THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY 1 County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) 2 Senior Deputy District Attorney MAY 18 2005 GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) 3 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) BY Carrie & Wagner 4 CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clerk Senior Deputy District Attorney 5 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 6 FAX: (805) 568-2398 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 9 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 12 No. 1133603 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 Plaintiff. MEMORANDUM IN 14 SUPPORT OF REQUEST VS. FOR HEARING TO 15 DETERMINE ADMISSIBILITY OF 16 TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE 17 WITNESS MARY MINOW 18 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, DATE: TBA Defendant. 19 TIME: 8:30 AM DEPT.: SM2 (Melville) 20 21 INTRODUCTION 22 Defendant has indicated his intent to present a librarian. Mary Minow, to testify to 23 the following: 24 An analysis of Defendant's entire library (estimated by Mr. Mesereau in his opening 25 statement to be in excess of one million books). 26 An analysis of the artistic merit of the books admitted into evidence in this case. 27

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE

WITNESS MARY MINOW

28

 A commentary on different books admitted into evidence as being available through a variety of sources and in libraries across the country.

There is no legitimate reason to allow a witness to comment on the nature of Defendant's library. Whether or not he has copies of Dickens or Tom Clancy in his library has nothing to do with the question of whether or not he molested Gavin Arvizo. Also, virtually all of the books admitted into evidence were found in his bedroom or in boxes on the floor in a small library on the second floor of the arcade. None were recovered from his main library. Witness Minow should not be allowed to testify to the nature of his general book collection.

As to the books specifically admitted into evidence each contains sexually explicit photos. It is irrelevant that the books can be purchased through conventional sources since almost anything in this country can be purchased through conventional sources, including Defendant's copy of "Double Dicking Caroline". The relevance of such testimony is questionable. It is apparently for purposes of showing that Defendant's possession of such materials is for artistic appeal and not sexual. This witness ought not be allowed to testify to defendant's motivations or interests without opening the door to rebuttal testimony to the contrary.

Should this witness be allowed to testify then the People will request that former FB1 Special Agent Ken Lanning be allowed to testify as well. Agent Lanning has 30 years of experience in the FBI, most of which in the behavioral sciences department. His specialty is child sexual abuse. He has authored numerous publications on a variety of issues dealing with child sex offenders including the manual used by law enforcement across the country. He is particularly knowledgeable on issues of why child molesters possess certain types of sexually graphic material, most of which is legal and easily obtainable. This material is clearly possessed by child molesters because it excites them.

Agent Lanning will testify that the materials admitted into evidence are publications frequently found in the homes of child sex offenders. He will testify that his conversations with child molesters and with those who interview child molesters confirm that these types of materials are possessed because they sexually excite them. He will also testify that the same

types of books are commonly used as grooming materials for young male victims. The People request a hearing to determine whether witness Minow should be allowed to testify and if so whether Agent Lanning may testify in rebuttal. **DATED:** May 18, 2005 Respectfully submitted. THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY Ronald Zonen Senior Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE

PROOF OF SERVICE

SS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara. California 93101.

On May 18, 2005, I served the within MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF

REQUEST FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTIMONY OF

DEFENSE WITNESS MARY MINOW on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,

and ROBERT SANGER, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to Mr. Sanger.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 18th day of May, 2005.

23m

Ron Zonen

mifacts.com

SERVICE LIST THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ESQ. Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP 1875 Century Park East, No. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: [Confidential] Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson ROBERT SANGER, ESQ. Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 Co-counsel for Defendant

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR HEARING TO DETERMINE ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE WITNESS MARY MINOW