THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Senior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) 1 JUN 0 8 2004 2 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer 3 Carried Wagner Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) CARRIE L. WAGNER, Debuty Clerk 4 Senior Deputy District Attorney 1105 Santa Barbara Street 5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 9 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. No. 1133603 12 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF MOTION AND 13 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE SENTENCING 14 ALLEGATION RELATING TO MICHAEL JOE JACKSON. COUNTS 2, 3, 4 & 5 TO CORRECT THE DESIGNATION 15 Defendant. OF THE PERTINENT SECTION 16 OF THE PENAL CODE: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS 17 AND AUTHORITIES 18 DATE: June 25, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m. 19 DEPT: SM 2 (Melville) 20 TO: THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND TO MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND 21 TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., STEVE COCHRAN, AND ROBERT SANGER, HIS 22 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 23 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 25, 2004, the People will move the Court for 24 leave to amend the "sentencing allegation" that "Counts Two through Five are serious felonies" 25

to allege that the pertinent Penal Code section is "1192,7(c)(6)," rather than "1197.7(c)(6)."

26

27

28

1111

1111

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND SENTENCING ALLEGATION

mjfacts.com Dated: June 8, 2004. l THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney Gerald McC. Frankin, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff .23

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND SENTENCING ALLEGATION

2)

mjfacts.com

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

WITH LEAVE OF COURT, AN INDICTMENT MAY BE AMENDED AT ANY TIME TO CORRECT "ANY DEFECT OR DEFICIENCY" IN THE PLEADING

Penal Code section 1009 declares, in pertinent part, that "The court in which an action is pending may order or permit an amendment of an indictment . . . for any defect or insufficiency, at any stage of the proceedings"

"Under the case law interpreting section 1009, the test applied is whether or not the amendment changes the offense charged to one not shown by the evidence taken at the preliminary examination." (People v. Graham (1974) 38 Cal.App.3d 251, 255. And see People v. Finnegan (1961) 192 Cal.App.2d 151, 155, permitting amendment of an indictment to allege a prior felony conviction because "A prior conviction is not a part of the offense charged, but serves only to aid ascertainment of the proper term of punishment.")

An amendment to correct the allegation of the particular Penal Code section that defines "serious felony" as including a violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a) is merely technical. A sentencing allegation simply informs the defendant of a consequence of a conviction on one or more of the substantive counts of the accusatory pleading. In this case, the substantive charges set out in Counts Two through Five of the indictment are correctly alleged. The factual point of the sentencing allegation in this case – viz., to inform defendant that that a violation of section 288, subdivision (a), as alleged in Counts Two through Five, is a "serious felony" – is correct, so far as it goes. In the circumstances, the fact that there is no "section 1197.7, subdivision (c)(6)" in the Penal Code is probably irrelevant.

Given that the defendant in this case pled "not guilty" rather than "guilty" to the substantive charges, a misidentification of the particular Penal Code provision that enhances the punishment for a conviction of a violation of Penal Code section 288, subdivision (a) could not possibly have prejudiced him, and a correction of that typographical error is, essentially, a matter of housekeeping.

In Patterson v. Municipal Court (1971) 17 Cal. App. 3d 84, the Court of Appeal

mjfacts.com

noted that "An amendment to designate the proper code section is permissible and nonprejudicial if the accused is plainly informed of the nature of her offenses and the acts constituting the offenses. [Citations.]" (Id., at p. 88.)

In Patterson, supra, the complaint itself was amended to substitute "Welfare and Institutions Code section 11482" for "Penal Code section 488" as the statute offended by the conduct of Ms. Patterson in fraudulently obtaining public aid.

If the designation in the accusatory pleading of the statute defining a substantive offense may be so amended where, in the circumstances, no prejudice to the defendant results, so, too, may the purely cautionary advisements in that pleading of a "sentencing allegation" be amended where, as here, the original allegation was not relied upon by the defendant to his prejudice.

The People respectfully request leave to amend, by interlineation, so much of the "Sentencing Allegations" of the pending indictment as allege that "Counts Two through Five are serious felonies within the meaning of Penal Code section 1197.7, subdivision (c)(6)" to read "Counts Two through Five are serious felonies within the meaning of Penal Code section 1192.7(c)(6)."

DATED: June 8, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY

County of Santa Barbara

Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

4.

).22)

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On June 8, 2004, I served the within NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE SENTENCING ALLEGATION RELATING TO COUNTS 2, 3, 4 & 5 TO CORRECT THE DESIGNATION OF THE PERTINENT SECTION OF THE PENAL CODE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., STEVE COCHRAN, and ROBERT SANGER, his counsel, by faxing a true copy to counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the attached Service List, and then by causing to be mailed a true copy (two true copies, to Attorney Mesereau) to each counsel at that address.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 8th day of June, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

SERVICE LIST COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., Esq. Susan Yu, Esq. 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: (818) 906-2364 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson KATTEN, MUCHIN, ZAVIS & ROSENMAN, Lawyers Steve Cochran, Esq. 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 FAX: (310) 712-8455 Iυ Co-counsel for Defendant SANGER & SWYSEN, Lawyers Robert M. Sanger, Esq. 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311-Co-counsel for Defendant PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND SENTENCING ALLEGATION