Thomas A: Mesereau, Jr. (SBN 91182) Susan C. Yu (SBN 195640) COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP 1875 Century Park East, 7TH Floor APR 1 2 2005 Los Angeles, California 90067 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer Tel: (310) 284-3120 Carried Wagner Fax: (310) 284-3133 CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clark 5 Robert M. Sanger (SBN 58214) SANGER & SWYSEN 233 E. Carrillo St., Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel: (805) 962-4887 Fax: (805) 963-7311 8 Brian Oxman (SBN 072172) 9 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, California 90670 Tel: (562) 921-5058 11 Fax: (562) 921-2298 Attorneys for Defendant 12 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 15 16 SANTA MARIA DIVISION THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 17 CASE NO. 1133603 CALIFORNIA. 18 MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR Plaintiff. CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND 19 **UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE** vs. 20 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON **HEARING** 21 Defendant. DATE: TBD 22 TIME: TBD Place: Dept. SM-2 23 24 25 26 27 28 MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE

T.

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION

On March 10, 2005, Mr. Sneddon asked Gavin Arvizo questions about a computer and email account Mr. Jackson gave Gavin Arvizio and about Gavin's email passwords, as follows:

BY MR. SNEDDON:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q: Good morning.
- A: Morning, Tom; Mr. Sneddon.
- Q: I want to go back and talk about a couple of things that I neglected to cover yesterday. And I want to take you back in time to the first visit to Neverland Ranch, and in particular to the computer that Mr. Jackson gave you. Do you recall that?
- A: Yes.
 - Q: What kind of computer was it?
 - A: It had an apple on it. I think it was a Mac computer or something like that.

 It was, like, a laptop.
 - Q: After Mr. Jackson gave you the computer, did you -- were you contacted by somebody to set up some kind of Internet account for that computer?
 - A: Yes. Well, Michael told me to call Evvy and see -- because he said he wanted me to get the Internet for the computer.
 - Q: Did you know who Evvy was?
 - A: She was, like, an assistant lady for Michael.
 - Q: Did you do that?
 - A: Yeah.
 - Q: And as a result of that, did you did somebody contact you after you talked to Evvy?
 - A: Yeah. It was a guy named David, I think.
 - Q: And what was the purpose for which David contacted you?

MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE

1	A:	To help to tell me how to set up the Internet account, because I didn't		
2	cts.com	know how. Mifacts.com Mifacts.com		
3	Q:	And who paid for that?		
4	A:	Michael did.		
5	Q:	Now, when you set up the Internet account, did you have an e-mail address?		
6	A:	Yes.		
7	Q:	And where did you what was the e-mail address?		
8	A:	Whitehawk344, I think.		
9	Q:	Now, you say "whitehawk." Where did the e-mail address come from?		
10	A:	A: Well, my name "Gavin" in Irish, it means well, it means a lot of stuff. It		
11	means, like, three different things, but one of the things it means is			
12		"whitehawk."		
13	Q:	So where did you get the idea to do that?		
14	A:	Because "Gavin" means "whitehawk," so that's why I it put on my screen		
15		name.		
16	Q:	Did you have a password?		
17	A:	Yeah.		
18	Q:	What was your password?		
19	A:	Well, it could have been a lot of things, but I think it was "American."		
20	Q:	Did you change it from time to time?		
21	A:	Yes.		
22	Q:	Do you remember any of the other passwords you used?		
23	A:	Password 123456, 6 <mark>54321.</mark>		
24	cts.Q:	So you just changed the sequence of the numbers?		
25	A:	Yeah.		
26	(3/10/05 Tr.	Trnspt., pg. 1536, ln. 16 through pg. 1538, ln. 20.)		
27				
28		-3-		
	п	MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE		

1	On <u>March 14, 2005</u> , Mr. Mesereau unsuccessfully attempted to cross-examine			
2	Gavin Arvizo about the email passwords due to Mr. Sneddon's objection on the ground			
3	that that the	that that there was a Court Order, as follows:		
4	BY MR. MESEREAU:			
5	Q:	Okay. So a few days after you returned from your first trip to Neverland, you		
6		tried to set up an AOL account on the computer that Mr. Jackson had		
7	m	given you, right?		
8	A:	Yes. I did set up an AOL account.		
9	Q:	Was that the first computer you'd ever owned?		
10	_ A:	Yes.		
11	Q:	Was it the first computer you'd ever used?		
12	A:	No, because I no.		
13	ects Qor	Explain let me rephrase that. When did you first learn to use a computer?		
14	A:	In the first grade.		
15	Q:	Okay. Did you own a computer at any time before Mr. Jackson gave you the		
16		computer?		
17	A:	No.		
18	Q:	Okay. But you knew how to use one, correct?		
19	A:	I wasn't like a cyber guy, but I knew how to generally use a computer.		
20	Q:	You knew what an AOL account was, right?		
21	A:	Not really. They told me.		
22	Q:	But you immediately started to set one up when you got home with the		
23		computer Mr. Jackso <mark>n gave</mark> you, right?		
24	A:	Not when I got home. A few days later.		
25	Q:	You called up Evvy about setting up that account, right?		
26	A:	Yeah. Because well, yeah. Yeah.		
27	Q:	And you requested a screen account name of gblade2000 –		
28		-4-		
	r	MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE		

