COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 1 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 JUN 27 2055 2 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer Los Angeles, CA 90067 CARRIEL WAGNER DEBUTY CLET 3 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 4 SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214 5 Stephen K. Dunkle, State Bar Number 227136 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C 6 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION 11 12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 1133603 13 CALIFORNIA, 14 RESPONSE TO MEDIA MEMOR REQUEST TO INSPECT AND COPY Plaintiffs, EXHIBITS INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE 15 VS. 16 Honorable Rodney S. Melville Date: TBD 17 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON. Time: TBD Defendant. Dept: SM 2 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 RESPONSE TO MEDIA MEMORANDUM RE REQUEST TO INSPECT AND COPY EXHIBITS **INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE** 1

ARGUMENT

ENTITLED TO MAKE REPRODUCTIONS OF COURT EXHIBITS FOLLOWING A TRIAL

The media has not cited authority to support the proposition that the press or the public is entitled to copy court exhibits following the conclusion of a trial. There is a significant difference between being allowed to see exhibits that were admitted into evidence and being allowed to possess them, delay the return of the exhibits to the rightful owners, and to make reproductions for entertainment purposes.

While the media has cited cases that generally support public access to court records, there is no support for the argument that the public has the right to make reproductions of materials seized from a defendant's home following the conclusion of a criminal trial. These materials, including videotapes, were seized pursuant to the Court's search warrant power. The issuance of a search warrant does not give the public the right to make reproductions of the seized materials.

Furthermore, Penal Code Section 1417.5 governs the disposition of exhibits after the final determination of an action. Section 1417.5 does not contain any provisions which support the position that the Court may hold certain exhibits so that they may be copied by the media.

21

1

2

3

4

S

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

/// 22

23 24

25

26

111

27 28

RESPONSE TO MEDIA MEMORANDUM RE REQUEST TO INSPECT AND COPY EXHIBITS INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE

TT

CONCLUSION

The Court should deny the media's motion to inspect an copy exhibits. .

Dated: June 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger Stephen K. Dunkle

By:

Stephen K. Dunkle
Attorneys for Defendant
MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

ifacts.com

mjfacts.co

mifacts.com

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com

mjfacts.con

26

27

28

E.9

mifacts com

INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE

3

RESPONSE TO MEDIA MEMORANDUM RE REQUEST TO INSPECT AND COPY EXHIBITS

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On June 27, 2005, I served the foregoing documents on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows: RESPONSE TO MEDIA MEMORANDUM RE REQUEST TO INSPECT AND COPY EXHIBITS INTRODUCED AS EVIDENCE on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Santa Barbara County District Attorney
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Fax 805-568-2398

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Theordore J. Boutrous Jr. William E. Thomson Michael H. Dore 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91171 Fax - 213-229-7520

- BY U.S. MAIL I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.
- X BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties
- BY HAND I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.
- X STATE I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed June 27 2005, at Santa Barbara, Canfornid

Bobette Tryon

cts.com mjfacts.

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com