them gifts. An interview of Ms. Tavasci might reveal whether she was the recipient of and possibly the custodian of the laptop computer and the Bronco SUV, or had knowledge of the whereabouts of those items of property.

The invitation is dated "December 5, 2002." This date falls between Martin Bashir filming at Neverland Ranch in late 2002 that Ms. Tavasci helped arrange and the airing in

mifacts.com

 February, 2003 of Bushir's film ("Living With Michael Jackson") containing some of that footage shot at Neverland Ranch. The invitation's date would reasonably suggest to the seizing officer that it contained information that was current potentially useful and contemporaneous with events connected with the investigation.

7. Item No. 354

Item No. 354 is a November, 2003 calendar. The calendar contains information about Michael Jackson's itinerary and whereabouts that month. The calendar contains information about Michael Jackson's plun for a trip to Capetown, South Africa, in the latter part of November. At the time the warrant was prepared, Mr. Jackson's whereabouts were unknown. On November 17, 2003, a Santa Barbara County Superior Court judge issued the search warrant for Neverland Ranch and an arrest warrant for Mr. Jackson, with bail set at \$3 million and, as a condition to bail, that his passport be surrendered.

Item 354 was in plain view and seized by officers to facilitate locating and arresting Michael Jackson. His travel schedule for the days immediately following execution of the search warrant could have proved very useful in that endeavor. The fact that the itinerary showed defendant was planning to leave the country before the end of the month was important information with regard to his potential departure date and would have been useful in apprehending the defendant or facilitating the assistance of other agencies, including U.S. Customs. Although defendant subsequently posted bail and surrendered his passport, making use of the information unnecessary, certainly at the time of the search warrant's execution, the importance of this item was obvious and its seizure reasonable.

Although the People believe that the item was lawfully seized, it has no present evidentiary value. The People therefore agree voluntarily to return this item to the defense.

8. Item No. 368

Item No. 368 consists of three tapes taken from the safe inside Michael Jackson's master bathroom by Sgt. Ben Ruth. One tape is a surveillance video with "Larry. Security Tape" written on the outside of the tape. The second has "M.I. Rehearsals and Poppers" written on the outside. A third tape had no identifying information and was found to be blank.

The search warrant specifically authorized the seizure of tapes and video. In light of the Affiduvit's references to Jackson's surveillance and monitoring activities, Sgr. Ruth had probable cause to believe the tape might contain evidence connected to the investigation and was also evidence in itself that the defendant engaged in such conduct. The fact that the tapes were stored in a safe was evidence of the significance defendant attached to them.

The first tape may have evidentiary value in the ongoing investigation and prosecution. The other two tapes lack evidentiary value, and the People are willing to return those to the defense.

B. Search of Arcade - "500 Series" Items

1. Item No. 510a

?

Item No. 510a is simply a portion of the underwear found in Item No. 510 and repackaged at the Sheriff's Department as a separate item following the seizure of Item 510 and an examination of its contents. Item 510 is listed in the court's tentative ruling as lawfully seized. The items repackaged as 510a were sent to the DOJ lab for evaluation. The ruling as to Item 510 should apply equally to Item 510a.

2. Item Nos. 514 and 516.

Item No. 514, a vial, was found locked in the upstairs office in the Arcade Building and seized by Sgt. Conn Abel.

Seizing officers were aware that the room belonged to Michael Jackson from representations made by Violet Silva, who opened the locked room at the officers' request. In addition to the vial, numerous prescription drug containers in at least four different names, including that of Co-Conspirator No.3, were seized. The officer also seized the bottles displaying the name of Co-Conspirator No.3 bottles (Item No. 515) and prescription information (Item No. 518). (See discussion below.)

In the opinion of Sgt. Abel, a former narcotics detective who will be called to testify on September 16th, Item 514 is the kind of container used for tightly controlled injectable substances. There was a small amount of liquid remaining in the bottom. The label on the vial had been torn off. It is illegal to dispense prescription medication without a label (Bus. & Prof.

Code, § 4076). There would be no reason for anyone to remove the label if he lawfully possessed the controlled drug to begin with. At least one jurisdiction has held that probable cause may be based, in part, upon the defendant's possession of a prescription pill bottle which bore no label, suggesting no current medical use. (Mavin v. Commonwealth (1999) 31 Va.App. 161, 164 [521 S.E.2d 784, 786].) Det. Abel had good reason to selze Item 514 as contraband.

Item No 516 contains numerous pieces of paper, but the one of most interest to the seizing officer was the paper with the notations about "Buprenex" and its reference to being a substitute for Demerol. The seizing officer was aware that Demerol is dispensed in vials identical to that found in Item 514, which is further evidence of the fact that Item 514 may have contained a controlled substance.

3. Item Nos. 515 and 518

Item No. 515 contains four prescription bottles. One bottle bears the name of Co-Conspirator No. 3, who is directly related to this investigation. The labeling designates the prescribing doctor as Dr. Arnold Kline, with an address of California, and the date of May 25, 2002. The prescription is for 1-milligram tablets of alprazolam.

