SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

APR 2 8 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, EXEC. OFFICER
By Alco Clean
ALICIA ALCOCER, Deputy Clerk

facts.com

Superior Court of California,

In and for the County of Santa Barbara

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

3

3

4

5

6

8

ij

10

11

12

 $^{13}$ 

14

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 1133603

ADDENDUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENTS 8 and 9, DATED APRIL 1, 2004

In the interest of justice, for the safety of individuals attending the proceedings of the aforementioned case, and to preserve the dignity of the court and the integrity of the proceedings, the Court orders for proceedings on April 30, 2004, that:

All previous decorum orders of the court remain in full force and effect for the proceedings of April 30, 2004.

DATED: 4-28-04

RODNEY S. MELVILLE
Judge of the Superior Court

jfacts.com

mjracts.com

27

38

mjfacts.com

#### 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On <u>APRIL 28, 2004</u>, I served a copy of the attached <u>ADDENDUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENTS 8 AND 9 DATED APRIL 1, 2004 (VIA FACSIMILE)</u> addressed as follows:

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.
COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP
1875 CENTURY PARK EAST, 7<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER C/O THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, ESQ. 333 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

envelope, with express mail postage paid.

| X  | FAX  |
|--|--|
| ATTORI                                       | By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: <u>805-568-2398 (DISTRICT</u><br>NEY); 310-284-3133 (THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.); 213-229-7520 (GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER)   |
|  | insmission was reported complete and without error. Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a   |
| transmi                                      | ssion report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.   |
| there is                                     | MAIL  By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular |
| COMMU  | nication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.  |
| <u> </u>                                     | PERSONAL SERVICE   |
| thereof.                                     | By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with their clerk therein or the person having charge  |
| erne + I <sub>a</sub> r <sub>emplo</sub> gga | EXPRESS MAIL.  |
| lika fac                                     | By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, subpost office, substation, mail chute, or other  |

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 28<sup>TH</sup> day of 20 04, at Santa Maria, California.

ALTOTA ALCOCED

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

APR 0 1 2004

BY CANAL & WAGNEY
CARRIEL. WAGNER, DEPUTY CLERK

Superior Court of California,

In and for the County of Santa Barbara

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Case No.: 1133603

ADDENDUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENTS 8 and 9, DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2004

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,

Defendant.

In the interest of justice, for the safety of individuals attending the proceedings of the aforementioned case, and to preserve the dignity of the court and the integrity of the proceedings, the Court orders for proceedings on April 2, 2004, that:

All previous decorum orders of the court remain in full force and effect for the proceedings of April 2, 2004.

DATED: /Way J. Mulle

Judge of the Superior Court

acts.com

mjfacts.com

28

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

mifacts.com

FEB 13 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY CANN & WAGNEN
CARRIE L WAGNER, Debuty Clerk

Superior Court of California,

In and for the County of Santa Barbara

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

VS.

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

31

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

Defendant

Case No.: 1133603

ADDENIOUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENT 8 DATED JANUARY 15, 2004

The court's decorum order regarding Department 8 is modified by striking "cellular phones" from paragraph 7. Cell phones, which have been powered off, may be carried into the overflow observation courtroom. Cell phones shall not be powered on or operated within Department 8.

All previous decorum orders of the court remain in full force and effect for the proceedings of February 13, 2004.

DATED: February 13, 2004

Aprey Mehlle RODNEY S. MELVILLE

JUDGE

SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

28

nifacts.com

1

2. 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

PEOPLE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

11

12

13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 III JAN 15 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

Case No.: 1133603

DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENT (OVERFLOW OBSERVATION ROOM)

Considering the extraordinary public interest in the aforementioned case, and anticipating that the number of media interested in covering the proceedings in this case will exceed the number of available seats in Department 9, where proceedings are scheduled to be heard, the Court orders that a closed-circuit audio-video feed be provided to an overflow observation room where members of the media who do not have seats in Department 9 may watch and listen to the January 16, 2004, proceedings. Following are conditions for equipping the overflow observation room and for conduct of those who are provided access to the room:

