COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 2 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 3 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 4 SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C 5 6 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 7 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 8 Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298 10 11 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 12 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION 15 16 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 1133603 CALIFORNIA. 17 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN 18 Plaintiffs, ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT 19 VS. PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT 20 THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON. DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING 21 WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED Defendant. 22 UNDER SEAL 23 Honorable Rodney S. Melville 24 Date: February 22, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m. 25 Dept: SM 8 26 TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT: 27 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO 28 DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED UNDER SEAL

2 · d

Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF and accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

Dated: February 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU
Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr.
Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger

OXMAN & JAROSCAK

Brian Oxman

v:

Robert M. Sanger

Attomeys for Defendant
MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED UNDER SEAL

mjraci s.com

1

23

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled:
 - 4. The proposed scaling is narrowly tailored; and
- 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest. (California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED UNDER SEAL

due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF and accompanying documents be filed under seal.

Dated: February 15, 2005

COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu

SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger

OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman

By:\.

Robert M. Sanger

Attorneys for

MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED UNDER SEAL

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- 2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 15th day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.

Robert M. Sanger

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 301 East Cook Street, Suite A. Santa Maria, California 93454.

On February 15, 2005, I served the foregoing document EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT RESPONSE TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S REQUEST THAT PLAINTIFF BE REQUIRED TO PRESENT THE HEARSAY EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO "LIVING WITH MICHAEL JACKSON" AS PART OF ITS CASE IN CHIEF BE FILED UNDER SEAL on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Theordore J. Boutrous Jr. William E. Thomson Michael H. Dore 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91171 Fax - 213-229-7520 Tom Sneddon
Gerald Franklin
Ron Zonen
Gordon Auchincloss
District Attorney
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Fax - 805-568-2398

BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit. BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties at the above-referenced numbers. BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above. STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. Executed February 15, 2005, at Santa Maria, California. OBETTE I