Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. (SBN 91182) Susan C. Yu (SBN 195640) COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU, LLP 1875 Century Park East, 7TH Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 3 Tel: (310) 284-3120: Fax: (310) 284-3133 4 FEB 1 8 2005 Robert M. Sanger (SBN 58214) SANGER & SWYSEN 5 GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer y Colores & Wagner 233 E. Carrillo St., Suite C Santa Barba<mark>ra, CÁ</mark> 93101 6 CARRIE L. WAGNER, Diputy Clock Tel: (805) 962-4887: Fax: (805) 963-7311 7 Brian Oxman (SBN 072172) OXMAN & JAROSCAK 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, California 90670 Tel: (562) 921.5058; Fax: (562) 921.2298 10 REDACTED PURSUANT TO Attorneys for Defendant CRC 2073 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON 11 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, SANTA MARIA DIVISION 14 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) CASE NO. 1133603 CALIFORNIA, 15 REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS (PEN. CODE § 16 Plaintiff. 1334); DECLARATION OF SUSAN C. YU IN SUPPORT THEREOF 17 VS. [CERTIFICATE OF REQUESTING STATE MICHAEL JOE JACKSON 18 FOR ATTENDANCE OF WITNESS 19 Defendant. LOCATED OUTSIDE CALIFORNIA CONCURRENTLY FILED HEREWITH] 20 HEARING: NOT REQUIRED 21 DATE: N/A 22 TIME: N/A Place: Dept. SM-2 23 FILED UNDERS 24 25 26 27 28 REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS (PEN. CODE § 1334); DECLARATION OF SUSAN C. YU IN SUPPORT THEREOF

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE RODNEY S. MELVILLE:

Defendant Michael Joe Jackson ("Mr. Jackson"), by and through his counsel, hereby requests this Court to issue a Certificate of Requesting State for Attendance of Witness Located Outside California to enable the defense to call Vinnie Amen ("Mr. Amen") as a witness at trial. Mr. Amen is a material witness whose testimony is essential to Mr. Jackson's defense.

This Request is made pursuant to the Uniform Act to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Without a State in Criminal Proceedings, codified at Penal Code Sections 1334 through 1334.6, and is based on the attached memorandum of points and authorities and the declaration of Susan C. Yu.

DATED: February 18, 2005

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU

Robert M. Sanger

SANGER & SWYSEN

Brian Oxman OXMAN & JAROSCAK

By:

Susant Will

Attorneys for Mr. MICHAEL J. JACKSON

mjfacts.com

. O.

REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS (PEN. CODE § 1334):
DECLARATION OF SUSAN C. YU IN SUPPORT THEREOF

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mifacts.com

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The indictment imagines ten counts against Mr. Jackson: one count of conspiracy to commit false imprisonment, child abduction and extortion (Count 1); four counts of lewd conduct upon a child (Counts 2, 3, 4 and 5); one count of attempt to commit a lewd act upon a child (Count 6); and four counts of administering alcohol to a minor to assist in commission of a felony (Counts 7, 8, 9 and 10). (Yu Decl., ¶ 2.)

The indictment further imagines a conspiracy among Mr. Jackson, five named but unindicted individuals, and other "uncharged" and "unknown" alleged co-conspirators to commit child abduction, false imprisonment and extortion. Twenty-eight overt acts in furtherance of this imaginary conspiracy are alleged. (Yu Decl., ¶ 3.)

Mr. Jackson has pled not guilty to all counts. He vehemently denies the false allegations planted in the indictment and maintains his complete innocence. (Yu Decl., ¶ 4.)

Mr. Amen is one of the 5 unindicted named co-conspirators in this case. The defense is informed and believes and thereon submits that he currently resides in the State of (Yu Decl., ¶ 5.)