Item No. 518 contains prescription paperwork in the names of Manuel Rivera and Co-Conspirator No 3. One sheet shows the prescription to be from Star Drug Company located at 3576 Madera Street, Santa Ynez, California, with a telephone number of (805) 688-6998. The prescription is to Co-Conspirator No. 3 for alprazolam, with the prescribing physician being Dr. William VanValin, with the prescription date of March 26, 2003. The information sheet provided the mailing address for Co-Conspirator No. 3 as California.

The second sheet pertained to a prescription from Long's Drugs located at 218 East Hwy 246, Building 3. Buellton, California, with a telephone number of (805) 693-1132. The prescription information is in the name of Manuel Rivera. The prescription gives an address of California. The prescription is for Xanax, which is the

1.1

מב

Initially, it should be noted that the prescription bottle found in Item 515 and the prescription paperwork found in Item 518 in the name of Co-Conspirator No. 3 are not the same prescription. While they are prescriptions for the same drug, alprezolam, they were issued on different dates. The name of Co-Conspirator No. 3 appears prominently in the affidavit in support of the search warrant as one of Michael Jackson's associates and a major figure in numerous events that occurred between early February 2003 and March 2003. The prescription paperwork found in Item 518 is particularly noteworthy because the prescription was issued at a local pharmacy on February 26, 2003, therefore physically placing Co-Conspirator No. 3 at the Ranch during significant events related by the Doe family and described in the affidavit. Both the prescription bottle and the paperwork provide direct links to Michael Jackson and corroborate the Does' assertion that Co-Conspirator No. 3 was one of Michael Jackson's associates and present at Neverland Ranch during many events charged in the indictment.

The vials collected in Item 515 and the paperwork contained in Item 518 were were found in the Arcade Building's upstairs library. That room was represented to the seizing officer as Michael Jackson's personal office. The searching officer had reason to believe that the numerous prescriptions in different names, some of which were for the same substance, may have been obtained under a false name and so constituted evidence of a possible violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173 and 11174.

C. Search of the Security Office in Security Building - "600 Series" Items 1. Item Nos. 601, 602 and 611 through 642

Neverland Valley Medical Report Log and an Emergency Medical Report documenting a motor scooter accident involving James Doe on that date. The date of the report verifies the presence of James Doe during events set forth in the affidavit supporting the search warrant.

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

S

 Item No. 602 is an Accident/Damage Report dated June 21, 2002, bearing the name of John Doc.

Items 601 and 602 were found in a binder in the Security Office. Paragraph 10 of Attachment B to the search warrant specifically authorized officers to search for "Passports, Birth Certificates and all other paperwork relating to the Doe Family." (Emphasis added.) Both items appear to fall squarely within the scope of the search warrant.

Items 611 through 642 are Security Daily Logs (hereinafter referred to as SDL), Security Clearance and Guest Information documents (hereinafter referred to as SCGI and Business Clearance Forms (hereinafter referred to as BCF). These documents were found in the security office and covered the time period of May 7, 2002 through December 7, 2002. Copies of the logs have been attached to this motion as exhibits, using the corresponding Item Number assigned to each by the seizing officer.

The first page of the SDL contains the date of the document and employee work information. The second page contains information sections. The top section is "Blank! Routine Authorization for Entries" with columns for the name, the company and the in-and-out times. Moving down the form, the next area contains "Guest Information" documenting the name and in-and-out times of any individuals present on the Ranch for that day.

The following Security Daily Logs or Security Clearance or Guest Information Sheets document the presence of one or more members of the Doc family at Neverland Valley Ranch during the time period noted above: Items 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 622, 623, 636, 638, 639, 640, 641, and 642.

In reviewing the SDLs to determine if they contained information relative to the Doe family, officers observed in plain view other SDLs documenting the presence of several of the individuals named in the affidavit supporting the search warrant and as co-conspirators in the indictment:

- -- Item 624 12/02/02 Co-Conspirator No.2 and Dr. Farshchian
- -- Item 625 11/23/02 Co-Conspirator No. 3
- -- Item 626 11/24/02 Co-Conspirator No. 3

Item 627	11/25/02	Co-Conspirator No. 3
Item 628	11/26/02	Co-Conspirator No. 3
Item 629	11/27/02	Co-Conspirator No. 3
Item 635	12/12/02	Co-Conspirator Nos. 1 and 2
Item 637	10/30/02	Co-Conspirator No.2

The remaining nine documents consist of SDLs, BCSs or SCGIs bearing the name of an individual we will refer to as "Mr. HM."

Item 611	5/7/02	
Item 612	5/9/02	
Item 613	5/13/02	
Item 621	6/9/02	
ltem 630	5/22/02	
- Item 631	7/30/02	
Itom 632	8/19/02	
Item 633	10/31/02	
Item 634	10/13/02	

Mr. HM's residence was the subject of a search warrant served contemporaneous with that for Neverland Ranch on November 18, 2003. He was described in the search warrant affidavit as being involved in the production of Michael Jackson's "rebuttal video," together with several individuals believed by investigating officers to have been working in association with Michael Jackson. It was most reasonable for the officers to retain these documents as evidence linking Mr. HM to Michael Jackson, to co-conspirators and to events described by the Doc family in the supporting affidavit. The documents also corroborate statements made to investigators by individuals whose names appear in them about the role of Mr. HM in the production of the rebuttal film. Mr. HM's name also appears on page 003505 of Exhibit 35.

