SUPERIOR COUNTY TALIFORNIA

MAY 26 2004

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BY: J. Gordon Auchineloss, State Bar #150251

Deputy District Attorney 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300

Attorneys for Plaintiff

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer BY Carrie & Warner CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clork

Proposed Redacted version of original Request

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff.

vs.

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON.

Defendant.

No. 1133603 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: CONTEMPT OF COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER BY PERSONS SUBJECT TO THAT ORDER: DECLARATION OF THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.

INTRODUCTION FILED UNDER SEAL

On January 23, 2004 the court issued a protective order in the above-captioned case. Prior to the issuance of this order, Russell-Halporn-Esq., the attorney-for David-Arviso, the victim's father, had become a public figure in this case by-making more thanten appearances on a variety of local and nationally tolevised nows shows including The-Today Show: The Abrams Report and The O'Reilly Factor. The subject of Mr. Halpern's appearances and the interest the media has shown in broadcasting his remarks have been focused upon one thing-attacking the victim's credibility by vilifying the victim's mother with assertions that she is manipulating her children to make false allegations against the defendant. -Mr. Halpern's nationally televised condemnation not only asserted that the

6/16/05 Judges # Underly pursuent victim's mother is unfit, manipulative and a liar, but also included his numerous attempts to vouch for the character and credibility of the defendant. (See Exhibit A, attached DVD Video News Clips with Transcripts of Halpern Interviews before 1/23/01.)

A review of video clips of Mr. Hulpern's statements makes plain his newfound eclebrity is dependent solely upon the fact that he represents the father of Michael-Jackson's accuser. It is also obvious that without this circumstance, the media would have no interest in Mr. Halpern or what he has to say about this case. The court must now evaluate more recent remarks made to the press by Mr. Halpern and his client in light of Mr. Halpern's past demagoguery about the credibility of the victim and the victim's mother

I

RUSSELL HALPERN AND HIS CLIENT, DAVID ARVISO ARE IN DIRECT VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S PROTECTIVE ORDER

On January 27 2004 the District Attorney notified Russell Halpern-by letter and facsimile that he and-his-olient, David Arviso, are potential witnesses in this case and that they are therefore subject to the court's protective order. (See Exhibit B, attached—Letter and FAX to Russell Halpern from Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.) A short time after this letter was delivered the District Attorney spoke with Mr. Halpern-by telephone and specifically reiterated the contents of the letter. Mr. Sneddon cautioned Mr. Halpern about future contacts with the media and the gravity of any deviation from the court's order. (See Attached Declaration of Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.)

In defiance of the court's order, Mr. Halpern then appeared on three-national newsprograms and made comments to the media dealing with evidence directly related to this case:

26 | | | | | | |

R

2

3

4

S

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

(See Exhibit C. attached - DVD and Transcript)

On February 7, 2004, Russell Halpern-appeared on the nationally televised FexNews- Big Story Weekend Edition-program and made remarks concerning his lack of
personal knowledge regarding the facts of this case. During this interview, Mr. Halpern
expressed his hostility toward the court's order by ascribing "disingenuous" motives to
Santa Barbara District-Attorney-Tom Snedden in asking for the order. Finally, Mr.Halpern purposely attacked the credibility of a material witness in this case—the victim's
mother—by asserting that-she suffered from serious mental disorders and claiming she has
been treated in a mental hospital.

It is worth noting that Mr. Halpern had previously been publicly berated for making similar remarks on the January 14, 2004 edition of the syndicated radio talk show. The John and Ken Show. (See attached Exhibit D—audio cassette tape and transcript.)—During this show Mr. Halpern, who was a call-in-guest, admitted that he did not really "know" whether or not his allegations that the victim's mother was in a mental hospital were true. If Mr. Halpern suffered any illusions about the effect such remarks had upon the credibility of witnesses in this case, he was unequivocally disabused of those notions by these two outspoken radio show hosts.

Mr. Halpem's statements-made on-February 7, 2004-are in direct-violation of-thecourt's-order-that-witnesses not "comment upon the weight, value, or offect-of-anyevidence as tending to establish guilt-or innocence."

23

25

26

27

28

February-9, 2004
The Early Show

CBS News

(See Exhibit E, attached - Downloaded-Internet-Pages from CBS News)

On February 9, 2001, Russell Halporn appeared on nationally televised The Early

Show. The People are not yet in possession of video or audio for this appearance,

however, the following quote from Mr. Helpern's interview is published on the official-website for CBS News and the Associated Press Newswire:-

"From what-I-understand, Mr. Jackson was-a-very hospitableand very-good-host and-never displayed any-conduct thatwould cause anybody-any concern."

This statement, vouching for Mr. Jackson's character and innocence is in directviolation of the court's order that wimesses not "comment upon the weight, value, or
effect of any evidence as tending to establish guilt or innocence."

