	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number (Susan Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone (310)284-3120 Facsimile (310)284-3133	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA AUG 3 0 2064 GARY M. BLAIR, Exocutivo Officer BY CALLE & Wigner CARRIE L. WAGNER, Debuty Clork
5 6 7 8	SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805)962-4887 FAX(805)963-7311	mifacts.com
	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 14126 East Recerans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562)921-5058, Fax.: (562)921-2928	Yunsealed pursua to 6/16/05 Court order
12	Attomeys for Defendant MICHAEL JOESEPH JACKSON	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14 15	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA I	BARBARA, COOK DIVISION
16		
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF (CALIFORNIA,	Case No. 1133603
18	Plaintiffs,	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, TO NOT SERVE THE PROSECUTION (REQUEST FOR IN CAMERA) AND TO NOT
19	vs.	SERVE THE PUBLIC OR MEDIA WITH THE APPLICATION, PROPOSED ORDER
20	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	HUED UNDER SEAL
21	Defendant.	Honorable Rodney Melville
22		Trial Date: January 31, 2005 Time: 8:30 am.
24		Dept: SM 2
25	njfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com	
26	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:	
27	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that the accompanying EX PARTE	
28	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER	SEAL, TO NOT SERVE THE PROSECUTION
		JBLIC OR MEDIA WITH THE APPLICATION
	mjfacts.com ¹	mjfacts.com

1	REQUESTFOR SUBPOENAS be filed under seal; and that the prosecution not be served with said			
2	documents and that the public including the media, not be served with this application; and for such			
3	other such further relief as the Court may deem	other such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the		
4	4 overriding interests of attorney-client privilege and l	overriding interests of attorney-client privilege and Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial		
5	under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article L			
6	Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.			
7	7			
В	B Dated: August 30, 2004 Re	spectfully submitted,		
9		LLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU		
10	II	san Yu		
11		NGER & SWYSEN best M. Sanger		
12	2	MAN & JAROSCAK		
13	ifacts.com mjfa ^{Bri}	an Oxman mijfacts.con		
14		1. Mm 5-2011		
15	Ro	bert M. Sanger		
16	·	CHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON		
17				
18	mjfacts.com	mjfacts.com		
19				
20	•			
21				
23				
24				
25	mjfacts.com mjfact:			
26				
27				
28		CEAL TO NOT CONTENTS THE PROSECULATION		
- 3	AND TO NOT SERVE THE P	SEAL, TO NOT SERVE THE PROSECUTION UBLIC OR MEDIA WITH THE APPLICATION		

mjfacts.com ²

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

L

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;
 - 4. The proposed scaling is narrowly tailored; and
 - 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

П.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of attorney-client privilege, as well as Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I. Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

The overriding interest of attorney-client privilege justifies an order that the accompanying document be filed under seal. Confidential communications between a defendant and his or her lawyer are privileged. (California Evidence Code section 952.) There is no right of public access to materials covered by the attorney client privilege. As argued in the accompanying motion, Mr. Jackson's former counsel were obligated to turn over any these materials to Mr. Jackson's present

 counsel, rather than submit them to the Court.

The privilege cannot be waived by former counsel for the defense because they do not hold the attorney-client privilege. Mr. Jackson, as the client, is the holder of the attorney-client privilege. (California Evidence Code section 953.) Therefore, the filing should also be in camera without service to the prosecution.

Furthermore, Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the accompanying document is disclosed to the public. A person accused of a crime is entitled to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Releasing even the name of the pleading would be detrimental to Mr. Jackson because it would infringe upon Mr. Jackson's rights by tainting the jury pool with prejudicial information.

As argued in the accompanying moving papers, material contained therein implicates the rights of the defendant and disclosure of said information to the prosecutor would further compromise those rights..

In order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the accompanying document be filed under seal and not be served on the prosecution nor that this application be served on the public and the media.

mifacts.com

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that the accompanying EX PARTE REQUEST FOR SUBPOENAS be filed under seal and that the prosecution not be served with said documents and that the public including the media, not be served with this application.

Dated: August 30, 2004

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.

Susan Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger

OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brien Oxman

By:

Robert M. Sanger

Attorneys for

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

₿

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, TO NOT SERVE THE PROSECUTION AND TO NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC OR MEDIA WITH THE APPLICATION

mjfacts.com

mifacts.c

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

_			
3	facts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com I, Robert Sanger, declare:		
4	1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California		
5	a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.		
б	2. It is necessary that the accompanying EX PARTE REQUEST FOR SUBPOENAS be		
7	filed under seal; that it not be served on the prosecution, and that this application not be		
੪	served on the public or media in order to protect the overriding interests of attorney-client		
9	privilege and Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial.		
10			
11	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration		
12	was executed this 30th day of August, 2004 at Santa Barbara, California.		
13	njfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.co		
14	1.0.1.		
15	folia 11 Sangly		
16	Robert M. Sanger		
17			
18	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
25	njfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
26			
27			
- '			

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL, TO NOT SERVE THE PROSECUTION AND TO NOT SERVE THE PUBLIC OR MEDIA WITH THE APPLICATION

6

mjfacts.com