Ť		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133 SANGER & SWYSEN Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311 OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670	O91182 CONTROL OCCUPANTAL ALEFONDATA CONTROL OCCUPANTAL ALEF
10	Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	The same of the sa
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
13	nifacts.com mifa	cts.com mifacts.cor
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION	
15		
16		
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF (CALIFORNIA, (CALIFORNIA)	Case No. 1133603
18	Plaintiffs,)	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO
19	vs. mjíacts.com)	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE
20)	OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL
	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	· ·
21	Defendant.)	Honorable Rodney S. Melville
22)	Date: February 10, 2005
23		Time: Q:30 a.m. Dept: SM 8
24	nifacts com mifac	cts.com mifacts.com
25	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:	
26	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled and EX	
27		
28	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL	

 $S \cdot q$

1 PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S 2 MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING 3 THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL and accompanying documents be filed under seal and for such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based 4 5 on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth. Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 6 7 15 of the California Constitution. 8 Dated: February 4, 2005 9 Respectfully submitted, 10 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 11 12 **SANGER & SWYSEN** Robert M. Sanger 13 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 14 Brian Oxman 15 16 17 Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKS 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO 28 LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL

2

€.q

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mjtacti.com

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL

due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal. **CONCLUSION** For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL and accompanying documents be filed under seal. Dated: February 4, 2005 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger **OXMAN & JAROSCAK** Brian Oxman Attorneys for

Attorneys for MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Eep 00 02 11:509

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of
 California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael
 Jackson.
- It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled an EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL and accompanying documents, be filed under seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 4th day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.

Robert M. Sanger

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On February 4, 2005,, I served the foregoing document EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO LIMIT INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF PRIOR LITIGATION INVOLVING THE DOE FAMILY BE FILED UNDER SEAL n the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
Theordore J. Boutrous, Jr.
William E. Thomson
Michael H. Dore
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 91171
Fax - 213-229-7520

Tom Sneddon Gordon Auchincloss Ron Zonen Jerry Franklin District Attorney 568-2398

X BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties
 BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.
 X STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
 FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.
 Executed February 4, 2005, at Santa Maria, California.

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com