1 TTIOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara JAN 26 2005 2 By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) GARY M. BLAIR, Exocutive Officer Senior Deputy District Attorney J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bur No. 150251) 3 CARRIE L. WAGNER, Deputy Clork Senior Deputy District Attorney 4 GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) Schior Deputy District Attorney 5 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 10 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 11 12 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. No. 1133603 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF 13 MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING 14 THAT PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO 15 MOTION TO ADMIT EXPERT TESTIMONY ON DEFENDANT'S 16 FINANCES BE MAINTAINED MICHAEL JOE JACKSON UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL: 17 DECLARATION OF GERALD Defendant. McC. FRANKLIN IN SUPPORT 13 THEREOF: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 19 DATE: Jamesry-38-2005 20 TIME: 8:30 a.m. DEPT: TBAc(Mclville) 21 22 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., 25 ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO 24 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP: 25 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 28: 2005, at 8:30 a.m. or as soon 26 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and 27 hereby does, move for an order directing that Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to 28 Plaintiff's Motion to Admit Expert Testimony on Defendant's Finances, filed

l'Lain'i iff's motion for order sealing reply to opposition re evidence of finances

contemporancously with this Request for Conditional Sealing, be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The Request will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, are sufficient to justify scaling the Response pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The Request will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: January 26, 2005

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, IR. District Attorney

Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

jfacts.com mjfacts.com

acts.com mifacts.com

jfacts.com <u>.</u> mjfacts

I. Gerald McC. Frunklin, say:

1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Admit Expert Testimony on Defendant's Finances, filed contemporaneously with this Request, is made on the ground that the Reply makes reference to evidentiary facts not yet made public, and to the names of potential witnesses.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to Plaintiff's Reply until the appropriateness of the release of a redacted version of the Reply is determined by the Court.
- 4. I believe an order maintaining Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Admit Expert Testimony on Defendant's Finances under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that pleading could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on January 26, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mjracts.com

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sending records under California Rules of Court rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
 - (2) The overriding interest supports scaling the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;
 - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
 - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

////facts.com	mjfacts.con
1111	





DATED: January 26, 2005 Respectfully submitted. THOMAS W. SNEDDON, IR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara Franklin, Schior Deputy G Attomeys for Plaintiff .21

· 17

STATE OF CALIFORNIA SS COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On January 26, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST THAT

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO

ADMIT EXPERT TESTIMONY ON DEFENDANT'S FINANCES BE MAINTAINED

UNDER SEAL on Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,

ROBERT SANGER and BRIAN OXMAN, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to

Attorney Robert Sanger's office and by causing a true copy to be transmitted by facsimile to

Defendant's co-counsel (except Mr. Sanger and Mr. Oxman) and to Media's counsel at the
facsimile number shown with the address for counsel on the attached Service List, and then
causing that copy to be mailed to each (except Mr. Sanger and Mr. Oxman) at the address
shown on the Service List.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 26th day of January, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin

acts.com

1 2 3 4 SERVICE LIST 5 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 6 Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., Esq. William E. Thomson, Esq. 7 Julian Poon, Esq. 333 S. Grand Avenue 8 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 FAX: (213) 229-6758 9 Attorneys for (collectively) "Media" 10 THOMAS A. MESEREAU JR. 11 Collins, Mesercau Reddock & Yu LLP 1875 Century Park East, No. 700 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: [SAN'I'A MARIA - CONFIDENTIAL] 13 Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson 14 15 ROBERT SANGER, ESQ. 16 Sanger & Swyson, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C 17 Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 18 Co-counsel for Defendant 19 BRIAN OXMAN, ESQ. 20 Oxman & Jaroscak, Lawyers . 14126 E. Rosecrans Blvd. 21 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 22 Co-counsel for Defendant 23 24 25 26 27 28

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING REPLY TO OPPOSITION RE EVIDENCE OF FINANCES