THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of Santa Barbara
By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Telephone: (805) 568-2300
FAX: (805) 568-2398

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA RABRADA

MAY 17 2005

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY CANNUL & Wagner
CARRIE L. WAGNER, Dobuty Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SANTA MARIA DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Plaintiff.

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,

Defendant.

No. 1133603

REQUEST FOR HEARING REGARDING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY ON HOW TO LITIGATE A CIVIL CHILD MOLEST CASE

DATE: TBA TIME: 8:30 AM

DEPT.: SM2 (Melville)

INTRODUCTION

Defendant has given notice of his intent to call as an expert witness Attorney David Conn to testify to the propriety of waiting to commence a civil suit until after the corresponding criminal case has concluded. We received notice at 4:00 p.m. on the 16th that

28

1

witness Conn would be called to the stand the following day. We believe there are significant issues to be addressed in advance of this witness taking the stand.

Defendant has not advanced authority that this subject is a proper one for expert testimony. We are in receipt of only a seven paragraph report of his findings. We do not know whether or not he will be testifying by way of hypothetical example or by relating to actual evidence and facts in this case.

In any event we intent to ask the witness about the prior settlements against Defendant and whether attorneys Larry Feldman. Kris Kollman and Terry Cannon acted wisely in settling cases against the Defendant for millions of dollars prior to the filing of any criminal complaint. We believe it is appropriate for the court to revisit its ruling about not allowing the jury to learn the exact amounts of the settlements since the success of those two cases would be relevant to this issue. We will also request that the court allow questioning of the witness into areas of the defendant's financial solvency and the wisdom of waiting years to file a civil suit against a man facing imminent bankruptcy, or that he would exhaust his resources fighting the criminal charges or worse, end up in jail.

Prior to the start of this trial the People filed briefs addressing the legal and factual issues of presenting two expert witnesses (child abuse accommodation and battered wife syndrome). There was a hearing as to each allowing both sides the opportunity to brief and argue the appropriate issues. We are asking that the Court hold a hearing on the admissibility of this testimony as well. In the long run the presentation of the evidence, if admissible at all, will be more efficient if the issues are resolved before being presented to the jury.

DATED: May 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Ronald Zonen Senior Deputy District Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PROOF OF SERVICE

3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

California 93101.

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

) } SS

5

1

2

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over

On May 17, 2005, I served the within REQUEST FOR HEARING

REGARDING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY ON HOW TO

LITIGATE A CIVIL CHILD MOLEST CASE on Defendant, by THOMAS A.

MESEREAU, JR., and ROBERT SANGER, by faxing a true copy thereof to Mr. Sanger.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 17th day of May. 2005.

mjfacts.com

Ron Zonen

3



REQUEST FOR HEARING REGARDING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY ON HOW TO LITIGATE A CIVIL CHILD MOLEST CASE