Mr. Amen is a material witness in this case because he can testify to the issue of Mr. Jackson's innocence to the charged crimes, particularly the alleged conspiratorial acts. Mr. Amen is intimately familiar with the accusers' activities and the activities of various Prosecution's witnesses. (Yu Decl., ¶ 6.)

The Defense estimates that Mr. Amen will be required to testify for

-3

REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS (PEN. CODE § 1334);
DECLARATION OF SUSAN C. YU IN SUPPORT THEREOF

approximately 1 court day. Depending on the duration of the jury selection and the Prosecution's case in chief, the Defense could commence its case in chief on as early as April 1, 2005. Accordingly, Mr. Amen should be required to appear for his testimony on this date. (Yu Decl., ¶ 7.)

II.

THIS COURT MAY ISSUE A CERTIFICATE RECOMMENDING THAT A COURT IN THE STATE OF ISSUE A SUBPOENA COMPELLING MR. VINNIE

AMEN, A MATERIAL WITNESS IN THIS CASE, TO APPEAR AND TESTIFY

The presence of out-of-state witnesses can be secured through procedures outlined in the Uniform Act to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Without A State in

Criminal Proceedings ("Uniform Act"). (Pen. Code §§ 1334-1334.6; also see Vannier v. Superior Court, 32 Cal.App.3d 163, 170-173 (1982); People v. Superior Court (Jans), 224 Cal.App.3d 1405, 1408-1412 (1990). The purpose of the Uniform Act is to make a uniform law throughout the states that adopt it, so that procurement of witnesses beyond state borders is possible. (Pen. Code § 1334.6.)

The provisions of the Uniform Act do not constitute the power to subpoen the out-of-state witness. Rather, they are merely a procedure to request that the other state's court issue a subpoena. (People v. DuBose, 10 Cal.App.3d 544, 549 (1970):

People v. Cavanaugh, 69 Cal.2d 262, 265-266 (1968).) In order for the Uniform Act to apply, the person who is located in another state and whose presence in court is desired, must be a material witness in the case. (Pen. Code § 1334.2, 1334.3; People v.

-4-

Also, if a person comes into California to attend and testify in an action pursuant to proceedings under the Uniform Act, the witness is not subject to arrest or service of civil or criminal process in connection with matters that arose before his or her appearance in the matter. (Pen. Code §§ 1334.4, 1334.5; Vannier v. Superior Court, supra, 32 Cal.2d at 170-173.) This statutory immunity originally arose from public policy considerations to encourage voluntary appearances in cases where the witness otherwise would not appear due to fear of arrest or service of process. (Murrey v. Murrey, 216 Cal. 707, 710 (1932); Mattison v. Lichlyter, 162 Cal.App.2d 60. 63 (1958); Velkov v. Superior Court, 40 Cal.2d 289, 292 (1953).)

Cavanaugh, supra, 69 Cal.2d at 266; People v. Newville, 220 Cal.App.3d 267, 274 (1963).)

A motion is made to the judge in the court in which the action is pending to request the presence of the witness. (Pen. Code § 1334.3.) Upon a showing that the witness is material, the judge of the court in which the action is pending may issue a certificate under the seal of the court stating the facts showing that the witness is material, and the number of days the witness will be required to appear. (Id.) This certificate is then forwarded to a judge of a court of record in the county of the state in which the witness is located. (Id.)

Pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1334.3, the witness, at the time the trial subpoena is served on him, shall be tendered the sum of ten cents (\$ 0.10) for each mile necessarily traveled (if he elects surface travel) or the minimum round trip scheduled airlines fare plus twenty cents (\$ 0.20) a mile for necessary surface travel at either end of the flight if the witness elects air travel, and except as provided in subdivision (b) of Section 1334.3,² a per diem of twenty dollars (\$ 20) for each day that he is required to travel and attend as a witness. Further, the witness shall be reimbursed for any additional expenses which the Court finds reasonable and necessary.

