	and the state of t	
1	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY  County of Santa Barbara  SUPERIOR COURT OF CAUSE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
2	By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)	
3	I. GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251)	
4	Senior Deputy District Attorney GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) Senior Deputy District Attorney  GARY M. BLAIR, Exoculty Officer CARRIEL WAGNES DEADLE	
5	Senior Deputy District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101	
б	Telephone: (805) 568-2300 FAX: (805) 568-2398	
7	mifacts.com mjfacts.com	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	
10	SANTA MARIA DIVISION	
11		
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, No. 1133603	
13	Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR	
14   15	COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO	
16	EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO HIS COLLECTION OF SEXUALLY	
17	EXPLICIT MATERIAL, TO DETERMINE WHETHER SEALING	
18	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON,  IS APPROPRIATE; DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN;	
ľ	Defendant. ) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
19 20	DATE: January 28, 2005	
	TIME:98:30 a.m. DEPT: TBA (Melville)	
21	DEI 1. TEX (MEIVING)	
22	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKS <mark>ON, AN</mark> D TO THOMAS A. MESER <mark>EAU, JR.</mark> ,	
23	ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO	
24	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:	
25		
26	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 28, 2005, at \$:30 a.m. or as soon	
27   	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and	
28	hereby does, request the Court to review Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion For An	
	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com	

REQUEST THAT COURT DETERMINE APPROPRIATENESS OF SEALING MOTION RE SEXUALLY EXPLICIT
MATERIAL

Order Excluding Reference To His Collection Of Sexually Explicit Materials, filed contemporaneously with this Motion, to determine for itself whether an order directing that the Response re: Sexually Explicit Materials is an appropriate document for sealing., and that the Response be maintained under conditional seal until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be made on the ground that the discussion of facts in Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion For An Order Excluding Reference To His Collection Of Sexually Explicit Materials, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin, may not be sufficient to justify sealing the specified motion pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gerald McC. Franklin and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

DATED: January 24, 2005

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR.

By:

Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to conditionally seal the contemporaneously-filed Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion For An Order Excluding Reference To His Collection Of Sexually Explicit Materials, and requesting that the Court determine for itself whether the Response is appropriate for sealing, is made on the ground that the Response does not, in the undersigned's opinion, itself reveal any information that would warrant sealing.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial dictates that Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's Motion For An Order Excluding Reference To His Collection Of Sexually Explicit Materials should remain under conditional seal until the appropriateness of sealing the document and, if sealing is ordered, of the release of a redacted version of the Response is determined by the court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on January 24, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin

## 2 3

4 5

б 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1111

26

27

28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record:
  - (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed scaling is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order scaling the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under scal."

///facts.com	
--------------	--

1111 1111

1111

DATED: January 24, 2005 Respectfully submitted, THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy б Attorneys for Plaintiff 

## PROOF OF SERVICE

१ss

1 2 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 4 5 6 7 address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, 8 California 93101. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business

On January 18, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF MOTION FOR COURT'S REVIEW OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER EXCLUDING REFERENCE TO HIS COLLECTION OF SEXUALLY EXPLICIT MATERIALS TO DETERMINE WHETHER SEALING IS APPROPRIATE, ETC. and PROPOSED ORDER on THEODORE BOUTROUS, Media's counsel and on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR. and ROBERT SANGER, by personally delivering a true copy to Mr. Sanger's Office and then transmitting a true copy thereof to Mr. Mesereau at (805) 456-0699 and to Mr. Boutrous at (213) 229-6758.

> I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 24th day of January, 2005.

> > erald McC. Franklin

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28