Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. (SBN 91182) Susan C. Yu (SBN 195640) COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP 1875 Century Park East, 7TH Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 JUL 2 9 2004 Tel: (310) 284-3120 BARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer Fax: (310) 284-3133 BY CANUT & WEARLY CARRIEL WAGNER OSBUTY Clark 5 | Steve Cochran (SBN 105541) Stacev McKec Knight (SBN 181027) 6 KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 7 Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 788-4400 8 | Fax: (310) 712-8455 Robert M. Sanger (SBN 58214) SANGER & SWYSEN 10 233 E. Carrillo St., Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 11 Tel: (805) 962-4887 Fax: (805) 963-7311 12 Brian Oxman (SBN 072172) 13 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 14126 East Rosecrans 14|| Santa Fe Springs, California 90670 Tcl: (562) 921-5058 Fax: (562) 921-2298 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOE JACKSON 17 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 18 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 19 20 SANTA MARIA DIVISION THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CASE NO. 1133603 CALIFORNIA, 22 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BY MR. Plaintiff. JACKSON FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE 23 COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER: DELCARATION OF THOMAS A. 24 MESEREAU, IR. IN SUPPORT THEREOF MICHAEL JOE JACKSON 25 (FILED BY FACSIMILE) Defendant. HEARING 26 DATE: AUGUST 16, 2004 27 TIME: 8:30 A.M. Place: Dept. SM-2 28 SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BY MR. JACKSON FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S

PROTECTIVE ORDER; DELCARATION OF THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. IN SUPPORT THEREOF

foregoing reported statements by Mr. Zonen violated the Protective Order Respectfully submitted, DATED: July 29, 2004 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Steve Cochran Stacey McGee Knight KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN Robert M. Sanger SANGER & SWYSEN By: Thómas A. Mesereau, Jr. Attorneys for Mr. MICHAEL J. JACKSON 

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BY MR. JACKSON FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER; DELCARATION OF THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. IN SUPPORT THEREOF

I, Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., declare as follows:

under oath.

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice before all of the Courts of the State of California. I am a member of the California Bar and am the lead defense counsel to Mr. Michael J. Jackson in this criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Michael J. Jackson, et al., Santa Barbara County Superior Court, Santa Maria Division, Case No. 1133603. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the July 24, 2004 article, which my law partner and co-counsel Susan C. Yu retrieved from The Santa Barbara New Press website on the Internet on July 29, 2004.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 29th day of July 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

MESEREAU, IR.





























Sneddon says comments taken out of context

By DAWN HOBBS and SCOTT HADLY NEWS-PRESS STAFF WRITERS

At a training seminar in Vancouver this week, Santa Barbara District Attorney Tom Sneddon tried to warn fellow prosecutors about the pitfalls of handling high-profile criminal cases. In the process, he wound up falling into a pit himself.

Mr. Sneddon and fellow prosecutors handling the child molestation case against pop star Michael Jackson scrambled Friday to correct news reports he thought mischaracterized what he said.

First reported in Canada's Globe and Mail, and rereported in dozens of news accounts by television and wire services, Mr. Sneddon's remark led to criticism that he overstepped his power as a prosecutor and tried to stifle comments about the Jackson case under false pretenses — specifically, by naming people as witnesses whom he had no intent of calling to testify so they would fall under the gag order

Globe and Mail reporter Robert Matas told the News-Press on Friday that Mr. Sneddon was describing how the 24-hour news cycle creates fierce competition on high-profile cases and that he recommends gag orders. Then Mr. Sneddon said: "We sent letters to some people saying we intended to call them as witnesses in order to keep them off TV."

Mr. Sneddon told The Associated Press on Friday that the comment was taken out of context and misinterpreted.



NEWS-PRESS FILE PHOTO
Tom Sneddon's controversial comment was
made during the National District Attorneys
Association conference

But the way it was used in news accounts seemed to support Mr. Sneddon's harsh critique of media coverage of high-profile criminal cases in this age of 24-hour news and obsession with celebrity.

"It was a panel discussion on the subject of what to anticipate if one of these cases hits your jurisdiction," Mr. Sneddon said, "I was assigned the topic of how you preserve a fair trial for both sides and prevent a change of venue."

He acknowledged that he had cautioned prosecutors attending the National District Attorneys Association summer conference not to expect the press to be fair, and other panelists agreed with him.

"Everybody said it's the impact of cable TV and when they come to town it's a feeding frenzy." Mr. Sneddon said.

In response to the uproar over the remark, Mr. Matas said: "He just said what I quoted him as saying, I didn't ask him to leaborate. And he didn't elaborate. It's just what he said and however that is interpreted I guess is up to someone else."

Also on the Vancouver panel were James Brazelton, the district attorney in Modesto who handled the Laci Peterson case, and Robert F. Horan, the commonwealth's attorney from Fairfax, Va., who prosecuted East Coast sniper Lee Boyd Malvo. Neither official returned News-Press phone calls Friday





It's unclear whether Mr. Sneddon's panel discussion violated Superior Court Judge Rodney Melville's gag order in the Jackson case, or whether he had to get approval from the judge before responding Friday to the articles.

When asked whether the Jackson defense team would take any action regarding Mr. Sneddon's participation on the panel, lead lawyer Thomas Mesereau declined to comment, citing the gag order.

Senior Deputy District Attorney Ron Zonen, who is co-counsel on the Jackson prosecution team, told the News-Press that the comment quoted in The Globe and Mail was either "a misquote or a lie."

Mr. Zonen insisted that the District Attorney's's Office sent letters only to witnesses.

"We were notifying the witnesses of the presence of the protective order and that to comment on the case could put them in violation of the order," Mr. Zonen said. "There was no one we sent a letter to who we did not call as a witness. And the way you notify witnesses of the existence of a protective order is by mail."

Mr. Zonen said there was no specific discussion about the Jackson case, only talk about how to handle high-profile cases.

"(Mr. Sneddon) was also assured that there was no press present," Mr. Zonen said. "And he told everyone he would be answering no questions about Michael Jackson.

"Someone snuck in and then misquoted him. He never said that. We never did that. He did not discuss Michael Jackson. And he did not violate the gag order."

Mr. Matas the Globe and Mail reporter, said he had attended the seminar the day before to cover a presentation by a Washington official.

"We were supposed to leave for the rest of the time," Mr. Matas said. "But I came back and the door was open and I walked in . . I just sat in the back of the room and took notes."

article index in

© Copyright 2004 Santa Barbara News-Press











1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I, the undersigned, declare:
3	I am a citizen of the United States of America, am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and not a party to the within action. I am employed at 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067. On July 29, 2004, I served the following document:
5	SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BY MR. JACKSON FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER; DELCARATION OF THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. IN SUPPORT THEREOF
7	on the interested parties addressed as follows:
8 9 1C	Gordon Auchincloss, Esq.
12 13 14	BY MAIL: I placed each envelope, containing the foregoing document, with postage fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California. I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail in this office; that in the ordinary course of business said document would be deposited with the US Postal Servicin Los Angeles on that same day.
15 16	X BY FACSIMILE: I served a copy of the within document on the above-interested parties, by way of a facsimile, at the facsimile numbers listed above.
17 18	BY MESSENGER/ATTORNEY SERVICE: I caused to personally serve the within document on the above interested parties.
19	X_ (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
20	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
21	Executed on July 29, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.
22	amm
23 24	acts susant Yu mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
25	
26	
27	
28	

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST BY MR. JACKSON FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER; DELCARATION OF THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. IN SUPPORT THEREOF