In this case, Mr. Amen is a material witness whose presence is essential to ensure Mr. Jackson a fair trial. Mr. Amen is intimately familiar with the events surrounding the alleged crimes in the Indictment, particularly the conspiratorial acts, and can testify to Mr. Jackson's complete innocence. Accordingly, the requested

-5-

Penal Code Section 1334.3(b) provides: "If the witness subpoenaed to attend and testify in this state is at the time he or she is required to appear and testify an inmate of a state prison, county jail, or other penal facility, the witness shall, while attending in this state as a witness, be furnished food and lodging in the jail or other appropriate penal facility in the county in which the witness is attending court, and food and lodging of that penal facility shall be rendered in lieu of the per diem specified in subdivision (a).

Certificate should issue. The defense will then take appropriate steps to forward this Certificate to a Court in , in the county in which Mr. Amen is located. (Yu 3 Decl., ¶ 7.) 4 5 6 CONCLUSION 7 For all of the foregoing reasons, Mr. Jackson respectfully requests that the Court 8 grant this motion and issue the requested Certificate. 9 DATED: January 18, 2005 Respectfully submitted, 10 11 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 12 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 13 Robert M. Sanger SANGER & SWYSEN 14 Brian Oxman 15 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 16 17 18 Attorneys for Mr. MICHAEL J. JACKSON 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

REQUEST FOR ATTENDANCE OF OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS (PEN. CODE § 1334);
DECLARATION OF SUSAN C. YU IN SUPPORT THEREOF

I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the

2

3 4 5 1.

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

co-counsel for Mr. Michael Jackson in this criminal proceeding. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto under oath.

State of California, a partner in the law firm of Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, and

I, Susan C. Yu, declare as follows:

- 2. The indictment alleges ten counts against Mr. Jackson: one count of conspiracy to commit false imprisonment, child abduction and extortion (Count 1); four counts of lewd conduct upon a child (Counts 2, 3, 4 and 5); one count of attempt to commit a lewd act upon a child (Count 6); and four counts of administering alcohol to a minor to assist in commission of a felony (Counts 7, 8, 9 and 10).
- 3. The indictment further alleges a conspiracy among Mr. Jackson, five named but unindicted individuals, and other "uncharged" and "unknown" alleged co-conspirators to commit child abduction, false imprisonment and extortion. Twenty-eight overt acts in furtherance of this imaginary conspiracy are alleged.
- 4. Mr. Jackson has pled not guilty to all counts. He vehemently denies the false allegations planted in the indictment and maintains his complete innocence.
- 5. Mr. Amen is one of the 5 unindicted named co-conspirators in this case.

 The defense is informed and believes and thereon submits that he currently resides in the
- 6. Mr. Amen is a material witness in this case because he can testify to the issue of Mr. Jackson's innocence to the charged crimes, particularly the alleged conspiratorial acts. Mr. Amen is intimately familiar with the accusers' activities and the activities of various Prosecution's witnesses.
 - 7. The Defense estimates that Mr. Amen will be required to testify for

-7-

-1

approximately 1 court day. Depending on the duration of the jury selection and the Prosecution's case in chief, the Defense could commence its case in chief on as early as April 1, 2005. Accordingly, Mr. Amen should be required to appear for his testimony on this date.

8. Pursuant to California Penal Code Section 1334.3. I will cause Mr. Amen, at the time the trial subpoena is served on him, to be tendered the sum of ten cents (\$0.10) for each mile necessarily traveled (if he elects surface travel) or the minimum round trip scheduled airlines fare plus twenty cents (\$0.20) a mile for necessary surface travel at either end of the flight if the witness elects air travel, and except as provided in subdivision (b) of Section 1334.3, a per diem of twenty dollars (\$20) for each day that he is required to travel and attend as a witness. Further, I will cause Mr. Amen to be reimbursed for any additional expenses which this Court finds reasonable and necessary.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 18th day of January 2005, at Los Angeles, California.

mjfacts.com

-8-