GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., SBN 132099
MICHAEL H. DORE, SBN 227442
333 South Grand Avenue,
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Telephone: (213) 229-7804
Facsimile: (213) 229-6804

Attorneys for NBC Universal, Inc.; CBS
Brandonsting Inc.: For News Network L. I. C.

SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

JUN 13 2005

CARRIE L. WAGNER. Debuty Clerk

Attorneys for NBC Universal, Inc.; CBS
Broadcasting Inc.; Fox News Network L.L.C.;
ABC, Inc.; Cable News Network LP, LLLP;
The Associated Press; Los Angeles Times, The
New York Times Company; and USA Today

SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff.

VS.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 1133603

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ACCESS PROPONENTS' REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS AND PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO JURY DELIBERATIONS

Date: June 16, 2005

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Place: Department SM-8,

Judge Rodney S. Mclville

[VIA FACSIMILE]

"A trial is a public event" and "[w]hat transpires in the court room is public property."

NBC Subsidiary (KNBC-TV), Inc. v. Superior Court, 20 Cal. 4th 1178, 1198 (1999) (quoting Craig v. Harney, 331 U.S. 367, 374 (1947)); Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 124 ("the sittings of every court shall be public"). This, of course, includes interactions among the Court, the lawyers and the jury during jury deliberations. Cf. People v. Thompson, 50 Cal. 3d 134, 173 (1990) ("Any private communication between judge and jury is improper."). Such communications, including questions posed by the jury and requests for read-backs of testimony, are at the very heart of the trial and absolutely essential to understanding what is transpiring. But it appears that the Court, without making any findings to override the presumption of openness and justify secrecy under NBC Subsidiary, is continuing to convene unannounced closed-door hearings to address such issues. See "Jackson Jury Adjourns For

Gibson, Dunn & Cruicher LLP

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ACCESS PROPONENTS' REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS AND PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO JURY DELIBERATIONS

20_. 22.

Gibson, Dunn & Cruicher LLP Weekend," www.cnn.com (June 10, 2005) (reporting that "jurors asked a number of questions and also asked to have portions of the testimony of Jackson's 15-year-old accuser read back to them ... At least three meetings were held involving Santa Barbara County Superior Court Judge Rodney Melville and attorneys in the case"). This clandestine approach patently violates the First Amendment and Article I, section 2 of the California Constitution. NBC Subsidiary, 20 Cal. 4th at 1178-1226.

There is no conceivable basis for keeping these proceedings hidden from public view – except to prevent news coverage and public discussion, which is plainly not a constitutionally acceptable reason for holding secret judicial proceedings. The need to ensure an untainted jury pool is obviously no longer at issue since the jury was long ago selected and is now deliberating, and public proceedings regarding jury inquiries pose no discernible threat to the sanctity of the jury deliberations because the jurors themselves are the ones posing the questions and, in any event, have been admonished to avoid media coverage of the case. This Court "must presume that jurors generally follow instructions to avoid media coverage, and to disregard coverage that they happen to hear or see," id. at 1223-24, and the Court apparently is doing just that because it has not ordered that the jury be sequestered.

Barring the public from these final, pivotal stages of this case is, from a constitutional standpoint, simply intolerable. The parties' arguments, and the Court's rulings regarding these jury inquiries, could very well be dispositive of the result. To have the lawyers in this case apparently entering into the courthouse through a side door to attend secret hearings which produce secret communications to a deliberating jury in a criminal trial scriously risks undermining public confidence in whatever verdict the jury ultimately reaches. "People in an open society do not demand infallibility from their institutions, but it is difficult for them to accept what they are prohibited from observing." Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court, 478 U.S. 1, 13 (1986);

mjfacts.com

see NBC Subsidiary, 20 Cal. 4th at 1219 (emphasizing that openness is intended to foster public confidence in the system). 1

For all of these reasons, Access Proponents respectfully request that the Court immediately release judicial records and transcripts of all proceedings relating to jury questions and read-backs that have occurred thus far and to allow contemporaneous public access to any such future records and proceedings.² Since time is of the essence and these requests could be mooted absent prompt relief, Access Proponents again ask that the Court grant their requests, without a hearing, or recalendar the currently scheduled June 16 hearing for the soonest possible time.

DATED: June 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted.

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.

Michael H. Dore

By:

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.

Attorneys for NBC Universal, Inc.; CBS Broadcasting Inc.; Fox News Network L.L.C.; ABC, Inc.; Cable News Network LP, LLLP; The Associated Press; Los Angeles Times; The New York Times Company; and USA Today

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ACCESS PROPONENTS' REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS AND PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO JURY DELIBERATIONS

Gibton, Dynn & Crutcher LLP

25

26

27

28

The legal requirements of openness apply with equal force to telephonic hearings, which could be covered by a pool reporter.

² The Pool Coordinator has proposed use of a simple form that would allow the Court to disclose what portions of the transcript the jury has asked to have read back to them. The Pool Coordinator and Access Proponents stand ready to assist the Court in any way to ensure maximum public access in a manner that imposes the least possible burdens on the Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

BY FAX AND REGULAR MAIL

I, Michael Dore, hereby certify as follows:

eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90071, in said County and State; I am a member of the bar of this Court, and on June 13, 2005, I served the following:

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ACCESS PROPONENTS'
REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS AND PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO
JURY DELIBERATIONS

on the interested parties in this action, by the following means of service:

BY MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated below, on the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

IIII acts.com IIII	acts.com
Stephen Underwood Chief Assistant County Counsel 105 East Anapamu, Suite 201 Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Tel.: (805) 568-2950 Fax: (805) 568-2982
Thomas W. Sneddon District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2007	Tel.: (805) 568-2300 Fax: (805) 568-2398
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu LLP 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067	Tel.: (310) 284-3120 Fax:
Attorneys for Defendant Michael Jackson	
Robert Sanger Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Tel.: (805) 962-4887 Fax: (805) 963-7311
Co-Counsel for Defendant Michael Jackson	<u> </u>

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

mjfacts.com

s14:80 30 31 nut

اء ح

В

.15

.

·25

10872655 1.DOC

Gibson, Dunn & Cruicher LLP BY FACSIMILE: From facsimile number (213) 229-7520, I caused each such document to be transmitted by facsimile machine, to the parties and numbers indicated below, pursuant to Rule 2008. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3) and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to Rule 2008(e)(4), I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original of this declaration.

	·
Stephen Underwood Chief Assistant County Councel	Tel.: (805) 568-2950
Chief Assistant County Counsel 105 East Anapamu, Suite 201 Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Fax: (805) 568-2982
Thomas W. Sneddon	Tel.: (805) 568-2300
District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2007	Fax: (805) 568-2398
Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.	Tel.: (310) 284-3120
Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu LLP 1875: Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067	Fax:
Attorneys for Defendant Michael Jackson	cts.com mj
Robert Sanger	Tel.: (805) 962-4887
Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Fax: (805) 963-7311
Co-Counsel for Defendant Michael Jackson	

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that the foregoing document(s), and all copies made from same, were printed on recycled paper, and that this Certificate of Service was executed by me on June 13, 2005, at Los Angeles, California.

Michael Dore

nifacts.com

mifacts.com

mjfacts.com