1	MR. SNEDDO	N: I'm going to ob <mark>ject to that.</mark>		
2	THE COURT: Sustained. mifacts.com mifacts.com			
3	BY MR. MESEREAU:			
4	Q: D	o you remember the password excuse me, let me rephrase that. Do you		
5	Le	emember the prosecutor asked you questions about passwords?		
6	A: Y	es.		
7	Q: A	and do you remember you talked about what passwords you wanted?		
8	A: N	lot to the prosecutor?		
9	Q: Y	eah. Did you say something about "hawk"?		
10	A: C	h, I told him that one of my screen names that I had was whitehawk344.		
11	Q: P	lease tell the jury what a screen name is.		
12	A: I	s's on the Internet. You have like a name that you go by, and that's the		
13	acts.com	creen name.		
14	Q: I	o you remember asking Mrs. Tavasci to change the password to "sexy."		
15	s	-e-x-y?		
16	MR. SNEDDOI	N: Your Honor, I'm going to object again. This is in violation of the		
17		Court's order.		
18	THE COURT: Sustained; that is a violation. The jury's admonished to disregard that			
19		You're instructed not to go into that.		
20	MR. MESEREAU: Yes, Your Honor.			
21	(3/14/05 Tr. Trnscpt., pg. 1875, lines 2-24)			
22	The defense believes that Mr. Sneddon opened the door on Gavin's email			
23	passwords and thus properly attempted to cross-examine Gavin on that issue. However,			
24	because the Court sustained Mr. Sneddon's objection, the defense believed that there was			
25	a prior Court Order which prohibited questioning about Gavin's email passwords. The			
26	defense searched for such an Order, but could not find it.			
27				
28				

The only Order that may relate to Gavin's email passwords is the Court's March 11, 2005 tentative ruling on the defense's sexual conduct motion as follows:

Under Evidence Code Section 782, the Court shall review the affidavit submitted in support of the motion to determine if the offer of proof is sufficient to order the hearing. If it is, the Court shall order a hearing out of the presence of the jury, and at the hearing allow the questioning of the witnesses. The affidavit offered in this case -- which, as you know, 782 requires an affidavit. The affidavit which seeks to authenticate the interview reports is from Susan Yu, and the Court finds that affidavit to be inadequate. The only area in the -- what I'm doing here is ruling without prejudice that the affidavit's inadequate, and I will consider a further submission of a sealed affidavit that would clarify the date of the male witness's alleged observation. The other suggested ones that were not by a male - this is how I'm identifying this - that were not observed by a male are not admissible. I'm not allowing them. But the incident that is -that the affidavit shows was -- where the witness was a male, I will consider upon further affidavit. And just to be sure you heard me correctly, that the deficiency is in the date of the male witness's alleged observations. Now, as guidance to the defense, this does not mean to preclude the defense from examination of witness -- of the complaining witness or other - a percipient witness in that situation of your cross-examination.

(March 11, 2005 Trial Transcript, p. 1781, ln. 19 through p. 1782, ln. 21.)

2526

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

-6-

The defense filed a sexual conduct motion under seal pursuant to Evidence Code Section 782. Accordingly, the password mentioned in that motion will not be revealed in this Request.

	2 -				
1	This ruling, however, do	es not address Gavin's email passwords. Nor does it			
2	prohibit the defense from questioning Gavin about the email passwords. Accordingly, the				
3	defense requests a clarification as to whether there is an Order precluding the defense				
4	from inquiring about Gavin's email passwords.				
5	ر ۾ انه				
6	DATED: April <mark>11, 200</mark> 5	Respectfully submitt <mark>ed,</mark>			
7	. mifacts.com				
8	- Injudes.com	Thomas A: Mesereau, Jr.			
9		Susan C. Yu COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU			
10		Robert M. Sanger			
11	800	SANGER & SWYSEN			
12		Br <mark>ian Ox</mark> man OXMAN & JAROSCAK			
13	acts.com r	mifacts.com			
14	Ву:	Succession of the state of the			
15		Susat/C.M. / Attorneys for Mr. MICHAEL J. JACKSON			
16	900 8				
17					
18	mifacts.cor				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	acts com n				
25	0003.00111				
26					
27	20 6				
28	208-	-7-			
	MR. JACKSON'S I ORDER KNOWN'	REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE			
	mjracts.con	n mjracts.com			

Apr 18 05 09:33a

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States of America, am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and not a party to the within action. I am employed at 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067. On April 12, 2005, I served the following document:

MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE

on the interested parties addressed as follows:

Thomas Sneddon, EsQ:, District Attorney Gerald Franklin, EsQ:

Ronald Zonen, EsQ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gordon Auchincloss, EsQ:

District Attorney's Office

1105 Santa Barbara Street

Santa Barbara, CA 93108 FAX: (805) 568-2398

BY MAIL: I placed each envelope, containing the foregoing document, with postage fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, CaliforniA: I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail in this office; that in the ordinary course of business said document would be deposited with the US Postal Service in Los Angeles on that same day.

BY FACSIMILE: I served a copy of the within document on the above-interested parties, by way of a facsimile, at the facsimile numbers listed above.

X BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I personally served the within document on the above interested parties.

X (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on April 12, 2005, at Los Angeles, CaliforniA:

com mifacts cor

26

27

28

-8-

MR. JACKSON'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER KNOWN TO PROSECUTION AND UNKNOWN TO DEFENSE