THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara 1 FILED By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) 2 SUPERIOR COURT of GALIFORNIA Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 3 Senior Deputy District Attorney 1105 Santa Barbara Street APR 2 3 2004 4 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398 GARY M. SLAIR, EXEC. OFFICER 5 By Alexa aleacor ALICIA ALCOCER, Deputy Clerk 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 8 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 9 10 No. 1133603 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 11 NOTICE OF MOTION AND Plaintiff, 12 MOTION FOR ORDER
DIRECTING THAT SEARCH
WARRANT NOS. SW 4977 AND
4977A, THEIR SUPPORTING
AFFIDAVITS AND ANY
RETURN BE FILED AND 13 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, 14 Defendant. MAINTAINED UNDER
CONDITIONAL SEAL UNTIL
FURTHER ORDER OF COURT;
DECLARATION OF GERALD
McC. FRANKLIN;
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
AND AUTHORITIES;
PROPOSED ORDER THEREON
(Cal Rules of Ct. rule 243 Let 15 16 17 18 19 (Cal. Rules of Ct., rule 243.1 et seq.) 20 DATE: April 30, 2004 TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: SM 2 (Melville) 21 22 9 2 23 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO MARK J. GERAGOS, ROBERT 24 SANGER, STEVE COCHRAN and BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF 25 26 RECORD: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 30, 2004, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter 27 as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does, move for an 28 DI LINTIFE'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING SEARCH WARRANT NOS. 4977 AND 4977A, ETC.

order directing that the following records be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq: Those certain warrants for the search of the records of Verizon California, Inc., which warrants (nos. SW 4977 and SW 4977A) were issued, respectively, on April 2nd and April 21, 2004, together with their supporting affidavits and the return, if any, on SW 4977A. The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify sealing the specified records pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion. DATED: April 22, 2004 THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. Gerald McC. Frankin, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff 

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

the People, Plaintiff in this action.

1

8

12

13

11

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for
- 2. This motion to seal records pertains to warrant nos. SW 4977 and SW 4977A for the seizure of certain records of Verizon California, Inc. and its subsidiaries, together with the affidavits supporting the warrants and the returns thereon. Warrant No. SW 4977 was executed on April 2, 2004 and the return thereon was not filed because Verizon California, Inc. had issues with the phrasing of the description of the records sought by that warrant. Warrant No. SW 4977A was executed on April 21, 2004 and the return to that warrant has not yet been filed with the court. The warrant, the supporting affidavit and the return to the warrant, by statute, are not open to public inspection until the return to the warrant has been filed or within 10 days after the warrants were issued.
- 3. The information set out in the affidavits in support of SW 4977 and SW 4977A expand upon the confidential information gained by investigators in the course of the ongoing investigation and set out in the original warrant for the search of Neverland Ranch, most of which was sealed by order of this Court pending trial in order to preserve the right of both parties to a fair trial. In addition, the affidavit makes reference to information gathered by investigators following execution of the warrant for the Neverland Ranch search, which they regard as confidential and which would be prejudicial to defendant's right to a fair trial if disclosed to the public prior to trial and while the investigation itself is still underway.
- 4. I believe the information set out in the search warrant affidavits, and the information gained by execution of warrant no. SW 4977A, is privileged information within the meaning of Evidence Code sections 1040, subdivision (a) and 1042, subdivision (b), and as information relating to the investigation of alleged child molestation offenses, it may also be privileged pursuant to the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act, Penal Code sections 11164 through 1117.4. I hereby claim and assert that privilege.

- 5. I therefore believe that the interest in a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to the search warrant records, and supports the sealing of those records until the investigation has been concluded.
- 6. I believe an order maintaining those records under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to those records could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on April 22, 2004.

Skrald Mesc. Osa Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Penal Code section 1534, subdivision (a) provides:

(a) A search warrant shall be executed and returned within 10 days after date of issuance. A warrant executed within the 10-day period shall be deemed to have been timely executed and no further showing of timeliness need be made. After the expiration of 10 days, the warrant, unless executed, is void. The documents and records of the court relating to the warrant need not be open to the public until the execution and return of the warrant or the expiration of the 10-day period after issuance. Thereafter, if the warrant has been executed, the documents and records shall be open to the public as a judicial record.

In PSC Geothermal Services Co. v. Superior Court (1994) 25 Cal.4th 1697, our Supreme Court noted:

"Section 1534 provides that the documents associated with the warrant are public documents 10 days after its execution. Typically after the search, arrests are made. There is no exception in the statute for instances, such as that here, where the search is used to further an ongoing investigation. Such information, however, may be privileged as official information under Evidence Code sections 1040, subdivision (a) and 1042, subdivision (b)." (Id., at p. 1714.)

Evidence Code section 1040, subdivision (a) provides: "As used in this section, 'official information' means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made."

Evidence Code section 1042, subdivision (b) provides: "Notwithstanding subdivision (a) [requiring a court to make adverse findings adverse to the public entity upon any issue in a court proceeding to which privileged information is material], where a search is made pursuant to a warrant valid on its face, the public entity bringing a criminal proceeding is not required to reveal to the defendant official information or the identity of an informer in

mifacts.com

order to establish the legality of the search or the admissibility of any evidence obtained as a result of it." (Emphasis added.)

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Search warrants, their supporting affidavits and the returns thereto are open to the public within 10 days of issuance or until the warrant is executed and returned, whichever is earlier. (Pen. Code, § 1534, subd. (a).)

### Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
  - (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

## Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

27 1///

|||///|/|

DATED: April 22, 2004 Respectfully submitted, THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara C. Franklin, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff 

mjfacts.com

### (PROPOSED) ORDER

It appearing from the Declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and from the supporting affidavits in Santa Barbara Superior Court Search Warrant File Nos. SW 4977 and SW 4977A that the affidavits contain confidential information, premature disclosure of which may prejudice an ongoing investigation and the constitutional right of both parties to a fair trial, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. That certain search warrant numbers SW 4977 and SW 4977A, their supporting affidavits, and any return to SW 4977A presently on file or which may be filed between now and February 13, 2004 in Santa Barbara Superior Court File Nos. SW 4977 and 4977A are conditionally sealed;
- 2. The motion to maintain those documents under conditional seal until further order of court shall be heard on April 30, 2004, 2004, at 8:30 a.m.

DATED: April \_\_\_\_\_, 2004

RODNEY S. MELVILLE
Judge of the Superior Court

mjfacts.com

.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

SS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On April 22, 2004, I served the within NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THAT SEARCH WARRANT NOS. SW 4977 AND 4977A, THEIR SUPPORTING AFFIDAVITS AND ANY RETURN BE FILED AND MAINTAINED UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF COURT on Defendant, by MARK JOHN GERAGOS, and on associated counsel, by faxing a true copy to counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the attached Service List, and then by causing to be mailed a true copy (two true copies, to Attorney Geragos) to each counsel at that address.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 22nd day of April, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

SERVICE LIST MARK JOHN GERAGOS, ESQ. Geragos & Geragos, Lawyers 350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3480 FAX: (213) 625-1600 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson ROBERT SANGER, ESQ. Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 Co-counsel for Defendant STEVE COCHRAN, ESQ. Katten, Muchin, Zavis & Rosenman, Lawyers 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 FAX: (310) 712-8455 Co-counsel for Defendant BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, ESQ. Brafman & Ross P.C. 767 Third Avenue, 26th Floor New York City, NY 10017 FAX: (212) 750-3906 Co-Counsel for Defendant