SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

STREET ADDRESS:

312-C East Cook Street

MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: P.O. Box 5369 Santa Maria, CA 93456-5369

BRANCH NAME:

Cook Division

People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson

FOR COURT USE ONLY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAFITA BARBARA

AUS 0 6 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY CANAL & WEGAW

CARRIEL WAGNER, Disbuty Clerk

CASE NUMBER: 1133503

FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING EXHIBIT

O. M. . 07. 0004 No. District Attended to be 15th of a decrease to 15th of 15t

On May 27, 2004, the District Attorney submitted a document entitled "People's Request to Lodge Additional Correspondence Regarding Discovery." The court on its own motion order that a letter dated May 27, 2004 attached as an Exhibit be filed conditionally under seal and directed that the District Attorney file an application under CRC Rule 243.2 that it be filed under seal. The application to seal said exhibit is granted.

The Court makes the following findings:

The May 27th letter in its unredacted form identifies potential witnesses and specifies items of evidence the admissibility of which has yet to be determined by the Court and provides the identity of the minor complaining witness or his family.

In this case, protection of the Defendant's right to a fair trial and protection of the minor complaining witness' identity overcomes the right of public access to the record. A substantial probability exists that those interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed as requested. The intensity of the media coverage in this case is unprecedented. Each court hearing is thoroughly reported and exhaustively analyzed by the media. It is substantially probable that if the evidence expected to be given at trial were to be released pretrial, it would be similarly reported and analyzed. The sealing order is necessary to maintain the integrity of the available jury pool by limiting its exposure to the expected evidence and testimony pretrial and to prevent exposure to inadmissible items of evidence. The Court has consistently held that because of the pervasive media coverage in this case, the Defendant's right to a fair trial outweighs public access. Those findings are relevant here and incorporated by reference. [See Findings and Order re: Motion for Protective Order, filed January 23, 2004 and Findings and Order re: Sealing of Search Warrant Materials, filed January 23, 2004]

There are no less restrictive means to protect those interests. The extraordinary circumstances present in this case overcome the presumption that cautionary admonitions and instructions to the jury pool would have a curative effect. It is far more desirable to avoid the prejudice in the first instance than to hope to identify unaffected jurors later.

Although the Court acknowledges that its order must be narrowly tailored to accommodate the maximum public disclosure, no redaction of this document seems possible.

Dated:

AUG 0 6 2004

Judge of the Superior Court

njfacts.com m

PROOF OF SERVICE 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On <u>AUGUST 6, 20 04, I</u> served a copy of the attached <u>FINDINGS AND ORDER RE SEALING EXHIBIT</u> addressed as follows:

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST. 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

T ATTORNEY):
without error.
ne transmitting
d :_ &L_
d, in the United
s above. That ere is a regular
ere is a regular
having charge
, ,
chute, or other
ail <mark>, in a s</mark> ealed
. cTH == c
6 TH day of

CARRIE L. WAGNER

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com