1. A television camera shall be positioned in Department 9 at a location in the courtroom approved by the Court.

8

6

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

III

- The overflow observation room shall be equipped with sufficient audio-video equipment, provided by the media at the media's expense, to afford a reasonable view of the court proceedings as transmitted by the camera in Department 9.
- 3. Cabling shall be provided and put in place by the media at the media's expense from the camera in Department 9 to the television monitor in Department 8.
- 4. All cables and wires shall be secured and covered and not impede or present hazard to courthouse users.
- The camera operator, to be determined by members of the news media, shall provide a fixed, static shot, approved by the court, of the proceedings to the television monitor in Department 8. The camera shall not zoom in for close-ups or pan from one side to another, nor shall it move up or down.
- This feed shall not be recorded, broadcast or electronically transmitted by any means.
- No cameras, tape recorders, video recorders, camcorders, laptop or other computers, cellular phones, personal digital assistants, satellite phones, or any other manual or electronic device capable of recording video, audio or still images, or any device capable of recording video, audio or still images, nor any device capable of transmitting any visual or audio representation or actual image or sound shall be permitted in the overflow observation room.
- No bags, packages, briefcases, large coats, purses, pocketbooks, boxes or any other container shall be brought in to Building G of the courthouse and areas cordoned off for access to Building G or any courtroom.
- 9. Anyone failing to occupy his or her seat in either the overflow observation room by at least 15 minutes before court is scheduled to convene may forfeit the seat for the duration of the proceedings.
- 10. Anyone who does not comply with all conditions of this order may forfeit access to the courtroom for the duration of the case.

Violation of this order may result in the violator being held in contempt of court and/or being subject to monetary sanction pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 177.5. IT IS SO ORDERED. · 4 Melille DATED: January 15, 2004 RODNEY S. MELVILLE Judge of the Superi<mark>or Court</mark> -6 

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On JANUARY 15. 20 04, I served a copy of the attached DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENT 8 (OVERFLOW OBSERVATION ROOM) addressed as follows:

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

MARK GERAGOS, ESQ. 350 S. GRAND AVENUE, 39<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-3480

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER C/O THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, ESQ. 333 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

| X FAX By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: 805-568-2398 (DISTRICT TORNEY); 213-625-1600 (MARK GERAGOS, ESQ.); 213-229-7520 (GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER) Said   |
|---|
| ransmission was reported complete and without error. Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a ransmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.  |
| By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed. |
| PERSONAL SERVICE  |
| By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with their clerk therein or the person having charge thereof.  EXPRESS MAIL  |
| By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, subpost office, substation, mail chute, or other like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail, in a sealed envelope, with express mail postage paid.   |
| I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 15 <sup>TH</sup> day of  |

CARRIE L. WAGNER

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

FEB 1 3 2004

GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY CASHEL WAGNER, Deputy Circle

Superior Court of California,

In and for the County of Santa Barbara

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

VS.

2

3

5

G

7

ĝ

9

10

-11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

Defendant

Case No.: 1133603

ADDENDUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENT 9 DATED JANUARY 15, 2004

All previous decorum orders of the court remain in full force and effect for the proceedings of February 13, 2004.

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

DATED: February 13, 2004

RODNEY S. MELVILLE

JUDGE

SUPERIOR COURT

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

mifacts.com

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBAGA

JAN 15 2004

DGARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer
BY WELL & WELLEN
CARRIEL WAGNER, Deputy Cleri

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

PEOPLE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: Case No. 1133603

DECORUM ORDER REGARDING DEPARTMENT 9

VS.

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,

Defendant

In the interest of justice, for the safety of individuals attending the proceedings of the aforementioned case, and to preserve the dignity of the court and the integrity of the proceedings, the Court orders for proceedings on January 16, 2004, that:

1. No cameras, tape recorders, video recorders, camcorders, laptop or other computers, cellular phones, personal digital assistants, satellite phones or any other manual or electronic device capable of recording video, audio or still images, or any device capable of recording video, audio or still images, nor any device capable of transmitting any visual or audio representation or actual image or sound shall be permitted in the courtroom. The only exception is a court-approved camera providing a closed-circuit audio/video feed to an overflow observation room located in Department 8, which is adjacent to Department 9.

