THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of Santa Barbara
By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)
Senior Deputy District Attorney
1105 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Telephone: (805) 568-2300
FAX: (805) 568-2398 1 SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 2 FEB 1 0 2004 3 GARY MUBLAIR, EXEC. OFFICER 4 5 FAX: (805) 568-2398 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 8 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALI<mark>FORNI</mark>A, No. 1133603 11 PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF Plaintiff, 12 MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING PLAINTIFF'S 13 REPLY TO DEFENDANT RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MICHAEL JOE JACKSON. 14 MEMO RE: PRIVILEGE Defendant. CLAIMS 15 DATE: February 13, 2004 16 TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: SM 2 (Melville) 17 18 TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES: 19 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 13, 2004, at 8:30 a.m., or as soon 20 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will move, and hereby 21 does move, for an order directing that "Plaintiff's Reply To 'Defendant's Response To 22 Plaintiff's Claim Of Attorney-Client And Attorney-Work-Product Privileges'" be maintained 23 under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 24 243.1 et. seq. 25 The motion will be made on the ground that our Reply To Response To Memo Re 26 Privilege Claims concerns certain material seized in obedience to a search warrant, and the 27 Court has previously directed that "all motions regarding said materials shall be filed under

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL REPLY TO RESPONSE TO MEMO RE PRIVILEGE CLAIMS

28

seal" pending the court's own determination whether the filed motion may and should be redacted.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: February 9, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

Gerald McC. Frankin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

Ó

I am a lawyer licensed to practice my profession in all the courts of this state. I am a deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County, and Mr. Jackson's matter is one of my assigned concerns.

Attorney Dan Nixon kindly called me upon receipt of his copy of Plaintiff's Reply, etc., etc., etc., and suggested that it, too, ought to be filed under seal even if there is nothing in it that apparently requires redaction, given that it deals with matters coming within the court's broadly-worded order concerning filing under seal pending further order of the court.

Attorney Nixon's suggestion appears to be well-taken. Accordingly, though plaintiff is indifferent about the need to seal our Reply, etc., etc., I make the accompanying motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on February 9, 2004.

Gerald McC. Franklin

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

AN ORDER OF THE COURT SHOULD BE OBEYED, WHEN POSSIBLE, BY LAWYERS PRACTICING BEFORE THE COURT, PARTICULARLY IN THE MATTER IN WHICH THE ORDER WAS MADE

The Court in this case has directed "that all motions regarding [materials subject to a claim of privilege] shall be filed under seal." (January 16, 2004 minute order, page 4.)

Reason enough for our Reply to Response to Memo Re Claim of Privilege be filed under conditional seal pending further order of the Court on or after February 13, 2004.

DATED: February 9, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

Gerald McC. Frankin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court having reviewed the unredacted "Plaintiff's Reply to 'Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Memo Re: Defendant's Claim of Attorney-Client and Attorney Work-Product Privileges," and in light of the Court's January 16, 2004 order, orders as follows:

- 1. "Plaintiff's Reply to 'Defendant's Response to Plaintiff's Memo Re: Defendant's Claim of Attorney-Client and Attorney Work-Product Privileges" ("Plaintiff's Reply") is conditionally sealed.
- 2. The Court will entertain suggestions from defense counsel concerning any reduction the Court should order made to "Plaintiff's Reply" before it is placed in the public file, and
- 3. The motion to maintain Plaintiff's Reply under seal until further order of the Court shall be heard on February 13, 2004.

DATED: February _____, 2004

RODNEY S. MELVILLE
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

1

3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

SS

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On February 10, 2004, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMO RE: PRIVILEGE CLAIMS on Defendant, by MARK JOHN GERAGOS, ROBERT SANGER, STEVE COCHRAN and BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, his counsel in this action, and on BRADLEY MILLER, by his counsel, DANIEL V. NIXON, by faxing a true copy to each of them at the facsimile number shown below the addresses on the attached Service List, and then by causing the Santa Barbara County Mailing Center to mail a true copy thereof (two true copies, to Attorneys Geragos and Nixon) to counsel at those addresses, by first class mail, postage fully prepaid.

> I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 10th day of February, 2004.

1 SERVICE LIST 2 3 MARK JOHN GERAGOS, ESQ. Geragos & Geragos, Lawyers 350 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3480 FAX: (213) 625-1600 4 5. 6 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson 7 ROBERT SANGER, ESQ. Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C 8 Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 9 10 Co-counsel for Defendant 11 STEVE COCHRAN, ESQ. Katten, Muchin, Zavis & Rosenman, Lawyers 12 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 FAX: (310) 712-8455 13 Co-counsel for Defendant 14 15 BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, ESO. Brafman & Ross P.C 16 767 Third Avenue, 26th Floor New York City, NY 10017 17 FAX: (212) 750-3906 Co-Counsel for Defendant 18 19 DANIEL V. NIXON, ESQ. Byrne & Nixon LLP 20 350 S. Grand Avenue, 39th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 21 FAX: (213) 620-8012 Attorney for Bradley Miller 22 23 24 25 26 27

28