COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 2 3 MAY 1 2 2005 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer 4 CARRIE L. WAGNER. Debuty Clerk SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 058214 5 Stephen K. Dunkle, State Bar Number 227136 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C 6 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 7 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 8 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION 11 12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 1133603 13 CALIFORNIA. 14 RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE Plaintiffs. TESTIMONY OF PURPORTED PRIOR SEX 15 ACTS WITH MINOR WITNESS VS. DATE: TBA 16 TIME: TBA DEPT: SM-8 17 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 /// 26 27 /// 28 RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF PURPORTED PRIOR SEX **ACTS WITH MINOR WITNESS**

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

ARGUMENT

I.

THE DEFENSE DOES NOT ANTICIPATE EXAMINING ANGEL VIVANCO REGARDING THE DETAILS OF HIS PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH

<u>DAVELLIN ARVIZO</u>

The prosecution can rest assured that the purpose of calling Angel Vivanco as a witness is not to question him regarding the details of his physical relationship with Davellin Arvizo. As argued below, Mr. Vivanco is a percipient witness to the activities of the Arvizo family and, in particular, to statements and conduct of Davellin. Assuming the prosecution refrains from cross-examining Mr. Vivanco regarding the specific details of his physical relationship with Ms. Arvizo, defense counsel does not intend to make an issue of those aspects of their relationship.

TICTS.COM

ANGEL VIVANCO IS A PERCIPIENT WITNESS TO THE ACTIVITIES OF THE ARVIZO FAMILY

Angel Vivanco's testimony is relevant to critical issues in this case.

First, Mr. Vivanco was present at Neverland during the time period in which the Arvizos claim to have been falsely imprisoned and he interacted with them before, during and after that time. He interacted with the family, and, in particular, with Davellin Arvizo. His testimony contradicts the testimony of Davellin that she stayed in the guest unit with her mother and did not come out. Mr. Vivanco's testimony is consistent with other witnesses who also observed Davellin to be out and about at Neverland.

Second, after the family had left Neverland, Davellin Arvizo continued to call Mr.

1 The defense and with the arranging area week.

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF PURPORTED PRIOR SEX ACTS WITH MINOR WITNESS

The defense agreed with the prosecution over a week ago that it would not ask about the prior acts referenced in the prosecution's moving papers. Thereafter, Mr. Sneddon communicated to the Court that he was not clear as to the relevancy of Mr. Vivanco's testimony but that he would re-read the report of Mr. Vivanco's interview. The defense respectfully files this brief to address the issue of relevance.

Vivanco on a nightly basis. She informed Mr. Vivanco that Janet Arvizo and Jay Jackson were planning "something big" that involved Mr. Jackson. This supports the defense theory that the Arvizos made these allegations as part of a scheme to obtain money from Mr. Jackson.

Third. Mr. Vivanco observed Star and Gavin Arvizo drinking alcohol and possessing sexually explicit materials prior to February and March of 2003, and outside the presence of Mr. Jackson. This contradicts the testimony of Gavin and Star.

Fourth, Mr. Vivanco observed Janet Arvizo's interactions with Dieter Weisner and will give testimony that contradicts Janet's testimony that she was intimidated by scary "Germans," including Mr. Weisner. It also contradicts Janet Arvizo's claim that Dieter Weisner met with Janet ten times a day so that she could memorize the script.

Fifth, Mr. Vivanco's testimony is in direct contradiction to the claim that any of the Arvizos were acting as if they were held against their will.

III.

CONCLUSION

Defense counsel does not intend to question Mr. Vivanco regarding the details of his physical relationship with Davellin Arvizo. However, he is a percipient witness to the activities of the Arvizo family and should be allowed to testify.

Dated: May 12, 2005

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU

Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.

Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger Stephen K. Dunkle

for ex:

Robert M. Sanger

Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

.6

27

28

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF PURPORTED PRIOR SEX ACTS WITH MINOR WITNESS

:om