THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY 1 County of Santa Barbara By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)
Schior Deputy District Attorney
J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) 2 3 FEB 17 2005 Senior Deputy District Attorney
GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer 4 BY Carrie & Wagner Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street 5 CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clerk Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 9 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. No. 1133603 12 PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF Plaintiff, 13 MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF 14 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO 15 DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF INCLUDE THE "FOOTAGE" VIDEO AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF TO ν. 16 17 DETERMINE WHETHER SEALING IS APPROPRIATE: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON. 18 DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS 19 Defendant. AND AUTHORITIES 20 21 DATE: TBA TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: TBA (Melville) 22 23 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU. JR. 24 ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO 25 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP: 26 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the date fixed by the court for hearing pending 27 Evidence Code section 402 motions, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and 28

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING RESPONSE TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION

TO REQUEST RE "FOOTAGE" VIDEO

hereby does, request the Court to review Plaintiff's Response to Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Request That Plaintiff Be Required To Present The Hearsay Evidence of Defendant's "Living With Michael Jackson" As Part of its Case in Chief, filed contemporaneously with this Motion, to determine for itself whether an order directing that the Response is an appropriate document for scaling, and that the Response be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be made on the ground that the discussion of law in Plaintiff's Response to Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Request That Plaintiff Be Required To Present The Hearsay Evidence of Defendant's "Living With Michael Jackson" As Part of its Case in Chief, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, may not be sufficient to justify scaling the specified motion pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: February 17, 2005

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.

District Attorney

Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

I. Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

1

5

10

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People. Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed Plaintiff's Response to Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Request That Plaintiff Be Required To Present The Hearsay Evidence of Defendant's "Living With Michael Jackson" As Part of its Case in Chief, and requesting that the Court determine for itself whether the Response is appropriate for sealing, is made on the ground that the Response does not, in the undersigned's opinion, itself reveal any information that would warrant scaling. For that reason I have not prepared a proposed redacted version of the Response.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that Plaintiff's Response to Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Request That Plaintiff Be Required To Present The Hearsay Evidence of Defendant's "Living With Michael Jackson" As Part of its Case in Chief should remain under conditional scal until the appropriateness of scaling the document and, if sealing is ordered, of the release of a redacted version of the Response is determined by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on February 17, 2005.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
 - (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;
 - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under scal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

//// cts.com

26 | //// 27 | ////

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING RESPONSE TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO REQUEST RE "FOOTAGE" VIDEO

1	DATED: February 17, 2005
2	Respectfully submitted,
3	facts.com miracts.com miracts.com
4	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Sauta Barbara
5	By: Sad Mi-C. (Frankle
6	Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	njfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING RESPONSE TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO REQUEST RE "FOOTAGE" VIDEO

PROOF OF SERVICE

2 facts.com

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

}ss

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office: Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On January 24, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF TO DETERMINE WHETHER SEALING IS APPROPRIATE, ETC. and PROPOSED ORDER on THEODORE BOUTROUS, Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. and ROBERT SANGER, by transmitting a true copy thereof to Mr. Mesercau at his confidential FAX number in Santa Maria and to Mr. Boutrous at (213) 229-6758.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 17th day of February, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin