ļ		
1	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & Y Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 0	U 91182
2	Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor	SUPERÍOR COCITA À TATEFORMIA COU AT SANTA SANTA SANTA
3	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133	FEB - 4 (2)%
4	SANGER & SWYSEN	A GARYN BURN
5	Attorneys at Law Robert M. Sanger, State Bar No. 058214	CARRIE LAY CONC. Durbay Clerk
6 7	233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	mifacts.com
8	OXMAN & JAROSCAK	III Ji de Collection
9	Brian Oxman, State Bar No. 072172 14126 East Rosecrans	
10	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.: (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	in :
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
12	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15		
16		<u> </u>
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) CALIFORNIA,)	Case No. 1133603
- 18	Plaintiffs,)	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO
19	vs.	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE DOE'S
20)	REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER
21	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	CONVERSATIONS WITH ATTORNEY BE FILED
22	Defendant.	UNDER SEAL
23		Honorable Rodney S. Melville
24)	Date: February 10, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m.
25	TO THE CLEDY OF THE A DOVE ENT	ু ু
26	TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT:	
27	Defendant requests that the Court issue an order that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled	
28	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OP	POSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO
	CONVERSATIONS WITH ATTORN	
	mjfacts.com	mjfacts.com

1	OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE		
2	DOE'S REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER CONVERSATIONS WITH		
3	ATTORNEY and accompanying documents be filed under seal and for		
4	such other such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. This request is based on the		
5	overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and		
6	Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the		
7	California Constitution.	mjfacts.com	
8 	Dated: February 4, 2005		
9		Respectfully submitted,	
0		COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU	
1		Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu	
2		SANGER & SWYSEN	
3		Robert M. Sanger OXMAN & JAROSCAK	
4		Brian Oxman	
5			
6	By: \(\frac{1}{2}\)	Robert M. Sanger	
7	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON		
3	mjfacts.com	mjfacts.com	
9			
1			
2		90 8	
3		Gie.	
4		facts.com mjfacts.com	
5			
6			
7			

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

mjracti.com

THE COURT HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ORDER THAT A RECORD BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d), the Court has the authority to order a record be filed under seal if it expressly finds that:

- 1. There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
 - 2. The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
 - 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

(California Rule of Court 243.1(d).)

II.

OVERRIDING INTERESTS EXIST THAT MEETS THE ABOVE CRITERIA FOR SEALING A RECORD

It is necessary to seal the record pursuant to California Rule of Court 243.1(d) based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

An inspection of the exhibits will reveal that they disclose the testimony of witnesses or potential witnesses and disclose possible evidence, the admissibility of which, is yet to be determined.

The overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to a fair trial and due process would be compromised if the exhibits are not filed under seal. A person accused of a crime is entitled to

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE DOE'S REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER CONVERSATIONS WITH ATTORNEY

1 due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United 2 States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution. Material 3 contained the exhibits pertains to evidence and the testimony of witnesses that, if made public, would compromise Mr. Jackson's these rights and would result in prejudice to Mr. Jackson. In 4 5 order to protect these overriding interests, it is necessary that the exhibits be filed under seal. **CONCLUSION** 6 For the reasons stated above, Mr. Jackson requests that the Court issue an order that 7 OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO 8 9 JANE DOE'S REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER CONVERSATIONS WITH ATTORNEY and accompanying 10 documents be filed under seal. 11 12 Dated: February 4, 2005 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 13 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. Susan C. Yu 14 SANGER & SWYSEN 15 Robert M. Sanger 16 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 17 Brian Oxman 18 Robert M. Sanger 19 Attorneys for MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE DOE'S REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER

CONVERSATIONS WITH ATTORNEY

BE FILED UNDER SEAL

DECLARATION OF ROBERT M. SANGER

I, Robert Sanger, declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice law in the courts of the State of California, a partner in the law firm of Sanger & Swysen, and co-counsel for Michael Jackson.
- 2. It is necessary that Mr. Jackson's pleading entitled OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT
 ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE DOE'S REFUSAL
 TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HER CONVERSATIONS WITH
 ATTORNEY

 and accompanying documents, be filed under
 seal in order to protect the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and
 a fair trial, as well as to prevent the disclosure of witnesses, potential witnesses and
 potential evidence.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 4th day of February, 2005 at Santa Maria, California.

Robert M. Sanger

mjfacts.com



I, the undersigned declare:

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101.

On February 4, 2005, I served the foregoing document EXPARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER THAT OPPOSITION TO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO JANE DOE'S REFUSAL TO WAIVE THE CONFIDENTIALITY on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Theordore J. Boutrous, Jr. William E. Thomson Michael H. Dore 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 91171 Fax - 213-229-7520

Tom Sneddon Gordon Auchincloss Ron Zonen Jerry Franklin District Attorney 568-2398

X	BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile
	to the interested parties

BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above.

X STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed February 3, 2005, at Santa Maria, California

Bobette J. Tryon

mjfacts.com