February 13, 2004

Larry King-Live

Cable News Network

(See Exhibit F, attached – DVD and Transcript)

against-the-defendant.

nationally televised-Larry King Live. During this interview, Mr. Halpern and Mr. Arviso talked about Mr. Arviso's pending visitation and child outlody litigation with the victim's mother. Both Mr. Halpern and Mr. Arviso also discussed prior criminal convictions suffered by Mr. Arviso for spousal abuse involving the victim's mother and child abuse involving one of the victim's siblings. Both Mr. Halpern and Mr. Arviso asserted that the accusations made by the victim's mother that led to these convictions were false. In addition, Mr. Arviso vouched for the defendant when he expressed that defendant has

On February 13, 2001, Russell Halpern and his client, David Arviso, appeared on

These remarks deal with the oredibility of material witnesses in the present case and are in direct violation of the court's explicit order not to divulge "extrajudicial statements of witnesses" or to "comment upon the weight, value, or effect of any evidence as tending to establish guilt-or innocence."

always been a "real good friend." Mr. Arvise then expressed his personal doubts about

whether the viotim in this case has over even made an accusation of sexual misconduct

CONCLUSION

Prior to January 23, 2001, Mr. Halporn's affinity for television cameras could only be described as opportunistic pandering to the media. Now, Mr. Halporn's public performances, both alone and with his client at his side, have become contemptible. Even while acknowledging the court's directive, he has continued to attack the credibility of material witnesses and comment on extrajudicial statements made by them. The People respectfully request that the court issue an order to Russell-Halporn and his client, David-Arviso, directing them to show cause why each of them should not be held in contempt. Should the court agree with the People's request, individual orders to show cause for Russell-Halporn and David Arviso with a hearing date scheduled for the next calendared appearance date of April 2, 2004 are attached.

DATED: March . 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BY:

GORDON AUCHINCLOSS
Senior Deputy District Attorney

• 💇 :

-

1

2

4

5 6

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

I am the District Attorney of the County of Santa Barbara. I am the lead attorney in the prosecution of The People of the State of California v. Michael Joe Jackson, Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 1133603.

I. Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr. do hereby declare:

- 1) I believe that Russell Halpern will be a witness in this case. Mr. Halpern has made-numerous-remarks to the press indicating he has personal-knowledge-concerning the facts-and evidence-in-this case. In addition, Mr.-Halpern has stated-that-he sawdocumentary evidence his elient-brought to him that indicates the victim's mether in this ease had prepared "soripts" for her children during a prior civil litigation involving J.C. Penney's. These remarks appear in Exhibit A attached to this request and have been underlined for emphasis.
- 2) I believe that David Arviso will be a witness in this case. Mr. Arviso is the father-of the victim-in-this-case and he is a percipient witness to events leading up to the allegations charged in the Complaint .-
- 3) On January 27, 2004 I notified Henry Russell-Halpern of the Court's Protective Order by mailing and faxing to him the letter and attachments a copy of which is attached to this Request and marked as Exhibit B. This exhibit also reflects that the letter and attachments were received by Mr. Halporn's office on January 27, 2004 at 11:34AM.
- 4) On January 29 or 30, 2004 I spoke to Russell Halpern-on the phone and confirmed to him the contents and accuracy of the letter and attachments I had sent to

him. In that conversation I confirmed to him that both he and his client. David Arvise are witnesses in this case and I cautioned Mr. Halpem to take the directives of the court very seriously.

5) DVD Exhibits A, B and D were obtained by my office from Multivision Inc., a national news clipping company. Exhibit C was downloaded from the official internet site for CBS News on March 10, 2001. Exhibit E was downloaded from the official internet site for the John and Kon Show on March 12, 2004. I am informed and believe that each of these exhibits are accurate representations of publicly published materials.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct except for those statements made on information and belief and as to those statements, I believe them to be true.

Executed this day of March, 2004, at Santa Barbara, California.

Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.

LIST OF ATTACHED EXHIBITS

Exhibit A: DVD Video News Clips with Transcripts—II. Russell Halpern News-Interviews before January-23, 2004.

Exhibit B: Letter and FAX to Russell Halporn-from Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.

Exhibit C: DVD Video Clip and Transcript - FOX NEWS (FNC) Big Story
Weekend Edition for February 7, 2004.

Exhibit D: Audio cassette-tape and transcript—The John and Ken Radio Show for January-14, 2003.

Exhibit E: Downloaded Internet Pages-from CBS News for February 9, 2004.

Exhibit F: DVD Video Glip and Transcript— Lawy King Live; Cable News-Network for February 13, 2004.

njfacts.com mjf

LIST OF ATTACHED EXHIBITS

B

mifacts.com

Exhibit A: DVD-Video News Clips with-Transcripts—H. Russell-Helpern News-Interviews before January 23, 2004.

Exhibit B: Letter-and FAX to Russell Halpern-from Thomas W. Sneddon, Jr.

Exhibit C: DVD Video Clip and Transcript FOX NEWS (FNC) Big Story Weekend Edition for February 7, 2004.

Exhibit D: Audio cassette tape and transcript - The John and Ken Radio Show for January 11, 2003.

Exhibit E: Downloaded Internet Pages from GBS News for Pebruary 9, 2004.

Exhibit F: DVD Video Clip and Transcript - Lorry King-Live; Cable News-Network for February 13, 2004.