SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 1 County of Santa Barbara JAN 2 4 2005 By: RONALD I. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Senior Deputy District Attorney GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer J. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) 3 Carried Wagner Senior Deputy District Attorney GARRIE L. WAGNER, Dopuly Clerk GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street 4 5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 G Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398 7 S SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA SANTA MARIA DIVISION 01 11 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. No. 1133603 12 Plaintiff. PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF 15 MOTION AND MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF 1.7 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITIONTO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR 15 7. ORDER ALLOWING INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED 16 VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS, TO DETERMINE 17 WHETHER SEALING IS : 8 MICHAEL JOE JACKSON. APPROPRIATE: DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS Defendant. 19 AND AUTHORITIES 20 DATE: January 28, 2005 TIME: 98:30 a.m. DEPT: TBA (Melville) 21 22 23 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., 24 ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO 25

ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 28, 2005, at \$6:30 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and

27

26

1

1	hereby does, request the Court to review Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for		
2	Order Allowing Individual Sequestered Voir Dire of Prospective Jurors. filed		
3	contemporaneously with this Motion to determine for itself whether an order directing that the		
4	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Allowing Individual Sequestered Voic		
5	Dire of Prospective Jurors is an appropriate document for scaling, and that the Opposition be		
Ó	maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of		
7	Court rule 243.1 et seq.		
8	The motion will be made on the ground that the discussion of facts in Plaintiff's		
و	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Allowing Individual Sequestered Voir		
10	Dire of Prospective Jurors, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC.		
11	Franklin, may not be sufficient to justify sealing the specified motion pursuant to California		
12	Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.		
15	The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald		
14	McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on th		
15	records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the		
:6	motion.		
17	DATED: January 24, 2005		
រេទ			
19	mifacts.com THOMAS W. SNEDDON. JR. District Attorney		
20	By: Acad Mel. Banke		
21	Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy		
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
23			
24			
25	njfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
26			
27			
28			

I. Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

S

Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendent's Motion for Order Allowing Individual Sequestered Voir Dire of Prospective Jurors and requesting that the Court determine for itself whether the Opposition is appropriate for sealing, is made on the ground that the Opposition does not in the undersigned's opinion, itself reveal any information that would warrant sealing. For that reason, I have not prepared a proposed reducted copy of the Opposition.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Order Allowing Individual Sequestered Voir Dire of Prospective Jurors should remain under conditional scal until the appropriateness of scaling the document and, if scaling is ordered, of the release of a reducted version of the Opposition is determined by the court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on January 24, 2005.

Add Med. Read.

Gerald McC. Franklin

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

MEMORANDIJM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2	The procedure for scaling records under California Rules of Court rule 243.1 et seq.		
3	applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive		
+	pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.		
5	Rule 243.1(d) provides that		
6	The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:		
8	(1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;		
10	(2) The overriding interest supports scaling the record:		
11 12	(3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not scaled;		
15	(4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.		
15	Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:		
1.6	(1) An order scaling the record must (i) specifically set forth the		
17	facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the scaling of		
18	only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents		
19			
20	or page must be included in the public file.		
21	Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the		
32	motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under		
23	seul.		
24	1/1/		
25	vijects.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
26	1111		
27	IIIII		

ŧ

28

,	DATED: January 24, 2005		
2	Respectfully submitted.		
3	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY CLS.COM County of Santa Burbare		
5	Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
S			
9			
10			
11			
i=			
13			
14			
13			
16			
17			
18	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
19			
20			
21			
23	و الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل		
23	die. die.		
23	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com		
26			
27			
28	dia.		
	A HOROPRIA TENNIS OF SEALING OPPOSITION TO MOTION FO		

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRECT LOCATION: 805 560 1078 RX TIME 01/24 '05 14:42

PROOF OF SERVICE

_	

1

3

-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

5

6 7

S 9

10 11

13 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

31 77

23

24

25 26

27

28

SS COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid: I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse: 1112 Santa Barbara Street. Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On January 18, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER ALLOWING INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS TO DETERMINE WHETHER SEALING IS APPROPRIATE, ETC. and PROPOSED ORDER on THEODORF BOUTROUS, Media's counsel and on Defendant by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. and ROBERT SANGER, by personally delivering a true copy to Mr. Sanger's Office and then transmitting a true copy thereof to Mr. Mesercau at (805) 456-0699 and to Mr. Boutrous at (213) 229-6758.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Sunta Barbara, California on this 24th day of January. 2005.