Parenthetically, it should be noted that the defense had mistakenly identified Item 635 as a Daily Entry Log. Actually, it is a 10/31/02 SCG1 for Mr. HM.

İ

б

ZÚ

1. Item Nos 1001 and 1002.

G

בב

Item No. 1001 is described as an "Anniversary 2002" issue of "Hustler, Barely Legal" magazine. It is commercially-produced pornography.

Item No. 1002 is a December 2002 magazine entitled "Naughty Neighbor." It is commercially-produced pomography.

Both items were found within the "Michael Jackson office area" of the Security Building.

Both of these magazines full within the descriptions set forth in Attachment B, paragraphs 1 and 3 of the Search Warrant, authorizing the seizure of commercially produced pomography.

2. Item No. 1009a

telephone recording equipment) and removed on December 2, 2003 by Det. Bonner from the recorder and given a separate evidence number.

Item No. 1009 appears on the court's list as tentatively approved. The item was found in the office area of the Security Building. Also found in the case were numerous schematics for remote room monitoring and numerous paraphernalia to enable one to surreptitiously record both telephone and in-person conversations. It also contained a surveillance-type extended-time recorder, which contained a tape labeled "tape for long-play recorders."

In light of the information detailed in the search warrant affidavit describing Michael Jackson's monitoring of telephone calls, it was reasonable for the officers to retain and review this item. The ruling as to Item 1009 should apply equally to Item 1009a.

3. Item No. 1010

This item is an off-white envelope, seized by Deputy Shepherd. Printed on the front is the name "Mr. Michael Jackson" and a printed picture of a boy sitting on a crescent moon. Inside the envelope was a telephone message from Co-Conspirator No. 2 and a return

A second piece of paper contained a telephone list telephone number of of names, including "Evic" with a telephone number of

Paragraphs 10, 11 and 12 of Attachment B to the search warrant authorized officers to search for several types of paperwork associated with the Doc family. In the course of lawfully looking for these types of paperwork, searching officers found the two abovedescribed pieces of paper within Item 1010.

Both "Evic's" and Co-Conspirator No. 2's names appear in the supporting affidavit and in Exhibit 35, the Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department Operational Plan as 'Named Individuals." Co-Conspirator No. 2 is mentioned prominently by the Doc family members in connection with the events at Neverland Ranch between February and March, 2003,

"Evie's" name is mentioned as a Michael Jackson associate, and she is a potential witness. It should be noted that other paperwork seized with Evie Tavasci's name on it was found in other buildings by different officers. Like them. Deputy Shepherd reasonably believed that the document contained information connecting "Evie" to Michael Jackson and she had no reason believe it was merely duplicative or cumulative to documents seized clsewhere in the course of the search.

4. Item Nos. 1103 through 1108

These are a series of sexually provocative photographs of a nearly nude male. They come within the authorization set forth in paragraphs 1 and 4 of Attachment B to the search warrant. A determination of whether the male subject in the photographs is an adult cannot be readily determined from the photo itself.

The individual in the photographs has since been identified, and the photographs have no present evidentiary value to the prosecution. The l'eople are willing to return them to the defense.

1111

1111 26

١

2

3

4

5

б

7

X

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

1111 27

1111

DATED: September 3, 2004 -Respectfully submitted, ڗ THOMAS W. SNEDDON. JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY \$ Ronald J. Zonen. Senior Deputy G Attorneys for Plaintiff S

PROOF OF SERVICE

nifacts.com STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

26

27

28

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

COUNTY OF SANTA BARDARA

) {ss

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara. California 93101.

On September 3, 2004. I served the within PEOPLE'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENSE MOTION TO SUPPRESS and the associated Notebook of Photographs of Evidence Items on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, IR. STEVE COCHRAN, and ROBERT SANGER, his counsel, by faxing a true copy of the Supplemental Response to Mssrs. Mesereau and Cochran at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the attached Service List, and by causing a copy of the associated Notebook to be shipped by Federal Express to those lawyers for next-day delivery, then by personally delivering a true copy of the Supplemental Opposition and associated Notebook to Robert Sanger at the address shown for him.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 3rd day of September, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com

1 SERVICE LIST 2 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 3 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., Esq. Susan Yu. Esq. 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor 4 Los Angeles, CA 90067 S FAX: (310) 284-3120 6 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson 7 KATTEN, MUCHIN, ZAVIS & ROSENMAN, Lawyers Steve Cochron, Esq. 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 8 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 9 FAX: (310) 712-8455 10 Co-counsel for Defendant 11 SANGER & SWYSEN, Lawyers Robert M. Sanger, Esq. 12 233 F. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 95001 13 FAX: (805) 963-7311 Co-counsel for Defendant 14 Counsel for (collectively) "Mcdia" 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28