mifacts con

26

- No bags, packages, briefcases, large coats, purses, pocketbooks, boxes or any other container shall be brought in to Building G of the courthouse or any courtroom. Counsel of record may be exempted in order to permit necessary support materials.
- 3. No prejudicial or inflammatory logos, insignia or paraphemalia of any kind shall be worn on any person or clothing while in the courthouse or courtroom,
- Anyone failing to occupy his or her seat in the courtroom by at least 15 minutes before court is scheduled to convene may forfeit the seat for the duration of the day's proceedings.
- 5. No members of the media or public shall be permitted beyond the railing, separating the court gallery from the litigation area.
- All members of the media shall display official court and media credentials at all times while in the courtroom.
- No media or public observers shall be permitted to enter the courtroom while court is in session.
   Entry shall be only before court convenes and during announced breaks in the proceedings.
- 8. No interviews shall be held in Department 9 at any time.
- 9. No disrupting, interferences, outbursts, public demonstration, loud or intrusive noises or distractions shall be permitted in Department 9 at any time. Anyone who does not comply with this condition will be immediately removed from the courtroom, and may forfeit access for the duration of the case.
- 10. Anyone who does not comply with all conditions of this order may forfeit access to the countroom for the duration of the case.

Violation of this order may result in the violator being held in contempt of court and/or being subject to monetary sanction pursuant to California Civil Code of Procedure 177.5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 15, 2004

RODNEY S. MELVILLE
Judge of the Superior Court

mifacts.com

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On <u>JANUARY 15</u>, 20 04, I served a copy of the attached <u>DECORUM ORDER REGARDING</u>
<u>DEPARTMENT 9</u> addressed as follows:

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

MARK GERAGOS, ESQ. 350 S. GRAND AVENUE, 39<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-3480

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER c/o THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, ESQ. 333 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

envelope, with express mail postage paid.

| _X FAX   |
|--|
| By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: 805-568-2398 (DISTRICT                              |
| ATTORNEY); 213-625-1600 (MARK GERAGOS, ESQ.); 213-229-7520 (GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER) . Said                        |
| transmission was reported complete and without error. Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a             |
| transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.              |
| MAIL   |
| By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United             |
| States Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That       |
| there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular |
| communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.                                     |
| Communication by man between the place of making and the place so addressed.                                       |
| PERSONAL SERVICE   |
|  |
| By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with their clerk therein or the person having charge                |
| thereof.   |
| ternal wells   |
| EVENECC MATI   |
| EXPRESS MAIL   |
|  |
| By depositing such envelope in a post office, mallbox, subpost office, substation, mail chute, or other            |
| like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mall, in a sealed    |

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this <u>15<sup>TH</sup></u> day of <u>JANUARY</u> \_\_20\_04 , at Santa Maria, California.

CARRIE L. WAGNER

mjfacts.con

### 1013A(1)(3), 1013(c) CCP

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA:

I am a citizen of the United States of America and a resident of the county aforesaid. I am employed by the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 312-H East Cook Street, Santa Maria, California.

On <u>APRIL 1</u>, I served a copy of the attached <u>ADDENDUM TO DECORUM ORDER REGARDING</u>
DEPARTMENTS 8 and 9 DATED FEBRUARY 13, 2004 addressed as follows:

THOMAS.W. SNEDDON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1105 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

MARK GERAGOS, ESQ. 350 S. GRAND AVENUE, 39<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-3480

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER c/o THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, ESQ. 333 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

X\_\_\_FAX

By faxing true copies thereof to the receiving fax numbers of: 805-568-2398 (DISTRICT ATTORNEY): 213-625-1600 (MARK GERAGOS, ESQ.): 213-229-7520 (GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER) . Said transmission was reported complete and without error. Pursuant to California Rules of Court 2005(i), a transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine and is attached hereto.

MAIL

By placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service mail box in the City of Santa Maria, County of Santa Barbara, addressed as above. That there is delivery service by the United States Postal Service at the place so addressed or that there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

PERSONAL SERVICE

By leaving a true copy thereof at their office with their clerk therein or the person having charge thereof.

EXPRESS MAIL

By depositing such envelope in a post office, mailbox, subpost office, substation, mail chute, or other like facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service for receipt of Express Mail, in a sealed envelope, with express mail postage paid.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 1<sup>st</sup> day of APRIL , 20 04, at Santa Maria, California.

CARRIE L. WAGNER

n