- 1		
1 2	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640	O91182 SUPERIO
3	1875 Century Park East, 7 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133	FI 14 (III)
4 5	SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law	Committee of the control of the cont
6	Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101	mifacts.com
7	Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	Injidots.com
8 9	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans	
10	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	ا فران ا
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
12	njfacts.com mjfac	cts.com mjfacts.com
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION	
16	90.0	99.0
17	THE PEOPLE OF TH <mark>E STATE</mark> OF) CALIFORNIA,)	Case No. 11336 <mark>03</mark>
18	Plaintiffs,	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO
19	vs.	EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO
20	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	
21	Defendant.	Honorable Rodney S. Melville
22		Date: February 10, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m.
23		Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: SM 8
24	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT	
25	No trib belance of trib be to be the second of the second	
26	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled	
28	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO	
,	EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COU	

S.q

2 DEFENSE COUNSEL TO 3 accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's 4 5 rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. 6 7 Dated: February 4, 2005 Respectfully submitted, 8 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 9 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 10 11 **SANGER & SWYSEN** Robert M. Sanger 12 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 13 Brian Oxman 14 15 By: Robert M. Sanger 16 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 17 18 facts.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL

OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mifacts.com

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO

due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material 2 contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, 3 would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In 4 5 order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal. 6 CONCLUSION 7 For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE 8 BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO 9 and accompanying documents be filed under seal. 10 11 Dated: February 4, 2005 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 12 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. 13 Susan C. Yu SANGER & SWYSEN 14 Robert M. Sanger 15 OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman 16 17 By: 18 Robert M. Sanger Attorneys for MICHÁEL JOSEPH JACKSON 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

9.9

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- 2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT
 ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE BY DEFENSE
 COUNSEL TO

and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 4th day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.

Robert M. Sanger

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

facts.com

mifacts.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On February 4, 2005, I served the foregoing documents on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows: EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE BY DEFENSE COUNSEL TO

on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Tom Sneddon Gerald Franklin Ron Zonen Gordon Auchincloss District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 805-568-2398 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Theordore J. Boutrous Jr. William E. Thomson Michael H. Dore 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91171 Fax - 213-229-7520

ijfa	BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit.
<u>X</u>	BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties
	BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.
X	STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed February 4, 2005, at Santa Barbara, California
	Bobette Tryon my acts com

7. * 0