THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara

By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)

Senior Deputy District Attorney

GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)

Senior Deputy District Attorney
GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)

Senior Deputy District Attorney

1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300

FAX: (805) 568-2398

ã

4

5

К

ij

10

11

12

15

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORN

JUN 2 5 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer By Carrie & wagner CARRIE L. WAGNER, Debuty Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff.

VS.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON.

Defendant.

No. 1133603

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER THAT A CERTAIN REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION BE MAINTAINED UNDER SEAL. UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT: DECLARATION OF

GORDON AUCHINCLOSS: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Date: July 9, 2004 Time: 830 AM

Dept.: SM2 (Melville)

TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., STEVE

COCHRAN, AND ROBERT SANGER, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO GIBSON.

DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP, ATTORNEYS FOR "MEDIA":

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR SEALING ORDER

26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 9, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does, move for an order directing that the following records be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq:

"REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION," filed June 24, 2004.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gordon

Auchineless and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

A proposed redacted version of the Request for Clarification is submitted, under seal, with this request for sealing.

DATED: June 24, 2004

jfacts.com

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

Cordon Auchineloss, Serior Deputy District Attorney Automeys for Plaintiff

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

7

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to seal records pertains to "PEOPLE'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION," filed June 24, 2004.
- 3. I believe some of the information set out in these documents should remain confidential, because it discloses matters which may influence potential jurors in this case.
- 4. I believe the interests of both parties in a fair trial by a jury would be enhanced if this document was sealed
- 5. I therefore believe that the interest in a fair trial overrides the public's access to an unredacted version of plaintiff's request and its supporting declaration, and supports the sealing of those documents until further order of court.
- 6. I believe an order maintaining the Request and supporting Declaration under seal in the interim would aven the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that request could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on June 24, 2004.

Socion Auchineless

njfacts.com mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

27

1

2

3

4

5

h

7

Ŕ

ij

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.5

26

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR SEALING ORDER

mifacts.co

1

2

3

4

7

K 17

10

12

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

25

26

28

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).)

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under scal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
- (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed:
- (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

DATED: June __. 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara

Ву:	1	1
	Sen	don Auchincloss, for Deputy District Attorney

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR SEALING ORDER

mjfacts.com

mifacts.com